

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington D.C. 20436

In the Matter of

CERTAIN FOAM FOOTWEAR

)
)
)
) **Inv. No. 337-TA-567**
)

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DECISION TO REVIEW AN INITIAL DETERMINATION
EXTENDING THE TARGET DATE FOR COMPLETION OF THE INVESTIGATION**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission

ACTION: Notice

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review an initial determination (“ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) extending the target date for completion of the above-captioned investigation to August 11, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Esq., telephone 202-708-2310, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20436. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 11, 2006, based on a complaint, as amended, filed by Crocs, Inc. (“Crocs”) of Niwot, Colorado. 71 *Fed. Reg.* 27514 (2006). The amended complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain foam footwear, by reason of infringement of claims 1-2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,993,858; U.S. Patent No. D517,789 (“the ‘789 patent”); and the Crocs trade dress (the image and overall appearance of Crocs-brand footwear). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complaint requests that the Commission issue a permanent general exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders. The complaint

identifies 11 respondents. The Commission terminated the investigation as to the trade dress allegation on September 11, 2006. A twelfth respondent was added to the investigation on October 10, 2006. Five respondents have been terminated from the investigation on the basis of a consent order or a settlement agreement.

On September 29, 2006, Crocs moved for summary determination of infringement of the '789 patent. Six respondents moved for summary determination of non-infringement of the '789 patent on various dates in October 2006. Those respondents included Double Diamond Distribution Ltd.; Gen-X Sports Inc.; Old Dominion Footwear; Collective Licensing International, LLC; Effervescent, Inc.; and Holey Soles Holdings, Ltd. (collectively, "respondents"). Crocs' motion for summary determination of infringement was opposed by the respondents and the Commission investigative attorney (IA). The motions for summary determination of non-infringement were opposed by Crocs and the IA.

On November 7, 2006, ALJ issued an ID granting respondents' motions for summary determination of non-infringement of the '789 patent and denying Crocs' motion. Crocs and the IA petitioned for review of the ID pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 210.43(a) on November 15, 2006. Respondents opposed the petitions on November 22, 2006. The IA filed a motion for leave to file a reply, with reply attached, on November 28, 2006.

On December 21, 2006, the Commission issued a notice denying the IA's motion and determining to review-in-part the ID to the extent that the ALJ granted the motions for summary determination of non-infringement of the '789 patent as to each respondent except for respondent Old Dominion Footwear (ODF), as no party challenged the ALJ's finding as to ODF.

On January 8 and January 16, 2007, respectively, the remaining respondents filed briefs and reply briefs in support of the ALJ determination, and complainant Crocs and the IA filed briefs and reply briefs in opposition. On February 15, 2007, the Commission issued an Order of Vacatur and Remand of Initial Determination.

On February 22, 2007, the ALJ issued an ID extending the target date of this investigation to August 11, 2008, and the deadline for his final initial determination to April 11, 2008. He stated that the extension was necessary because he would be out of the office in March and April, 2007, and his docket is extremely crowded. On March 1, 2007, Crocs petitioned for review of the subject ID, claiming it would be prejudiced by the delay, and on March 8, 2007, the IA and the respondents filed briefs in opposition to Crocs' petition.

Upon considering the parties' filings, the Commission has determined to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, and in section 210.42(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. §§ 210.42(c).

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott
Secretary

Issued: March 26, 2007

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington D.C. 20436

_____)
In the Matter of)

CERTAIN FOAM FOOTWEAR)

) **Inv. No. 337-TA-567**
_____)

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DECISION TO REVIEW AN INITIAL DETERMINATION
EXTENDING THE TARGET DATE FOR COMPLETION OF THE INVESTIGATION**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission

ACTION: Notice

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review an initial determination (“ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) extending the target date for completion of the above-captioned investigation to August 11, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Esq., telephone 202-708-2310, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20436. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 11, 2006, based on a complaint, as amended, filed by Crocs, Inc. (“Crocs”) of Niwot, Colorado. 71 *Fed. Reg.* 27514 (2006). The amended complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain foam footwear, by reason of infringement of claims 1-2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,993,858; U.S. Patent No. D517,789 (“the ‘789 patent”); and the Crocs trade dress (the image and overall appearance of Crocs-brand footwear). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complaint requests that the Commission issue a permanent general exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders. The complaint

identifies 11 respondents. The Commission terminated the investigation as to the trade dress allegation on September 11, 2006. A twelfth respondent was added to the investigation on October 10, 2006. Five respondents have been terminated from the investigation on the basis of a consent order or a settlement agreement.

On September 29, 2006, Crocs moved for summary determination of infringement of the '789 patent. Six respondents moved for summary determination of non-infringement of the '789 patent on various dates in October 2006. Those respondents included Double Diamond Distribution Ltd.; Gen-X Sports Inc.; Old Dominion Footwear; Collective Licensing International, LLC; Effervescent, Inc.; and Holey Soles Holdings, Ltd. (collectively, "respondents"). Crocs' motion for summary determination of infringement was opposed by the respondents and the Commission investigative attorney (IA). The motions for summary determination of non-infringement were opposed by Crocs and the IA.

On November 7, 2006, ALJ issued an ID granting respondents' motions for summary determination of non-infringement of the '789 patent and denying Crocs' motion. Crocs and the IA petitioned for review of the ID pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 210.43(a) on November 15, 2006. Respondents opposed the petitions on November 22, 2006. The IA filed a motion for leave to file a reply, with reply attached, on November 28, 2006.

On December 21, 2006, the Commission issued a notice denying the IA's motion and determining to review-in-part the ID to the extent that the ALJ granted the motions for summary determination of non-infringement of the '789 patent as to each respondent except for respondent Old Dominion Footwear (ODF), as no party challenged the ALJ's finding as to ODF.

On January 8 and January 16, 2007, respectively, the remaining respondents filed briefs and reply briefs in support of the ALJ determination, and complainant Crocs and the IA filed briefs and reply briefs in opposition. On February 15, 2007, the Commission issued an Order of Vacatur and Remand of Initial Determination.

On February 22, 2007, the ALJ issued an ID extending the target date of this investigation to August 11, 2008, and the deadline for his final initial determination to April 11, 2008. He stated that the extension was necessary because he would be out of the office in March and April, 2007, and his docket is extremely crowded. On March 1, 2007, Crocs petitioned for review of the subject ID, claiming it would be prejudiced by the delay, and on March 8, 2007, the IA and the respondents filed briefs in opposition to Crocs' petition.

Upon considering the parties' filings, the Commission has determined to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, and in section 210.42(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. §§ 210.42(c).

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott
Secretary

Issued: March 26, 2007