



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

## **FY 2009 Overview:**

**Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP)**  
**State Homeland Security Program-Tribal (SHSP Tribal)**  
**UASI Nonprofit Security Grant Program (UASI NSGP)**  
**Operation Stonegarden (OPSG)**  
**Additional Infrastructure Security Programs**  
**Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPG)**  
**Interoperable Emergency Communications Grant Program (IECGP)**  
**Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program (RCPGP)**

**November 5, 2008**  
**(Revised November 7, 2008)**



**Grant Programs Directorate**

## Homeland Security Grant Program Overview

One of the core missions of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) is to enhance the ability of state, territory, local, and tribal governments to prevent, protect against, respond to and recover from terrorist attacks and other disasters. FEMA's comprehensive suite of grant programs are an important part of the Administration's larger, coordinated effort to strengthen homeland security preparedness. These programs implement objectives addressed in a series of post-9/11 laws, strategy documents, plans and Homeland Security Presidential Directives (HSPDs).

The seven preparedness programs within the Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP) are:

- Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP)
  - State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)
  - Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI)
  - Metropolitan Medical Response System (MMRS)
  - Citizen Corps Program (CCP)
- State Homeland Security Program Tribal (SHSP Tribal)
- Nonprofit Security Grant Program (NSGP)
- Operation Stonegarden Grant Program (OPSG)

Together, these grants may fund a wide range of preparedness activities, to include planning, organization, equipment purchase, training, exercises and management and administration costs. These programs support objectives outlined in the National Preparedness Guidelines and related national preparedness doctrine, such as the National Incident Management System, National Response Framework and the National Infrastructure Protection Plan.

Applications for these programs are due March 20, 2009. DHS has also created multiple opportunities for applicants to consult with the department's Grant Programs Directorate and subject matter experts during the review process and prior to the announcement of awards.

### Homeland Security Grant Program Overview Funding Distribution – FY 2008 and FY 2009

Program	FY 2008	FY 2009
Homeland Security Grant Program	\$1,697,314,000	\$1,714,300,154
State Homeland Security Program	\$861,280,000	\$861,265,000
Urban Areas Security Initiative	\$781,630,000	\$798,631,250
Metropolitan Medical Response System	\$39,831,500	\$39,831,404
Citizen Corps Program	\$14,572,500	\$14,572,500
State Homeland Security Program Tribal	\$1,645,000	\$1,660,000
UASI Nonprofit Security Grant Program	\$15,000,000	\$15,000,000
Operation Stonegarden	\$60,000,000	\$60,000,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,773,959,000</b>	<b>\$1,790,960,154</b>

## **State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$861,265,000

**Purpose:** This core assistance program provides funds to build capabilities at the state and local levels and to implement the goals and objectives included in state homeland security strategies and initiatives in the State Preparedness Report.

**Eligible Applicants:** Eligible entities for SHSP are all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

**Awards:** Funds will be allocated based on risk analysis and the anticipated effectiveness of proposed investments by the applicants.

**Program Awards and Funding Minimum:** Each state will receive a minimum allocation under SHSP using the thresholds established in the *Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Act of 2007* (P.L. 110-053) (9/11 Act). All 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico will receive 0.365 percent of the total funds allocated for grants under Sections 2003 and 2004 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 as amended by the 9/11 Act for SHSP and UASI programs. Four territories (American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands and the U.S. Virgin Islands) will receive a minimum allocation of 0.08 percent of the total funds allocated for grants under Sections 2003 and 2004 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 as amended by the 9/11 Act for SHSP and UASI programs.

## **Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) Program**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$798,631,250

**Purpose:** The UASI program focuses on enhancing regional preparedness in major metropolitan areas. The UASI program directly supports the National Priority on expanding regional collaboration in the National Preparedness Guidelines and is intended to assist participating jurisdictions in developing integrated regional systems for prevention, protection, response and recovery.

**Eligible Applicants:** The 62 highest risk Urban Areas are eligible for funding under the FY 2009 UASI program.

**Program Awards:** The seven highest risk urban areas, designated Tier 1 Urban Areas, will compete for approximately \$439 million or 55 percent of available funds. The remaining urban areas, designated Tier 2 Urban Areas, will compete for approximately \$359 million or 45 percent of available funds. Funds will be allocated based on risk analysis and the anticipated effectiveness of proposed investments by the applicants.

## **Metropolitan Medical Response System (MMRS) Program**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$39,831,404

**Purpose:** The MMRS program supports the integration of emergency management, health, and medical systems into a coordinated response to mass casualty incidents caused by any hazard. Successful MMRS grantees reduce the consequences of a mass casualty incident during the initial period of a response by having augmented existing local operational response systems before the incident occurs.

**Eligible Applicants:** As with previous years, 124 cities are eligible for MMRS funding.

**Program Awards:** Each of the 124 MMRS jurisdictions will receive \$321,221 to establish or sustain local capabilities.

## **Citizen Corps Program (CCP)**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$14,572,500

**Purpose:** The Citizen Corps mission is to bring community and government leaders together to coordinate community involvement in emergency preparedness, planning, mitigation, response and recovery.

**Eligible Applicants:** CCP eligibility mirrors that of SHSP: all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

**Program Awards and Funding Minimum:** CCP allocations are determined using the USA PATRIOT Act formula, which specifies that all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico will receive a minimum of 0.75 percent of the total available grant funding, and that four territories (American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands and the U.S. Virgin Islands) will receive a minimum of 0.25 percent of the total available grant funding. The balance of CCP funds will be distributed on a population-share basis. In addition to CCP allocations, states and urban areas are encouraged to fully leverage HSGP resources to accomplish the Citizen Corps mission.

## **State Homeland Security Program Tribal (SHSP Tribal)**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$1,660,000

**Purpose:** To provide supplemental funding to directly eligible tribes to help strengthen the nation against risks associated with potential terrorist attacks. Pursuant to the 9/11 Act, "a directly eligible tribe applying for a grant under section 2004 [SHSP] shall designate an individual to serve as a tribal liaison with [DHS] and other federal, state, local, and regional government officials concerning preventing, preparing for, protecting against and responding to acts of terrorism."

**Eligible Applicants:** Per the 9/11 Act, a “directly eligible tribe” is defined as — (A) any Indian tribe— (i) that is located in the continental United States; (ii) that operates a law enforcement or emergency response agency with the capacity to respond to calls for law enforcement or emergency services; (iii)(I) that is located on or near an international border or a coastline bordering an ocean (including the Gulf of Mexico) or international waters; (II) that is located within 10 miles of a system or asset included on the prioritized critical infrastructure list established under section 210E(a)(2) or has such a system or asset within its territory; (III) that is located within or contiguous to 1 of the 50 most populous metropolitan statistical areas in the United States; or (IV) the jurisdiction of which includes not less than 1,000 square miles of Indian country, as that term is defined in section 1151 of title 18, United States Code; and (iv) that certifies to the Secretary that a state has not provided funds under section 2003 or 2004 to the Indian tribe or consortium of Indian tribes for the purpose for which direct funding is sought; and (B) a consortium of Indian tribes, if each tribe satisfies the requirements of subparagraph (A).

**Program Awards:** Funds will be allocated competitively to eligible tribes based on risk analysis and the anticipated effectiveness of proposed investments by the applicants.

## **Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) Nonprofit Security Grant Program (NSGP)**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$15,000,000

**Purpose:** UASI NSGP provides funding support for target-hardening activities to nonprofit organizations that are at high risk of a terrorist attack and are located within one of the specific UASI-eligible urban areas.

**Eligible Applicants:** Eligible nonprofit organizations (as described under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code) that are at high risk of a terrorist attack and are located within one of the specific UASI-eligible urban areas must apply for funding through their State Administrative Agency (SAA).

**Program Awards:** Funds will be based on risk analysis, effectiveness and integration with broader state and local preparedness efforts.

## **Operation Stonegarden (OPSG)**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$60,000,000

**Purpose:** The intent of OPSG is to enhance cooperation and coordination among local, state and federal law enforcement agencies in a joint mission to secure the United States borders along routes of ingress from international borders to include travel corridors in States bordering Mexico and Canada, as well as states and territories with international water borders.

**Eligible Applicants:** Eligible applicants under the FY 2009 OPSG are local units of government at the county level and federally-recognized tribal governments in the states bordering Canada (including Alaska), southern states bordering Mexico, and states and territories with International water borders.

**Program Awards:** Funds will be allocated competitively to designated localities within U.S. Border States based on risk analysis and the anticipated feasibility and effectiveness of proposed investments by the applicants.

## Additional Infrastructure Security Programs Overview

The DHS additional infrastructure security programs are designed to strengthen the nation's ability to protect critical infrastructure facilities and transit systems. There are seven different grant programs:

- Transit Security Grant Program (TSGP)
  - Freight Rail Security Grant Program (FRSGP)
  - Intercity Passenger Rail (Amtrak)
- Port Security Grant Program (PSGP)
- Intercity Bus Security Grant Program (IBSGP)
- Trucking Security Program (TSP)
- Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP)

Together, these grants fund a range of preparedness activities, including strengthening infrastructure against explosive attacks, preparedness, planning, equipment purchase, training, exercises, security management and administration costs. Transportation infrastructure security grants support objectives outlined in post-9/11 laws, strategy documents, plans, Executive Orders, Homeland Security Presidential Directives, the National Preparedness Guidelines and associated work products, including the National Infrastructure Protection Plan (NIPP) and its transportation sector-specific plans and Executive Order 13416 (Strengthening Surface Transportation Security).

The FY 2009 additional infrastructure security programs contain significant improvements based upon extensive outreach to stakeholders. In addition, the risk assessments that form the basis for eligibility under these programs have been slightly refined to conform to the final provisions of the 9/11 Act.

Applications for these programs are due January 13, 2009. Additionally, some transportation infrastructure security grants will be executed as cooperative agreements which allows for more collaboration between DHS and the applicants in refining funding proposals. DHS has also created multiple opportunities for applicants to consult with the department's grant program and subject matter experts during the review process and prior to the announcement of awards.

### Additional Infrastructure Security Programs Funding Distribution – FY 2008 and FY 2009

Program	FY 2008	FY 2009
Transit Security Grant Program <sup>1</sup>	\$388,600,000	\$388,600,000
Freight Rail Security Grant Program	\$15,000,000	\$15,000,000
Intercity Passenger Rail (Amtrak)	\$25,000,000	\$25,000,000
Port Security Grant Program	\$388,600,000	\$388,600,000
Intercity Bus Security Grant Program	\$11,172,250	\$11,658,000
Trucking Security Program	\$15,544,000	\$7,772,000
Buffer Zone Protection Program	\$48,575,000	\$48,575,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$852,491,250</b>	<b>\$845,205,000</b>

<sup>1</sup> The Freight Rail Security Grant Program and Intercity Passenger Rail (Amtrak) program are components of the Transit Security Grant Program.

## **Transit Security Grant Program (TSGP)**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$348,600,000<sup>2</sup>

**Purpose:** The TSGP provides grant funding to the nation's key high-threat urban areas to enhance security measures for their critical transit infrastructure including bus, ferry and rail systems.

**Eligible Applicants:** Transit agencies eligible for FY 2009 TSGP funding were identified using a comprehensive, empirically-grounded risk analysis model. The risk methodology for the TSGP is consistent across modes and is linked to the risk methodology used to determine eligibility for the core DHS state and local grant programs. TSGP basic eligibility is derived from the Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI).

Certain ferry systems are eligible to participate in the FY 2009 TSGP and receive funds under the Tier I cooperative agreement process. However, any ferry system electing to participate and receive funds under the FY 2009 TSGP cannot participate in the FY 2009 Port Security Grant Program (PSGP), and will not be considered for funding under the FY 2009 PSGP. Likewise, any ferry system that participates in PSGP cannot be considered for funding under TSGP.

**Program Awards:** Regional transit agencies and ferry systems will compete for funding within their tiers.

## **Freight Rail Security Grant Program (FRSGP)**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$15,000,000

**Purpose:** In FY 2009, the FRSGP will fund security training for frontline employees, the completion of vulnerability assessments, the development of security plans within the freight rail industry and GPS tracking systems for railroad cars transporting toxic inhalation materials (TIH).

**Eligible Applicants:** Eligible applicants are divided into groups based on the types of projects they can apply for: Class I, II and III railroad carriers, and owners of railroad cars transporting TIH. Eligible railroad carriers may ONLY request funding for security awareness, emergency response training for railroad frontline employees and the completion of vulnerability assessments and security plans.

**Program Awards:** Applicants will be selected through a competitive process based on their ability to deliver training, develop security plans and vulnerability assessments, and proposals to install Global Positioning Tracking (GPS) on rail cars carrying TIH.

## **Intercity Passenger Rail (Amtrak)**

---

<sup>2</sup> The FY 2009 Budget provides a total of \$388,600,000 available for the TSGP. A set aside of \$25 million has been made for Amtrak, and \$15 million is available for the Freight Rail Security Grant Program.



**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$25,000,000

**Purpose:** The purpose of the FY 2009 Intercity Passenger Rail (IPR) is to create a sustainable, risk-based effort to protect critical surface transportation infrastructure and the traveling public from acts of terrorism, major disasters and other emergencies within the Amtrak rail system.

**Eligible Applicants:** Amtrak is the only agency eligible to apply for Intercity Passenger Rail program funds.

**Program Awards:** Amtrak will receive a targeted allocation of \$25,000,000.

## **Port Security Grant Program (PSGP)**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$388,600,000

**Purpose:** The PSGP provides grant funding to port areas for the protection of critical port infrastructure from terrorism. PSGP funds are primarily intended to assist ports in enhancing maritime domain awareness, enhancing risk management capabilities to prevent, detect, respond to and recover from attacks involving improvised explosive devices (IEDs), weapons of mass destruction (WMDs) and other non-conventional weapons, as well as training and exercises and Transportation Worker Identification Credential (TWIC) implementation.

**Eligible Applicants:** Seven port areas have been selected as Group I (highest risk) and 48 port areas have been selected as Group II. Ports not identified in Group I or II are eligible to apply as a Group III or "All Other Port Areas" applicant. Under a fifth group eligible ferry systems may also apply for funding. "All Other Port Areas" within Group I, II or III are allowed to receive grant funds from their geographically proximate higher group if the project has regional impact across the entire port area, but not from both funding groups for the same project. Eligible ferry systems identified in the FY 2009 Transit Security Grant Program (TSGP) Guidance that elect to participate and receive funds under the FY 2009 TSGP cannot participate in the PSGP.

**Program Awards:** Each Group I and Group II port area has been designated a specific amount of money based upon the FY 2009 risk analysis. Group III, and "All Other Port Areas" and ferry systems will compete for the funding identified in their corresponding group. Newly identified Group II port areas opting out of the Fiduciary Agent process will compete for funding among the Group III port areas.

## **Intercity Bus Security Grant Program (IBSGP)**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$11,658,000

**Purpose:** The IBSGP provides funding to create a sustainable program for the protection of intercity bus systems and the traveling public from terrorism. The program seeks to assist operators of fixed-route intercity and charter bus services in obtaining the resources required to support security measures such as enhanced planning, facility security upgrades and vehicle and driver protection.

**Eligible Applicants:** The only eligible grantees for the FY 2009 IBSGP are private operators providing fixed-route or charter transportation by an over-the-road bus servicing an Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) jurisdiction. Charter companies must make a minimum of 50 trips annually to one or more UASI jurisdictions. Companies with 250 or more over-the-road buses in operation and providing the highest volume of services to high-risk urban areas will be placed in Tier I. All other applicants that meet the minimum eligibility requirements will be placed in Tier II.

**Program Awards:** Bus companies will compete for funds within their designated tiers.

## **Trucking Security Program (TSP)**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$7,772,000

**Purpose:** The FY 2009 TSP funding will be awarded to eligible applicants to implement security improvement measures and policies deemed valuable by DHS as indicated in the [Security Action Items](#) publication of June 26, 2008. These items are primarily focused on the purchase and installation or enhancement of equipment and systems related to tractor and trailer tracking systems. Additionally, the TSP will provide funding to develop a system for DHS to monitor, collect and analyze tracking information; and develop plans to improve the effectiveness of transportation and distribution of supplies and commodities during catastrophic events.

**Eligible Applicants:** Eligibility for funding under the Security Action Item Implementation priority is limited to applicants who have a current security plan subject to Title 49 CFR 172.800 Transport Tier I Commodities as defined by TSA through the issuance of Highway Security-Sensitive Materials (HSSM) Security Action Items. Eligible applicants will be placed into one of two tiers: Tier I consisting of eligible applicants that have 11 or more tractors or Tier II, consisting of eligible applicants that have 10 or less tractors. There are no restrictions on the eligibility for the monitoring and planning priority of TSP. These applicants must demonstrate that they have the financial and resource capabilities to successfully address the Security Action Implementation and Monitoring and Planning priorities.

**Program Awards:** A national review panel consisting of subject matter experts from federal agencies will review and assess applications based on feasibility, timelines and sustainability.

## **Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP)**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$48,575,000

**Purpose:** The BZPP provides funding to increase the preparedness capabilities of jurisdictions responsible for the safety and security of communities surrounding high-priority pre-designated Tier 1 and Tier 2 critical infrastructure and key resource (CIKR) assets, including chemical facilities, financial institutions, nuclear and electric power plants, dams, stadiums and other high-risk/high-consequence facilities, through allowable planning and equipment acquisition.

**Eligible Applicants:** Specific BZPP sites within 49 states, the District of Columbia, and the U.S. Virgin Islands have been selected based on their level of risk and criticality. Each state or territory with a BZPP site is eligible to submit applications for its local communities to participate in and receive funding under the FY 2009 BZPP. BZPP funding allocated to any given state or territory is a function of the number, type, and character of the pre-identified sites within that state or territory.

**Program Awards:** All BZPP sites have been selected prior to the grant announcement based on the risk of the individual sites themselves. Therefore, FY 2009 BZPP funding allocated to any given state or territory is entirely a function of the number, type and character of pre-identified higher-risk sites within their respective jurisdictions; there are no discretionary sites.

## Additional Programs Overview

In addition to the FY 2009 HSGP and Critical Infrastructure Security Programs (CISP) Guidance and Application Kits announced on November 5, 2008, FEMA has released three additional programs to support its mission:

- Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPG)
- Interoperable Emergency Communications Grant Program (IECGP)
- Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program (RCPGP)

### Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPG)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$306,022,500

**Purpose:** The purpose of the FY 2009 EMPG is to assist state and local governments in enhancing and sustaining all-hazards emergency management capabilities.

**Applicants:** The governor of each state and territory is required to designate a State Administrative Agency (SAA) to apply for and administer the funds awarded under EMPG. The SAA is the only entity eligible to apply for EMPG funds.

**Program Awards:** The allocation methodology for FY 2009 EMPG dictates that all 50 states, the District of Columbia and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico will receive a base amount of 0.75 percent of the total available grant funding. Four territories (American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands and the U.S. Virgin Islands) will receive a base amount of 0.25 percent of the total available grant funding. The balance of EMPG funds will be distributed on a population-share basis. Pursuant to the Compact of Free Association, funds are available for the Federated States of Micronesia and for the Republic of the Marshall Islands.

EMPG has a 50 percent federal and 50 percent state cost share, cash or in-kind match requirement.

### Interoperable Emergency Communications Grant Program (IECGP)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2008:** \$48,575,000

**Purpose:** IECGP provides governance, planning, training and exercise and equipment funding to states, territories, and local and tribal governments to carry out initiatives to improve interoperable emergency communications, including communications in collective response to natural disasters, acts of terrorism and other man-made disasters. According to the legislation that created IECGP, all proposed activities must be integral to interoperable emergency communications and must be aligned with the goals, objectives and initiatives identified in the grantee's approved statewide Communication Interoperability Plans (SCIP). IECGP will also advance DHS near-term priorities that are deemed critical to improving interoperable emergency communications and are consistent with goals and objectives of the National Emergency Communications Plan. For FY 2009, two priority groups have been identified that are deemed critical for advancing interoperable emergency communications in alignment with the criteria established for the SCIP process: 1) Gaps

in Leadership and Governance and Common Operational Planning and Protocols; and 2) Emergency Responder Skills and Capabilities Development Through Training and Exercises.

IECGP has a 75 percent federal and 25 percent state cost share, cash or in-kind, requirement for equipment purchases only. Match requirements are waived for the territories of American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

**Eligible Applicants:** The governor of each state and territory has designated a State Administrative Agency (SAA), which can apply for and administer the funds under IECGP. The SAA is the only agency eligible to apply for IECGP funds.

**Program Awards:** FY 2009 IECGP funds will be allocated based on risk and statutory minimums, per the 9/11 Act. All 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico will receive a minimum of 0.50 percent of the total funds allocated. Four territories (American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam and the U.S. Virgin Islands) will receive a minimum allocation of 0.08 percent of the total funds allocated.

## **Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program (RCPGP)**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2009:** \$34,002,500

**Purpose:** RCPGP will provide \$31,002,500 in FY 2009 to enhance catastrophic incident preparedness in selected high-risk, high-consequence urban areas. A total of \$3,000,000 will be used to support technical assistance funding in FY 2009. RCPGP is intended to support coordination of regional all-hazard planning for catastrophic events, including the development of integrated planning communities, plans, protocols and procedures to manage a catastrophic event.

**Eligible Applicants:** Eligible applicants under FY 2009 RCPGP include the eleven (11) pre-designated high-risk, high-consequence urban areas within the ten (10) RCPGP sites.

**Program Awards:** One non-competitive award will be made to each of the pre-designated eleven (11) high-risk, high consequence urban areas within the ten (10) RCPGP sites, provided their application meets the minimum standards specified for FY 2009. Funds will be allocated based on the risk of a catastrophic incident occurring in the region and the anticipated effectiveness of the proposed projects upon completion of the application review process.

# FY 2009 Funding Tables

## FY 2009 SHSP Target Funding Allocations

The following table identifies the SHSP target allocations. States should apply for 110 percent of the targeted allocation. FEMA may increase or decrease final SHSP allocations by up to 10 percent based on the effectiveness analysis.

State/Territory	FY 2009 Target Allocation	Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Activities Minimum
Alabama	\$10,612,000	\$2,926,335
Alaska	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
American Samoa	\$1,328,000	\$366,206
Arizona	\$13,181,000	\$3,634,755
Arkansas	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
California	\$104,586,000	\$28,840,340
Colorado	\$11,286,000	\$3,112,195
Connecticut	\$9,861,000	\$2,719,241
Delaware	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
District of Columbia	\$10,754,000	\$2,965,493
Florida	\$35,236,000	\$9,716,580
Georgia	\$20,786,000	\$5,731,889
Guam	\$1,328,000	\$366,206
Hawaii	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
Idaho	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
Illinois	\$33,212,000	\$9,158,447
Indiana	\$12,018,000	\$3,314,050
Iowa	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
Kansas	\$7,154,000	\$1,972,767
Kentucky	\$9,111,000	\$2,512,424
Louisiana	\$15,305,000	\$4,220,464
Maine	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
Maryland	\$17,100,000	\$4,715,448
Massachusetts	\$16,350,000	\$4,508,630
Michigan	\$20,359,000	\$5,614,140
Minnesota	\$11,647,000	\$3,211,744
Mississippi	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
Missouri	\$11,353,000	\$3,130,671
Montana	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
Nebraska	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
Nevada	\$8,921,000	\$2,460,030
New Hampshire	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
New Jersey	\$26,391,000	\$7,277,508
New Mexico	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
New York	\$113,222,000	\$31,221,778
North Carolina	\$15,466,000	\$4,264,860
North Dakota	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
Northern Mariana Islands	\$1,328,000	\$366,206
Ohio	\$23,294,000	\$6,423,488

<b>State/Territory</b>	<b>FY 2009 Target Allocation</b>	<b>Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Activities Minimum</b>
Oklahoma	\$7,306,000	\$2,014,682
Oregon	\$8,493,000	\$2,342,006
Pennsylvania	\$28,795,000	\$7,940,428
Puerto Rico	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
Rhode Island	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
South Carolina	\$8,531,000	\$2,352,484
South Dakota	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
Tennessee	\$12,236,000	\$3,374,165
Texas	\$62,168,000	\$17,143,272
U.S. Virgin Islands	\$1,328,000	\$366,206
Utah	\$6,470,000	\$1,784,149
Vermont	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
Virginia	\$20,710,000	\$5,710,931
Washington	\$18,791,000	\$5,181,753
West Virginia	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
Wisconsin	\$10,108,000	\$2,787,353
Wyoming	\$6,060,000	\$1,671,088
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$861,265,000</b>	<b>\$237,500,000</b>

## FY 2009 UASI Target Allocations

The following table identifies the target allocations for UASI. Urban areas should apply for 110 percent of the target allocation. FEMA may increase or decrease final UASI allocations by up to 10 percent based on the effectiveness analysis.

		Urban Area	FY 2009 Target Allocation	Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Activities Minimum
TIER 1	California	Bay Area	\$40,638,250	\$10,654,020
		Los Angeles/Long Beach Area	\$68,290,450	\$17,903,523
	District of Columbia	National Capital Region	\$58,006,500	\$15,207,408
	Illinois	Chicago Area	\$52,320,650	\$13,716,764
	New Jersey	Jersey City/Newark Area	\$35,298,150	\$9,254,021
	New York	New York City Area	\$145,137,750	\$38,050,372
	Texas	Houston Area	\$39,555,450	\$10,370,146
TIER 2	Arizona	Phoenix Area	\$10,984,400	\$2,879,751
		Tucson Area	\$4,515,350	\$1,183,777
	California	Anaheim/Santa Ana Area	\$12,753,750	\$3,343,616
		Riverside Area	\$5,277,100	\$1,383,483
		Sacramento Area	\$3,938,300	\$1,032,493
		San Diego Area	\$14,735,000	\$3,863,035
		Oxnard Area	\$2,502,950	\$656,192
	Colorado	Denver Area	\$7,233,800	\$1,896,466
	Connecticut	Bridgeport Area	\$2,807,300	\$735,982
		Hartford Area	\$2,747,000	\$720,174
	Florida	Fort Lauderdale Area	\$6,063,400	\$1,589,625
		Jacksonville Area	\$5,436,850	\$1,425,364
		Miami Area	\$11,039,500	\$2,894,196
		Orlando Area	\$5,160,400	\$1,352,888
		Tampa Area	\$7,933,950	\$2,080,022
	Georgia	Atlanta Area	\$13,509,000	\$3,541,618
	Hawaii	Honolulu Area	\$4,754,750	\$1,246,540
	Indiana	Indianapolis Area	\$7,104,600	\$1,862,594
	Kentucky	Louisville Area	\$2,198,500	\$576,375
	Louisiana	Baton Rouge Area	\$3,048,900	\$799,322
		New Orleans Area	\$5,429,600	\$1,423,464
	Maryland	Baltimore Area	\$10,974,900	\$2,877,260
	Massachusetts	Boston Area	\$14,564,400	\$3,818,309
	Michigan	Detroit Area	\$13,481,450	\$3,534,395
	Minnesota	Twin Cities Area	\$8,248,000	\$2,162,356
	Missouri	Kansas City Area	\$7,694,550	\$2,017,259
		St. Louis Area	\$8,532,900	\$2,237,047
	Nevada	Las Vegas Area	\$8,579,000	\$2,249,133
	New York	Albany Area	\$1,924,250	\$504,475
		Buffalo Area	\$5,040,700	\$1,321,507
Rochester Area		\$2,342,900	\$614,232	
Syracuse Area		\$1,869,300	\$490,069	
North Carolina	Charlotte Area	\$4,579,950	\$1,200,713	
Ohio	Cincinnati Area	\$4,969,150	\$1,302,749	



		Urban Area	FY 2009 Target Allocation	Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Activities Minimum
		Cleveland Area	\$5,086,800	\$1,333,593
		Columbus Area	\$4,349,600	\$1,140,323
		Toledo Area	\$2,287,550	\$599,721
	Oklahoma	Oklahoma City Area	\$4,404,700	\$1,154,768
		Tulsa Area	\$2,160,450	\$566,399
	Oregon	Portland Area	\$7,178,700	\$1,882,020
	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia Area	\$17,950,450	\$4,706,021
		Pittsburgh Area	\$6,395,400	\$1,676,665
	Puerto Rico	San Juan Area	\$3,183,250	\$834,544
	Rhode Island	Providence Area	\$4,764,250	\$1,249,031
	Tennessee	Memphis Area	\$4,229,900	\$1,108,941
		Nashville Area	\$2,986,200	\$782,884
	Texas	Austin Area	\$2,922,550	\$766,197
		Dallas/Fort Worth/Arlington Area	\$19,305,450	\$5,061,258
		El Paso Area	\$5,381,750	\$1,410,919
		San Antonio Area	\$6,220,150	\$1,630,720
	Utah	Salt Lake City Area	\$2,938,300	\$770,326
	Virginia	Norfolk Area	\$7,372,000	\$1,932,697
		Richmond Area	\$2,710,700	\$710,657
	Washington	Seattle Area	\$11,313,600	\$2,966,056
Wisconsin	Milwaukee Area	\$4,266,450	\$1,118,524	
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$798,631,250</b>	<b>\$209,375,000</b>

## FY 2009 MMRS Allocations

State	MMRS Jurisdictions	State Total
Alabama	Birmingham, Huntsville, Mobile, and Montgomery	\$1,284,884
Alaska	Anchorage and Juneau	\$642,442
Arizona	Glendale, Mesa, Phoenix, and Tucson	\$1,284,884
Arkansas	Little Rock	\$321,221
California	Anaheim, Bakersfield, Fremont, Fresno, Glendale, Huntington Beach, Long Beach, Los Angeles, Modesto, Oakland, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Francisco, San Jose, Santa Ana, and Stockton	\$5,781,978
Colorado	Aurora, Colorado Springs, and Denver	\$963,663
Connecticut	Hartford	\$321,221
Florida	Fort Lauderdale, Hialeah, Jacksonville, Miami, Orlando, St. Petersburg, and Tampa	\$2,248,547
Georgia	Atlanta and Columbus	\$642,442
Hawaii	Honolulu	\$321,221
Illinois	Chicago	\$321,221
Indiana	Ft. Wayne and Indianapolis	\$642,442
Iowa	Des Moines	\$321,221
Kansas	Kansas City and Wichita	\$642,442
Kentucky	Lexington/Fayette and Louisville	\$642,442
Louisiana	Baton Rouge, Jefferson Parish, New Orleans, and Shreveport	\$1,284,884
Maryland	Baltimore	\$321,221
Massachusetts	Boston, Springfield, and Worcester	\$963,663
Michigan	Detroit, Grand Rapids, and Warren	\$963,663
Minnesota	Minneapolis and St. Paul	\$642,442
Mississippi	Jackson	\$321,221
Missouri	Kansas City and St. Louis	\$642,442
Nebraska	Lincoln and Omaha	\$642,442
Nevada	Las Vegas	\$321,221
New Hampshire	Northern New England MMRS	\$321,221
New Jersey	Jersey City and Newark	\$642,442
New Mexico	Albuquerque	\$321,221
New York	Buffalo, New York City, Rochester, Syracuse, and Yonkers	\$1,606,105
North Carolina	Charlotte, Greensboro, and Raleigh	\$963,663
Ohio	Akron, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Columbus, Dayton, and Toledo	\$1,927,326
Oklahoma	Oklahoma City and Tulsa	\$642,442
Oregon	Portland	\$321,221
Pennsylvania	Allegheny County and Philadelphia	\$642,442
Rhode Island	Providence	\$321,221
South Carolina	Columbia	\$321,221
Tennessee	Chattanooga, Knoxville, Memphis, and Nashville	\$1,284,884
Texas	Amarillo, Arlington, Austin, Corpus Christi, Dallas, El Paso, Fort Worth, Garland, Houston, Irving, Lubbock, San Antonio, and Southern Rio Grande	\$4,175,873
Utah	Salt Lake City	\$321,221
Virginia	Arlington County, Chesapeake, Newport News, Norfolk, Richmond, and Virginia Beach	\$1,927,326
Washington	Seattle, Spokane, and Tacoma	\$963,663
Wisconsin	Madison and Milwaukee	\$642,442
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$39,831,404</b>

## FY 2009 CCP Allocations

State/Territory	Allocation	State/Territory	Allocation
Alabama	\$241,527	Nevada	\$182,596
Alaska	\$128,823	New Hampshire	\$146,892
Arizona	\$290,414	New Jersey	\$357,481
Arkansas	\$190,294	New Mexico	\$165,581
California	\$1,153,746	New York	\$660,697
Colorado	\$248,204	North Carolina	\$368,199
Connecticut	\$209,367	North Dakota	\$127,573
Delaware	\$134,003	Ohio	\$436,943
District of Columbia	\$126,103	Oklahoma	\$212,653
Florida	\$630,795	Oregon	\$216,372
Georgia	\$382,020	Pennsylvania	\$464,542
Hawaii	\$145,965	Rhode Island	\$139,520
Idaho	\$152,137	South Carolina	\$235,237
Illinois	\$476,536	South Dakota	\$132,044
Indiana	\$290,601	Tennessee	\$285,213
Iowa	\$194,673	Texas	\$792,325
Kansas	\$188,614	Utah	\$184,880
Kentucky	\$230,487	Vermont	\$127,045
Louisiana	\$231,965	Virginia	\$329,655
Maine	\$146,931	Washington	\$294,119
Maryland	\$269,829	West Virginia	\$161,070
Massachusetts	\$293,586	Wisconsin	\$269,352
Michigan	\$397,081	Wyoming	\$124,233
Minnesota	\$257,808	Puerto Rico	\$221,941
Mississippi	\$192,694	U.S. Virgin Islands	\$39,570
Missouri	\$277,260	American Samoa	\$38,284
Montana	\$136,658	Guam	\$41,457
Nebraska	\$159,999	Northern Mariana Islands	\$38,906
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$14,572,500</b>

## FY 2009 Transit Security Grant Program — Funding Eligibility

Tier	State/Territory	Urban Area/Grantee	FY 2009
I		Amtrak	\$25,000,000
		Freight Rail Security	\$15,000,000
	California	Los Angeles/Long Beach	\$13,333,678
		San Francisco Bay	\$28,259,722
	District of Columbia Maryland Virginia	National Capital Region	\$38,080,340
	Georgia	Atlanta	\$6,399,055
	Illinois Indiana	Chicago	\$24,856,829
	Massachusetts	Boston	\$29,259,896
	New York New Jersey Connecticut	New York/New Jersey/Connecticut	\$153,256,664
	Pennsylvania New Jersey	Philadelphia	\$18,553,816
II	Arizona	Phoenix	\$36,600,000
		Tucson	
	California	Fresno	
		Sacramento	
		San Diego	
	Colorado	Denver	
	Florida	Jacksonville	
		Miami/Fort Lauderdale	
		Orlando	
		Tampa	
	Hawaii	Honolulu	
	Illinois	Urbana-Champaign	
	Indiana	Indianapolis	
	Kentucky	Louisville	
	Louisiana	New Orleans	
	Massachusetts	Springfield	
	Michigan	Detroit	
		Lansing	
	Minnesota	Twin Cities	
	Missouri	Kansas City	
		St. Louis	
	North Carolina	Charlotte	
	New Mexico	Albuquerque	
	Nevada	Las Vegas	
		Reno	
	New York	Albany	
		Buffalo	
		Rochester	
	Ohio	Cincinnati	
		Cleveland	
		Columbus	
		Dayton	
Oregon	Portland		
	Eugene		
Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh		
Puerto Rico	San Juan		
Rhode Island	Providence		
Tennessee	Memphis		
	Nashville		

Tier	State/Territory	Urban Area/Grantee	FY 2009
II	Texas	Austin	
		Dallas/Fort Worth/Arlington	
		Houston	
		El Paso	
		San Antonio	
	Utah	Salt Lake City	
	Virginia	Norfolk	
		Richmond	
	Washington	Seattle	
		Spokane	
	Wisconsin	Madison	
		Milwaukee	
	<b>Total</b>		

## FY 2009 Port Security Grant Program — Funding Eligibility

Group	State	Port Area	FY 2009 Allocation
I	California	Los Angeles-Long Beach	\$36,390,481
		Bay Area	\$25,533,655
	Delaware New Jersey Pennsylvania	Delaware Bay	\$19,114,279
	Louisiana	New Orleans	\$29,417,915
	New York New Jersey	New York-New Jersey	\$43,397,694
	Texas	Houston-Galveston	\$30,794,672
	Washington	Puget Sound	\$26,001,293
II	Alaska	Anchorage	\$571,000
	Alabama	Mobile	\$2,803,000
	California	Port Hueneme	\$613,000
		San Diego	\$2,897,000
	Connecticut	Long Island Sound	\$4,311,000
	Florida	Jacksonville	\$5,747,000
		Miami	\$2,885,000
		Panama City	\$1,594,000
		Pensacola	\$1,609,000
		Port Canaveral	\$2,907,000
		Port Everglades	\$4,214,000
		Tampa Bay	\$2,305,000
	Georgia	Savannah	\$5,032,000
	Guam	Apra Harbor	\$545,000
	Hawaii	Honolulu	\$4,525,000
	Illinois Indiana	Southern Tip of Lake Michigan	\$6,243,000
	Kentucky	Louisville	\$1,513,000
	Louisiana	Lake Charles	\$3,941,000
		Morgan City	\$423,000
		Port Fourchon/LOOP	\$2,902,000
	Massachusetts	Boston	\$4,518,000
	Maryland	Baltimore	\$6,235,000
	Maine	Portland	\$1,066,000
	Michigan	Detroit	\$1,520,000
	Minnesota	Minneapolis-St. Paul	\$2,019,000
	Minnesota Wisconsin	Duluth-Superior	\$1,905,000
	Missouri	Kansas City	\$1,550,000
		St. Louis	\$2,494,000
	Mississippi	Pascagoula	\$346,000
	North Carolina	Morehead City	\$1,682,000
		Wilmington	\$5,395,000
	New York	Albany	\$1,947,000
		Buffalo	\$1,421,000
	Ohio	Cincinnati	\$1,705,000
		Cleveland	\$1,412,000
		Toledo	\$936,000
	Oregon	Columbia-Snake River System	\$3,108,000
	Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh	\$2,307,000
	Puerto Rico	Ponce	\$1,974,000
		San Juan	\$4,300,000
South Carolina	Charleston	\$5,227,000	

Group	State	Port Area	FY 2009 Allocation
II	Tennessee	Memphis	\$2,406,000
		Nashville	\$1,469,000
	Texas	Corpus Christi	\$6,628,000
		Freeport	\$3,279,000
		Sabine Neches	\$6,597,000
	Virginia	Hampton Roads	\$6,736,000
	West Virginia	Huntington	\$2,252,000
III	Alaska	Valdez	\$17,592,011
	Alabama	Guntersville	
	Arkansas	Helena	
	California	El Segundo	
		Sacramento	
	Florida	Fort Pierce	
		West Palm Beach	
	Georgia	Brunswick	
	Indiana	Mount Vernon	
	Massachusetts	Fall River	
	Michigan	Marine City	
		Muskegon	
		Monroe	
		Port Huron	
		Sault Ste Marie	
	Minnesota	Two Harbors	
	Mississippi	Greenville	
		Gulfport	
		Vicksburg	
	New Hampshire	Portsmouth	
	New Jersey	Perth Amboy	
	Ohio	Lorain	
	Oklahoma	Tulsa, Port of Catoosa	
	Oregon	Coos Bay	
	Washington	Erie	
	Pennsylvania	Erie	
	Puerto Rico	Guayanilla	
		Humancao	
		Jobos	
	Rhode Island	Newport	
		Providence	
	Tennessee	Chattanooga	
	Texas	Brownsville	
Matagorda			
Virginia	Richmond		
Wisconsin	Green Bay		
	Milwaukee		
All Other Port Areas	Eligible entities not located within one of the port areas identified above, but operating under an AMSP, are eligible to compete for funding within "All Other Port Areas" Group	\$15,344,000	
Ferry Systems	Nineteen (19) high capacity ferry systems in thirteen (13) port areas are eligible to receive funds through the FY 2009 PSGP	\$5,000,000	
<b>Total FY 2009 PSGP Allocation</b>			<b>\$388,600,000</b>

## FY 2009 BZPP Allocations

State/Territory	Allocation	State/Territory	Allocation
Alabama	\$400,000	Montana	\$400,000
Alaska	\$400,000	Nebraska	\$1,000,000
Arizona	\$400,000	Nevada	\$400,000
Arkansas	\$400,000	New Hampshire	\$200,000
California	\$5,200,000	New Jersey	\$3,600,000
Colorado	\$600,000	New Mexico	\$400,000
Connecticut	\$400,000	New York	\$4,787,500
Delaware	\$400,000	North Carolina	\$2,500,000
District of Columbia	\$600,000	North Dakota	\$200,000
Florida	\$800,000	Ohio	\$600,000
Georgia	\$600,000	Oklahoma	\$800,000
Hawaii	\$200,000	Oregon	\$400,000
Idaho	\$800,000	Pennsylvania	\$1,400,000
Illinois	\$3,000,000	Rhode Island	\$200,000
Indiana	\$1,000,000	South Carolina	\$400,000
Iowa	\$600,000	South Dakota	\$400,000
Kansas	\$600,000	Tennessee	\$1,887,500
Kentucky	\$400,000	Texas	\$4,200,000
Louisiana	\$1,200,000	U.S. Virgin Islands	\$200,000
Maine	\$200,000	Utah	\$200,000
Maryland	\$1,000,000	Virginia	\$600,000
Massachusetts	\$800,000	Washington	\$600,000
Michigan	\$800,000	West Virginia	\$600,000
Minnesota	\$200,000	Wisconsin	\$400,000
Mississippi	\$400,000	Wyoming	\$200,000
Missouri	\$600,000		
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$48,575,000</b>



## FY 2009 EMPG Allocations

State/Territory	Allocation	State/Territory	Allocation
Alabama	\$5,070,565	New Hampshire	\$3,084,292
Alaska	\$2,705,062	New Jersey	\$7,504,254
Arizona	\$6,096,622	New Mexico	\$3,476,558
Arkansas	\$3,995,242	New York	\$13,868,325
California	\$24,216,716	North Carolina	\$7,729,215
Colorado	\$5,210,697	North Dakota	\$2,678,816
Connecticut	\$4,395,560	Ohio	\$9,172,062
Delaware	\$2,813,782	Oklahoma	\$4,464,531
District of Columbia	\$2,647,977	Oregon	\$4,542,578
Florida	\$13,240,731	Pennsylvania	\$9,751,313
Georgia	\$8,019,308	Rhode Island	\$2,929,568
Hawaii	\$3,064,837	South Carolina	\$4,938,542
Idaho	\$3,194,384	South Dakota	\$2,772,671
Illinois	\$10,003,047	Tennessee	\$5,987,452
Indiana	\$6,100,540	Texas	\$16,631,007
Iowa	\$4,087,148	Utah	\$3,881,616
Kansas	\$3,959,979	Vermont	\$2,667,745
Kentucky	\$4,838,849	Virginia	\$6,920,233
Louisiana	\$4,869,872	Washington	\$6,174,386
Maine	\$3,085,119	West Virginia	\$3,381,875
Maryland	\$5,664,580	Wisconsin	\$5,654,562
Massachusetts	\$6,163,190	Wyoming	\$2,608,718
Michigan	\$8,335,401	Puerto Rico	\$4,659,474
Minnesota	\$5,412,265	U.S. Virgin Islands	\$830,929
Mississippi	\$4,045,611	American Samoa	\$803,934
Missouri	\$5,820,548	Guam	\$870,532
Montana	\$2,869,601	Northern Mariana Islands	\$817,001
Nebraska	\$3,359,408	Republic of the Marshall Islands	\$50,000
Nevada	\$3,833,670	Federated States of Micronesia	\$50,000
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$306,022,500</b>

## FY 2009 IECGP Allocations

State/Territory	Allocation	State/Territory	Allocation
Alabama	\$565,150	Nevada	\$463,349
Alaska	\$286,624	New Hampshire	\$242,875
Arizona	\$923,912	New Jersey	\$1,433,469
Arkansas	\$307,672	New Mexico	\$303,544
California	\$6,089,369	New York	\$6,999,813
Colorado	\$689,951	North Carolina	\$978,635
Connecticut	\$477,707	North Dakota	\$242,875
Delaware	\$242,875	Ohio	\$1,243,674
District of Columbia	\$583,306	Oklahoma	\$427,352
Florida	\$2,039,553	Oregon	\$521,506
Georgia	\$1,086,225	Pennsylvania	\$1,696,454
Hawaii	\$282,595	Rhode Island	\$242,875
Idaho	\$242,875	South Carolina	\$453,159
Illinois	\$2,071,676	South Dakota	\$242,875
Indiana	\$747,138	Tennessee	\$664,285
Iowa	\$410,885	Texas	\$3,466,275
Kansas	\$398,560	Utah	\$345,593
Kentucky	\$506,778	Vermont	\$242,875
Louisiana	\$859,524	Virginia	\$1,112,249
Maine	\$242,875	Washington	\$1,185,623
Maryland	\$970,428	West Virginia	\$242,875
Massachusetts	\$1,015,791	Wisconsin	\$550,020
Michigan	\$1,054,673	Wyoming	\$242,875
Minnesota	\$716,462	Puerto Rico	\$315,572
Mississippi	\$370,239	U.S. Virgin Islands	\$62,715
Missouri	\$727,260	American Samoa	\$47,524
Montana	\$242,875	Guam	\$77,846
Nebraska	\$324,195	Northern Mariana Islands	\$49,045
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$48,575,000</b>

## FY 2009 RCGCP Allocations

Tier	RCGP Site	Associated Urban Area	FY 2009 Allocation
1	Bay Area	Bay Urban Area	\$3,617,000
	Chicago Area	Chicago Urban Area	\$3,617,000
	Houston Area	Houston Urban Area	\$3,617,000
	Los Angeles/Long Beach Area	Los Angeles/Long Beach Urban Area	\$3,617,000
	National Capital Region	National Capital Region Urban Area	\$3,617,000
	New York City/ Northern New Jersey Area	New York City Urban Area	\$3,617,000
		Jersey City/ Newark Urban Area	\$3,617,000
2	Boston Area	Boston Urban Area	\$1,420,875
	Honolulu Area	Honolulu Urban Area	\$1,420,875
	Norfolk Area	Norfolk Urban Area	\$1,420,875
	Seattle Area	Seattle Urban Area	\$1,420,875
Technical Assistance Set Aside			\$3,000,000
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$34,002,500</b>