



DHS Exhibit 300 Public Release BY08 (Form) / ICE - Detention and Removal Modernization (2008) (Item)

Form Report, printed by: Administrator, System, Feb 12, 2007

OVERVIEW

General Information

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1. Date of Submission: | Dec 29, 2006 |
| 2. Agency: | Department of Homeland Security |
| 3. Bureau: | Security, Enforcement and Investigations (SEI) |
| 4. Name of this Capital Asset: | ICE - Detention and Removal Modernization (2008) |
| Investment Portfolio: | ICE Home Portfolio 2008 |
| 5. Unique ID: | 024-50-02-00-01-5433-00 |

(For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)

All investments

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2008?

(Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2008, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2008 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

Mixed Life Cycle

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2008

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap.

The Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) Office of Detention and Removal Operations (DRO) primary mission is to provide adequate and appropriate management of aliens in custody, to facilitate the processing of aliens through the immigration enforcement lifecycle, and to enforce their departure from the United States. DRO faces a continued demand to improve its operational performance due to a number of factors such as the Secure Border Initiative (SBI), changes in immigration law, and the roll-out of 287(g) nationwide. Modernizing DRO IT Systems will improve technology and business processes to better manage, track, and report on the movement of aliens through the immigration enforcement lifecycle.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

Yes

9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?

Aug 4, 2006

10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

Yes

12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.

No

12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

Yes

12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

No

12.b.1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

12.b.2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

12.b.3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?

Yes

If "yes," select the initiatives that apply:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| Human Capital | No |
| Budget Performance Integration | No |
| Financial Performance | No |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Expanded E-Government | Yes |
| Competitive Sourcing | No |
| Faith Based and Community | No |
| Real Property Asset Management | No |
| Eliminating Improper Payments | No |
| Privatization of Military Housing | No |
| R and D Investment Criteria | No |
| Housing and Urban Development Management and Performance | No |
| Broadening Health Insurance Coverage through State Initiatives | No |
| Right Sized Overseas Presence | No |
| Coordination of VA and DoD Programs and Systems | No |

13.a. Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)?
 DRDM integrates biometric (IDENT/IAFIS) and biographical data from multiple agencies (State/Local, CIS, EOIR, BOP, FBI, OBP, OFO). Result: Instant retrieval of alien data. Expected ROI: 200,000 to 300,000 cases/yr; net productivity increase 50%.

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?
 Yes

14.a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?
 Yes

14.b. If "yes," what is the name of the PART program assessed by OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool?
 ICE - Detention and Removal

14.c. If "yes," what PART rating did it receive?
 Moderately Effective

15. Is this investment for information technology (See section 53 for definition)?
 Yes

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?
 Level 3

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)
 (1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment


18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's "high risk" memo)?
 No

19. Is this a financial management system?
 No

19.a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFIA compliance area?
 19.a.1. If "yes," which compliance area:
 19.a.2. If "no," what does it address?

19.b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52.

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

| Area | Percentage | |
|--------------|---------------|---|
| Hardware | 0.00 | |
| Software | 15.00 | |
| Services | 85.00 | |
| Other | 0.00 | |
| Total | 100.00 |  |

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?
 No

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?
 Yes

| |
|---------------------------|
| SUMMARY OF FUNDING |
|---------------------------|

| |
|---|
| SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (In Millions) |
|---|

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

All amounts represent Budget Authority

| | PY-1 & Earlier | PY | CY | BY |
|-----------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | -2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| Planning: | | | | |
| Budgetary Resources | 0.000 | 1.700 | 6.750 | 6.700 |
| Acquisition: | | | | |
| Budgetary Resources | 0.000 | 16.200 | 24.425 | 30.000 |
| Subtotal: | | | | |
| Budgetary Resources | 0.000 | 17.900 | 31.175 | 36.700 |
| Maintenance: | | | | |
| Budgetary Resources | 0.000 | 0.000 | 4.136 | 10.000 |
| TOTAL, All Stages | | | | |
| Budgetary Resources | 0.000 | 17.900 | 35.311 | 46.700 |
| Government FTE Cost | 0.000 | 0.600 | 2.500 | 3.300 |
| # of FTEs | 0.00 | 6.00 | 14.00 | 28.00 |
| Total, BR + FTE Cost | 0.000 | 18.500 | 37.811 | 50.000 |

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies).

Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

Yes

2.a. If "yes," how many and in what year?

6 FTE = FY08

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2007 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.

In FY07, the DROM investment increased in size from < \$1m to \$18.5, bringing it to the dollar threshold for a major investment status, thus requiring that an Exhibit 300 be completed. Initially, DROM was funded from year-end-funds and the DRO operational budget. The implementation of the Secure Border Initiative Phase 2 (Interior Enforcement) has changed the operating environment of DRO. The arresting law enforcement entities for illegal aliens will be significantly expanded with increased activity related to State and Local Gov (287(g)), Worksite Enforcement, Criminal Aliens, and Fugitive Operations. The DROM investment will be an integrated enforcement environment consisting of modules for:

1. Bed Space
2. Bonds
3. Case Management
4. Detention Management
5. Transportation
6. Criminal Aliens
7. Fugitive Operations
8. Alternatives to Detention
9. Facility Management
10. Family Units
11. Reporting

These could not be implemented until the ATLAS architecture and infrastructure, which contains the data warehouses and data stores that DRO uses, was adequately completed. The ATLAS investment is now at a point where the DROM investment can begin development. With both investments progressing at their scheduled levels, ICE should begin realizing measurable results by FY09,

when DRO is in operations and maintenance.
