

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	iii
Table of contents	xv
Tables	xviii
Figures.....	xix
Preface.....	1
Introduction.....	3
The Evaluation Study	7
<i>Previous Studies.....</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Evaluation Questions</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Methodology.....</i>	<i>10</i>
Secondary Data Analysis of Attendance Patterns and Completions	11
The Graduate Student Follow-up Survey	11
Institutional Site Visits.....	14
<i>What Did We Find?.....</i>	<i>14</i>
Transition Point One: Entry into Graduate Education.....	17
<i>Undergraduate Institutions.....</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>The Graduate Admissions Process</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>NSF GRF Application and Selection Process.....</i>	<i>21</i>
Applying for the GRF	22
The GRF Selection Process	23
<i>Enrollment Choices of Students.....</i>	<i>25</i>
Transition Point Two: Experiencing Contemporary Graduate Education.....	29
<i>Institutional Variation.....</i>	<i>29</i>
<i>Where Discipline Makes the Difference.....</i>	<i>30</i>
Amount and Type of Financial Support Available	30

Program Teaching Requirements.....	31
Nature of Research.....	31
Opportunities to Publish and Present Work.....	32
Career Paths and Job Markets.....	32
<i>Perceived Advantages and Disadvantages of the GRF</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>Graduate Student Activities and Preparation for the Real World.....</i>	<i>35</i>
<i>Measures of Success for Graduate Education.....</i>	<i>38</i>
Productivity during Graduate School.....	39
Time to Degree.....	40
Ph.D. Degree Completion.....	42
<i>Decisions to Leave Graduate Programs.....</i>	<i>48</i>
<i>Implications for Defining and Measuring GRF Program Success.....</i>	<i>50</i>
Transition Point Three: Career and Life Choices of Graduate Students.....	51
<i>Initial Career Aspirations</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>Shifts in Career Aspirations</i>	<i>52</i>
Disenchantment with Academia	53
Challenges in Balancing Academic Careers and Family	55
<i>Faculty Views and Support of Student Career Options.....</i>	<i>56</i>
<i>Careers Chosen by Graduates.....</i>	<i>57</i>
<i>Perceived Impact of GRF on Job Search and Career Success.....</i>	<i>58</i>
<i>Early Career Productivity.....</i>	<i>59</i>
Teaching and Professional Service Since Graduate School	59
Other Professional Accomplishments.....	60
<i>Implications for Defining and Measuring GRF Program Success.....</i>	<i>60</i>
Key Findings and Implications for Policy and Practice	63
<i>Key Findings</i>	<i>63</i>
<i>Recommendations</i>	<i>67</i>
Tactical Recommendations	67
Strategic Recommendations	68
References.....	71
Appendix A: Panel of experts	75

Appendix B: Methodology	77
Appendix C: Graduate Student Follow-up Survey Questionnaire	85
Appendix D: Institutions Included in the Disciplinary Sample	113
Appendix E: Site Visit Protocols	115
Appendix F: Site Visit Coding Plan	125
Appendix G: Tables Referenced in Text.....	127
Tables for Transition Point One	128
Tables for Transition Point Two	134
Tables for Transition Point Three	142

TABLES

Table 1	<i>Hearing about the GRF Program</i>	22
Table 2	<i>Applications and Awards in Selected Years: GF Competition</i>	23
Table 3	<i>Applications and Awards in Selected Years: MGF Competition</i>	23
Table 4	<i>Degree Sought by Survey Respondents</i>	27
Table 5	<i>Support Received while in Graduate Program</i>	35
Table 6	<i>Activities in Graduate School and Relevance for Professional Success</i>	36
Table 7	<i>Accomplishments while in Graduate School: Disciplinary Fellows and Peers</i>	39
Table 8	<i>Accomplishments while in Graduate School: WENG and MGF Fellows</i>	39
Table 9	<i>Accomplishments while in Graduate School: Disciplinary Analysis</i>	40
Table 10	<i>Doctoral Completion Rates for NSF Fellows</i>	42
Table B1	<i>Disciplinary Distribution of Survey Respondents</i>	81
Table B2	<i>Comparison of NSF Fellows and Peers Interviewed: Gender</i>	82
Table B3	<i>Comparison of NSF Fellows and Peers Interviewed: Race and Ethnicity</i>	83
Table B4	<i>Comparison of NSF Fellows and Peers Interviewed: Citizenship Status</i>	83
Table B5	<i>Comparison of NSF Fellows and Peers Interviewed: Year in Program</i>	83
Table G1	<i>Top Five Institutions of Baccalaureate Graduation by Discipline Group for NSF Fellows: 1979-1993</i>	128
Table G2	<i>Selected Characteristics of Applications and Fellows by Program Component: 1979 and 1993</i>	129
Table G3	<i>Selected Characteristics of Applications and Fellows by Gender: 1979 and 1993</i>	130
Table G4.1	<i>Success Rates of GF Applicants by Type of Undergraduate Institution</i>	131
Table G4.2	<i>Success Rates of MGF Applicants by Type of Undergraduate Institution</i>	132
Table G5	<i>Destination Institutions by Discipline Group for GF Fellows: 1979-1993</i>	133
Table G6	<i>Destination Institutions by Discipline Group for MGF Fellows: 1979-1993</i>	133
Table G7	<i>Advantages and Disadvantages of the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship</i>	134
Table G8	<i>Eleven-Year Doctoral Completion Rates by Gender and Discipline</i>	135
Table G9	<i>Completion Rates of 1979-1988 NSF Fellows and Non-Awardees Completing Doctoral Study by 1999 by Gender and Quality Group</i>	136
Table G10	<i>Completion Rates of NSF Fellows and Non-Awardees Completing Doctoral Study by 1999 by Discipline and Quality Group</i>	137
Table G11	<i>Completion Rates of GF Fellows and MGF Fellows by Discipline Group</i>	138
Table G12	<i>Eleven-Year Doctoral Completion Rates by Fellowship Type and Discipline</i>	139
Table G13	<i>Completion Rates of MGF Fellows and Non-Awardees by Discipline Group</i>	140
Table G14	<i>Completion Rates of 1979-1988 NSF Fellows and Non-Awardees Completing Doctorate by 1999 by Program Category and Quality Group</i>	141
Table G15.1	<i>Type of Organization of Employment for Disciplinary Fellows and Peers as of January 1999</i>	142
Table G15.2	<i>Type of Organizaizin of Employment for WENG and MGF Fellows as of January 1999</i>	143
Table G16.1	<i>Type of Position Held by Disciplinary Fellows and Peers in January 1999</i>	144
Table G16.2	<i>Type of Position Held by WENG and MGF Fellows in January 1999</i>	145
Table G17.1	<i>Primary Responsibility in Employment for Disciplinary Fellows and Peers in January 1999</i>	146

Table G17.2 <i>Primary Responsibility in Employment for WENG and MGF Fellows in January 1999</i>	147
Table G18.1 <i>Professional Productivity of Disciplinary Fellows and Peers since Graduate School</i>	148
Table G18.2 <i>Professional Productivity of WENG and MGF Fellows since Graduate School</i>	149
Table G19.1 <i>Teaching and Professional Service for Disciplinary Fellows and Peers since Graduate School</i>	150
Table G19.2 <i>Teaching and Professional Service for WENG and MGF Fellows since Graduate School</i>	151

FIGURES

Figure 1. <i>Years to Doctorate (TTD) for NSF Fellows Completing in Eleven Years</i>	41
Figure 2. <i>Six-Year Doctoral Completion Rates: NSF Fellows and Non-awardees</i>	43
Figure 3. <i>Eleven -Year Doctoral Completion Rate: NSF Fellows and Non-awardees</i>	44
Figure 4. <i>Eleven -Year Doctoral Completion Rates by Gender</i>	45
Figure 5. <i>Eleven -Year Doctoral Completion Rates: GF and MGF Fellows</i>	47

PREFACE

This report is presented to the Division of Research, Evaluation and Communication (REC) of the National Science Foundation (NSF) in compliance with contracts REC-9452969 and REC-9912174.

From 1998 through 2000, WestEd conducted an evaluation of the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship (GRF) Program that included secondary data analysis, surveys of samples of fellowship recipients and their peers, and institutional site visits to six major research universities. We appreciate the generous assistance of the university staff who helped us locate survey recipients and arrange the site visits. We would also like to thank survey respondents for participating in the survey and faculty, administrators, staff, and students we interviewed during site visits for their hospitality and cooperation.

Data from the Survey of Earned Doctorates (SED) and the Association of American Universities (AAU) and Association of Graduate School (AGS) Doctoral Education Database were critical in conducting the completions analysis and identifying comparison groups of doctoral students. We wish to thank Lance Selfa of the National Opinion Research Center (NORC) for providing SED data runs for the doctoral completions analysis. Our thanks also to Rocco P. Russo, Director of the AAU/AGS Project for Research on Doctoral Education at the Educational Testing Service and the participating graduate schools for access to Project data used to identify program peers. We appreciate the advice on study design received from members of the GRF Panel of Experts: Maresi Nerad, Willie Pearson, Albert Teich, Peter Syverson, and Mary Golladay. We would like to thank staff of NSF's Division of Graduate Education for their time and thoughtfulness in framing the evaluation questions, providing GRF program data, and reviewing drafts of this report, including: Susan DUBY, Richard Metcalf, Janet Rutledge, and Eric Sheppard. We also appreciate the support and guidance provided by Conrad Katzenmeyer and Deh-I Hsiung, REC program officers on this evaluation.

Finally, the authors acknowledge the following colleagues in WestEd's Evaluation Research Program for their contributions to the data collection and analysis used in this report: Naida Tushnet, program director; Jerry Bailey, senior statistician; Jerry Hipps, senior research associate; and Marisela Sifuentes-Den Hartog, research assistant. We also thank Stephannie Reizuch for her assistance in preparation of the final document.

