THE ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY VERIFICATION





Ballelle The Business of Innovation

ETV Joint Verification Statement

TECHNOLOGY TYPE:	IMMUNOASSAY TEST KIT	S			
APPLICATION:	DETECTING BOTULINUM TOXIN				
TECHNOLOGY NAME: EzyBot [®] A and EzyBot [®] B Test Kits					
COMPANY:	PharmaLeads				
ADDRESS:	11/13 rue Watt 75013 Paris FRANCE	PHONE FAX:	+33 1 44 85 62 21 +33 1 44 85 62 27		
WEB SITE: E-MAIL:	www.pharmaleads.com Jean-pierre.rogala@pharmale	eads.com			

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) supports the Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program to facilitate the deployment of innovative or improved environmental technologies through performance verification and dissemination of information. The goal of the ETV Program is to further environmental protection by accelerating the acceptance and use of improved and cost-effective technologies. ETV seeks to achieve this goal by providing high-quality, peer-reviewed data on technology performance to those involved in the design, distribution, financing, permitting, purchase, and use of environmental technologies. Information and ETV documents are available at www.epa.gov/etv.

ETV works in partnership with recognized standards and testing organizations, with stakeholder groups (consisting of buyers, vendor organizations, and permitters), and with individual technology developers. The program evaluates the performance of innovative technologies by developing test plans that are responsive to the needs of stakeholders, conducting field or laboratory tests (as appropriate), collecting and analyzing data, and preparing peer-reviewed reports. All evaluations are conducted in accordance with rigorous quality assurance (QA) protocols to ensure that data of known and adequate quality are generated and that the results are defensible.

The Advanced Monitoring Systems (AMS) Center, one of six technology areas under ETV, is operated by Battelle in cooperation with EPA's National Exposure Research Laboratory. The AMS Center evaluated the performance of immunoassay test kits used to detect botulinum toxin in water. This verification statement provides a summary of the test results for the PharmaLeads EzyBot[®] A and B test kits.

VERIFICATION TEST DESCRIPTION

The verification test for the EzyBot[®] test kits was conducted at Battelle between November 2005 and January 2006 according to procedures specified in the Test/OA Plan for Verification of Immunoassay Test Kits for the following parameters: contaminant presence/absence; false positive/false negative response to interferents, drinking water (DW) matrix effects, and cross-reactivity; consistency; lowest detectable concentration; field portability; ease of use; and sample throughput. The ability of the EzyBot[®] test kits to detect various concentrations of botulinum toxin was evaluated by analyzing performance test (PT) and DW samples. PT samples included American Society for Testing and Materials Type II deionized (DI) water fortified with the target contaminant, an interferent, both, or only a cross-reactive species. Target analytes were added to DI water at lethal dose concentrations as well as at several concentrations selected based on the vendor-stated limit of detection (LOD). The effect of interferents was evaluated by analyzing two types of interferent solutions. The first type contained both humic and fulvic acids in DI water, and the second type contained magnesium (Mg) and calcium (Ca) in DI water. Both types of interferent solutions were prepared with and without the addition of the contaminants at a single concentration level (10 times the vendor-stated LOD). In addition, specificity was evaluated by exposing the EzyBot[®] test kits to lipopolysaccharide, a potentially cross-reactive compound for botulinum toxin. PT samples were analyzed in triplicate (with the exception of DI water fortified with target analytes at five times the vendor-stated LOD, for which ten replicates were analyzed). DW samples were collected from four water utilities that use a variety of treatment methods. DW samples, both unconcentrated and concentrated by a factor of 400, were analyzed in triplicate both with and without the addition of botulinum toxin A and B at a concentration of 10 times the vendorstated LOD. The EzyBot[®] A test kit was specific to botulinum toxin A, and the EzyBot[®] B test kit was specific to botulinum toxin B. In addition to the PT and DW samples analyzed, method blank (MB) samples consisting of DI water were analyzed to confirm negative responses in the absence of any contaminant and to ensure that no sources of contamination were introduced during the analysis procedures.

QA oversight of verification testing was provided by Battelle and EPA. Battelle QA staff conducted a technical systems audit and a data quality audit of 10% of the test data. This verification statement, the full report on which it is based, and the test/QA plan for this verification are all available at www.epa.gov/etv/centers/center1.html.

TECHNOLOGY DESCRIPTION

The following description of EzyBot[®] was provided by the vendor and was not verified in this test.

EzyBot[®] test kits provide a means for detecting botulinum toxins A (EzyBot[®] A) and B (EzyBot[®] B) in water. The technology is based on the PharmaLeads internal collision fluorescence quenching technology. A fluorogenic substance and a quenching substance in the substrate bracket an amino-acid sequence that, in the presence of botulinum toxin A or B, is cleaved, generating an intense fluorescence. This fluorescence is measured using either a laboratory or a field fluorimeter. Note that a laboratory fluorimeter is not provided by PharmaLeads with the EzyBot[®] kit; however, a field fluorimeter is available for purchase as part of the field case. The type of fluorimeter used for detection can affect the sensitivity of the analysis obtained with the EzyBot[®] test kit, therefore users may want to contact the vendor for recommended fluorimeters in order to achieve optimal sensitivity with the EzyBot[®] kits. The fluorescence generated by the EzyBot[®] test kit increases in intensity with time and with botulinum toxin concentration. Data can be read from the fluorimeter display or for the PharmaLeads field portable fluorimeter can be transferred to a computer through a cable provided with the fluorimeter. Note that a computer is not provided by PharmaLeads.

EzyBot[®] A and B are available individually in kits of 50 ready-to-use cuvettes containing freeze-dried reagents, which can be used in the laboratory or in the field. The PharmaLeads field case provides a field incubator which can be plugged into the auxiliary power outlet of a car to perform the 1-hour incubation at 37°C in the field. The field case also includes the PharmaLeads field portable fluorimeter. The price of an EzyBot[®] kit depends on the quantity ordered. For large quantities, unit price is approximately \$30 per ready-to-use cuvette. Cost for the field case, including the field fluorimeter, the portable incubator, and 100 cuvettes, is less than \$12,500.

VERIFICATION OF PERFORMANCE

The tables below summarize the performance of the EzyBot[®] test kits in detecting botulinum toxins A and B.

EzyBot[®] A Summary Table

Parameter	Sample Information	Botulinum Toxin A (mg/L)	Lab Bench Scale Fluorimeter ^(a)		Field Portable Fluorimeter ^(a)	
			30 min.	60 min.	30 min.	60 min.
		0.01 (vendor-stated limit of detection)	0	0	0	0
Contaminant-only DI	DI water	0.05	0	10	0	0
		0.1	0	3	0	0
		0.3 (lethal dose)	3	3	0	3
		0.5	3	3	1	3
	0.5 and 2.5 mg/L each humic/fulvic acids	0.1		0	NA	
Interferent	50 and 250 mg/L each Ca/Mg	0.1		3		
DW-all locations	unconcentrated	0.1	NT A	3		
DW-California	concentrated	0.1	NA	3		
DW-Florida	concentrated	0.1	3			
DW-New York	concentrated	0.1		0		
DW-Ohio	concentrated	0.1		3		
Lowest Detectable	Concentration ^(b) (mg/L)		0.3	0.05 ND 0.3		0.3
False positives	There were no false positi fulvic acids, and Ca and M technologies; or the poten	Ig; DW from four loca	tions using o	lifferent wat	er treatment	numic and
False negatives	False negatives were obta acids. A false negative w factor of 400. A total of 3 at 60 minutes. The vendor provided for testing may h negative results that were	as also obtained in New b false negative results informed Battelle aften have had inconsistent fu	v York wate were obtaine r testing that	r which was ed out of the t the lab ben	concentrated 12 solutions ch scale fluo	l by a assessed rimeter
Consistency	Using the lab bench scale fluorimeter, results were consistent in 100% of the samples tested. Using the field portable fluorimeter, results were consistent in 90% of the samples tested.					
Other Performance Factors	Convenient ready-to use cuvettes. Easy to operate in the lab and easy to transport and operate in the field. No formal scientific education would be required to use the kit; however, general lab skills and training on fluorimeter use were helpful. Approximately 12-15 analyses were completed in one hour in the laboratory. Only five samples could be processed in one hour in the field due to size limitation of the field portable incubator. Each Ezybot [®] kit contains 50 ready-to-use cuvettes.					

NA = Not tested. Testing concentration below detection in the contaminant only PT testing.

ND = not detectable at concentrations tested.

(a) Results out of 3 replicates except for the 0.05 mg/L contaminant only concentration for which results are out of 10 replicates
(b) The lowest concentration of contaminant-only PT samples to have at least two thirds of the measurements generate positive results.

EzyBot[®] B Summary Table

Parameter	Sample Information	Botulinum Toxin B (mg/L)		nch Scale meter ^(a)		Portable meter ^(a)
			30 min.	60 min.	30 min.	60 min.
		0.01(vendor-stated limit of detection)	0	3	0	0
Contaminant-only	DI water	0.05	7	10	0	0
		0.1	3	3	0	0
		0.3 (lethal dose)	3	3	3	3
		0.5	3	3	0	3
	0.5 mg/L each humic/fulvic acids	0.1	3	3		
Interferent	2.5 mg/L each humic/fulvic acids	0.1	1	3	NA	
	50 and 250 mg/L each Ca/Mg	0.1	0	0		
DW- all but New York	unconcentrated	0.1	0	3		
DW- New York	unconcentrated	0.1	3	3		
DW-California	concentrated	0.1	0	3		
DW-Florida	concentrated	0.1	3	3		
DW-New York	concentrated	0.1	0	3		
DW-Ohio	concentrated	0.1	3	3		
Lowest Detectable Conc	centration ^(b) (mg/L)		0.05	0.01	ND	0.3
False positives	There were no false posi fulvic acids, and Ca and or the potentially cross-r	Mg; DW from four loc	cations using	different wat		
False negatives	False negative results we both a 30 minute and 60 false negative results in concentrated water from obtained out of the 12 so obtained out of the 12 so that the lab bench scale is which could have caused	minute incubation time unconcentrated water f California and New Y plutions assessed at 30 plutions assessed at 60 fluorimeter provided for	e. The 30 m from Califorr fork. A total minutes. A t minutes. The or testing may	inute incubati nia, Florida, a of 8 false neg total of 2 false e vendor infor y have had inc	ion time also nd Ohio; and gative results e negative res med Battelle	generated in were sults were after testin
Consistency	For the lab bench scale fluorimeter, results were consistent in 97% of the samples tested. With th field portable fluorimeter, results were consistent in 100% of the samples tested.					
Other Performance Factors	Convenient ready-to use the field. No formal scie skills and training on flu in one hour in the labora size limitation of the fiel	entific education would orimeter use were help tory. Only five sample d portable incubator.	l be required oful. Approxi s could be pr	to use the kit mately 12-15 cocessed in or	; however, ge analyses wer he hour in the	eneral lab re complete field due t

NA = Not tested. Testing concentration below detection in the contaminant only PT testing.

ND = not detectable at concentrations tested.

(a) Results out of 3 replicates except for the 0.05 mg/L contaminant only concentration for which results are out of 10 replicates.
(b) The lowest concentration of contaminant-only PT samples to have at least two thirds of the measurements generate positive results.

Original signed by Gregory A. Mack	10/26/2006	Original signed by Jonathan G. Herrmann	11/12/2006		
Gregory A. Mack	Date	Jonathan G. Herrmann	Date		
Vice President		Director			
Energy, Transportation, and Environment Division		National Homeland Security Research Center			
Battelle		U.S. Environmental Protection Agency			

NOTICE: ETV verifications are based on an evaluation of technology performance under specific, predetermined criteria and the appropriate quality assurance procedures. EPA and Battelle make no expressed or implied warranties as to the performance of the technology and do not certify that a technology will always operate as verified. The end user is solely responsible for complying with any and all applicable federal, state, and local requirements. Mention of commercial product names does not imply endorsement.