Appendix table 1-1

Proficiency in specific mathematics knowledge and skill areas of students in grades 3 and 5, by student and family characteristics: 2002 and 2004 (Percent)

	•	n and division el 5)		value el 6)		easurement el 7)		Fractions (level 8)		Area and volume (level 9)	
Student/family characteristic	Grade 3	Grade 5	Grade 3	Grade 5	Grade 3	Grade 5	Grade 3	Grade 5	Grade 3	Grade 5	
All students	76	92	41	74	13	43	1	13	NA	2	
Sex											
Male	78	93	45	77	16	47	1	16	NA	2	
Female	74	91	37	70	10	39	_	10	NA	1	
Race/ethnicity											
White, non-Hispanic	84	95	52	82	17	53	1	18	NA	2	
Black, non-Hispanic	60	85	21	54	4	20	_	3	NA	0	
Hispanic	69	91	30	68	7	34	_	7	NA	1	
Asian	77	94	48	81	18	56	1	22	NA	3	
Other ^a	68	87	33	65	10	36	_	13	NA	1	
Mother's education											
<high school<="" td=""><td>52</td><td>80</td><td>16</td><td>47</td><td>3</td><td>18</td><td>_</td><td>3</td><td>NA</td><td>0</td></high>	52	80	16	47	3	18	_	3	NA	0	
High school diploma	71	90	33	67	8	34	_	7	NA	1	
Some college ^b	78	94	42	76	11	43	1	11	NA	1	
Bachelor's or higher degree	90	98	63	90	25	66	2	28	NA	4	
Poverty status ^c											
Below poverty threshold	58	84	20	53	4	22	_	4	NA	0	
Above poverty threshold	82	95	48	80	16	50	1	16	NA	2	

⁻⁻ = <0.5%; NA = not available

NOTES: In 2004 followup for Early Childhood Longitudinal Study (ECLS) kindergarten class of fall 1998, 86% of cohort was in grade 5, 14% was in a lower grade, and <1% was in a higher grade. For simplicity, students in ECLS followups referred to by modal and expected grade, i.e., third graders in spring 2002 assessment and fifth graders in spring 2004 assessment.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, ECLS, 2002 and 2004; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

alncludes non-Hispanic Native Hawaiians, Pacific Islanders, American Indians, Alaska Natives, and children of more than one race.

^bIncludes vocational and technical education.

Federal poverty thresholds define households below poverty level based on household income and number of household members.

A1-2 ♦ Appendix Tables

Appendix table 1-2 Average mathematics scores of students in kindergarten and grades 1, 3, and 5, by student and family characteristics: 1998, 2000, 2002, and 2004

Student/family characteristic	Fall 1998 kindergarten	Spring 2000 grade 1	Spring 2002 grade 3	Spring 2004 grade 5	Gain from kindergarten to grade 5
All students	22	39	91	112	89
Sex					
Male	22	39	93	114	92
Female	22	39	89	110	87
Race/ethnicity					
White, non-Hispanic	25	43	97	118	93
Black, non-Hispanic	19	33	79	99	80
Hispanic	19	36	85	108	89
Asian	25	39	94	118	93
Other ^a	20	38	86	107	86
Mother's education					
<high school<="" td=""><td>17</td><td>29</td><td>75</td><td>95</td><td>79</td></high>	17	29	75	95	79
High school diploma	21	37	86	107	86
Some college ^b	22	39	92	113	90
Bachelor's or higher degree	28	47	103	125	97
Poverty status ^c					
Below poverty threshold	18	31	78	99	81
Above poverty threshold	24	42	95	116	92

^aIncludes non-Hispanic Native Hawaiians, Pacific Islanders, American Indians, Alaska Natives, and children of more than one race.

NOTES: Early Childhood Longitudinal Study (ECLS) mathematics scale ranged from 0 to 153. In 2004 followup for ECLS kindergarten class of fall 1998, 86% of cohort was in grade 5, 14% was in a lower grade, and <1% was in a higher grade. For simplicity, students in ECLS followups referred to by modal and expected grade, i.e., first graders in spring 2000 assessment, third graders in spring 2002 assessment, and fifth graders in spring 2004 assessment.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, ECLS, fall 1998 and spring 2000, 2002, and 2004; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

blncludes vocational and technical education.

Federal poverty thresholds define households below poverty level based on household income and number of household members.

Appendix table 1-3

Average science scores of students in grades 3 and 5, by student and family characteristics: 2002 and 2004

Student/family characteristic	Spring 2002 grade 3	Spring 2004 grade 5	Gain from spring 2002 to spring 2004
Student/lannily characteristic	grade 5	grade 5	to spring 2004
All students	44	57	13
Sex			
Male	46	59	13
Female	42	55	13
Race/ethnicity			
White, non-Hispanic	49	62	13
Black, non-Hispanic	35	46	11
Hispanic	38	52	14
Asian	44	57	13
Other ^a	41	54	12
Mother's education			
<high school<="" td=""><td>32</td><td>45</td><td>12</td></high>	32	45	12
High school diploma	41	54	12
Some college ^b	44	57	13
Bachelor's or higher degree	52	65	13
Poverty status ^c			
Below poverty threshold	34	46	12
Above poverty threshold	47	60	13

elncludes non-Hispanic Native Hawaiians, Pacific Islanders, American Indians, Alaska Natives, and children of more than one race. Elncludes vocational and technical education.

NOTES: Early Childhood Longitudinal Study (ECLS) science scale ranged from 0 to 92. In 2004 followup for ECLS kindergarten class of fall 1998, 86% of cohort was in grade 5, 14% was in a lower grade, and <1% was in a higher grade. For simplicity, students in ECLS followups referred to by modal and expected grade, i.e., third graders in spring 2002 assessment and fifth graders in spring 2004 assessment.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, ECLS, spring 2002 and 2004; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

^cFederal poverty thresholds define households below poverty level based on household income and number of household members.

Appendix table 1-4

Proficiency in specific mathematics knowledge and skill areas of students in grades 10 and 12, by student and family characteristics: 2002 and 2004

(Percent)

		perations: pers (level 1)	Simple of decimals, fra and powe	ictions, roots,	Simple prob	olem solving el 3)	intermediate- multistep pro	tanding level concepts, oblem solving el 4)	advanced	blem solving, knowledge el 5)	Means	score
Student/family characteristic	Grade 10	Grade 12	Grade 10	Grade 12	Grade 10	Grade 12	Grade 10	Grade 12	Grade 10	Grade 12	Mean so Grade 10 38 38 37 41 30 32 42 31 35 38 43 31 37 44	Grade 12
All students	92	96	68	79	47	63	21	35	1	4	38	49
Sex												
Male	92	96	70	80	49	65	23	38	1	5	38	50
Female	92	96	67	78	45	61	19	33	1	3	37	48
Race/ethnicity												
White, non-Hispanic	96	98	79	86	59	73	27	44	1	5	41	52
Black, non-Hispanic	85	93	44	59	20	36	5	12	0	0	30	39
Hispanic	86	93	49	65	26	44	9	19	0	1	32	42
Asian/Pacific Islander	96	98	79	87	60	74	31	50	4	11	42	55
Mother's education												
<high school<="" td=""><td>84</td><td>92</td><td>46</td><td>60</td><td>23</td><td>38</td><td>7</td><td>15</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>31</td><td>40</td></high>	84	92	46	60	23	38	7	15	0	1	31	40
High school diploma	92	96	63	75	39	56	15	27	0	2	35	46
Some college ^a	93	97	70	81	48	64	20	35	1	3	38	49
Bachelor's or higher degree	96	98	83	89	67	79	36	54	2	8	43	56
Socioeconomic status ^b												
Lowest quartile	86	93	48	62	25	40	8	16	0	1	31	41
Middle two quartiles	93	96	69	79	46	62	18	32	0	2	37	48
Highest quartile	98	99	87	93	72	83	39	58	3	9	44	57

^aIncludes vocational and technical education.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, Education Longitudinal Study, spring 2002 and 2004; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

Socioeconomic status based on five equally weighted components: father's education, mother's education, family income, father's occupational prestige score, and mother's occupational prestige score.

Appendix table 1-5 (Page 1 of 2) **Average mathematics score of students in grades 4, 8, and 12, by student characteristics: Selected years, 1990–2005**

Grade/student characteristic	1990	1992	1996	2000	2003	2005
All grade 4 students	213	220	224	226	235	238
Sex						
Male	214	221	224	227	236	239
Female	213	219	223	224	233	237
Race/ethnicity						
White, non-Hispanic	220	227	232	234	243	246
Black, non-Hispanic	188	193	198	203	216	220
Hispanic	200	202	207	208	222	226
Asian/Pacific Islander ^a	NA	231	229	NA	246	251
American Indian/Alaska Nativeb	NA	NA	NA	NA	223	226
Free/reduced-price lunch ^c						
Eligible	NA	NA	207	208	222	225
Not eligible	NA	NA	232	235	244	248
Percentile score						
10th	171	177	182	184	197	200
25th	193	199	203	205	216	220
50th	214	221	225	227	236	239
75th	235	242	245	248	255	258
90th	253	259	262	265	270	273
All grade 8 students	263	268	270	273	278	279
Male	263	268	271	274	278	280
Female	262	269	269	272	277	278
Race/ethnicity						
White, non-Hispanic	270	277	281	284	288	289
Black, non-Hispanic	237	237	240	244	252	255
Hispanic	246	249	251	253	259	262
Asian/Pacific Islander ^a	NA	290	NA	288	291	295
American Indian/Alaska Nativeb	NA	NA	NA	NA	263	264
Free/reduced-price lunch ^c						
Eligible	NA	NA	250	253	259	262
Not eligible	NA	NA	277	283	287	288
Percentile score						
10th	215	221	221	223	230	231
25th	239	243	245	249	254	255
50th	264	269	273	275	279	280
75th	288	294	297	300	303	304
90th	307	315	316	320	323	324

A1-6 ♦ Appendix Tables

Appendix table 1-5 (Page 2 of 2) **Average mathematics score of students in grades 4, 8, and 12, by student characteristics: Selected years, 1990–2005**

Grade/student characteristic	1990	1992	1996	2000	2003	2005
All grade 12 students ^d	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	150
Sex						
Male	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	151
Female	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	149
Race/ethnicity						
White, non-Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	157
Black, non-Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	127
Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	133
Asian/Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	163
American Indian/Alaska Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	134
Percentile score						
10th	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	105
25th	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	127
50th	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	151
75th	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	174
90th	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	194

NA = not available

NOTES: For grades 4 and 8, scores on 0–500 scale across two grades. For grade 12, scores on 0–300 scale. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) recently changed way it includes students with disabilities and limited English proficiency in assessments. Before 1996, these students not allowed to use testing accommodations (e.g., extended time, one-on-one testing, bilingual dictionary). In 1996 and 2000, assessment administered to split samples: accommodations not permitted and accommodations permitted. Results in this table for 1996 and 2000 for sample allowed to use accommodations. In 2003, NAEP mathematics assessment completed transition to an accommodations-permitted test.

SOURCES: NCES, The Nation's Report Card: Mathematics 2005, NCES 2006-453 (2006); NCES, The Nation's Report Card: 12th-Grade Reading and Mathematics 2005, NCES 2007-468 (2007b); NAEP, 1990, 1996, 2003, and 2005 mathematics assessments; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

alnosufficient sample size in 1990 for Asian/Pacific Islanders precluded calculation of reliable estimates. Special analyses raised concerns about accuracy and precision of national grade 8 Asian/Pacific Islander results in 1996 and grade 4 Asian/Pacific Islander results in 2000; therefore omitted from National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) reports and this report.

^bInsufficient sample sizes in 1990, 1992, 1996, and 2000 for grade 4 and 8 American Indians/Alaska Natives precluded calculation of reliable estimates.

^{&#}x27;Information on student's eligibility for free/reduced-price lunch first gathered in 1996 for grades 4 and 8 and not available for grade 12.

do grade 12 mathematics assessment not comparable with previous assessments; therefore mathematics trend information for grade 12 not available. For results from previous grade 12 mathematics assessments see NCES, The Nation's Report Card: Mathematics 2000, NCES 2001-517 (2001).

Appendix table 1-6
Students in grades 4, 8, and 12 scoring at or above proficient level in mathematics for their grade, by student characteristics:
Selected years, 1990–2005

(Percent)

Grade/student characteristic	1990	1992	1996	2000	2003	2005
All grade 4 students	13	18	21	24	32	36
Sex						
Male	13	19	22	26	35	38
Female	12	16	20	22	30	34
Race/ethnicity						
White, non-Hispanic	16	22	27	31	43	47
Black, non-Hispanic	1	2	3	5	10	13
Hispanic	5	6	7	7	16	19
Asian/Pacific Islander ^a	NA	28	27	NA	48	55
American Indian/Alaska Native ^b	NA	NA	NA	NA	17	21
Free/reduced-price lunch ^c						
Eligible	NA	NA	8	8	15	19
Not eligible	NA	NA	27	32	45	49
All grade 8 students	15	21	23	26	29	30
Sex						
Male	17	21	25	27	30	31
Female	14	21	22	24	27	28
Race/ethnicity						
White, non-Hispanic	18	26	30	34	37	39
Black, non-Hispanic	5	2	4	5	7	9
Hispanic	7	7	8	8	12	13
Asian/Pacific Islander ^a	NA	43	NA	41	43	47
American Indian/Alaska Native ^b	NA	NA	NA	NA	15	14
Free/reduced-price lunch ^c						
Eligible	NA	NA	8	9	12	19
Not eligible	NA	NA	28	34	37	39
All grade 12 students ^d	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	23
Sex						
Male	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	25
Female	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	21
Race/ethnicity						
White, non-Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	29
Black, non-Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	6
Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	8
Asian/Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	36
American Indian/Alaska Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	6

NA = not available

NOTES: For grades 4 and 8, scores on 0–500 scale across two grades. For grade 12, scores on 0–300 scale. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) recently changed way it includes students with disabilities and limited English proficiency in assessments. Before 1996, these students not allowed to use testing accommodations (e.g., extended time, one-on-one testing, bilingual dictionary). In 1996 and 2000, assessment administered to split samples: accommodations not permitted and accommodations permitted. Results in this table for 1996 and 2000 for sample allowed to use accommodations. In 2003, NAEP mathematics assessment completed transition to an accommodations-permitted test.

SOURCES: NCES, The Nation's Report Card: Mathematics 2005, NCES 2006-453 (2006); NCES, The Nation's Report Card: 12th-Grade Reading and Mathematics 2005, NCES 2007-468 (2007b); NAEP, 1990, 1996, 2003, and 2005 mathematics assessments; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

alnoufficient sample size in 1990 for Asian/Pacific Islanders precluded calculation of reliable estimates. Special analyses raised concerns about accuracy and precision of grade 8 Asian/Pacific Islander results in 1996 and grade 4 Asian/Pacific Islander results in 2000; therefore omitted from National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) reports and this report.

blnsufficient sample sizes in 1990, 1992, 1996, and 2000 for grade 4 and 8 American Indians/Alaska Natives precluded calculation of reliable estimates.

Information on student's eligibility for free/reduced-price lunch first gathered in 1996 for grades 4 and 8 and not available for grade 12.

^dThe 2005 grade 12 mathematics assessment not comparable to previous assessments; therefore mathematics trend information for grade 12 not available. For results from previous grade 12 mathematics assessments see NCES, The Nation's Report Card: Mathematics 2000, NCES 2001-517 (2001).

A1-8 ◆ Appendix Tables

Appendix table 1-7 (Page 1 of 2) **Average science score of students in grades 4, 8, and 12, by student characteristics: 1996, 2000, and 2005**

Grade/student characteristic	1996	2000	2005
All grade 4 students	147	147	151
Sex			
Male	148	149	153
Female	146	145	149
Race/ethnicity			
White, non-Hispanic	158	159	162
Black, non-Hispanic	120	122	129
Hispanic	124	122	133
Asian/Pacific Islander ^a	144	NA	158
American Indian/Alaska Native	129	135	138
Free/reduced-price lunch			
Eligible	129	127	135
Not eligible	159	158	162
Percentile score			
10th	99	99	109
25th	125	125	130
50th	150	150	153
75th	172	172	173
90th	190	190	189
	.00	. 55	
All grade 8 students	149	149	149
Sex			
Male	150	153	150
Female	148	146	147
Race/ethnicity	110	110	
White, non-Hispanic	159	161	160
Black, non-Hispanic	121	121	124
Hispanic	128	127	129
Asian/Pacific Islander	151	153	156
American Indian/Alaska Native	148	147	128
Free/reduced-price lunch	140	147	120
	129	127	130
Eligible	156	159	159
Not eligible Percentile score	130	139	139
	100	101	101
10th	103	101	101
25th	127	126	126
50th	152	152	151
75th	174	175	174
90th	192	194	192
All and de 40 students	450	140	4.47
All grade 12 students	150	146	147
Sex	454	4.40	4.40
Male	154	148	149
Female	147	145	145
Race/ethnicity			
White, non-Hispanic	159	153	156
Black, non-Hispanic	123	122	120
Hispanic	131	128	128
Asian/Pacific Islander	147	149	153
American Indian/Alaska Native	144	151	139

Appendix table 1-7 (Page 2 of 2) Average science score of students in grades 4, 8, and 12, by student characteristics: 1996, 2000, and 2005

Grade/student characteristic	1996	2000	2005
Percentile score			<u> </u>
10th	105	101	101
25th	128	124	125
50th	152	148	149
75th	174	170	171
90th	192	189	189

NA = not available

^aSpecial analyses raised concerns about accuracy and precision of national grade 4 Asian/Pacific Islander results in 2000; therefore omitted from National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) reports and this report.

NOTES: Scores on 0–300 scale for each grade. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) recently changed way it includes students with disabilities and limited English proficiency in assessments. Before 1996, these students not allowed to use testing accommodations (e.g., extended time, one-on-one testing, bilingual dictionary). In 1996 and 2000, assessment administered to split samples: accommodations not permitted and accommodations permitted. Results in this table for 1996 and 2000 for sample allowed to use accommodations. In 2005, NAEP science assessment completed transition to an accommodations-permitted test.

SOURCES: NCES, The Nation's Report Card: Science 2005, NCES 2006-466 (2006); NAEP, 1996, 2000, and 2005 science assessments; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

A1-10 ♦ Appendix Tables

Appendix table 1-8

Students in grades 4, 8, and 12 scoring at or above proficient level in science for their grade, by student characteristics: 1996, 2000, and 2005

(Percent)

Grade/student characteristic	1996	2000	2005
All grade 4 students	28	27	29
Sex			
Male	29	30	31
Female	26	25	26
Race/ethnicity			
White, non-Hispanic	36	37	40
Black, non-Hispanic	5	6	8
Hispanic	9	8	11
Asian/Pacific Islander ^a	24	NA	36
American Indian/Alaska Native	18	18	14
Free/reduced-price lunch			
Eligible	12	10	12
Not eligible	37	37	40
All grade 8 students	29	30	29
Sex	20	33	
Male	31	34	32
Female	27	27	26
Race/ethnicity	2,	2.	20
White, non-Hispanic	37	40	39
Black, non-Hispanic	5	7	7
Hispanic	10	10	10
Asian/Pacific Islander	33	35	36
American Indian/Alaska Native	25	27	12
Free/reduced-price lunch	20	2.	
Eligible	12	11	12
Not eligible	34	38	38
101.01.9.00	• •	33	
All grade 12 students	21	18	18
Sex			
Male	26	20	21
Female	17	16	16
Race/ethnicity			
White, non-Hispanic	27	22	24
Black, non-Hispanic	3	3	2
Hispanic	6	6	5
Asian/Pacific Islander	21	24	23
American Indian/Alaska Native	11	20	13

 $\mathsf{NA} = \mathsf{not} \; \mathsf{available}$

*Special analyses raised concerns about accuracy and precision of national grade 4 Asian/Pacific Islander results in 2000; therefore omitted from National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) reports and this report.

NOTES: National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) recently changed way it includes students with disabilities and limited English proficiency in assessments. Before 1996, these students not allowed to use testing accommodations (e.g., extended time, one-on-one testing, bilingual dictionary). In 1996 and 2000, assessment administered to split samples: accommodations not permitted and accommodations permitted. Results in this table for 1996 and 2000 for sample allowed to use accommodations. In 2005, NAEP science assessment completed transition to an accommodations-permitted test.

SOURCES: NCES, The Nation's Report Card: Science 2005, NCES 2006-466 (2006); NAEP, 1996, 2000, and 2005 science assessments; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

Appendix table 1-9 High school graduates completing advanced mathematics courses, by student and school characteristics and subject: Selected years, 1990–2005

(Percent)

	Trigonometry	Precalculus	Statistics	Calc	culus
Student characteristic	or algebra III	or analysis	or probability	Any 7.1 10.2 12.5 14.3 14.6 14.0 16.0 5.9 7.2 31.1 13.3 13.2 24.6 15.1 15.1 12.1 12.0 14.9 14.0 22.7 15.4	AP/IB
1990 graduates	20.2	13.5	1.0	7.1	4.4
1994 graduates	23.6	17.3	2.0	10.2	7.6
2000 graduates	19.3	26.5	5.7	12.5	8.4
2005 graduates	19.2	29.2	7.7	14.3	9.7
Sex					
Male	17.9	27.6	7.7	14.6	10.2
Female	20.4	30.6	7.8	14.0	9.3
Race/ethnicity					
White	21.0	31.7	8.5	16.0	10.7
Black	17.9	17.8	5.7	5.9	3.2
Hispanic	9.3	20.1	3.4	7.2	5.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	18.9	48.1	12.9	31.1	25.3
Other	22.8	22.4	7.0	13.3	8.0
School sector					
Public	18.1	27.5	7.6	13.2	9.2
Private	28.8	44.6	8.3	24.6	14.9
Community type					
Urban	18.9	30.6	7.1	15.1	12.4
Suburban	18.4	32.1	9.1	15.1	10.0
Rural	20.7	22.7	6.0	12.1	6.7
Size (enrollment)					
Small (1–599)	17.4	23.8	3.6	12.0	5.9
Medium (600–1,799)	22.5	31.1	7.5	14.9	10.0
Large (≥1,800)	15.4	30.3	11.1	14.0	11.4
Poverty rate ^a					
Very low	26.2	45.8	12.0	22.7	13.8
Low	17.1	31.2	9.9	15.4	11.4
Medium	19.0	23.2	6.6	11.4	7.7
High	16.8	18.6	3.1	6.8	5.0

AP = Advanced Placement

NOTES: AP/IB calculus courses appear in two columns: alone in their specific column and along with other calculus courses in "any" column. Slight differences in pre-2005 data from earlier editions of *Science and Engineering Indicators* result from minor refinements in defining graduates and some course categories. For information on courses and coding, see http://nces.ed.gov/surveys/hst/courses.asp, accessed 12 March 2007.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress, 1990, 1994, 2000, and 2005 High School Transcript Studies; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

IB = International Baccalaureate

 $^{{}^{}a}Students \ eligible \ for \ national \ free/reduced-priced \ lunch \ program: very \ low = \le 5\%, \ low = 6-25\%, \ medium = 26-50\%, \ and \ high = 51-100\%.$

A1-12 ♦ Appendix Tables

Appendix table 1-10
High school graduates completing advanced S&E courses, by student and school characteristics and subject: Selected years, 1990–2005
(Percent)

	Advance	ed biology	Cher	hemistry Physics		/sics	Environmental science			Engineering or science
Student characteristic	Any	AP/IB	Any	AP/IB	Any	AP/IB	Any	AP/IB	Engineering	technologies
1990 graduates	25.9	5.0	43.4	NA	23.3	NA	3.9	NA	0.1	1.5
1994 graduates	33.6	4.8	49.0	NA	27.4	NA	4.7	NA	0.5	2.5
2000 graduates	38.8	6.5	55.2	3.1	34.1	2.4	8.6	0.7	1.3	6.3
2005 graduates	39.2	6.9	54.3	3.6	34.8	3.2	9.9	1.4	1.4	6.7
Sex										
Male	33.3	5.6	50.6	3.9	36.8	4.1	10.4	1.3	2.3	11.1
Female	44.7	8.0	57.8	3.4	32.8	2.4	9.5	1.6	0.6	2.6
Race/ethnicity										
White	42.0	7.2	54.9	3.7	37.0	3.3	10.1	1.6	1.4	7.3
Black	34.7	4.1	53.7	1.2	26.8	1.0	13.7	0.9	0.9	5.9
Hispanic	25.8	4.9	47.0	2.5	24.3	2.1	6.6	1.1	1.3	5.1
Asian/Pacific Islander	42.0	15.0	65.6	12.5	51.5	10.4	5.4	2.0	2.9	6.2
Other	43.6	3.9	53.1	4.8	30.8	2.1	6.3	1.0	1.5	2.1
School sector										
Public	38.4	6.5	52.5	3.3	32.6	2.9	9.9	1.3	1.6	7.3
Private	45.9	9.9	71.2	6.5	54.8	5.5	9.8	2.4	0.0	1.2
Community type										
Urban	36.3	7.3	59.5	5.1	35.2	3.6	7.1	1.6	2.0	5.4
Suburban	39.5	7.4	54.6	3.7	37.3	4.0	10.1	1.7	1.4	7.3
Rural	41.4	5.5	48.5	2.0	29.8	1.3	12.3	0.8	0.7	7.1
Size (enrollment)										
Small (1-599)	40.2	5.6	51.2	1.9	29.8	1.3	12.8	0.8	0.2	4.4
Medium (600-1,799)	42.0	7.5	54.0	3.9	35.4	3.3	9.9	1.5	1.5	6.8
Large (≥1,800)	35.8	7.1	56.9	4.2	35.7	4.1	8.9	1.8	2.4	7.8
Poverty rate ^a										
Very low	50.3	10.7	67.0	4.9	49.8	7.9	9.6	2.9	1.7	9.7
Low	38.3	7.3	53.9	3.4	35.6	3.1	9.4	1.3	2.4	6.9
Medium	39.2	4.7	48.5	3.2	28.1	1.9	8.9	1.1	1.0	6.8
High	32.7	5.7	49.6	2.1	22.2	1.9	13.2	1.0	1.2	6.3

NA = not available

NOTES: AP/IB courses appear in two columns: alone in their specific column and along with other courses in "any" column. For some subjects, AP and IB courses not coded separately in 1994 or 1990. Slight differences in pre-2005 data from earlier editions of *Science and Engineering Indicators* result from minor refinements in defining graduates and some course categories. For information on courses and coding, see http://nces.ed.gov/surveys/hst/courses.asp, accessed 12 March 2007.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress, 1990, 1994, 2000, and 2005 High School Transcript Studies; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

AP = Advanced Placement

IB = International Baccalaureate

 $^{^{}a}$ Students eligible for national free/reduced-priced lunch program: very low = \leq 5%, low = 6-25%, medium = 26-50%, and high = 51-100%.

Appendix table 1-11 **Public school teachers with master's degree or higher, by minority enrollment and school poverty level: Academic year 2003–04**(Percent)

	Middle school teachers			High school teachers		
School characteristic	Mathematics	Science	Other	Mathematics	Science	Other
All schools	45.8	44.1	49.0	49.5	57.6	49.4
0–5	46.0	50.2	55.3	49.4	62.7	48.9
>5–45	49.2	47.3	51.1	51.6	59.5	52.5
>45	42.0	38.0	43.8	47.2	53.2	46.1
School poverty level ^a (%)						
0–10	50.8	61.5	58.1	61.3	68.4	59.1
>10-50	50.6	44.0	49.5	46.4	56.2	48.4
>50	36.0	38.9	44.9	45.0	47.9	43.8

 $^{{}^{\}mathrm{a}}$ School poverty level is percentage of students in school qualifying for free/reduced-price lunch.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, Schools and Staffing Survey, 2003–04; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

Science and Engineering Indicators 2008

Appendix table 1-12 **Public school teachers with regular or advanced certification, by minority enrollment and school poverty level: Academic year 2003–04**(Percent)

	Middle school teachers			High school teachers		
School characteristic	Mathematics	Science	Other	Mathematics	Science	Other
All schools	85.6	83.0	87.1	84.9	82.7	86.3
0–5	85.6	89.1	89.5	88.1	83.3	87.4
>5–45	90.5	84.9	89.4	86.9	85.9	87.6
>45	80.2	78.5	83.3	81.4	78.5	84.3
School poverty level ^a (%)						
0–10	90.1	93.9	88.5	83.5	84.0	87.8
>10-50	89.1	82.4	88.8	86.0	83.2	87.2
>50	78.3	80.4	83.4	83.9	79.3	82.9

^aSchool poverty level is percentage of students in school qualifying for free/reduced-price lunch.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, Schools and Staffing Survey, 2003-04; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

A1-14 ◆ Appendix Tables

Appendix table 1-13
Length of practice teaching of public middle and high school teachers with less than 5 years of teaching experience, by minority enrollment and school poverty level: Academic year 2003–04
(Percent)

	Participated in		Practice teaching	g (weeks)	
Field/school characteristic	practice teaching	≤4	5–7	8–11	≥12
Mathematics	78.6	3.2	4.6	13.5	57.4
Minority enrollment (%)					
0–5	92.7	0.2	0.2	16.7	75.5
>5–45	82.7	1.4	4.5	11.7	65.1
>45	71.3	5.4	5.7	14.2	46.0
School poverty levela (%)					
0–10	85.8	0.3	6.8	17.6	61.1
>10-50	82.0	2.4	3.3	12.9	63.5
>50	69.3	6.3	5.6	12.0	45.5
Science	75.0	2.4	3.9	13.6	55.1
Minority enrollment (%)					
0–5	91.1	1.2	3.1	16.9	69.9
>5–45	76.2	1.5	3.7	17.3	53.6
>45	68.2	3.8	4.4	8.5	51.5
School poverty levela (%)					
0–10	85.2	1.1	5.2	23.3	55.5
>10–50	75.6	1.8	3.2	14.5	56.1
>50	65.9	4.6	5.0	6.7	49.6
Other	81.2	3.5	3.8	13.8	60.1
Minority enrollment (%)					
0–5	89.6	0.9	1.1	16.3	71.3
>5-45		2.3	2.7	14.3	63.8
>45		5.4	5.6	12.6	53.2
School poverty level ^a (%)					
0–10	88.8	1.7	1.6	15.7	69.7
>10-50	82.8	3.2	3.5	14.5	61.6
>50	75.7	4.9	5.7	11.8	53.4

 $^{{}^{\}mathrm{a}}$ School poverty level is percentage of students in school qualifying for free/reduced-price lunch.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, Schools and Staffing Survey, 2003-04; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

Appendix table 1-14

Preparedness for first-year teaching of public middle and high school teachers with less than 5 years of teaching experience, by minority enrollment and school poverty level: Academic year 2003–04

(Percent)

Field/school characteristic	Teach subject matter	Assess students	Use variety of instructional methods	Select/adapt curriculum and instructional materials	Use computers in classroom instruction	Handle classroom management or discipline
Mathematics	90.8	73.0	63.5	61.3	57.1	51.3
Minority enrollment (%)						
0–5	94.4	83.6	86.8	67.0	63.9	58.2
>5–45	93.7	78.8	68.9	67.4	61.4	54.9
>45	87.6	65.2	52.5	54.6	51.7	46.3
School poverty level ^a (%)						
0–10	95.6	82.6	80.5	68.8	67.1	53.9
>10-50	91.0	73.2	67.1	63.4	56.4	51.7
>50	87.5	66.5	48.1	52.2	51.5	48.7
Science	79.0	59.3	58.0	56.5	62.4	43.7
0–5	91.7	66.5	71.2	58.0	71.4	53.1
>5–45	76.5	56.6	59.7	56.6	62.3	45.1
>45	77.3	59.8	51.8	55.9	59.4	39.0
School poverty level ^a (%)						
0–10	88.0	64.5	64.6	54.1	72.0	55.1
>10-50	78.3	57.6	60.3	57.2	59.8	43.3
>50	73.6	58.8	46.6	54.1	60.0	35.4
OtherMinority enrollment (%)	81.1	67.3	66.3	63.5	59.0	53.4
0–5	84.2	73.2	75.5	68.4	63.4	61.6
>5–45	82.1	70.8	70.5	68.4	62.7	56.2
>45	79.3	62.2	59.6	57.5	54.3	48.3
School poverty level ^a (%)						
0–10	84.2	74.0	73.2	72.9	59.9	62.2
>10-50	82.4	69.2	69.3	65.0	60.7	54.6
>50	76.7	59.9	56.9	55.7	54.9	45.9

 $^{{}^{\}mathtt{a}}\!\mathsf{School}\ \mathsf{poverty}\ \mathsf{level}\ \mathsf{is}\ \mathsf{percentage}\ \mathsf{of}\ \mathsf{students}\ \mathsf{in}\ \mathsf{school}\ \mathsf{qualifying}\ \mathsf{for}\ \mathsf{free/reduced-price}\ \mathsf{lunch}.$

NOTES: Teachers with <5 years of teaching experience asked about how well they were prepared to perform various tasks during first year of teaching. Response categories included "very well prepared," "somewhat prepared," and "not at all prepared." Percentages based on teachers responding "very well prepared" or "well prepared."

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, Schools and Staffing Survey, 2003-04; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

Appendix table 1-15

Qualifications of public middle and high school mathematics, biology/life science, and physical science teachers in main assignment field, by minority enrollment and school poverty level:

Academic year 2003–04

(Percent distribution)

		Middle so	chool teachers		High school teachers			
Field/school characteristic	In-field	Related field	General preparation	Out-of-field	In-field	Related field	General preparation	Out-of-field
Mathematics	53.5	3.9	37.5	5.1	87.4	2.0	3.1	7.5
Minority enrollment (%)								
0–5	72.9	0.2	25.2	1.7	91.3	0.7	4.9	3.2
>5–45		0.8	44.2	5.5	90.2	0.9	2.5	6.4
>45		8.6	34.2	5.7	82.8	3.8	3.0	10.4
School poverty level ^a (%)								
0–10	58.2	0.4	38.4	3.0	92.2	0.4	3.0	4.4
>10-50		1.3	38.4	3.8	87.5	2.6	3.1	6.8
>50		9.3	35.5	7.8	82.9	1.8	3.3	12.0
Biology/life science	54.8	16.2	19.4	9.5	91.9	3.6	1.3	3.2
Minority enrollment (%)								
0–5	—	_	_	_	95.6	2.9	0.9	0.6
>5–45	47.5	24.0	14.2	14.4	92.3	3.7	1.2	2.8
>45		8.9	17.8	7.2	89.9	3.9	1.5	4.8
School poverty level ^a (%)								
0–10		_	_	_	94.8	3.9	0.8	0.4
>10-50	46.1	13.9	25.8	14.2	92.4	3.0	1.4	3.2
>50		8.0	13.3	6.7	87.8	5.4	1.6	5.3
Physical science	32.7	38.2	26.0	3.1	78.1	19.6	0.9	1.5
Minority enrollment (%)								
0–5	—	_	_	_	76.3	22.1	0.0	1.5
>5-45		28.6	30.0	5.1	79.4	17.9	1.3	1.4
>45	27.3	49.6	20.8	2.3	77.0	20.7	0.8	1.5
School poverty levela (%)								
0–10	—	_	_	_	85.9	11.7	1.1	1.3
>10–50		29.8	22.6	5.3	75.3	22.3	1.0	1.4
>50		58.0	28.3	0.0	76.7	22.1	0.6	0.7

^{--- =} too few cases for reliable estimate

^aSchool poverty level is percentage of students in school qualifying for free/reduced-price lunch.

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, Schools and Staffing Survey, 2003-04; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

Appendix table 1-16 **Public school teachers with 3 or less years of teaching experience, by minority enrollment and school poverty level: Academic year 2003–04**(Percent)

	Middle school teachers			High school teachers		
School characteristic	Mathematics	Science	Other	Mathematics	Science	Other
All schools	21.8	15.3	15.4	16.9	18.6	15.4
0–5	15.2	13.6	9.7	13.6	17.2	13.7
>5–45	15.9	17.8	13.2	16.2	16.7	14.6
>45	28.1	13.1	20.4	19.1	21.5	17.0
School poverty level ^a (%)						
0–10	17.8	11.0	10.1	17.5	16.9	13.5
>10–50	15.9	15.5	14.6	16.9	18.8	14.9
>50	32.8	16.0	18.9	15.8	19.2	17.5

^aSchool poverty level is percentage of students in school qualifying for free/reduced-price lunch.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, Schools and Staffing Survey, 2003–04; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

Science and Engineering Indicators 2008

Appendix table 1-17

Participation in induction and mentoring activities during first year of teaching among public middle and high school teachers with less than 5 years of teaching experience, by minority enrollment and school poverty level: Academic year 2003–04

(Percent)

Field/school characteristic	Participated in formal induction program	Worked closely with mentor teacher	Worked with mentor teacher in same subject area
Mathematics	71.3	68.5	51.6
Minority enrollment (%)			
0–5	87.8	80.9	57.3
>5–45	76.1	74.8	60.1
>45	62.6	59.7	43.0
School poverty levela (%)			
0–10	75.6	69.4	64.8
>10–50	77.0	73.0	55.2
>50	58.7	60.0	38.0
Science	67.7	71.6	50.2
Minority enrollment (%)			
0–5	73.5	81.8	50.8
>5–45	70.2	76.6	59.3
>45	63.2	63.0	40.4
School poverty levela (%)			
0–10	77.4	77.9	59.4
>10–50	69.4	74.4	54.8
>50	57.3	62.6	34.1
Other	69.0	67.9	47.5
Minority enrollment (%)			
0–5	66.9	70.0	45.5
>5–45	74.9	73.9	54.3
>45	64.2	61.7	41.8
School poverty level ^a (%)			
0–10	80.2	71.8	57.2
>10–50	69.2	69.8	49.6
>50	63.4	61.1	38.9

^aSchool poverty level is percentage of students in school qualifying for free/reduced-price lunch.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, Schools and Staffing Survey, 2003-04; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

A1-18 ♦ Appendix Tables

Appendix table 1-18

Participation of public middle and high school teachers in professional development activities during the past 12 months on various topics, by minority enrollment and school poverty level: Academic year 2003–04

(Percent)

Field/school characteristic	Content of subject(s) taught	Use of computers for instruction	Student discipline and classroom management
Mathematics	76.4	64.3	37.7
Minority enrollment (%)			
0–5	69.0	65.0	29.2
>5–45	75.3	64.3	35.3
>45	80.4	64.1	43.6
School poverty level ^a (%)			
0–10	75.5	67.5	34.8
>10–50	73.9	62.6	34.4
>50	82.9	66.1	48.0
Science	73.4	66.6	36.1
Minority enrollment (%)			
0–5	67.8	67.8	36.0
>5–45	72.5	64.2	31.6
>45	76.7	69.0	41.5
School poverty level ^a (%)			
0–10	71.8	69.6	28.5
>10–50	71.6	63.5	37.5
>50	78.3	71.4	39.3
Other	77.7	66.1	44.7
Minority enrollment (%)			
0–5	74.4	68.2	40.1
>5–45	78.3	66.5	43.3
>45	78.6	64.6	48.3
School poverty level ^a (%)			
0–10	76.6	66.9	37.6
>10-50	77.7	65.5	43.3
>50	78.8	66.5	53.0

^aSchool poverty level is percentage of students in school qualifying for free/reduced-price lunch.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, Schools and Staffing Survey, 2003-04; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

Appendix table 1-19
Professional development topics reported to be useful or very useful by public middle and high school teachers, by time spent:
Academic year 2003–04
(Percent)

Field/time spent	Content of subject(s) taught	Use of computers for instruction	Student discipline and classroom management
Mathematics	64.2	62.0	53.5
Time spent (hours)			
1–8	46.9	48.3	46.1
9–32	62.3	73.3	67.8
>32	80.3	89.7	69.1
Science	67.1	65.0	55.2
Time spent (hours)			
1–8	52.1	50.7	47.4
9–32	65.0	76.6	76.3
>32	85.9	90.6	90.5
Other	68.6	64.8	59.0
Time spent (hours)			
1–8	49.5	53.2	51.8
9–32	69.3	75.9	72.7
>32	85.0	90.0	88.5

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, Schools and Staffing Survey, 2003-04; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

A1-20 ♦ Appendix Tables

Appendix table 1-20
Topics rated as top priority for additional professional development by public middle and high school teachers, by minority enrollment and school poverty level: Academic year 2003–04
(Percent)

Field/school characteristic	Content of main subject field	Use of technology in instruction	Student discipline and classroom management	Content standards in main subject field	Teaching students with special needs or LEP students	Methods of teaching	Student assessment
Mathematics	22.3	20.9	19.3	11.4	10.2	11.1	2.7
Minority enrollment (%)							
0–5	20.9	22.9	17.1	13.3	7.2	10.8	4.2
>5–45	25.7	19.9	14.0	12.0	9.8	13.8	2.9
>45	19.1	21.3	25.9	10.1	11.8	8.2	2.0
School poverty level ^a (%)							
0–10	22.9	22.5	13.3	14.6	9.3	13.0	1.8
>10-50	24.1	20.2	18.0	10.0	10.6	12.3	2.9
>50	17.5	21.2	27.5	12.7	10.1	7.2	2.4
Science	24.7	20.6	17.5	10.8	11.3	10.9	3.2
0–5	29.8	24.9	11.5	12.1	5.3	11.3	2.8
>5–45		20.5	14.3	11.4	10.8	9.7	3.3
>45	17.1	19.0	23.8	9.7	14.4	12.2	3.3
School poverty level ^a (%)							
0–10		23.4	10.1	9.1	7.6	10.1	2.9
>10–50		20.7	17.7	12.3	10.0	10.2	3.1
>50	17.2	18.1	22.8	9.2	16.8	12.0	3.9
Other	24.4	16.3	19.4	8.5	18.4	8.1	3.5
Minority enrollment (%)							
0–5	25.9	18.6	14.3	10.5	17.3	8.5	3.9
>5–45	26.8	16.1	17.3	8.2	18.2	8.4	3.5
>45	20.7	15.5	24.3	7.8	19.0	7.6	3.3
School poverty levela (%)							
0–10	29.8	18.2	10.9	8.6	17.3	10.1	3.7
>10-50	24.8	16.3	19.1	8.8	18.4	8.0	3.4
>50	19.7	14.6	26.4	7.6	19.1	7.2	3.5

 $[\]label{eq:LEP} \textbf{LEP} = \textbf{limited English proficiency}$

NOTES: Teachers asked to select three top priorities for additional professional development from list of topics shown. Response categories included "first priority," "second priority," and "third priority." Percentages based on teachers' responses of their first priority.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, Schools and Staffing Survey, 2003–04; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

^aSchool poverty level is percentage of students in school qualifying for free/reduced-price lunch.

Appendix table 1-21

Professional commitment of public middle and high school teachers, by teachers' perceptions of working conditions:

Academic year 2003–04

Г	СI	CEI	IL)
٠			-

	I plan to remain	I plan to remain in teaching as long as I am able			If I could start over, I would certainly become a teacher again		
Teacher perception of working conditions	Mathematics	Science	Other	Mathematics	Science	Othe	
Principal lets staff know expectations					,		
Yes	47.9	46.3	46.6	46.4	37.3	44.0	
No	28.6	31.0	36.4	24.2	42.3	37.2	
Administration is supportive and encouraging							
Yes		45.2	47.9	48.8	40.1	45.2	
No	21.8	26.8	32.0	20.2	22.2	30.6	
I am satisfied with my teaching salary	E0.0	42.0	E0 E	EO 0	E1 0	E0.0	
Yes No		43.8 35.1	52.5 33.5	59.8 28.3	51.3 22.9	58.9 27.4	
	30.7	33.1	33.3	20.3	22.9	21.4	
I received a great deal of support from parents	F7 F	E4 0	50.0	50.0	44.0	50.4	
Yes	0.10	51.2	53.8	58.3	44.3	53.4	
No	31.1	37.9	36.7	27.4	26.2	34.6	
Necessary instructional materials are available							
Yes		40.4	45.1	47.5	36.6	45.0	
No	41.3	42.4	41.8	36.4	28.7	33.0	
Principal enforces school rules							
Yes	10.0	47.6	48.6	49.8	37.9	45.1	
No	24.3	37.0	38.8	20.9	21.9	32.5	
There is a great deal of cooperative effort among staff							
Yes	48.1	45.2	49.2	46.0	40.3	45.1	
No	18.5	29.7	33.0	20.2	20.0	32.6	
Staff are recognized for job well done							
Yes	52.2	46.3	51.9	52.0	42.3	48.8	
No	22.1	35.8	31.5	20.9	19.0	31.4	
I am satisfied with being a teacher at this school							
Yes	51.5	52.0	51.3	54.5	45.8	50.8	
No	26.8	28.9	26.5	24.1	16.8	30.9	
Student tardiness is a serious problem							
Yes	38.2	43.0	38.4	37.4	30.0	37.6	
No	48.8	42.2	46.0	53.4	47.0	44.0	
Student absenteeism is a serious problem							
Yes	39.2	41.0	38.4	38.4	27.9	37.6	
No	51.2	52.2	47.6	56.2	49.4	43.6	
Student class cutting is a serious problem							
Yes	35.7	39.6	40.2	35.7	25.0	37.7	
No		40.1	44.8	44.5	35.8	44.5	
Students dropping out is a serious problem	40.0	40.1	44.0	77.0	00.0	77.0	
Yes	41.0	37.3	41.0	41.8	22.5	36.7	
No	11.0	39.6	43.1	44.0	35.2	42.0	
Student apathy is a serious problem	44.2	55.0	40.1	74.0	JJ.2	42.0	
Yes	36.1	37.9	35.6	33.4	29.7	31.6	
No.		50.8	50.9	59.5	50.7	47.4	
	55.0	50.0	30.8	J 9 .0	30.7	47.4	
Students coming to school unprepared to learn is a serious problem							
Yes	42.0	38.2	37.6	37.5	25.6	33.4	
100	61.3	50.2 52.7	54.0	54.4	59.0	49.1	

NOTES: Teachers asked about how long they planned to remain in teaching. Response categories included "as long as I am able," "until I am eligible for retirement," "will probably continue unless something better comes along," "definitely plan to leave teaching as soon as I can," and "undecided at this time." In addition, teachers asked whether they would become a teacher again if they could go back to college days and start over again. Response categories included "certainly," "probably," "chance about even for and against," "probably not," and "certainly not."

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, Schools and Staffing Survey, 2003-04; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, special tabulations.

Appendix table 1-22
High school graduates enrolled in college in October after completing high school, by family income, race/ethnicity, and parents' education: Selected years, 1975–2005
(Percent)

	Total							Parents' education			
		Family income			Race/ethnicity				High school diploma		≥Bachelor's
Year		Low	Middle	High	White	Black	Hispanic	<high school<="" th=""><th>or equivalent</th><th>Some college</th><th>degree</th></high>	or equivalent	Some college	degree
1975	50.7	31.2	46.2	64.5	51.1	41.7	58.0	NA	NA	NA	NA
1980	49.3	32.5	42.5	65.2	49.8	42.7	52.3	NA	NA	NA	NA
1985	57.7	40.2	50.6	74.6	60.1	42.2	51.0	NA	NA	NA	NA
1990	60.1	46.7	54.4	76.6	63.0	46.8	42.7	NA	NA	NA	NA
1995	61.9	34.2	56.1	83.5	64.3	51.2	53.7	27.3	47.0	70.2	87.7
2000	63.3	49.7	59.5	76.9	65.7	54.9	52.9	44.4	51.8	63.8	81.2
2001	61.7	43.8	56.3	79.9	64.2	54.6	51.7	39.0	51.9	62.0	81.3
2002	65.2	56.4	60.7	78.2	68.9	59.4	53.3	43.3	51.9	65.9	82.6
2003	63.9	52.8	57.6	80.1	66.2	57.5	58.6	43.3	53.9	62.9	82.1
2004	66.7	49.6	63.5	79.3	68.8	62.5	61.8	39.6	54.7	66.5	85.8
2005	68.6	53.5	65.1	81.2	73.2	55.7	54.0	43.0	62.1	65.6	88.8

NA = not available

NOTES: Includes students ages 16–24 years completing high school in survey year. Family income categorized as: low income includes families in lowest 20% of income distribution, middle income includes families in highest 20%. Parents' education is highest level of education attained by either parent of student. Some data before 2000 omitted because of space limitations but can be obtained from The Condition of Education 2007 (see source below).

SOURCE: National Center for Education Statistics, The Condition of Education 2007, NCES 2007-064 (2007).