

Robert Rutkowski <rutkowski@terraworld.net>
12/15/2003 09:22:02 PM

Please respond to Robert Rutkowski <rutkowski@terraworld.net>

Record Type: Record

To: Mabel E. Echols OMB_Peer_Review/OMB/EOP@EOP
cc: Nancy Pelosi <sf.nancy@mail.house.gov>, "George W. Bush" <president@WHITEHOUSE.GOV>
Subject: Peer Review

Dr. Margo Schwab
Office of Information and regulatory Affairs
Office of Management and Budget
725 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC

OMB_peer_review@omb.eop.gov

Re: Draft Bulletin on Peer Review

Dear Dr. Schwab:

As you know OMB Watch filed comments with Office of Management and Budget (OMB) today on its draft bulletin for peer review. Public interests groups, academics, and regulators were all concerned with the bulletin because it could severely hamper agencies by creating burdensome peer review requirements that are too vulnerable to industry manipulation. Most federal agencies currently have peer review guidelines that function well.

I write in support of OMB Watch comments.

Mindful of the responsibilities which stand before you, I am,

Yours sincerely,
Robert E. Rutkowski

cc:
Nancy Pelosi
Andrew H. Card, Jr.

2527 Faxon Court
Topeka, Kansas 66605-2086
P/F: 1 785 379-9671
r_e_rutkowski@myrealbox.com

Note:

OMB Watch's comments: <http://www.ombwatch.org/info/dataquality/PRbulletinOMBWcomments.pdf>