-----Original Message-----

From: cwebster@lanl.gov [mailto:cwebster@lanl.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2006 10:56 AM **To:** Owens, Kirk W.; 'Dale_Lyons@URSCorp.com'

Cc: Jeff Robbins

Subject: Re: Questions on Project-specific analyses

Importance: High

Kirk:

Good morning. I have not been able to speak with Dale this morning so I went ahead and provided answers to your questions. I added my initials to the file you sent (Questions to be resolved CLW.doc) and my comments are in Red.

Let me know what else you need and I will make sure that you get timely responses.

Thanks, Christopher (665-3796)

At 06:31 PM 1/30/2006, Owens, Kirk W. wrote:

Dale,

We are integrating the Warehouse and Science Complex impacts analyses into Appendix G of the SWEIS. In doing so, we are editing to make the consistent with the overall approach taken in the SWEIS and in particular, the appendices of project-specific analyses. Once we are pretty close with the changes that we propose to make your analyses fit in the whole picture, I will send them to you for a review - I want to make sure that we have not changed the meaning or lost something important in our editing.

While editing the writeups, we have come across a number of items for which we could use some clarification. There may be others in the next day or so, but I wanted to get these in front of you so you could address them as quickly as possible. I would appreciate your responses as they become available (rather than waiting until you have answers to all of them).

Please give me a call if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Kirk Owens

SAIC (301) 601-5611 (voice) (301) 428-0145 (fax)

January 31, 2006 - 8:50 am

Questions to be resolved:

Science Complex

Is it correct and intentional that the space provided for the Science Complex (150,700 + 249,500 = 400,200 [pp 3-4]) is different than the amount of space that would be replaced (402,000 [page 1])?

The introductory material states that about 155 old buildings would be DD&Ded. Is there a list of the specific buildings that would be DD&Ded? This statement is made in the introduction, but not addressed anywhere else. If there is not a specific list and there is no intent of addressing it further in this analysis, I suggest being more generic about it – state that an equivalent amount of space would be DD&Ded and that the specific buildings have not been determined. Otherwise, it begs the question why these 155 specific buildings have not been discussed here.

Provided by Christopher Webster, Program Manager.....

Science Complex total space is 402,000. Breakout is 110,000 for the secure building and 292,000 for the unclassified building that includes the auditorium. (Thanks for pointing out the discrepancy. We didn't catch that either first round until we revised construction estimates a couple of weeks ago.)

I agree with being more generic with the D&D......the Lab has not finalized the complete list of buildings and it is correct to say............"an equivalent amount of space would be D&D'd and that specific buildings have not been determined." We are required to follow the one-for-one congressional requirement and that could be woven in if needed.)

Warehouse and Truck Inspection Station

Transportation Impacts, page 13: Paragraph 2, in referring to the level of services impacts, states that "with the addition of the Remote Warehouse and Truck Inspection Station and shooting range site-generated traffic." This wording makes it sound like the shooting range traffic is going to be a future addition just like the Warehouse traffic would be. It is our understanding that the shooting range already exists so this traffic would be part of the existing traffic. It still needs to be taken into account as far as the impacts, but the wording needs to distinguish between traffic to an existing location and traffic to a yet-to-be built location. Please confirm or correct our understanding.

Provided by Christopher Webster, Program Manager......

You are correct and the wording needs to be fixed. The shooting range already exists and would be part of the existing traffic. The Remote Warehouse and Truck Inspection Station is the future

addition and the traffic study was to understand what impacts this project would contribute in addition to the shooting range.

Transportation Impacts, page 13: Paragraph 2 text refers to the "north and south approaches." Later in the same paragraph reference is made to the "northbound and southbound left-turning traffic can expect to experience significant delays. . ." In paragraph 3, reference is made to "inclusion of left turn lanes and acceleration lanes for east and west-bound traffic on East Jemez Road." It appears that all of these are talking about traffic on East Jemez Road. Suggest referring to all traffic travel as east-west since this is the general orientation of East Jemez Road.

Provided by Christopher Webster, Program Manager.....

Agree. All discussion concerns traffic on East Jemez Road.

Kirk, if have other questions, please feel free to call me at 665-3796. Thanks.