

Kings River Project

**Errata for
Final Environment Impact Department
USDA Forest Service
High Sierra Ranger District, Sierra National Forest
Fresno County, California
December 20, 2006**

Document No. R5-MB-125, October 2006

Problem: Jordan and others (2005) and personal communication from Dr. Kathryn Purcell on fisher population monitoring in the KRP were incorrectly interpreted in the EIS to indicate the fisher population “numbers are stable.”

Resolution: The correct interpretation is the data do not suggest a significant trend, either increasing or decreasing (Purcell, pers. comm., 5 December 2006).

Problem: Soil design measure #2 was misstated.

Resolution: On p. 2-32, soil design measure #2, last sentence is corrected to the following “Maintain 90% of the soil porosity over 85% of an activity area found under natural conditions.”