

APPENDICES



APPENDIX I. - TABLE OF EXTERNAL EVALUATIONS

The Table below provides information on program assessments and evaluations other than Committee of Visitor and Advisory Committee assessments - with one exception – the CAREER program. The CAREER program is an agency-wide activity, and the assessment was contracted to an external private vendor.

The table lists other types of evaluations, not used in GPRA performance assessment, that were completed in FY 2001. These reports, studies, and evaluations are frequently used in setting new priorities in a field or in documenting progress in a particular area. The reader is encouraged to review the reports for additional information on findings and recommendations that are beyond the scope of this report.

Reports (other than COV and AC reports) produced by NSF are available online at <http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/start.htm> using the NSF’s online document system and the publication number indicated.

Information on obtaining reports produced by the National Research Council or National Academy of Sciences can be found online by searching www.nap.edu or from the National Academy Press, 2101 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Lockbox 285, Washington, D.C. 20055 (1.800.642.6242).

Evaluations Completed in FY 2001	
BIO	
<i>Evolution of Development and Tree of Life Workshop Report</i>	<p>Findings: Representatives from both the Evolution of Developmental Mechanisms (EvoDevo) and Tree of Life (ToL) communities agreed that research progress in these areas was constrained by the same major needs and that the elimination of these constraints would lead to remarkably fruitful and exciting interactions between evolutionary developmental biology and evolutionary systematics. Communities long separated technically and conceptually have now converged on a common set of evolutionary questions. The participants felt that after decades of separation they must exploit the powerful synergism presented by this convergence.</p> <p>Recommendations: 1) Hold a competition to create about 100 arrayed bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) and cDNA libraries of representative organisms on the Tree of Life (“First 100”). 2) Provide grants for functional analysis tool development production of BAC or sequenced libraries of additional organisms that address fundamental evolution of development questions. 3) Provide support for sequencing about 24 major developmental gene families in the First 100 organisms. 4) Encourage collaboration among phylogeneticists and evolution of development researchers to develop a robust informatics infrastructure.</p> <p>Availability: NSF (http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2001/bio012/)</p>

Appendix I. – Table of External Evaluations

<p><i>Research Needs in Phyloinformatics” and “Developing the Technology and Infrastructure Needed for Assembly of the Tree of Life</i></p>	<p>Findings: A major new coordinated research effort is necessary. The integration of expertise and of data from a variety of sources will be essential for resolving the most vexing phylogenetic problems, and coordinated research groups will be the most efficient means to achieve this objective. Specifically, the workshop participants envision the funding of Tree of Life “networks” and “hubs,” and of a “phyloinformatics” facility focused on synthesis and outreach.</p> <p>Recommendations: The NSF should establish, as soon as possible, a new program focused on “Assembling the Tree of Life” (ATOL). The concrete benefits to science and society stemming from ATOL, and the feasibility of accomplishing its major mission, justifies the development of a major new initiative and the investment necessary to build and maintain such a program. Specifically, the ATOL program should support the development of the following new structures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- <u>Tree of Life Networks</u>. TOLNets are the essential mechanisms for coordinating individual investigators from diverse fields of knowledge who are working on reconstructing the phylogeny of Life- <u>Tree of Life Hubs</u>. TOLHubs, with a concentration of expertise and specialized facilities, would serve the ATOL effort as focal points for obtaining and synthesizing phylogenetic data. They would facilitate interactions among TOLNets and function as ATOL training centers.- <u>Phyloinformatics and Coordination Infrastructure (PICI)</u>. Centralization of the informatics program would avoid duplication of effort and facilitate integration among databases. Intellectual synergy would be promoted by co-locating research scientists, visiting scholars, and support staff in one place. Investment in such a center would establish a global resource and encourage cooperation with ongoing biodiversity and bioinformatics initiatives. <p>Throughout the development of this program it will be critical to support training relevant to all aspects of ATOL, and the development of new methods for gathering, analyzing, and synthesizing phylogenetic data. Furthermore, every effort must be made to foster cross-disciplinary efforts, international collaboration, and linkage to other relevant programs.</p> <p>Availability: http://www.research.amnh.org/biodiversity</p>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<p><i>The Microbe Project: A Report from the Interagency Working Group on Microbial Genomics.</i></p>	<p>Findings: There are major areas of research as yet untouched that would increase our understanding of the broader microbial world, its diversity, and its potential applications. A coordinated interagency and international effort is needed to seize the opportunities offered by genome-enabled microbial science. In recognition of this need, the Microbe Project Interagency Working Group was convened in August 2000, and charged with developing a coordinated interagency action plan or microbial genomics activities. The Microbe Project has three broad goals: to build needed infrastructure, promote research and develop human resources and an informed public.</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Microbial genome sequencing should be expanded to include scientifically important but as yet understudied microbes. - Individual agencies should continue, or as necessary, increase support for research on technique and tool development. - The Federal government should initiate a deliberate planning effort to address the issue of providing sustained support for and access to microbial genomic resources. - Develop standardized bioinformatics tools for the analysis of microbial genomes. - Database issues (including standardized annotation, interoperability and long term support) must be resolved through an interagency effort with planning activities to begin immediately. - Each agency, as its mission directs, should encourage and support genome-enabled microbial research objectives, as described in this report. - Individual and interagency activities initiated as part of the Microbe Project should contain elements that encourage training and /or educational activities, and include efforts to enhance the diversity of participants in all aspects of each activity. - Interagency coordination of the development and distribution of training materials should be encouraged. - Continue coordination cross agencies of all Microbe Project activities, in part through the development of an interagency Microbe Project web site. <p>Availability: www.ostp.gov/html/microbial/start.htm</p>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<p>CISE</p>	
<p><i>White Paper on an NSF ANIR Middleware Initiative</i></p>	<p>Scope: Program Analysis.</p> <p>Findings: This group was commissioned to make recommendations for an NSF middleware program. Recommended that NSF support middleware research and a complementary middleware infrastructure program.</p> <p>Availability: Division Director, ANIR/NSF.</p>
<p><i>Report of Review Committee of NSF's High Performance International Internet Services (HPIIS) Project</i></p>	<p>Scope: Assessed the value to the research community of 3 HPIIS awards (Transpac – Asia-Pacific; Euro-Link; and Mirnet – Russia) that connect US researchers to researchers in other countries. Determine continuing need for HPIIS program.</p> <p>Findings: Transpac and Euro-Link are well run and effective. Mirnet is making an excellent start. The report recommended a classification of usage types and metrics for usage. Continued support and recognition of needs at application level (as illustrated by the ITR GryPhyN project) were recommended.</p> <p>Availability: Division Director, ANIR/NSF.</p>

Appendix I. – Table of External Evaluations

<p><i>Report of the National Workshop on Internet Voting: Issues and Research Agenda</i></p>	<p>Scope: Studied the feasibility of online voting at the request of the White House (memorandum, December 17, 1999).</p> <p>Findings: Poll Site Internet voting offers benefits and could be fielded within the next several election cycles. Remote voting and Internet voting registration pose significant integrity issues. It is appropriate for the NSF to address technical and social science research in this area.</p> <p>Availability: Internet Policy Institute (http://www.internetpolicy.org/).</p>
<p><i>Making IT Better: Expanding Information Technology Research to Meet Society's Needs</i></p>	<p>Scope: Identifies research areas that need increased effort for the Nation to enjoy full benefits of the Information Technology (IT) systems.</p> <p>Findings: Report recommends that NSF and DARPA establish programs for research on large scale IT systems; boosted funding for basic IT research commensurate with growth of research challenges; increased support for interdisciplinary research on social applications of IT.</p> <p>Availability: Computer Science and Telecommunications Board, National Research Council National Academy Press. (http://www.nap.edu/)</p>
<p><i>The Internet's Coming of Age</i></p>	<p>Scope: A study of the Internet and key challenges that shape its maturation.</p> <p>Findings: Recommended continued support for research on scaling challenges; partnerships for research to be conducted in realistic operational settings, and research on the economics of interconnection.</p> <p>Availability: Computer Science and Telecommunications Board, National Research Council, National Academy Press. www.nap.edu</p>
<p><i>Report to the President, Digital Libraries: Universal Access to Human Knowledge</i></p>	<p>Scope: Examined state of research on digital libraries (DL).</p> <p>Findings: Recommendations to NSF and other agencies. Expand research in DL including organizing content, scalability of systems, archival storage, intellectual property, privacy and security, and human use. Create several large-scale DL testbeds. Make Federal content persistently available on the Internet. Play a leadership role in policy for intellectual property rights.</p> <p>Availability: President's Information Technology Advisory Committee, Panel on Digital Libraries National Coordinating Office, Arlington VA. (www.ccic.gov)</p>
<p><i>Report to the President, Transforming Health Care Through Information Technology</i></p>	<p>Scope: Examined the use of IT in the health care sector.</p> <p>Findings: Recommendations were made in several areas focused on NIH and DHHS. Relevant to NSF were recommendations to work with NIH, DARPA and DOE to design and deploy a scalable computing and information infrastructure supporting biomedical research. Several IT research areas were identified.</p> <p>Availability: President's Information Technology Advisory Committee, Panel on Transforming Health Care National Coordinating Office, Arlington VA. (www.ccic.gov)</p>

Appendix I. – Table of External Evaluations

<p><i>Report to the President, Developing Open Source Software to Advance High End Computing</i></p>	<p>Scope: Computing based on growing vulnerability in the development of software for high end computing. Group assessed the open source model to address this need.</p> <p>Findings: The Federal government should encourage open source software with efforts on technical assessment, management plans, policy studies, etc. These recommendations are particularly pertinent to NSF’s PACI and Terascale Facilities.</p> <p>Availability: President’s Information Technology Advisory Committee, Panel on Open Source Software for High End Computing National Coordinating Office, Arlington VA. (www.ccic.gov)</p>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<p align="center">EHR</p>	
<p><i>The Graduate Research Fellowships (GRF) Program</i></p>	<p>Scope: To assess the impact of Fellowships on successful applicants. <u><i>The Graduate Research Fellowships (GRF) Program-Abt/WestEd (REC9912174).</i></u></p> <p>Findings: Findings indicated an overall positive effect of the fellowships. Fellows complete the Ph.D. at a higher rate than non-Fellows, and the percentage of female Fellows completing the Ph.D. has become essentially the same as for men. Findings from the evaluation that relate to policy considerations include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highly qualified students are funded and the award is highly prestigious. • Fellows consider the major advantages of the fellowship to be its prestige and the flexibility it allows in choosing a research area, structuring a graduate program, and selecting the educational institution and mentor. • About two thirds of the NSF fellows complete their degrees within nine years, with comparable completion rates for female and male fellows. Minority Graduate Fellowship recipients take longer, but the gap is narrowing. Recipients of the add-on Women in Engineering awards tend to complete their doctorates at a faster but lower rate than their male GRF counterparts in engineering. • A large fraction of NSF fellows earn their baccalaureates from a small number of prestigious institutions (40% from 18 institutions in 2001). This year's applicants, on the other hand, came from 699 domestic and 69 foreign institutions. • NSF fellows tend to enroll and complete doctorates in a small number of highly ranked institutions, more so in some disciplines than others. Minority-serving institutions (MSIs) were the baccalaureate origins of a large fraction of applicants and awardees for the (discontinued in 1998) Minority Graduate Fellowship Program. The elimination of the separate minority competition has resulted in a dramatic decrease in applications, awards, and success rates of applicants from MSIs. <p>Availability: Available from EHR Directorate, NSF</p>

Appendix I. – Table of External Evaluations

<p><i>Academic Excellence for Urban Students - Their Accomplishments in Science and Mathematics</i></p>	<p>Scope: An evaluative study of 22 of the USI districts funded between 1994-1999.</p> <p>Findings: NSF’s investments in urban education have led to dramatic improvements in student achievement in science and mathematics in most of these funded sites. ESR’s urban program has been a catalyst for large-scale systemic change directed towards improving the science and mathematics achievement of all students. Greatest gains were in districts that had participated in the USI program for the longest period of time. USI students made gains in science and mathematics achievement, while reducing achievement gaps among racial/ethnic groups. Students substantially improved their enrollment rates in advanced science and mathematics courses. Underrepresented minority students made even greater gains than their peers during the same period, resulting in reduced enrollment disparities. Implementation of a standards-based curriculum and instruction, aligned assessment practices, and appropriate professional development are key to an increase in student achievement. The convergence of resources, a strong leadership structure, and effective partners were also critical to the improvement in student performance. The study concluded that the infrastructure developed by these districts would likely sustain the achievement gains. The study also concluded that it takes 7-10 years to bring about substantial improvement in systemic reform that may lead to the gains cited in the report (Kim, 2001).</p> <p>Availability: The executive summary and full report can be downloaded at www.systemic.com/usi and www.siurbanstudy.org/newspublication</p>
<p><i>Institution-wide Reform Initiative (IR)</i></p>	<p>Scope: The evaluators examined the differences between institutions receiving awards and institutions that had received high ratings in the IR competition, but were declined.</p> <p>Findings: The purpose of this three-year initiative was to encourage broader reform in undergraduate institutions by providing further support for reform-related activities already underway. The study showed that IR support had a substantial effect on students, faculty, and curriculum, with 58,000 students and nearly 1700 faculty participating, and more than 1200 courses developed or revised. Results indicate that the IR awards brought about change in some institutions, particularly 2-year colleges, but differences were small when participants were compared to a similarly motivated set of institutions.</p> <p>Availability: Available from EHR Directorate, NSF</p>

Appendix I. – Table of External Evaluations

<p><i>Undergraduate Faculty Enhancement (UFE) Program</i></p>	<p>Scope: To determine the effectiveness of faculty training on classroom practices. <u>Undergraduate Faculty Enhancement (UFE) Program-SRI (9412964).</u></p> <p>Findings: The UFE Program provided opportunities for the education of undergraduate faculty through workshops and other activities. Evaluators examined the impact of these. They found that the UFE program had led to 5,000 new courses, 7,300 major course revisions, and 8,600 moderate course revisions. Approximately 1,200 programs of study were developed or redesigned. In addition at least 2,700 other faculty had developed a new course or lab as a result of contact with colleagues who were colleagues who were UFE participants. The evaluators also estimated that, by 1999, more than 1,850,000 students (one in 22 students nationally) had completed courses that were developed or had major revisions as a result of UFE. “Faculty reported that students in their revised or modified courses performed better along a number of dimensions than comparable students in traditional courses. Faculty also cited improvements in students’ abilities to solve problems, think critically, communicate, collaborate, use technology, and understand the scientific method.”</p> <p>Availability: SRI. Available from EHR Directorate, NSF</p>
<p>ENG</p>	
<p><i>Environmentally Benign Manufacturing</i></p>	<p>Scope: The report includes global benchmarking of the current technologies, systems, and policies in manufacturing, with suggested recommendations for future research needs. Use of metals and polymers in the automotive and the electronics sectors were the primary topical areas.</p> <p>Findings: The study found that better tools, data, metrics, and technologies were needed on specific materials and industrial sectors. It called for development of high-performance business practices such as supply chain management, goals alignment, and assessment tracking.</p> <p>Availability: World Technology Evaluation Center (WTEC) of the International Technology Research Institute at Loyola College of Maryland. http://itri.loyola.edu/ebm/ebm.pdf</p>
<p><i>Outcomes and Impacts of the State/Industry-University Cooperative Research Centers (S/IUCRC) Program</i></p>	<p>Scope: Focused on research cooperation between industries and universities.</p> <p>Findings: Found that the program has been a modest success as measured against its goals and objectives and compared with the outcomes and impacts of the I/UCRC program that served as its model.</p> <p>Availability: Available from NSF (NSF 01-110)</p>

Appendix I. – Table of External Evaluations

<p><i>Trends in Industrial Support of University-Based Cooperative Research</i></p>	<p>Scope: Focused on research cooperation between industries and universities</p> <p>Findings: The ongoing study found that Engineering Research Centers (ERCs) working to extend an established area of interest in industry are attractive to large, research-intensive firms that have a long-term interest in the results of the center’s work. In contrast, centers working in areas that are out in front of existing product lines or corporate interests are much more likely be of interest to small firms and firms that do little or no research and have few or no financial resources to support center work.</p> <p>Availability: Available from Engineering Directorate, NSF</p>
<p><i>Impact of Interaction with Engineering Research Centers on Industry: Repeat Study</i></p>	<p>Scope: Examines the outcomes and impacts of ERC membership on firms that are members of mature second-generation ERCs (centers in the classes of 1994–96) and identifies changes in firms’ interactions with ERCs due to changes in the program and in industry compared with first-generation ERCs (classes of 1985–90).</p>
<p>GEO</p>	
<p><i>Ocean Sciences at the New Millennium.</i></p>	<p>Scope: The Decadal Committee was charged to consider existing reports, additional sources of information, and community input in developing a report summarizing the directions for ocean science over the next decade.</p> <p>Findings: Numerous findings were made relating to the scientific opportunities in ocean sciences in the coming decade. The committee recommended: the development of a multi-agency fleet replacement plan; a vigorous effort in technology development, implementation and support in all areas of ocean science; improvement of databases and ready access to these databases by the scientific community; continued emphasis on the development of models that link different parts of the ocean system; and a vigorous exploration of a new class of controlled perturbation experiments.</p> <p>Availability: National Academy of Sciences www.nas.edu</p>
<p><i>Initial Science Plan (ISP) for the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program</i></p>	<p>Scope: To examine the scientific significance, technical feasibility, and potential societal benefits of the ISP.</p> <p>Findings: The Committee reaffirmed that the scientific significance, technical feasibility, and potential societal benefits of the ISP make it of exceptional importance and timeliness. The Committee concluded that the benefits of the program described in the ISP far outweigh the costs and the technical uncertainties. The Committee gave its unreserved support to the priorities of the program as described in the ISP. A number of specific recommendations on scientific and technological objectives, facilities, organizational and implementation options, and resource requirements were included.</p> <p>Availability: National Academy of Sciences, www.nas.edu</p>

Appendix I. – Table of External Evaluations

<p><i>Basic Research Opportunities in Earth Science</i></p>	<p>Scope: To undertake a major study of research in the Earth Sciences.</p> <p>Findings: The Board found that the Division of Earth Sciences (EAR) has done an excellent job in maintaining the balance among core programs supporting investigator-driven disciplinary research, problem-focused programs of multidisciplinary research, and equipment-oriented programs for new instrumentation and facilities. The committee offers recommendations that address the evolving science requirements in all three of these programmatic areas and primarily pertain to new mechanisms that will allow EAR to exploit research opportunities identified by the committee. The Board also strongly endorsed the four observational components of the <i>EarthScope</i> Initiative.</p> <p>Availability: National Research Council/National Academy Press, 2000, www.nas.edu</p>
<p>MPS</p>	
<p><i>Physics in a New Era</i></p>	<p>Scope: The report surveys the field of physics broadly, identifies priorities, and formulates recommendations. The overview assesses the state of physics in four broad categories – quantum manipulation and new materials, complex systems, structure and evolution of the universe, and fundamental laws and symmetries – emphasizing the unity of the field and the strong commonality that links the different areas, while highlighting new and emerging ones.</p> <p>Findings: Six high-priority opportunities identified, nine recommendations are made: support of physics by the federal government; physics education; role of basic physics research in national security; increasingly important role of partnerships among universities, industry, and national labs; the stewardship of federal science agencies; and the rapidly changing role of information technology in physics research and education.</p> <p>Availability: National Research Council, http://www.nap.edu/catalog/10118.html</p>
<p><i>An Assessment of the Department of Energy's Office of Fusion Energy Sciences Program.</i></p>	<p>Scope: An assessment of the scientific quality of the Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Fusion Energy Sciences Program.</p> <p>Findings: Although this report was generated at the request of the DOE's Office of Science, NSF is often referred to within the document. In particular, the report recommends that NSF play a greater role in extending the reach of fusion science and in sponsoring general plasma science.</p> <p>Availability: National Research Council, http://books.nap.edu/catalog/9986.html</p>

Appendix I. – Table of External Evaluations

<p><i>Committee on Organization and Management of Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics</i></p>	<p>Scope: To assess the organizational effectiveness of Federal support of astronomical sciences and, specifically, the pros and cons of transferring NSF's astronomy responsibilities to NASA.</p> <p>Findings: NSF's astronomy and astrophysics responsibilities should not be transferred to NASA. The Federal government should develop a single integrated strategy for astronomy and astrophysics research that includes supporting facilities both on the ground and in space. An interagency planning board for astronomy and astrophysics should be formed that would receive input from the community through a joint advisory committee of outside experts. Additional recommendations address ways to improve the present overall management structure and strengthen NSF's ability to support astronomy.</p> <p>Availability: National Research Council (http://www.nas.edu/) with the prepublication copy available at http://books.nap.edu/html/integrated_program/comraa.pdf</p>
<p><i>Proceedings of the Workshop on the Present Status and Future Developments of Solid State Chemistry and Materials</i></p>	<p>Scope: Define research opportunities in the field of solid-state chemistry and materials; identify the most important multidisciplinary areas for involvement by the solid-state chemistry and materials community; determine novel roles for the Solid State Chemistry and Materials community that will advance educational and training opportunities for future scientists, engineers, and technicians; develop new approaches that allow for the more effective and efficient conduct of research and educational activities.</p> <p>Findings: Numerous recommendations are listed for various sub-fields in this discipline.</p> <p>Availability: NSF web site http://www.nsf.gov/mps/dmr/ssc.pdf</p>
<p><i>US-Africa Materials Workshop</i></p>	<p>Scope: The workshop explored research opportunities directed towards expanding materials research and education for the purpose of contributing to the development of new technologies as well as promoting collaboration among U.S. and African universities and industries.</p> <p>Findings: Need to establish one or more organizations to ensure the continuation of conversations that began at the workshop. Technical recommendations from a number of working groups are included in the report.</p> <p>Availability: http://iumrs.org/docs/africa.pdf</p>
<p><i>National Science Foundation Force Transduction in Biology Workshop</i></p>	<p>Scope: The goal of this workshop was to explore recent advances in research on force transduction in biology at all length scales, and to seek possible overlap or synergies between these different areas. An additional goal was to explore the potential interdisciplinary interactions that will lead to significant advances in this area. Also, the workshop was to identify important new directions for research and to make recommendations about potential funding opportunities.</p> <p>Findings: Perhaps the most important conclusion of the workshop was that research in force transduction in biology has important problems that span many length scales and many disciplines. However, the interdisciplinary nature of the research, the quantitative nature of the important problems and the key relationship between the materials properties and the important issues all make this an area that the NSF can play a significant role in fostering progress.</p> <p>Availability: http://hurkle.deas.harvard.edu/nsf/workshop.html</p>

Appendix I. – Table of External Evaluations

SBE	
<i>The Societal Implications of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology</i>	<p>Focus: The aim was to: (1) survey current studies on the societal implications of nanotechnology (educational, technological, economic, medical, environmental, ethical, legal, etc.); (2) identify investigative and assessment methods for future studies of societal implications; (3) propose a vision for accomplishing nanotechnology’s promise while minimizing undesirable consequences.</p> <p>Availability: The report has been published both on the web and in book form (http://itri.loyola.edu/nano/NSET.Societal.Implications/).</p>

APPENDIX II. – SCHEDULE OF PROGRAM EVALUATIONS

The following table provides information on the scheduling of meetings for Committees of Visitors (COVs) for our programs. The table lists the fiscal year of the most recent COV meeting for the program and the fiscal year for the next COV review of the program. We have highlighted the COV meetings that were held in FY 2001 in bold font.

Committee of Visitors Meetings By Directorate

(COV meetings held during FY 2001 are highlighted in bold font)

DIRECTORATE <i>Division</i> Program	Fiscal Year of Most Recent COV	Fiscal Year of Next COV
BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES		
<i>Biological Infrastructure</i>		
Instrument Related Activities	2000	2002
Research Resources	2000	2003
Training	2000	
Plant Genome	2001	
<i>Environmental Biology</i>		
Ecological Studies	1999	2002
Long Term Research	2001	
Systematic and Population Biology	2000	2004
<i>Integrative Biology and Neuroscience</i>		
Neuroscience	2001	2005
Developmental Mechanisms	1999	2003
Physiology and Ethnology	2000	2004
	1997	2002
<i>Molecular and Cellular Biosciences</i>		
Biomolecular Structure and Function		2002
Biomolecular Processes	2000	
Cell Biology	2001	
Genetics	1999	

Appendix II. – Schedule of Program Evaluations

DIRECTORATE <i>Division</i> Program	Fiscal Year of Most Recent COV	Fiscal Year of Next COV
COMPUTER AND INFORMATION SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING		
<i>Advanced Computational Infrastructure and Research</i> Advanced Computational Research PACI	2001 1999	2004 2002
<i>Computer-Communications Research</i> Communications Computer Systems Architecture Design Automation Hybrid and Embedded Systems (new in '02) Numeric, Symbolic and Geometric Computation Operating Systems and Compilers Signal Processing Systems Software Engineering and Languages Theory of Computing Trusted Computing (new in '02)	2000 2000 2000 N/A 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 N/A	2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003
<i>Information and Intelligent Systems</i> Computation and Social Systems Human Computer Interaction Knowledge and Cognitive Systems Robotics and Human Augmentation Information and Data Management	1999 1999 1999 1999 1999	2002 2002 2002 2002 2002
<i>Advanced Networking Infrastructure and Research</i> Networking Research Special Projects in Networking Research Advanced Networking Infrastructure	2000 2000 2000	2003 2003 2003
<i>Information Technology Research (ITR) (new in '00)</i>	N/A	2003
Experimental and Integrative Activities	2001	
-Instrumentation Infrastructure Cluster Research Infrastructure Research Resources (new in '02)	2001 N/A	2004 2004
-Multidisciplinary Research Cluster Biological Information Technology and Systems (new in '02) Quantum and Biologically Inspired Computing (new in '02)	N/A N/A	2004 2004
Digital Government Next Generation Software	2001 2001	2004 2004
-Education Workforce Cluster Information Technology Workforce (new in '02)	N/A 2001	2004 2004
Minority Institutions Infrastructure CISE Educational Innovation **CISE Postdoctoral Research Associates	2001 2001 2001	2004 2004 2004

Appendix II. – Schedule of Program Evaluations

-EIA Special Projects Cluster Special Projects (new in '02) **NSF-CONACyT Collaborative Research **NSF-CNPq Collaborative Research **EIA monitored, managed/reviewed by Division in Partnership with Engineering	N/A 2001 2001	2004
DIRECTORATE <i>Division</i> Program	Fiscal Year of Most Recent COV	Fiscal Year of Next COV
EDUCATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES		
<i>Educational Systemic Reform</i> Statewide Systemic Initiatives Urban Systemic Initiatives Rural Systemic Initiatives	2001 2001 2001	2004 2004 2004
<i>Office of Innovation Partnerships</i> Innovation Partnership Activities (new in '01) EPSCoR	N/A 2000	2004 2003
<i>Elementary, Secondary and Informal Education</i> Informal Science Education Teacher Enhancement Instructional Materials Development Centers for Learning and Teaching (new in '01)	2001 2000 1997 N/A	2004 2003 2002 2004
<i>Undergraduate Education</i> Teacher Preparation Advanced Technological Education NSF Computer, Science, Engineering and Mathematics Scholarships (new in '01) Distinguished Teaching Scholars (new in '02) Scholarship for Service (new in '01) National SMETE Digital Library (new in '01) Course, Curriculum, and Laboratory Improvement Undergraduate Assessment (new in '02)	2000 2000 N/A N/A N/A 2000 N/A	2003 2003 2002 2004 2004 2002 2003 2004
<i>Graduate Education</i> Graduate Research Fellowships NATO Postdoctorate Fellowships IGERT (new in '97) GK-12 Fellows (new in '99)	1999 2001 N/A	2003 2005 2002 2002
<i>Human Resource Development</i> The Louis Stokes Alliances for Minority Participation Centers for Research Excellence In Science and Technology (CREST) Programs for Gender Equity (PGE) Programs for Persons with Disabilities (PPD) Alliances for Graduate Education and the Professoriate (AGEP) Tribal Colleges Program (TCP) (new in '01) Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCU)	2001 2001 2000 2000 2001 N/A 2001	2004 2004 2003 2003 2004 2004 2004

Appendix II. – Schedule of Program Evaluations

<i>Research, Evaluation & Communications</i> REPP/ROLE (new in '96)		2002
Evaluation	2000	2003
Education Research Initiative (ERI) (new in '01)	N/A	2002
<i>Other</i>		
H-IB VISA K-12		2004
Math and Science Partnership (MSP) (new in '02)	N/A	2005

DIRECTORATE <i>Division</i> Program	Fiscal Year of Most Recent COV	Fiscal Year of Next COV
ENGINEERING		
<i>Bioengineering and Environmental Systems</i>		2002
Biochemical Engineering	1999	2002
Biotechnology	1999	2002
Biomedical Engineering	1999	2002
Research to Aid the Disabled	1999	2002
Environmental Engineering	1999	2002
Environmental Technology	1999	2002
<i>Civil and Mechanical Systems</i>	2001	2004
Dynamic System Modeling, Sensing and Control	2001	2004
Geotechnical and GeoHazard Systems	2001	2004
Infrastructure and Information Systems	2001	2004
Solid Mechanics and Materials Engineering	2001	2004
Structural Systems and Engineering	2001	2004
Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation	2001	2004
<i>Chemical and Transport Systems</i>		2003
Chemical Reaction Processes	2000	2003
Interfacial, Transport and Separation Processes	2000	2003
Fluid and Particle Processes	2000	2003
Thermal Systems	2000	2003
<i>Design, Manufacture and Industrial Innovation</i>		
-Engineering Decision Systems Programs (new in '02)	N/A	2003
Engineering Design	2000	2003
Manufacturing Enterprise Systems (new in '02)	N/A	2003
Service Enterprise Systems (new in '02)	N/A	2003
Operations Research	2000	2003
-Manufacturing Processes and Equipment Systems	2000	2003
Materials Processing and Manufacturing	2000	2003
Manufacturing Machines and Equipment	2000	2003
Nanomanufacturing (new in '02)	N/A	2003
-Industrial Innovation Programs Cluster		

Appendix II. – Schedule of Program Evaluations

Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR)	2001	2004
Innovation and Organizational Change	2000	
Grant Opportunities for Academic Liaison with Industry	2000	2003
Small Business Technology Transfer	2001	2004
<i>Electrical and Communications Systems</i>		
Electronics, Photonics and Device Technologies	2000	2002
Control, Networks, and Computational Intelligence	2000	2002
Integrative Systems (new in '02)	N/A	2002
Engineering, Education and Centers	2001	2004
Engineering Education	2001	2004
Engineering Research Centers	2001	2004
Earthquake Engineering Research Centers	2001	2004
Human Resource Development	2001	2004
State/Industry/University Cooperative Research Centers	2001	2004
Industry/Univ. Cooperative Research Centers	2001	2004

DIRECTORATE <i>Division</i> Program	Fiscal Year of Most Recent COV	Fiscal Year of Next COV
GEOSCIENCES		
<i>Atmospheric Sciences</i>		
-Lower Atmospheric Research Cluster		
Atmospheric Chemistry	2001	2004
Climate Dynamics	2001	2004
Meoscale Dynamic Meteorology	2001	2004
Large-scale Dynamic Meteorology	2001	2004
Physical Meteorology	2001	2004
Paleoclimate	2001	2004
-Upper Atmospheric Research Cluster		
Magnetospheric Physics	1999	2002
Aeronomy	1999	2002
Upper Atmospheric Research Facilities	1999	2002
Solar Terrestrial Research	1999	2002
-Centers and Facilities Cluster		
Lower Atmospheric Observing Facilities	2000	2003
UNIDATA	2000	2003
NCAR/UCAR	2000	2003
<i>Earth Sciences</i>		
Instrumentation and Facilities	1997	2004
-Research Support Cluster	1998	
Tectonics	1998	2002
Geology and Paleontology	1998	2002
Hydrological Sciences	1998	2002
Petrology and Geochemistry	1998	2002
Geophysics	1998	2002
Continental Dynamics	1998	2002

Appendix II. – Schedule of Program Evaluations

<i>Ocean Sciences</i>		
-Integrative Programs Cluster	1997	2002
Oceanographic Technical Services	1994	2002
Ship Operations	1994	2002
Oceanographic Instrumentation	1994	2002
Ship Acquisitions and Upgrades (new in '02)	N/A	2002
Shipboard Scientific Support Equipment (new in '02)	N/A	2002
Oceanographic Tech and Interdisciplinary Coordination	1998	2002
-Marine Geosciences Cluster		
Marine Geology and Geophysics	1998	2003
Ocean Drilling	1994	2003
-Ocean Cluster		
Chemical Oceanography	1998	2003
Physical Oceanography	1998	2003
Biological Oceanography	1998	2003

DIRECTORATE	Fiscal Year of Most Recent COV	Fiscal Year of Next COV
<i>Division</i>		
Program		
MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES		
<i>Astronomical Sciences</i>	1999	2002
Planetary Astronomy	1999	2002
Stellar Astronomy and Astrophysics	1999	2002
Galactic Astronomy	1999	2002
Education, Human Resources and Special Programs	1999	2002
Advanced Technologies and Instrumentation	1999	2002
Electromagnetic Spectrum Management	1999	2002
Extragalactic Astronomy and Cosmology	1999	2002
-Facilities Cluster		
Gemini 8-Meter Telescopes	1999	2002
National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO)	1999	2002
National Optical Astronomy Observatories (NOAO)	1999	2002
National Astronomy and Ionosphere Center (NAIC)	1999	2002
Chemistry	2001	2004
Office of Special Projects	2001	2004
Chemistry Research Instrumentation and Facilities (CRIF)	2001	2004
Organic Chemical Dynamics	2001	2004
Organic Synthesis	2001	2004
Chemistry of Materials	2001	2004
Theoretical and Computational Chemistry	2001	2004
Experimental Physical Chemistry	2001	2004
Inorganic, Bioinorganic and Organometallic Chemistry	2001	2004
Analytical and Surface Chemistry	2001	2004

Appendix II. – Schedule of Program Evaluations

<i>Materials Research</i>	1999	2002
-Base Science Cluster		
Condensed Matter Physics	1999	2002
Solid-State Chemistry	1999	2002
Polymers	1999	2002
-Advanced Materials and Processing Cluster		
Metals	1999	2002
Ceramics	1999	2002
Electronic Materials	1999	2002
-Materials Research and Technology Enabling Cluster		
Materials Theory	1999	2002
Instrumentation for Materials Research	1999	2002
National Facilities	1999	2002
Materials Research Science and Engineering Centers	1999	2002
<i>Mathematical Sciences</i>	2001	2004
Applied Mathematics	2001	2004
Topology and Foundations	2001	2004
Computational Mathematics	2001	2004
Infrastructure	2001	2004
Geometric Analysis	2001	2004
Analysis	2001	2004
Algebra, Number Theory, and Combinatorics	2001	2004
Statistics and Probability	2001	2004
<i>Physics</i>	2000	
Atomic, Molecular, Optical and Plasma Physics	2000	2003
Elementary Particle Physics	2000	2003
Theoretical Physics	2000	2003
Particle and Nuclear Astrophysics (new in '00)	N/A	2003
Nuclear Physics	2000	2003
Education and Interdisciplinary Research (new in '00)	N/A	2003
Gravitational Physics	2000	2003

DIRECTORATE <i>Division</i> Program	Fiscal Year of Most Recent COV	Fiscal Year of Next COV
SOCIAL, BEHAVIORAL, AND ECONOMIC SCIENCES		
<i>Office of International Science and Engineering (INT)</i>	1999	2002
<i>Science Resource Statistics (SRS) (new in '99)</i>		2004
-NSF-wide Programs Cluster		
CAREER	2001	
ADVANCE (new in '01)		
<i>Behavioral and Cognitive Sciences (BCS)</i>		2004
Archeology and Archaeometry	1999	2004
Child Learning and Development	1997	2004

Appendix II. – Schedule of Program Evaluations

Cultural Anthropology	1999	2004
Linguistics	1999	2004
Human Cognition and Perception	1999	2004
Social Psychology	1999	2004
Physical Anthropology	1999	2004
Geography and Regional Sciences	1999	2004
<i>Social and Economic Sciences (SES)</i>		2003
Decision, Risk, and Management Sciences	2000	2003
Political Science	2000	2003
Law and Social Science	2000	2003
Innovation and Organizational Change	2000	2003
Methodology, Measurement and Statistics	2000	2003
Science and Technology Studies	2000	2003
Societal Dimensions of Engineering, Science, and Technology	2000	2003
Economics	2000	2003
Sociology	2000	2003

DIRECTORATE <i>Division</i> Program	Fiscal Year of Most Recent COV	Fiscal Year of Next COV
OFFICE OF POLAR PROGRAMS		
<i>Polar Research Support</i>	2001	2004
<i>Antarctic Sciences</i>		2003
Antarctic Aeronomy and Astrophysics	2000	2003
Antarctic Biology and Medicine	2000	2003
Antarctic Geology and Geophysics	2000	2003
Antarctic Glaciology	2000	2003
Antarctic Ocean and Climate Systems	2000	2003
<i>Arctic Sciences</i>		2003
Arctic Research Opportunities	2000	2003
Arctic Research and Policy	2000	2003
Arctic System Sciences	2000	2003
Arctic Natural Sciences	2000	2003
Arctic Social Sciences	2000	2003

DIRECTORATE <i>Division</i> Program	Fiscal Year of Most Recent COV	Fiscal Year of Next COV
OFFICE OF INTEGRATIVE ACTIVITIES		
Major Research Instrumentation (MRI) Science and Technology Centers (STC)	2000* 1996*	2007
*External evaluations		

APPENDIX III. – TABLE OF ACRONYMS

AC	Advisory Committee	CLAWPACK	Conservation Law Package
ACR	Advanced Computational Research	CLT	Centers for Learning and Teaching
ACSI	American Customer Satisfaction Index	CMS	Compact Muon Spectrometer
ADP	Automated Data Processing	CNRS/INRA	Centre National de Recherche Scientifique/Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique
AGEP	Alliances for Graduate Education and the Professoriate	CompTIA	Computing Technology Industry Association
AKRSI	Alaska Rural Systemic Initiative	COV	Committee of Visitors
ALMA	Atacama Large Millimeter Array	CPMSA	Comprehensive Partnerships for Mathematics and Science Achievement
AMANDA	Antarctic Muon and Neutrino Detection Array	CPO	Division of Contracts, Policy and Oversight
AMRC	Antarctic Meteorological Research Center	CREST	Centers for Research Excellence In Science and Technology
AMS	American Mathematical Society	CRI	Children's Research Initiative
AO	Arctic Oscillation	CRIF	Chemistry Research Instrumentation and Facilities
ARSI	Appalachia Rural Systemic Initiative	DARPA	Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
AST	Astronomical Sciences Division	DDI	Data Documentation Initiative
ATE	Advanced Technological Education	DGA	Division of Grants and Agreements
ATLAS	A Toroidal LHC Apparatus	DHHS	Department of Health and Human Services
BAC	Bacterial Artificial Chromosome	DL	Digital Libraries
BBC	British Broadcasting Corporation	DMR	Division of Materials Research
BCS	Division of Behavioral and Cognitive Sciences	DMS	Division of Mathematical Sciences
BE	Biocomplexity in the Environment	DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
BIO	Directorate for Biological Sciences	DOD	Department of Defense
CAREER	Faculty Early Career Development Program	DOE	Department of Energy
CASES	Cooperative Atmosphere-Surface Exchange Study	DRSI	Delta Rural Systemic Initiative
CAVE	Cave Automatic Virtual Environment	DYCOMS	Dynamics and Chemistry of Marine Stratocumulus
CDA	Cross-Directorate Activities Program	EAR	Division of Earth Sciences
CDRC	Child Development Research Collaborative	EHR	Directorate for Education and Human Resources
CERN	European Organization for Nuclear Research	EIA	Division of Experimental and Integrative Activities
CHE	Chemistry Division	EIS	Enterprise Information System
CHESS	Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source	ENG	Directorate for Engineering
CHRNS	Center for High Resolution Neutron Scattering	EPSCoR	Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research
CIRE	Collaboratives to Integrate Research and Education	ERC	Engineering Research Center
CIS	Center for Integrated Studies	ERI	Education Research Initiative
CISE	Directorate for Computer and Information Science and Engineering	ESR	Educational System Reform
		EST	Expressed Sequence Tag
		FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
		FACA	Federal Advisory Committee Act

Appendix III. – Table of Acronyms

FAS	Financial Accounting System	LAPACK	Linear Algebra Package
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency	LASER	Leadership and Assistance for Science Education Reform Center
FT-ICR	Fourier-Transform-Ion Cyclotron Resonance	LHC	Large Hadron Collider
GABA	Gamma Aminobutyric Acid	LIGO	Laser Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory
GAO	General Accounting Office	LSAMP	Louis Stokes Alliances for Minority Participation
GEO	Directorate for Geosciences	MEMS	Microelectromechanical Systems
GK-12	Graduate Teaching Fellows in K-12 Education	MPS	Directorate for Mathematical and Physical Sciences
GPA	Grade Point Average	MRE	Major Research Equipment (account)
GPRA	Government Performance and Results Act	MRI	Major Research Instrumentation (program)
GPS	Global Positioning System	MRSEC	Materials Research Science and Engineering Center
GRF	Graduate Research Fellowship	MS	Master of Science or Mass Spectrometry
GSN	Global Seismographic Network	MSP	Math and Science Partnerships
GSS	General Social Survey	NAIC	National Astronomy and Ionosphere Center
GW	Ground water	NAPA	National Academy of Public Administration
HBCU	Historically Black Colleges and Universities	NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
HDGC	Human Dimensions of Global Change	NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
HPIIS	High Performance International Internet Services	NCAR	National Center for Atmospheric Research
HPNC	High Performance Network Connections	NCTM	National Council of Teachers of Mathematics
HRM	Division of Human Resources Management	NEES	Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation
IBN	Division of Integrative Biology and Neuroscience	NHGIS	National Historical Geographic Information System
ICPSR	Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research	NHMFL	National High Magnetic Field Laboratory
IGERT	Integrative Graduate Education and Research Traineeship	NIH	National Institutes of Health
INT	Office of International Science and Engineering	NNI	National Nanotechnology Initiative
IP	Internet Protocol	NNUN	National Nanofabrication Users Network
IPA	Intergovernmental Personnel Act (appointee)	NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change	NOAO	National Optical Astronomy Observatory
IRIS	Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology	NRAO	National Radio Astronomy Observatory
IRIS	Industrial Research & Development Information System	NRC	National Research Council
ISE	Informal Science Education	NRL	Naval Research Laboratory
IT	Information Technology	NSB	National Science Board
ITR	Information Technology Research		
ITS	Information Technology Security		
IUCRC	Industry University Cooperative Research Center		
KeLP	Kernel Lattice Parallelism (KeLP)		
KHEP	K-12 Higher Education Partnerships		

Appendix III. – Table of Acronyms

NSE	Nanoscale Science and Engineering	SBIR	Small Business Innovation Research
NSEC	Nanoscale Science and Engineering Centers	ScaLAPACK	Scalable Linear Algebra Package
NVO	National Virtual Observatory	SES	Division of Social and Economic Sciences
ODS	Online Document System	SFFAS	Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standard
OEOP	Office of Equal Opportunity Programs	SGER	Small Grant for Exploratory Research
OIG	Office of Inspector General	SMET	Science, Mathematics, Engineering and Technology
OIRM	Office of Information and Resource Management	SMETE	Science, Mathematics, Engineering and Technology Education
OMB	Office of Management and Budget	SOARS	Significant Opportunities in Atmospheric Research and Science
OPM	Office of Personnel Management	SPSM	South Pole Station Modernization
OPP	Office of Polar Programs	SRC	Synchrotron Radiation Center
ORISE	Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education	SRI	SRI International
OTS	Organization for Tropical Studies	SRS	Division of Science Resources Statistics
OWC	Oklahoma Weather Center	SSI	Statewide Systemic Initiative
PACI	Partnerships for Advanced Computational Infrastructure	STC	Science and Technology Center
PARS	Proposal, PI and Reviewer System	STEM	Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics
PDF	Program Document Format	TCS	Terascale Computing System
PFI	Partnerships for Innovation	TEA	Teachers Experiencing Antarctica and the Arctic
PGE	Programs for Gender Equity	UCAN	Utah, Colorado, Arizona, New Mexico
PHY	Division of Physics	UCAR	University Corporation for Atmospheric Research
PI	Principal Investigator	UFE	Undergraduate Faculty Enhancement
PICI	Phyloinformatics and Coordination Infrastructure	UML	Unified Modeling Language
PIMS	Program Information Management System	UPR	University of Puerto Rico
PMA	President's Management Agenda	URM	Underrepresented Minorities
PMET	Physical Meteorology	USGS	United States Geological Survey
PPD	Programs for Persons with Disabilities	USI	Urban Systemic Initiative
PSID	Panel Study of Income Dynamics	USP	Urban Systemic Program
PwC LLP	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	VORTEX	Verification of Origins of Rotation in Tornadoes Experiment
REPP	Research in Education Policy and Practice	VR	Virtual Reality
RET	Research Experiences for Teachers	WAIS	West Antarctic Ice Sheet
REU	Research Experiences for Undergraduates	WDCP	World Data Center for Paleoclimatology
ROLE	Research on Learning and Education	WTC	World Trade Center
RSI	Rural Systemic Initiative	WTEC	World Technology Evaluation Center
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar		
SBE	Directorate for Social, Behavioral and Economic Sciences		

APPENDIX IV. PwC EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

EXCERPT FROM THE PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP REPORT “NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION FY 2001 GPRA PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION FINAL REPORT JANUARY 2002”

1 Executive Summary

The National Science Foundation (“NSF” or “the Foundation”), as a Federal agency, is subject to the performance reporting requirements of the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA). Accordingly, NSF developed a series of performance measures to help the agency meet its mission, goals, and objectives. The Foundation asked PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) to assess whether the methods that NSF uses to compile and report selected FY 2001 performance measures are verifiable and produce valid results. This is the second consecutive year that PwC has performed this function.

We commend NSF for undertaking this second year effort to confirm the reliability of its data and the processes to collect, process, maintain, and report this data. From our FY 2001 review, we conclude that NSF has made a concerted effort to ensure that it reports accurately to the federal government and has effective systems, policies, and procedures to ensure data quality. We have noted some areas for improvement, particularly in the area of data collection for the goals related to facilities management. However, overall NSF relies on sound business practices, system and application controls, and manual checks of system queries to report performance. Further, our efforts to re-calculate the Foundation’s results based on these systems, processes and data were successful.

The General Accounting Office (GAO) has directed federal agencies to provide confidence that the policies and procedures that underlie GPRA performance reporting are complete, accurate and consistent. To address GAO’s mandate and past concerns, NSF asked us to conduct an independent verification and validation review of eighteen FY 2001 quantitative and qualitative goals contained in the FY 2001 NSF GPRA Performance Plan. GAO defines verification as a means to check or test performance data in order to reduce the risk of using data that contains significant errors. GAO defines validation as a way to test data to ensure that no error creates significant bias. Significant error, including bias, would affect conclusions about the extent to which NSF has achieved its performance goals. These definitions and the GAO-specified criteria were the guiding principles of our assessment.

Thirteen of the goals we assessed are undergoing review for the first time, while the remaining six are being reviewed a second time. As part of our review of the processes and results for these selected performance goals, we:

- Assessed the accuracy of NSF’s performance measures
- Described the reliability of the processes NSF uses to collect, process, maintain, and report data
- Reviewed system controls to confirm that quality input results in quality output
- Identified changes to processes and data for those goals undergoing review for the second time

This assessment is not an audit and, as such, was not conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Rather, we followed GAO’s *Guide to Assessing Agency Annual Performance Plans* (GAO/GCD-10.1.20) to guide our review. Our assessment was intended neither to determine whether NSF’s goals are appropriate nor to conclude whether these goals are the appropriate way to gauge agency success. Based on GAO guidance, we assessed whether NSF’s processes to collect, process, maintain, and report data for its goals meet the following criteria:

- Does the process provide for periodic review of collection, maintenance, and processing procedures to ensure they are consistently applied and continue to be adequate?
- Does the process provide for periodic sampling and review of data to ensure their completeness, accuracy, and consistency?

Appendix IV. – PricewaterhouseCoopers Summary

- Does the process rely on independent audits or other established procedures for verifying and validating financial information when performance measures require the use of financial information?
- Does NSF address problems, in verification and validation procedures, known to GAO or the agency?
- Does the agency recognize the potential impacts of data limitations should they exist?

For goals undergoing review for the first time, we documented the processes NSF follows to collect, process, maintain, and report performance data. We also identified relevant controls and commented on their effectiveness.

1.1 Results

From our review, we determined that NSF has reported on ten of the quantitative goals and all five of the qualitative goals in a manner such that any errors, should they exist, would not be significant enough to change the reader's interpretation of the Foundation's success in meeting the supporting performance goal. For these goals, NSF relies on sound business processes, system and application controls, and manual checks of system queries to report performance. We believe that these processes are valid and verifiable. For the four goals related to facilities management, we identified significant data limitations, which impaired our ability to verify the processes. However, we believe that NSF's reported outcomes are consistent with the data they collected. We summarize our results in the following table:

FY 2001 Performance Goal	Are processes verifiable and are results valid?		
	Yes	Partially	No
Quantitative goals reviewed for the first time in FY 2001			
IV-2: In FY 2001, NSF will conduct ten pilot paperless projects that manage the competitive review process in an electronic environment.	✓		
IV-3: By the end of FY 2001, NSF will increase usage of a broad-range of video-conferencing/long-distance communications technology by 100% over the FY 1999 level.	✓		
V-1: At least 85% of basic and applied research funds will be allocated to projects, which undergo merit review.	✓		
V-6a: NSF will increase the average annualized award size for research grants to \$110,000.	✓		
V-6b: NSF will increase the average duration of awards of research grants to at least three years.	✓		
V-9a: For 90 percent of facilities, keep construction and upgrades within annual expenditure plan, not to exceed 110 percent of estimates.		✓	
V-9b: Ninety percent of facilities will meet all major annual schedule milestones by the end of the reporting period.		✓	
V-9c: For all construction and upgrade projects initiated after 1996, when current planning processes were put in place, keep total cost within 110 percent of estimates made at the initiation of construction.		✓	
V-10: For 90 percent of facilities, keep operating time lost due to unscheduled downtime to less than 10 percent of the total scheduled operating time.		✓	
III-1b: Over 80% of schools participating in systematic initiative programs will 1) implement a standards-based curriculum in science and mathematics, 2) further professional development of the instructional workforce, and 3) improve student achievement on a selected battery of tests, after three years of NSF support.	✓		
III-1c: Through systematic initiatives and related teacher enhancement programs, NSF will provide intensive professional development experiences for at least 65,000 pre-college teachers.	✓		
Update Reviews (Goals initially reviewed in FY 2000)			
IV-1: Ninety-five percent of full proposals will be received electronically through FastLane.	✓		

Appendix IV. – PricewaterhouseCoopers Summary

FY 2001 Performance Goal	Are processes verifiable and are results valid?		
	Yes	Partially	No
Quantitative goals reviewed for the first time in FY 2001			
IV-4: NSF will show an increase over 1997 in the total number of hires to S&E positions from underrepresented groups.	✓		
V-5: For 70 percent of proposals, be able to tell applicants whether their proposals have been declined or recommended for funding within six months of receipt.	✓		
Qualitative Goals			
III-1: Development of “a diverse, internationally competitive and globally-engaged workforce of scientists, engineers, and well-prepared citizens.”	✓		
III-2: Enabling “discovery across the frontier of science and engineering, connected to learning, innovation, and service to society.”	✓		
III-3: Providing “broadly accessible, state-of-the-art and shared research and education tools.”	✓		
V-2: NSF performance in implementation of the merit review criteria is successful when reviewers address the elements of both generic review criteria.	✓		
V-3: NSF performance in implementation of the merit review criteria is successful when program officers address the elements of both generic review criteria when making their award decisions.	✓		

Our conclusions that the qualitative goals are valid and verifiable are based on our ability to confirm the ratings and interpretations contained in the Advisory Committee (AC) and Committee of Visitors (COV) reports. At the date of this report, we have been unable to review the final language that NSF will use in presenting the Foundation-wide results due to varying external reporting due dates for performance measurement information. However, we expect that the results that will be reported in upcoming months will coincide with the comments and conclusions reported in the AC and COV reports.

In addition, we concluded that there was insufficient information in many of the COV reports on which to base an unequivocal determination of success in achieving certain indicators. We recommend that NSF balance their final performance report language reflecting the neutrality of these reports and the inability to support the AC and COV report text with clearly identifiable examples and awards.

Recommendations

For each goal under review, we provide recommendations for how NSF can strengthen the processes it uses to collect, process, maintain, and report GPRA information. Details for our recommendations can be found in the report. We highlight our overarching recommendations below:

- **For goals that compare actual performance to estimates, ensure that estimates are unchangeable.** For the goals related to facilities management, NSF designed the data collection system to allow principal investigators (PIs) to change the estimates, which are used to calculate the results. NSF allows these changes to account for management problems beyond the facilities control. However, the ability to change estimates and the fact that the system does not track these changes hinders the ability to compare actual costs, milestones and completion dates to original estimates. By making estimates unchangeable, NSF could create true project-specific baselines for these goals, which will provide NSF an accurate picture of project performance, compared to estimates. Should NSF choose to continue to allow estimates to be changed, we recommend that the system be enhanced to track estimate changes, as a management and monitoring tool for NSF and Program Officers (POs).
- **Simplify the GPRA reporting process for facilities goals.** NSF should consider allowing POs, rather than PIs, to report on the progress of facilities projects. By allowing POs to report on project

Appendix IV. – PricewaterhouseCoopers Summary

performance, NSF can simplify the reporting process, improve internal accountability, and lessen the reporting burden on PIs. POs could use annual project reports, schedule, or other reports already developed by the PI, to report progress on facilities projects for GPRA. This would eliminate the need for PIs to provide duplicative information and create budgets and schedules based on the federal fiscal year yet have little value for program management.

- **Clarify language for goals to better reflect NSF’s objectives and thresholds for success.** For some of the goals we reviewed, we believe that NSF can revise the language to be more specific and indicative of what NSF is trying to achieve. For example, NSF could clarify the language for goal III-1b to indicate that the goal only measures schools participating for three years or more in the systemic initiative program. Also, for construction and upgrade goals, NSF could revise the language to mention that it only measures construction and upgrade projects that have a total cost of at least \$5 million or funded out of the Major Research and Equipment Account. Clarifying the language of these goals will help NSF staff and external reviewers understand NSF’s objectives and facilitate the process to collect, process, maintain, and report data.
- **Further refine reporting templates and instructions for the qualitative measures.** NSF has made great strides to develop and improve the templates that are provided to the committees. However, we believe that this improvement can continue to evolve. A well-designed template will save committees valuable time, provide more verifiable support, reduce ambiguity, and provide more comprehensive evaluations. Committees could be encouraged to provide more than one example, if desired. A sample template for the “People” goals at a Division level is provided below.
- (Sample not included in this excerpt).

About the National Science Foundation

The National Science Foundation (NSF) funds research and education in most fields of science and engineering. Awardees are wholly responsible for conducting their project activities and preparing the results for publication. Thus, the Foundation does not assume responsibility for such findings or their interpretation.

NSF welcomes proposals from all qualified scientists, engineers and educators. The Foundation strongly encourages women, minorities and persons with disabilities to compete fully in its programs. In accordance with Federal statutes, regulations and NSF policies, no person on grounds of race, color, age, sex, national origin or disability shall be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving financial assistance from NSF (unless otherwise specified in the eligibility requirements for a particular program).

Facilitation Awards for Scientists and Engineers with Disabilities (FASED) provide funding for special assistance or equipment to enable persons with disabilities (investigators and other staff, including student research assistants) to work on NSF-supported projects. See the program announcement/ solicitation for further information.

The National Science Foundation has Telephonic Device for the Deaf (TDD) and Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) capabilities that enable individuals with hearing impairments to communicate with the Foundation about NSF programs, employment or general information. TDD may be accessed at (703) 292-5090, FIRS at 1-800-877-8339.

The National Science Foundation is committed to making all of the information we publish easy to understand. If you have a suggestion about how to improve the clarity of this document or other NSF published materials, please contact us at plainlanguage@nsf.gov.

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION
4201 WILSON BOULEVARD
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22230

www.nsf.gov

