

00-19
133

COMMENT

Webb, Jean A.

From: secretary
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2000 8:18 AM
To: Webb, Jean A.; Colbert, Edward W.
Subject: FW: Grain futures train wreck comming. An extreme Ag article you must heed.

-----Original Message-----

From: Vince [mailto:jvmoye@staroute.com]
Sent: Saturday, July 29, 2000 8:36 AM
To: secretary@cftc.gov
Subject: Grain futures train wreck comming. An extreme Ag article you must heed.

The below clip from an article in "extremeag.com" is included below. I fully agree with the article's reasoning and hop that you will take action to prevent a cash grain market crash by following their advice.

Best regards,
Vincent Moye jvmoye@staroute.com
1022 i Ave
Reinbeck, IA

A bedrock proof of "valid" futures markets as a risk management tool is the convergence of cash bids (for grain in deliverable position) and nearby futures during the delivery period. If markets are working properly, arbitrage will occur if cash bids for grain in deliverable position are below the board because a trader can theoretically buy the cash commodity and immediately sell it on the Board at a profit because it's already in a deliverable position. There are no transportation costs involved. Conversely, should cash grain in deliverable position be priced at a premium to futures during the delivery period, arbitragers could be expected to buy the nearby futures contract and immediately serve notice of intent to deliver, thus bringing about "convergence" between cash and futures from that situation as well.

But if this convergence fails to occur, it is proof positive that something is wrong with the delivery system. And an alert Bare Knuckles reader has pointed out to me that convergence failed to occur for the March and May corn contracts and now for the expiring July contract as well. He believes the problem lies in inadequate daily storage charges allowed for elevators licensed to hold deliverable stocks. And since this also determines what is considered "full carry" in futures markets, it also impacts on

RECEIVED
C.F.T.C.
00 JUL 31 AM 8 59
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARIAT

RECEIVED
C.F.T.C.
00 JUL 31 PM 3 02
RECORDS SECTION

the willingness of producers
to store on the farm as well. The result is an
excess of cash sales pressure vis
a vis the futures market (widening basis) which
provides a windfall opportunity
to the buyers of the world but throws salt in the
wound of low prices for
producers who must sell old crop to make room for
new crop.

Last January a host of new delivery points for corn
were approved
along the Illinois river. The objective was to make
futures more appealing to producers by offering
delivery locations well beyond the Chicago and
Toledo delivery points that have been in place for
years. And while it has improved their usefulness
somewhat, my source reports there is still
inadequate incentive for cash merchandisers to
store current surplus. The CBOT storage charge
allowed at present is just 4.5 cents per bu. per
month, versus the "spot market" storage rate of 5.25
cents per month due to the high demand for storage.
And in the futures market, the current spread
between December and July futures is less than 27
cents, only 3.8 cents per month. If storage
incentives aren't boosted right now, we could be
headed for a basis wreck like we haven't seen
since the spring of '96. Only that time it was
futures racing higher, outdistancing cash. This time it will
be cash collapsing faster than futures.

My source says the CBOT should increase storage
payments to about 6 cents per bushel per
month to jumpstart the futures market's vital
function of bringing about convergence between cash
and futures during delivery. This would also tend
to widen carrying charges in the futures market and
make it more worthwhile for producers to store
surplus on their farms, easing pressure on basis as
we brace to absorb a monster crop. He quotes the
CBOT's own literature in justifying the additional
delivery points for the Illinois Waterway Delivery
System (IWDS): "Delivery systems in the futures
markets exist to ensure (emphasis mine) convergence
of cash and futures prices during the delivery
month." And he warns that unless CBOT is willing to
recognize this need, futures markets become
far less attractive as hedging vehicles,
particularly for farmers, during times of surplus.

CFTC needs to hear his plea, too. I intend to
forward this to them and urge you to as well if you
agree. You can contact them at this address:
secretary@cftc.gov Back in May I raised a ruckus
over CBOT plans to raise daily trading limits in
the grains and asked Bare Knuckles fans to follow
suit by voicing objections to the CFTC (Commodity
Futures Trading Commission). I was greatly
encouraged to read yesterday that of the nearly 120
briefs filed with the CFTC along with my own,
every single one was in opposition! If you
contributed to the cause, pat yourself on the back and
then consider forwarding your thoughts on this

storage premium problem as well. Don't waste your time petitioning the CBOT directly. They are dominated by the grain companies who just love it when the system gets "broke" and they get to acquire grain by the trainload and bargeload at firesale basis levels. It will take pressure by their regulatory watchdog to get results.