MARINE FISHERIES ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

Thursday,

July 27, 2006

Courtyard Marriott - Downtown

Lake Union

Seattle, Washington

MEETING ROSTER

CHAIRMAN:

DR. WILLIAM HOGARTH, Assistant Administrator for NOAA Fisheries Service, and MAFAC Vice Chair (Telephonically)

DR. JIM BALSIGER, Senior Policy Advisor, NOAA Fisheries Service, (Acting for Dr. Hogarth)

MEMBERS PRESENT:

TOM J. BILLY

LAUREL G. BRYANT, Federal Officer

BILL DEWEY

CAPTAIN ANTHONY D. DiLERNIA (Committee Liaison)

CHRIS DORSETT

ROBERT FLETCHER

JOHN FORSTER

CATHERINE L. FOY

STEVE JONER

(202) 234-4433

PETER P. LEIPZIG

DOROTHY M. LOWMAN

HEATHER D. McCARTY

NEAL R. GROSS

MARY BETH NICKELL-TOOLEY

TOM RAFTICAN

RALPH RAYBURN

DR. KENNETH J. ROBERTS

ERIC C. SCHWAAB

CONSULTANTS TO MAFAC - Non-Voting Members:

RANDY FISHER, Ex. Director, Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission

CAPTAIN JOHN V. O'SHEA, Ex. Director, Atlantic States Marine Fisheries commission

LARRY SIMPSON, Ex. Director, Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission

NOAA STAFF:

(202) 234-4433

RACHEL BUTZLER, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Intern

LINDA CHAVES, Senior Advisor, Seafood Industry Issues

KERRY GRIFFIN, Office of Habitat Conservation

FRANK LOCKHART, Northwest Regional Office

CHRIS MOORE, Office of Sustainable Fisheries

KATE NAUGHTEN, NOAA'S Aquaculture Program

RACHEL O'MALLEY, Office of Sustainable Fisheries

NEAL R. GROSS

SAMUEL RAUCH, Deputy A.A. for Regulatory Programs

MICHAEL RUBINO, Director, NOAA's Aquaculture Program

ATTENDING GUESTS AND PUBLIC:

BRIAN ATLEE, Alaska Sea Grant

MARY HOPE KATSOUROS, President, Fish for the Future Foundation

TERRY KLINGER, University of Washington and Chair of the Olympic Coast National Marine Sanctuary Advisory Council

DON McISAAC, Pacific Fishery Management Council

BRUCE MOREHEAD, Ocean Associates

ANNE MOSNESS, Institute for Agriculture & Trade Policy

AGENDA

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| Full Committee: Reports, Discussion, Action Items and Votes | . 5 |
| Aquaculture | . 5 |
| Comments by Ms. Anne Mosness | . 22 |
| Protected Resources | . 28 |
| NRC Report | . 41 |
| Sustainable Fisheries Leadership Awards Program: Next Steps - Dr. Balsiger and Laurel Bryant, Exec. Dir. MAFAC | . 76 |
| 2020 Vision | . 89 |
| Calendar of Meetings and Meeting Sites | .102 |
| New Business | 133 |
| Adjournment | 140 |

NEAL R. GROSS

- 3 --000--
- 4 MR. DiLERNIA: Committee, can we come to order, please.

6(Pause.)

- 7 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. The order of
 business that I had for today -- we're convening as
 a full committee -- is I'd like first to go to Dr.
 Billyp and he can report out to us from
 Aquadulture, the Aquaculture Working Group; I would
 then 12 ike to go to Protected Resources; third, NRC
 Report; fourth, the 2020 Vision document. Do we
 have 12 he videotape, Laurel, of the --
- MS. BRYANT: We have a DVD, and we'll pull 1 in the TV afterwards, because it can go up there?
- 18 MR. DiLERNIA: On the Sustainable
 Fisheries Leadership Awards Program that took place
 in Jume.
- From there, I'd like to go to our meeting calendar and discuss our winter dates and our snammer '07 location. Then if we have any

NEAL R. GROSS

additional new business, we'll take it at that time.2

- 3 So first off is Aquaculture, and I'll turn to my dear friend and colleague, Dr. Billy.

 Tom. 5
- 6 MR. BILLY: We had first a briefing from Mike, and it was based on the work that has been done over the last year, in particular by Mike and his staff, by a large variety of contacts and visits and interfaces within NOAA and outside NOAA, with the various stakeholder groups that are interested in Aquaculture.
- That led to the drafting of the tenyear plan for the NOAA Marine Aquaculture Program,
 which swas highlighted, and led then to the Commerce
 Subcommittee and others interested in this area to
 consider all that, and based on that, to make some
 recommendations. We've handed out what is a draft
 letter that has been prepared that captures the
 feelizings of the Committee in terms of acknowledging
 the zole that Aquaculture can play, and more
 impozeantly perhaps, encouraging Bill Hogarth and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

NOAA and the Department of Commerce to very actively pursue the further development of Aquaculture within a certain framework that has been identified.

- What we're interested in doing is, we've 6 been through this draft letter and made some edits 7 this morning, but we wanted to be able to share 8 it with the full Committee, and hopefully with any further input from the full Committee at this 1 time, we would agree that the letter would be formalized and forwarded over your signature and mine 1 to Dr. Hogarth for his consideration and follow-up to NOAA and the Department of Commerce.
- I know there's two pages, so for those of you who haven't been directly involved today, it might 6be fair just to take a couple minutes and let everyone kind of quickly look through it, and then we can answer any questions that people have, or comments or recommendations they'd like to make.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you, sir. Yes, I'd bike to take a moment or two to read it, and let other Committee members who haven't been

NEAL R. GROSS

involved in the drafting to please take a moment or two to review it. Once we look back up, perhaps we can start if there are any questions.

4(Pause.)

- 5 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. I see most folks have Looked up from their papers. Are there any questions about this letter before, I guess, I ask for asmotion? Pete, question?
- 9 MR. LEIPZIG: Yeah. I'm assuming this is what you meant on item -- bullet item number two dealing with funding, that it should involve new funding, as opposed to reprogramming existing funding.
 - 14 MR. DiLERNIA: Dr. Billy.
- MR. RAYBURN: If that's the case, it'd be nice to clarify that.
- MR. BILLY: The third bullet was an attempt to indicate that either new funding or funding that would not compromise any of the other critical programs of NOAA Fisheries. I don't think anyone's prepared to sit and say every single thing we've2done is critically important. So it was --

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. RAYBURN: I bet there's somebody that would defend every one of 'em, but I won't go there3
- MR. BILLY: There's also the reluctance on the part of some to limit it only to new funding. There are other sources outside NOAA, as well,7within the Department or a number of possibilities. So this was an attempt to reflect that framework.
- 10 MR. RAYBURN: I don't know if anyone else 1 wants to add anything?
 - MR. BILLY: We welcome new funds.
- 13 MR. FORSTER: I think the fourth bullet makes4pretty much the same--
- MR. BILLY: That language was added to capture what I just said.
 - 17 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. Mr. Rayburn.
- MR. RAYBURN: It seemed like when we discussed this in committee, the letter was going to be to the Secretary, and now it's Dr. Hogarth. What was the rationale in the drafting of it to change it?

- 1 MR. DiLERNIA: I'm sorry. Could you repeat your question, Mr. Rayburn?
- 3 MR. RAYBURN: I'm sorry. Yes. As I recall, in the discussion in committee, the letter was to be directed to the Secretary of Commerce.

 And so I was curious as to why the change of addressee to Dr. Hogarth rather than the Secretary.
 - 8 MR. DiLERNIA: Dr. Billy.
- 9 MR. BILLY: It was for several reasons.
 One was an acknowledgment that Dr. Hogarth in fact couldn't be here, and to be perhaps fair to him, it was a2chance for him to have the first look at this,13and to take action and make decisions consistent with the recommendations of MAFAC. It's not 45 it could end up being, then, a letter from Dr. Hogarth through the administrator of NOAA to the Socretary or whatever.
- Also, there was some concern about the time 1½t takes to get those kinds of letters through the system, and that we could be assured that this would 1go very directly to Dr. Hogarth. So those were 2000 considerations that came up subsequent to

our discussion this morning.

- 2 MR. DiLERNIA: I have a housekeeping item sight now. I see our court reporter here is looking to names. Is everyone sitting properly behind their names? Do we have the proper assignments? Could you please check?
- 7 MS. BRYANT: Just make sure, so we know who's 8 saying what.
- 9 MR. DiLERNIA: Be sure each person is sitting at their correct name, so that -- I mean, I'm sitting behind Laurel's name right now, but cleanly there's a difference between Laurel and I.

13 (Pause.)

MS. BRYANT: Ralph, in previous times with 1MAFAC -- this morning, earlier, when you kind of -16I wasn't quite certain what was going on, but I think for a variety of reasons that Tom has outlined, the Committee has always done it where it's 19rom the Committee to the administrator and the under-secretary saying, this is our advice. This 2is the advisory body of external constituent stakeholder expertise, and that that's helpful.

NEAL R. GROSS

Then that allows, then, Bill and the underzsecretary to acknowledge, here's some advice we're3getting externally, but they don't have to, you know, put it forward, and then that gets more complicated in time. So it's the precedent that's been set, and it's always worked.

- 7 MR. DiLERNIA: Mr. Rayburn.
- and Incertainly appreciate that fact. I think it should be noted, though, that in the discussion, we feltilike that this should be elevated to the highest level within the Department, and that the process of a letter going to Dr. Hogarth only as an administrative process, and not the level that we feel 1by the subcommittee that this item should be addressed.
- MR. BILLY: And that is exactly what we talked about. So that's a good idea.
- MS. BRYANT: And we will. I mean, that 2s the way it does work, and then gets forwarded on from Bill and the under-secretary. I'll 2work with Kate on that.

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. DiLERNIA: Dr. Billy, would you like to offer this letter in the form of a motion at this time?
- 4 MR. BILLY: Yes. I make a motion that the Committee accept the draft letter, and request that it be signed by those indicated, and forwarded to Dr7 Hogarth as soon as possible.
 - 8 MR. DiLERNIA: Do we have a second?
 - 9 MR. RAYBURN: Second.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Seconded by Mr. Rayburn.
- Discussion on the letter. And I also understand there's some members of the public here concerned with Aquaculture that would like to make some recomments. And so I'd like to have those comments made prior to a vote being taken. Is there any discussion on the part of Committee members regarding this letter? Yes, sir?
- MR. SCHWAAB: I just wonder -- you know 19I talked a little bit with Michael about this informally, and I didn't have the chance to sit in on your discussions, but it seems to me that one things that would be very important would be

supporting in some way the development of regulatory best practices, if you would. I wondered if you would entertain an addition in that third4recommendation that would go something like:
"Work5with states and other regulatory authorities in development and dissemination of best practices in Aquaculture regulation to ensure consistent, efficient and effective management of systems in an environmentally safe manner."

- 10 MR. DiLERNIA: That's the whole amendment?
- MR. SCHWAAB: That's what I'm suggesting is essentially adding a very specific bullet that really kind of got to the idea of consistent best practices that would be of --
- MS. BRYANT: Do you want me to type that 11n big print so everybody can look at it?
 - 18 MR. SCHWAAB: Please.
 - MS. O'MALLEY: I can do that.
 - MS. BRYANT: Thanks, Rachel.
- MR. SCHWAAB: If you can read my writing.

NEAL R. GROSS

1 MS. BRYANT: Why don't you give that to Rachel, and then we can put it up there in big print; and everybody can read it. Then I can type it, too.

5(Pause.)

- 6 MR. FORSTER: Mr. Chair?
- 7 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. John -- Mr. Forster.
- 9 MR. FORSTER: While he's doing that,
 the last sentence in the second paragraph,
 basidally doesn't say it in so many words, but it
 may be that's where the change needs to come,
 because that's clearly the intent of what's driving
 this 14
- 15 MR. DiLERNIA: Last sentence, second paragraph?
- MR. FORSTER: Second paragraph, yes.

 "However, the Committee recognizes that there are environment and associated" -- well, --
- MR. RUBINO: Eric, you see what John's saying?
 - MR. SCHWAAB: Yeah. And my focus was

NEAL R. GROSS

not so much on the environmentally safe manner, although that's important, as it was on the specific activity of developing a set of best practices that could be kind of shared around. My question to Michael was, if I was in a state that wasn't -- didn't have an effective Aquaculture program, but we wanted one, who would we look to as a model so that we didn't have to reinvent the wheel?

- 10 MR. FORSTER: That's a fair point. I just 1 wanted to --
- MR. DiLERNIA: It's being typed.
 You'me offering as a friendly amendment to the lettem?
 - MR. SCHWAAB: Correct.
- MR. DiLERNIA: So it'll take a moment or two before we can see that.
- 18 While that's being typed, is there any other9discussion, to speed things along while that amendment to the letter -- proposed amendment -- is being1typed? Mr. Dewey?
 - MR. DEWEY: On the second page, the

NEAL R. GROSS

paragraph that begins halfway down, "If the United States ..." -- I know how challenging it is writing a letter by committee, but if I could offer a friendly amendment here?

- 5 MR. DiLERNIA: Well, again, you're offering it actually to the proposer, the maker of the motion. So it's Dr. Billy you're offering it to. 8
- 9 MR. DEWEY: Trying to think of a way to potentially strengthen the letter and keep it targeted where we intended. I might offer that it should read, "If NOAA" -- instead of "If the United States" -- "does not" -- and then I would insert "adopt and implement these recommendations" -- "the nation will" --
 - MR. DiLERNIA: So you're suggesting --
- 17 MR. DEWEY: I mean, we're trying to focusson what we're recommending in our letter, and I just thought that might be a way to strengthen our point.
- MS. BRYANT: Does not develop, but adopt2

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. DEWEY: If NOAA does not adopt and implement these recommendations, the nation will.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Well, I have a question to your proposed -- my -- my question is, I guess, to our specialists -- our Aquaculture specialists. How much of Aquaculture in the country is also housed in or resides in the Department of Agriculture, which is separate from the Department of Commerce? If there is a component that's in Ag., ishould we specifically restrict it to NOAA? That is my question. I don't know who wants to offer an answer to that.
- MR. DEWEY: Well, in response, I mean, the necommendations we're making I think are directed largely at NOAA. I mean, that's our charge as MAFAC, isn't it?
- 17 MR. DiLERNIA: So you have that offer as alfriendly amendment.
 - 19 Dr. Billy.
- 20 MR. BILLY: Thank you. Michael, if you agre@1to react to that?
 - MR. RUBINO: That's fine with me. I

don't1see any --

- 2 MR. DiLERNIA: Maker of the motion -- or seconder of the motion, is that acceptable?
 - 4 MR. RAYBURN: Absolutely.
- 5 MR. DiLERNIA: So it should read now, "If N@AA does not develop --
- 7 MR. RUBINO: Does not adopt and implement --
 - 9 MS. BRYANT: And implement.
- 10 MR. RUBINO: -- these recommendations, --
 - MS. BRYANT: These recommendations.
- 13 MR. RUBINO: -- the nation will -- so you'd4be deleting "develop domestic Aquaculture production."
 - MR. DiLERNIA: NOAA does not --
- MR. RUBINO: -- adopt and implement these 8 recommendations --
- MS. BRYANT: Comma, the nation will continue to lose -- yeah.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. Again, Dr. Billy, acceptable?

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. BILLY: Yes.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Seconder?
- MR. RAYBURN: Yes.
- 4 MR. DiLERNIA: Yes. Okay. So we have a friendly amendment accepted.
- 6 MS. BRYANT: You're making the changes to the actual document?
 - 8 MS. O'MALLEY: I am.
- 9 MR. DiLERNIA: And we still have to return to Mr. Schwaab's friendly amendment. It was a bitimore lengthy. That's why we chose the projector.
- MR. BILLY: Is this a separate bullet, Eric 24
- MR. SCHWAAB: What I'm proposing is a separate bullet under "Launch a Broad Marine Aquadulture Development Initiative." So it would be alsub-bullet under that, a new sub-bullet under that 19
- 20 MR. BILLY: It'd be the third subbullet?
 - MR. SCHWAAB: Yes.

MR. BILLY: Okay.

2(Pause.)

- MR. RUBINO: This would be -- it'd be under4the second sub-bullet, wouldn't it? It'd be under5"Provide Substantially Increased Budget Resources, " and then you've got a bunch of bullets under 7there.
- MR. SCHWAAB: Yeah. Actually, I thought about it there, but then I kind of -- it couldogo either place -- so I don't care.
- 11 MR. DiLERNIA: If we offer it as a friendly amendment, we could just have the maker and the seconder accept, and we can proceed. not, 1then Eric, it depends on how you -- if it's not accepted as a friendly amendment, we'll have to have 18 motion to amend, and go through that.
 - MR. BILLY: It's acceptable to me. 17
- MR. DiLERNIA: I'm going to stick to Robert's Rules on this kind of stuff.
 - MR. BILLY: It's acceptable. 20
 - MR. RAYBURN: Fine. 21
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Yes, sir, it's 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 acceptable. Seconder, it's acceptable?

- 2 MR. RAYBURN: (Nods.)
- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: So a second motion -- seconder has been -- Mr. Rayburn --
 - 5 MR. RAYBURN: Okay. Yes.
- 6 MR. DiLERNIA: So this is now a third sub-bullet. It was offered as a friendly amendment. There was no second. So to be clear, now, this is the third sub-bullet on the "Launch a BroadoMarine Aquaculture Development"; correct?
 - 11 MR. SCHWAAB: Yes.
- 12 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. Are there any other3discussions? Mr. Rayburn. And Mr. Roberts, you're next.
- MR. RAYBURN: Yeah, a question on that amendment to the author of that language. Eric, do you include in states the Coastal Management Prognam, or is this just -- or you just envisioning that 190 be the fisheries agencies within the state?
- 20 MR. SCHWAAB: No. I'm talking about broadly the --
 - MR. RAYBURN: Broad state --

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. SCHWAAB: -- all of the authorities that have the regu- --
- $_{\rm 3}$ MR. RAYBURN: Aquaculture plays a role in the state. Okay.
 - 5 MR. SCHWAAB: Yes.
 - 6 MR. RAYBURN: Okay. Thank you.
 - 7 MR. DiLERNIA: Mr. Roberts.
- 8 MR. ROBERTS: That answers my question, sir. 9
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you, sir.
- Is there any other discussion on the motion on the part of the Committee? Mr. Rayburn.
- MR. RAYBURN: And it's intended that this 14- the action on this bullet would be accomplished by SHANOAA (ph), the Aquaculture Office? Is that --
- 17 MR. SCHWAAB: As a part of this development initiative.
- MR. RUBINO: So should it be marine Aquaculture regulation, as opposed to just Aquaculture? I guess it doesn't matter.
 - MR. SCHWAAB: I'm not married to this

language.

- MR. BALSIGER: You are now.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Yes, you are.
- 4(Laughter)
- 5 MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you, Dr. Balsiger. You are married to it.
- 7 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: This is the part where "forever hold your peace" comes in.
 - 9(Laughter.)
- MR. RAYBURN: Can we have it annulled maybe?
 - 12(Pause.)
- MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. Friendly amendments have been accepted, so there's no reason for a5vote, other than to vote on the full letter. Is there any other discussion on the full letter on the part of the Committee before I go to the audience?
 - 19(No responses.)
- 20 MR. DiLERNIA: Seeing none, would you care 2to make some comments? You've asked for -- could 2 you please introduce yourself.

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MS. BRYANT: And state your name for the record.
- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: State your name for the record.
 - MS. MOSNESS: My name is Anne Mosness.
- 6 MR. DiLERNIA: Could you spell that, please.
- MS. MOSNESS: Yes. Anne with an "e" -Mosness, M-o-s-n-e-s-s. I work for the Institute
 for Agriculture and Trade Policy. But I just
 returned from a summer in Bristol Bay, Alaska,
 where2I worked for one of the major seafood
 companies as an operations manager. That follows
 28 years of running a fishing boat in Alaska and
 Washington State.
- 16 So when Dr. Rubino and other people have mentioned the stakeholders who are not part of the process, I think I speak for them. I speak for the dommercial fishing families, and I represent the voice of some of the NGOs that I've worked with for half a dozen years, as they have looked at this development of the offshore Aquaculture

legislation.

- I think, obviously, there's momentum here.3 My comments are probably not going to add to your knowledge of this. But I want to still express to you the impacts offshore Aquaculture would 6 have on fishing families, because you're in a state7 that has had salmon farming for quite some time.8 So we see the impact here in Washington.
- There were 613,000 non-native fish that escaped in four years into our waters. There was a die-off 11 days ago of 100,000 fish in two of the fish 1 farms in Washington. There was such a decline in the commercial fishing -- the salmon industry that 1 icenses in the region where I just came from, Bristol Bay, plummeted from \$300,000 in 1995 to less 1 than \$25,000 in value six years later. There were 1 three suicides that I know of in the winter of 2002 1 because the farm fish flooded the market place.
- You can all talk about, well, there's going to be winners and losers in this. You know, some people aren't going to be able to compete.

 They 21 have to do niche marketing. Well, I've

NEAL R. GROSS

just dome from where the natives are never going to do niche marketing. If they can't sell their wild fish 3- 42 million sockeye came back to Bristol Bay -4 and if they can't find markets for those fish because the farm fish industry is basically flooding the markets, and then the momentum of federal agencies pushing corporate feed lot food production and displacing family fishing businesses, there's a sense of hopelessness. The only 1 way things turned around in 2003 was when the Cullerans (ph) lawsuit allowed consumers to differentiate farm salmon and wild salmon in the marketplace.

- But I don't know what's going to happen to those families that depend on black cod, or halibat, or any of the other wild fish that should be in7the markets that could be lost in the marketplace because all this momentum is pushing feed 1Dot production of fish.
- 20 Another time when I was impacted emotionally was at a United Nations World Food Summit in Rome, when the Chileans came to speak

NEAL R. GROSS

about 1 taking of the mackerel and the small fish from their coastlines, and why that was affecting their 3 marine food chain, but it was also affecting their 4 human population. I've met with these Chileans several times since then. They're seeing the destruction of their small boat fishing fleet.

- 7 I don't see how we can assume that raising carnivores is going to lead to a protein gain.9
- Now, we've addressed some of these 10 questions at different times to NOAA. I was told when 12 spoke with the NOAA Science Advisory Board last 1August to present questions to NOAA, that they The questions have been sent to would4answer. NOAA15 I know that other people have presented questions and asked NOAA to look at the environmental impacts or the economic impacts, or whether there's a protein loss or a protein gain from 18he production of carnivores. We're not getting answers. I would like to submit again my letter for your records here of the questions that were 2asked.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

- talking with are never going to come on board this as long as we just see promotional material and people coming, committed as they are, flying in on tax dollars to attend a lot of meetings to present information, but only some of us independent people are trying to say, let's have some independent research. Let's slow the process down. Let's look at those people who are impacted who cannot come out and talk to you. Let's look at the impacts on family fishing businesses. Why should that be -- why should they be replaced by corporate feed lots?
- It's very worrisome that this legislation appears to allow foreign fishing activities in our EEZ. We'd like to see the language of it changing. I would certainly urge caution here before just saying that you fully suppose something that I don't think we've really looked beyond the surface of. So those are comments I'd like to offer to you.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you, ma'am.
 - MS. MOSNESS: Thank you.

- 1 MR. DiLERNIA: Understand that by submitting this letter to us, you're submitting this letter to the Committee. It simply becomes a matter of the Committee's the minutes of the Committee. You're not directly submitting it to NOAA.6 In order for this letter to be submitted to NOAA,7it would have to take an action of the Committee to recommend that it be forwarded to NOAA.9 Should that occur, I would as chairman, I wouldn't ask the Committee to act on it at this time without having had opportunities to review it and to debate it. So on behalf of the Committee, I'll laccept it.
- Dr. Balsiger, our chairman, is asking for some time. So Dr. Balsiger.
- 16 MR. BALSIGER: Just to suggest that I think7it's important you give it to the Committee, but if you do submit it to the Fisheries Service, NOAA 1Fisheries, you will get an answer. You may not agree with all the answers, but when we enter1-- if you send a letter to Dr. Hogarth, it'll get in a control system, and you will get an

answer. So if you didn't get an answer last time, and it got lost, we've set up some new functions in Silver Spring to keep track of letters.

- 4 MS. MOSNESS: May I submit it to you both? 5
- 6 MR. BALSIGER: You could. If it came in through the U.S. Mail system, it for sure would enter8the system.
- MS. MOSNESS: The other thing I'd like to say is you're also in a state where two of our congresspeople have asked for the environmental impact statement on offshore Aquaculture before going3forward. I think that's what's really important is that we have experience in Washington with 16pen cage farming, and people are very displeased with the impacts of it. So I hate to see this rushed into taking it three miles off our coastline.
 - 19 Thank you.
- 20 MR. DiLERNIA: I've accepted your letter on the part of the Committee. Do I have your 2permission to copy it and give it to all

NEAL R. GROSS

Committee members?

- MS. MOSNESS: Absolutely.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you.
- 4 MS. MOSNESS: Thank you very much.
- 5 MR. DiLERNIA: Make sure the staff does that, 6please.
- 7 MS. MOSNESS: And I'm certainly available if anybody has --
- 9 MR. DiLERNIA: Committee members, this is for your information and for your information only 11 Should you choose to discuss it or act on it at allater date, please make sure that you include it as 3 an agenda item for a future meeting.
 - 14 Dr. Billy.
- 15 MR. BILLY: I'd like to call for the vote 16 unless there's any other comments.
 - 17 MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you, sir.
- All those in favor of the letter as presented and amended, please say "aye."
 - 20 MULTIPLE SIMULTANEOUS VOICES: Aye.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Any opposed?
 - 22(No audible responses.)

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. DiLERNIA: Let the record show that it passed unopposed. Thank you.
- Is there any more to your report, sir, Dr. Billy?
 - 5 MR. BILLY: No.
 - 6 MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you.
- 7 Okay. Next, Protected Resources. Mr. Fletcher.
 - 9 MR. FLETCHER: Thank you.
- We received a presentation by Sam Rauch a couple of days ago. The subcommittee, which was compased of Mary Beth and Catherine and I, felt that Iwe didn't have enough information based on that Impresentation in order to make an informed decision about where MAFAC should go relative to the ESA. So we met with Sam, had an opportunity to try to get a more detailed picture of what the Agency might like to get from us. As a result of that 19the subcommittee has put together a recommendation to the Committee. If MAFAC agrees, then 2the subcommittee will take on the responsibility of receiving and getting educated on

some of the issues that the Agency thinks are cogent to a better understanding and appreciation of the ESA and its consequences.

- In light of the fact there's probably no legislation, this approach is probably what is going to be happening. So MAFAC should request that NMFS provide the subcommittee with any appropriate documents on current ESA issues so that the subcommittee may stay informed and provide advice on ways to improve regulations, policy or agency emphasis, and the ESA issues should become an agenda item on the January meeting of MAFAC.
- So that's our recommendation to the Committee.
- 15 MR. DiLERNIA: My question is, you're recommending that ESA be an agenda item for the next meeting, or you're asking for this information should ESA become?
- MR. FLETCHER: Our understanding, based on our meeting with Sam, is that there's going to be more interest in seeing the Agency focus on other2than legislative approaches in light of

NEAL R. GROSS

what's going on. So there may be a push for more regulation or a modification of policies in the area of the ESA. So if in fact it appears that that's going to be the case, then the next meeting would5be an appropriate time to have on the agenda a discussion that may result in the subcommittee providing the full committee with some recommendations that would go on to the Agency.

- 9 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. I understand that 10 It's just that the way I read the second item 11 at this point, I see that ESA should be an agenda item. And we're not requesting it.
- MR. FLETCHER: No. I'm just saying we would4be prepared to respond if NMFS chose to request our advice.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. Very good.
- 17 Committee members -- so are you offening this as a motion?
- MR. FLETCHER: Just so that the Committee gives the subcommittee the direction to do this. So, yeah, I'll make the motion.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Do we have a second?

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MS. NICKELL-TOOLEY: Second.
- 2 MR. DiLERNIA: Second by Mary Beth Tooley.
- Do we have any discussions on this motion? So it's clear, what we're asking for is to keep the subcommittee advised, and should there be a need, the subcommittee will be prepared -- and should there be a need, then perhaps we would have it as 9an agenda item for the next meeting.
 - 10 Ms. McCarty.
- MS. McCARTY: I -- what you just said doesn2t sound a lot like what you had written down. It sounds like what you're saying, that MAFAC should take it up. But that's not what you're saying?
- MR. FLETCHER: Maybe I phrased it poorly. I apologize. I'm just saying that, based on our discussions with Sam, it may very well become an issue that they would like to have our advice on in the near future, perhaps by the first of next year. So in that event, we would suggest that we consider it an agenda item to discuss it.

- 1 MS. McCARTY: Okay.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Mr. Schwaab.
- 3 MR. SCHWAAB: I guess I can just see this 4any appropriate documents on current issues" as being a very extensive collection of data.
- 6 MR. FLETCHER: Well, we had the meeting with Sam, and he said that he would provide us with what he thought might give us the best summary of some of the issues that we may be involved in. So I'm not married to the language, as you said, Eric. I wasıjust trying to characterize his comments.
- MR. SCHWAAB: I noticed the chairman didn't get out his shotgun in your case.
- MR. BALSIGER: There's no motion on the floorsyet. It's altogether different.

16 (Laughter.)

- MR. DiLERNIA: So you're offering this as a maotion. We do know -- it is -- and we have a second. So perhaps we should amend this to read, "Provide subcommittee with any --
 - UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Relevant.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: -- with any documents

NEAL R. GROSS

NOAA 1-

- MS. BRYANT: Deems appropriate.
- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: -- deems appropriate" -- or --4 "of any documents NOAA deems appropriate."

 I'm offering that as an amendment to your motion,

 sir -6 friendly.
 - 7 MR. FLETCHER: I love it.
- 8 MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you. Seconder accepted. Thank you.
 - 10 Are there any other -- Mr. Rayburn?
- MR. RAYBURN: It does say in the last that 1ESA should be on the agenda item at the January meeting of MAFAC.
 - MR. FLETCHER: What year?
 - MR. RAYBURN: Oh, that's true.
 - 16(Laughter.)
- If appropriate or something like that.

 I would just -- same amendment, Mr. Chair. I think if it's appropriate, perhaps, but not just direct it become there.
- MR. DiLERNIA: If appropriate, ESA should --

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. RAYBURN: I mean, if there's something going on, I think that's -- if that's what the subcommittee is interested in, only if it's appropriate.
 - 5 MR. DiLERNIA: Ms. Tooley?
- a minimum, the subcommittee should meet in January. If we're going to put some time and effort into reviewing all the material, the subcommittee should meet in January, and they should at least provide a report to the full Committee, and that would need to be an agenda item. So it's our intention to be in communication with Sam, to spend some time reviewing some documentation on ESA and what the issues and challenges are. If we're going to put in that time between now and January, then I would appreciate it if it was on the agenda for at least a report on our --
- 19 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. So you're not accepting the friendly amendment?
 - MS. NICKELL-TOOLEY: Correct.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. Please strike it.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

That got taken off that board until people say "yes"2to it. I'm sorry, sir.

- Mr. Rayburn, now.
- 4 MR. RAYBURN: This is a parliamentary inquiry, then. The thing on the agenda would be nothing more than the subcommittee meet as part of our agenda. It wouldn't necessarily have to be a presentation on this item to the full Committee; is that correct?
- MS. NICKELL-TOOLEY: That's correct.
 Yeah 11
- MR. RAYBURN: Okay. If the subcommittee wants to meet, that's great.
- MS. O'MALLEY: So make that number threas the subcommittee --
- MR. FLETCHER: No, I think ESA should be alsubcommittee agenda item on the January 7th meeting. It may turn out that we'll need to have a discussion of the full Committee of those -- of some 2issues that we need to address.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. So we don't need any 22 you made the motion, and you're amending

NEAL R. GROSS

your own motion. Accepted. Okay. So that's the new motion.

- 3 Ms. McCarty?
- 4 MS. McCARTY: That's what I was going to say. I think, if I could, I don't know what's going 60n, but if --
 - 7 MR. DiLERNIA: Nor do I.
- 8 MS. McCARTY: -- if there's enough going 9 on that the subcommittee wants to talk about it, then we should kind of know what's going on as a full Committee, I think.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Do you want to know now?
 - MS. McCARTY: Sure.
- MR. FLETCHER: You want to get on the subcommittee?
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Seriously, --
- MS. McCARTY: Yeah, I actually do want to know now.
 - 19 MR. DiLERNIA: Right now.
 - MS. McCARTY: Well, as soon as --
 - 21 MR. DiLERNIA: So I'm going to ask Mr.

Rauch2to speak for a moment. Can you answer Ms.

NEAL R. GROSS

McCarty's question?

- 2 MR. RAUCH: Can you restate the question?
 - 4 MR. DiLERNIA: What is going on?
- MS. McCARTY: I can't remember how this got on the agenda here, but there must've been a reason for it. I'm not entirely sure that we had enough detail in your presentation, which I think was two slides --
 - MR. RAUCH: No, it was four slides.
 - MS. McCARTY: Sorry, four.
 - MR. RAUCH: And a title slide.
- MS. McCARTY: I mean, there's obviously something going on. So what's going on?
- MR. RAUCH: Right. So what is going on is the legislative effort is dying out, and there's a new7Secretary of Interior, and they're -Interior, who is our co-manager, is very interested in looking to see whether regulatory or administrative changes can be made, given that there1won't be any legislative changes made, in order2to solve -- well, in order to generally

NEAL R. GROSS

improve implementation. I mean, there's not a specific problem that you can identify. There's many specific problems, depending on your perspective. But in general, to look at an overhaul of the way we are -- or at least a comprehensive review of the way we're implementing it to 7 see whether changes need to be made.

- And so NOAA will be participating as the co-manager in this effort. It may or may not lead ito any regulatory or policy changes. But this is something -- we are going to be engaging with Interior as the co-manager, and this is something that iwe presented to MAFAC as an action we were undertaking, and wanting to know whether MAFAC wanted to be involved. But there is not a single specific problem that we've identified this of; but rather, it is -- there is a myriad of problems out there; depending on your perspective, that are potential items that the agencies could be dealing with 20
- MR. DiLERNIA: To that point, and then Dr. Balsiger.

NEAL R. GROSS

- Balsiger might want to add to this, I don't know, ESA's3a huge problem in my area, and I think maybe in other places, as well. If it's something major like this that may be happening to ESA implementation, I think it would be a good idea for MAFAC7to be participating in that to some extent, and at least have the information to take out to the stakeholders that we sort of represent here. So that'd be my thought, and I think, therefore, that lit should be on the agenda, period, for the next maeeting.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Dr. Balsiger.
- offen; if Sam's statements are still slightly too vague6-- and I hope I don't get in trouble with this 17- but for example, Mr. Fletcher pointed out problems with endangered species eating too many sturgeon. So there may be different ways to deal with 2these predator interactions without changing the baw. So that might be one example of things that 200 might be looking at.

- 1 MR. RAUCH: Exactly. If I could?
- MR. DiLERNIA: Please.
- 3 MR. RAUCH: The reason it is vague is because we don't want to overly narrow the scope of inquiry, because it is not that narrow. What the two departments are looking at is not just predator interactions. But that is one of a great many things --
- 9 MS. KATSOUROS: What about marine mammals eating endangered species?
- MR. RAUCH: That was -- that is the predator interaction issue. But there's also -- you know, vaguely stated, there was listing issues, science issues, dealing with jeopardy standards and the way we go about doing that, and workability issues, permitting issues.
 - MS. BRYANT: That's great.
- MR. RAUCH: But it is very broad, and potentially expansive. Potentially it could become too expansive actually to be a workable administrative initiative.
 - MS. KATSOUROS: Has the Agency defined

"jeopardy"?

- 2 MR. RAUCH: Yes. There's been a "jeopardy" definition since 1986.
- 4 MR. DiLERNIA: Do we have any other discussion on the motion? Mr. Dewey?
- MR. DEWEY: I just would like to underscore Heather's comments, as well. Our industry has got issues with ESA as we grapple with federal Army Corps permits and consultations, and, you know, if there's efficiencies through programmatic consultations that can be gained, which is something we're trying to do with NOAA, just igeneral issues relating to implementation of the ESA. Definitely interested in staying abreast of any dialogue going on with Interior and discussions that may be looking at improving implementation of ESA.
 - 18 MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you, sir.
- May I remind you and all the other

 Committee members that -- well, for yourself as a

 new Committee member, sir -- that please feel free

 to volunteer which committees you would like to

NEAL R. GROSS

serve1on -- the subcommittees -- and we'll be happy to accommodate you, sir.

- 3 MR. DEWEY: I would like to have my name added to that subcommittee.
 - 5 MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you, sir.
- 6 Madam, also, please, be sure to let us know where you would --
- 8 MS. BRYANT: I've got that on the agenda.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Very good.
- MS. BRYANT: So what we'll do is get that 10n there.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Is there any other discussion on this motion?
 - 15(No audible responses.)
- 16 MR. DiLERNIA: Seeing none, I'd call the question. All those in favor of the motion, please respond by saying "aye."
 - 19 MULTIPLE SIMULTANEOUS VOICES: Aye.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Opposed?
 - 21(No audible responses.)
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Please let the record

NEAL R. GROSS

show that the motion passed without opposition.

- Okay. Moving right along. Item number three; National Research Council's Report on the Marine Recreational Fisheries Survey. Mr. Fletcher, that also was assigned to your subcommittee.
 - 7 MR. FLETCHER: Working group.
- 8 MR. DiLERNIA: How about that? Your working group. Do you have a report for us, sir?
- MR. FLETCHER: Yeah. 10 It's on the board1 We had an opportunity to discuss it, and what 1 we chose to do was to identify a series of principles that we thought were important when taking on any kind of response to the NRC report and all of the deficiencies outlined in that report with 1the current MRFSS system. So the working group7recommends that MAFAC select the chair of the working group of its designee to attend the September 6th through the 8th meeting in Denver whereowe heard they would begin that process of responding to the NRC report. The meeting is designed to, among other things, answer the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

following question: What will it take to regain the trust of the recreational fishing community with Begard to recreational data collection?

- The working group suggests that MAFAC representative work from the following principles:
- 6 Number one, maintain regional flexibility and recreational fisheries data systems based 8 on available tools in each region.
- 9 Number two, answer the question, what do we need to accomplish -- what do we need this data 1system to accomplish?
- Number three, consider some key changes to the MRFSS. And I know there are those that beliewe you should just throw MRFSS out and do something else. But we chose to go on the approach that 16if you gut all those offensive parts of MRFSS and nabuild it -- you can call it what you want, MRFSS whatever -- so suggest some key changes, eliminate or significantly restrict the random digitodialing element, increase the angler interdepts, survey anglers at a higher rate, recognize that angler registration alone cannot fix

NEAL R. GROSS

the MRFSS problem, and make sure the state agency involved in the solution is a must.

- Working together, NMFS and the states must decide on the level and precision of the data needed for current management and the timeliness of the results.
- New funds are essential, and NMFS should review current expenditures on commercial and recreational fishing data programs to determine if any reallocation of existing funds is warranted. Also, 1 requiring for hire operators to submit daily catch 2 and effort reports, that should be mandatory. The hasic precept should be, unless anglers believe that 1 the survey is well-designed and implemented, they is unlikely to participate. No credibility, no camperation.
- 17 So that's just a quick cut at some thoughts on going into that meeting. But the key is that we need to have somebody, and I'm suggesting myself, attend that meeting in September in Demver.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you, sir.

NEAL R. GROSS

Excellent. Thank you for your report.

- I guess you're offering this also now as a motion, so we can have some discussion it.
 - 4 MR. FLETCHER: As a target. So moved.
 - 5 MR. DiLERNIA: Seconded by Mr. Schwaab.
- 6 MR. SCHWAAB: Actually -- I'll second it, and then I have --
 - 8 MR. DiLERNIA: Questions, comments?
- 9 MR. SCHWAAB: I'll second it with an understanding that I'm going to make some -- recommend changes.
- MR. DiLERNIA: It's easier as a seconder to make the changes than if you're not the seconder. So the second has been accepted.

 Please, sir, proceed.
- MR. SCHWAAB: Okay. Can you scroll back 10p to the top? I have a number of comments. Please bear with me. This characterization in the second paragraph that the meeting is designed to answer the following question, whose characterization is that?
 - MR. FLETCHER: That was Rick Methot's.

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. SCHWAAB: I thought that the meeting was also designed to focus on building a better mouse trap, not just selling its credibility to the recreational community.
- 5 MR. FLETCHER: Well, it's kind of the -6 in order to answer that question, you've got to build a better mouse trap.
- 8 MR. SCHWAAB: Right. So I guess I take a little bit of issue with including that characterization alone as the purpose of the meeting.
- 12 MR. DiLERNIA: Would it be nec- -- is -43is that paragraph necessary for the motion?
 - 14 MR. FLETCHER: No.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Would you be pleased if that maragraph were removed? I'm offering a suggestion?
- 18 MR. SCHWAAB: Yeah. I guess I would suggest that maybe that's the easiest way to deal with 2that concern.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. So a friendly amendment to amend. There you go, it's gone.

- Next.
- number two, to answer the question, what do we need this data to accomplish? is actually expanded on by your sub-letter (f). I would suggest that sub-letter (f) be moved up to expand upon principle number two, and that we add to sub-letter (f), now part of principle number two.
- 9 MR. DiLERNIA: Let's add in italicese (sicloto (f) before we move it.
- MR. SCHWAAB: Okay. Go back to (f).

 She's2already moved it. Are we there now? Okay.

 Neven3mind. Now I'm lost. Yeah, okay. Must

 decide on the level of accuracy and precision.

 They15e not the same. And the appropriate -- I

 would6like to add something that speaks to scale

 and the appropriate scale of application, so we

 have 1a certain level of accuracy and precision, for

 example, on a coast-wide scale, but not at a state
 by-state scale, which is one of the big problems

 now.21
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Bob, is that acceptable

to you?

- 2 MR. FLETCHER: Sure.
- MR. SCHWAAB: And in letter (g), --
- 4 MR. DiLERNIA: Well, let's make that -let's5make it text now, not proposed text, but
 text.6 Could you convert that italicese (sic).
 Okay.7
 - 8 MS. O'MALLEY: The old (g) is now --
- 9 MR. SCHWAAB: Okay. I thought we had talked, at least informally, about specifically requesting from NOAA an assessment of the current level2of spending.
- 13 MR. FLETCHER: That was your idea. I thought I'd be a little more political in the way I phrased it.
- MR. SCHWAAB: All right. Well, I would recommend, perhaps not as an unfriendly amendment, that twe specifically request of NOAA an accounting of resent expenditures as they relate to documenting commercial landings, and separately how much 2is spent to document recreational landings.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Back up, now, because

NEAL R. GROSS

now you're making a motion to amend. That has not been accepted as a friendly amendment.

- MR. FLETCHER: No, it's not accepted.
- 4 MR. SCHWAAB: I thought I had to explain what I was asking for first.
- 6 MR. DiLERNIA: So do you want to -- I see what you're explaining -- I just ask that you propose the amendment so that it can be typed and projected for people to review. Then if you wish to speak to the amendment, or just speak to your motion, then we'll do that. But first I need a motion, sir.
- 13 MR. SCHWAAB: All right. That we would amend4letter (f) to read, NMFS should be requested to provide recent expenditure history related to the dollection of both commercial and recreational catch7data.
- 18 MR. SIMPSON: Actually, it's not catch, it's 19anding.
- 20 MR. SCHWAAB: What's the better -- landings? Okay.
 - MR. FLETCHER: It's really NOAA

NEAL R. GROSS

Fisheries, too, instead of NMFS.

- MR. SCHWAAB: Yeah, well, that depends on who in NOAA Fisheries you're talking to, I guess4
 - 5 MR. DiLERNIA: Is that your motion?
- 6 MR. SCHWAAB: And that then we would sort of integrate that with the existing letter (f) to determine what level of new funding is needed, and what level of reallocation might be possible.
- 10 MR. DiLERNIA: So your motion is to integrate this with the current (f)?
 - MR. SCHWAAB: Yes.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Do we have a second to the metion? Without a second, it's not going to move lanywhere. So if someone wants to second --
- 16 MR. ROBERTS: I'll second for discussion.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Second for discussion.

 Very 1900d. Mr. Roberts has seconded for discussion.
- MR. LEIPZIG: Eric, would you entertain the idea of tacking on, then, the -- something to

NEAL R. GROSS

the effect that that data that is provided is on a regional basis rather than just nationally?

- MR. ROBERTS: That's all right with me.
- 4 MR. LEIPZIG: There may be significant differences, and I don't know where you're going with that, but I don't have a problem with saying data,7but I just want it broke down to a way that it becomes a little bit more meaningful than on the gross9level.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Where do you want to insent it into the proposed amendment?
- MR. LEIPZIG: Landings data on a regional basis.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Provide by region recent expenditure history; is that what you're saying?
- of the last line, commercial and recreational landings data on a regional basis.
- 19 MR. DiLERNIA: On a regional basis. Eric 20acceptable?
 - MR. SCHWAAB: Yes.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. Mr. Roberts,

NEAL R. GROSS

seconder, acceptable, the friendly amendment to the motion?

- 3 MR. ROBERTS: Yes.
- 4 MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you, sir.
- 5 Ms. Lowman, and Dr. Balsiger is next.
- MS. LOWMAN: I just have a concern. If I just get numbers, how much you spent here for recreational and commercial, it doesn't mean much to megunless I know what are the management issues, what ware the size of that fishery, and a number of others to put it in context. So I'm not sure what I'm going to know if I just know X-dollars here and X-dollars here.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Keep in mind, folks, what 186're doing is we're sending -- we've agreed to send one representative from our Committee to a meeting -- a national meeting designed to address the problems that currently exist with the Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey. These are basically our instructions to our representative, our liaison, as to what items they should keep -- or he should keep in mind or present

NEAL R. GROSS

while lattending the meeting. So how much detail we're 2 going to get from our advice to him remains to be 3 seen also. But I have -- to speak right now, I have Dr. Balsiger and Ms. McCarty.

- 5 MR. BALSIGER: I was only trying to discover whether (f) was needed for Mr. Fletcher before he went to the meeting or -- or -- because I didn's memorize the whole motion, so I can't remember how this fits under two. Is this something we provide at the next meeting, or is this 11-
- MR. FLETCHER: No. This would be -- in my mind, not in the proposed amenders mind, the concept is that during the process of responding to the NRC report, at some point, it would be good to understand just where the dollars fall. If it turns 7 out that there's a significant difference in those 8 figures, then the question arises, well, is that 1 an appropriate expenditure in light of the value 0 of -- the relative value of those various fishing sectors? But I didn't intend it to become -- it was just an issue that I could raise

NEAL R. GROSS

at this meeting.

- MR. DiLERNIA: If I may? The point has been made, Dr. Balsiger, by some members that the level 4 of funding that's devoted to the monitoring of the commercial catch is significant -- magnitude's higher than the level of funding that's devoted to the recreational catch. Perhaps when the value of the recreational fishery, or whatever, is considered to the value of the commercial fishency, perhaps it might be appropriate in the future to divert some of those funds from the commercial fishery to the recreational fishery.
- MR. BALSIGER: I have no problem with this 1kind of disclosure. I think it's appropriate. I just wasn't sure of the timing. I wanted to clarify that.
 - 17 MR. DiLERNIA: Yes, sir.
- MR. BALSIGER: I think they also ought to determine how much of that's discretional, because some of it's earmarked and has to be for either rec. or commercial. I don't think you have to put it in the motion, because I would think we'd

NEAL R. GROSS

be smart enough to be able to lay that out if we're talking about these things.

- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: So -- okay -- you would speak4against the proposed amendment?
- 5 MR. BALSIGER: No, no. I just wanted to --6I was looking for the timing of it.
- 7 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. I have Heather,
 Bob and -- okay -- Pete. Heather.
- 9 MS. McCARTY: Thank you. I was actuably going to ask the same question as to what the importance of that information was to the strategy at this meeting. And I think I now understand it. Since I do understand it, I'm not sure 1 agree that there should be consideration given 5 here to some sort of evidence that would lead to diverting funds away from commercial fisheries data 1 collection to another use. I mean, I'm not sure 1 that that's a really good message that we should send.
- I don't want to -- I don't know a whole lot about this issue, and I don't want to disadvantage the recreational fishing community in

NEAL R. GROSS

any way. But I also don't want to say maybe we should divert some funds from commercial data collection to anywhere, frankly, because we don't need less, we all need more. So it's just like Aquaculture and commercial fishing, you know, it needs6to be new money. So ...

- 7 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. Bob.
- MR. FLETCHER: Well, I don't necessarily feel that the proposed amendment is a bad approach to gathering information, but I don't know ithat it's the timing. I don't think it's the appropriate place to be putting it. I laid out -- or the Committee had laid out some general principles to kind of guide whoever, if it's me or someome, is there on behalf of MAFAC at this meeting. So that was my concern about not accepting as a friendly amendment. But just it may not be the proper place right here for it. So that is why I wasn't supportive of it.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you.
 - 21 Mr. Leipzig.
 - MR. LEIPZIG: Yeah. I'm questioning

NEAL R. GROSS

what this provides in terms of guidance to Bob Fletcher going to a meeting in Denver later in the year. 3 But like Dr. Balsiger says, I don't have a problem with full disclosure either and putting everything out on the table. But if we're going to do that, then as a Committee, if we're going to start7looking at this, then we need to also understand what the states' involvements have been, what the landing taxes are, where the fees are coming from. It's not just federal money in terms of the data collection. And what's the use -- as Dorothy raises, what are you trying to manage for? What 1 Level of precision do you need? Where's the volume of the data? There's all sorts of other issues if you're going to start making some decisions about whether there's an appropriate level7of funding in one area or not. So anyway, I'm sort of rambling, but I don't think this adds anything, any guidance to Bob going to a meeting.

- 20 MR. DiLERNIA: Mr. Schwaab.
- MR. SCHWAAB: Well, a couple of comments in response. One, this is every bit as

NEAL R. GROSS

important. Good recreational landings data is every2bit as important to the commercial sector as it is3to the recreational sector.

- Number two, one of the reasons I raised it now is because we have this principle that says new money is needed. Well, new money might be needed, but instructing us and this group as to what Bevel of new money is needed would be significantly enhanced by being able to compare the relatively minuscule amount currently spent on monitoring recreational landings and what I think, without knowing what the dollar figure is, is a relatively significant amount. So I think that if new money is needed, knowing what's being spent on monitoring the commercial catch would be an important piece of evidence in speaking with Congress as to what level in justifying that request.
- The last thing for me is -- and I'm not suggesting that new money is not needed -- but I thinklit is important that we understand the level of attention that has been historically paid to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

this issue within the Agency now compared to some other 2 things they might be spending their money on, and that we not entirely let the Agency off the hook in its responsibility to fix this problem by simply saying, well, somebody's got to go to Congress and get this money. The Agency hasn't put any money in the budget -- in its budget proposal, as near as I can tell, in recent years to enhance recreational catch data.

- MR. BALSIGER: To that point, Dr. Balsiger.
- MR. SCHWAAB: I'm going to hear a clarification.
- MR. BALSIGER: The administration has not, 16f course.
 - MR. SCHWAAB: Thank you.
- 17 MR. BALSIGER: There's several steps up and **ba**ck down.
- 19 MR. SCHWAAB: Gotcha. The administration has not. I stand corrected. But thereis not been any request for new money for recreational landings, but at the same time that

NEAL R. GROSS

we'velseen, for example, big new money go into buying VMS for the commercial sector. That's just one example, which I understand is one of the things that happen, necessarily or not necessarily entirely within the Agency. That's why I think -- and I6m willing to accept that the timing at which?-- under which the Agency can deliver this data be left somewhat open, but that we should be asking for the data. What I heard Dr. Balsiger say is that he thought that was an entirely appropriate request.

- MR. DiLERNIA: Mr. Simpson.
- 13 MR. SIMPSON: Full disclosure is a good idea 14 No problem with that. It's good management to lask at where you're at before you go forward.
- Heather, you jumped way ahead by saying -- just asking this -- or having Bob say that 1we should do this at the meeting would constitute the next act, and that is reallocation. That 2s not necessarily going to follow from that.
- MS. McCARTY: It's up there on the screen.

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. SIMPSON: Recent expenditures?
- MS. LOWMAN: To determine reallocation.
- 3 MR. SIMPSON: I will go all the way to there4 It could be the other way. I mean, if you want \(\mathbb{V}MS \) on all the recreational boats, or if you want \(\mathbb{E}eal-time \) closure of your fisheries and seasonal closures, you know, maybe there is a need for that finite level of data, but I don't think so. 9
- 10 MR. DiLERNIA: Ms. McCarty, you're next on myllist, and then Mr. Roberts.
- MS. McCARTY: I was just going to clarify what I was saying, that in the original motion there's a reference to reallocation of existing funds under the old (f). Eric's proposed amendment actually doesn't refer to that specifically. And then the chairman referred to a possible reallocation of funds. So that's where that was coming from.
- I actually think the proposed amendment is much less specific in that regard, and probably is much appropriate. Full disclosure is fine, but

the whole reallocation thing, maybe that does need to happen, but I'm not sure that that should be coming from MAFAC at this particular point. I could be wrong. Maybe you guys do stuff like that. I don't know. I'm new, too.

- 6 MR. DiLERNIA: You guys -- "us" -- you're one of us. You're as much one of us as anybody else in here.
- 9 MR. SIMPSON: Let me clarify- -- I'm getting the point that, from this information, thou shalt1-- I don't get that from this information.

 You might. You might not. It's different than "thou3shalt" do it.
 - MS. McCARTY: Gotcha.
- MR. DiLERNIA: I'd like to get back to my list and try to keep some order and move us along?
- 18 MR. SCHWAAB: Can I offer a solution to that 19
- on the list. Do you wish to yield your time?
 - MR. ROBERTS: I yield to his --

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. DiLERNIA: Yes, sir. Thank you.
 Mr. Schwaab.
- 3 MR. SCHWAAB: Perhaps there's a solution, and you're going to have to guide me on the parliamentary process.
 - 6 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay.
- 7 MR. SCHWAAB: But I would suggest perhaps we could -- as -- the proposed amendment might 9be to move that italicized section in place of the "NMFS should" section.
- MS. McCARTY: Well, that's what I thought your intent was.
- MR. SCHWAAB: That would address that concern.
- 15 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. So are you making the 16 because we're going to have to still vote on the 17 we have a motion, and we're going to have to -18we're going to have to accept the amendment, and if we accept the amendment, then we'll have to vote 20n the motion.
- MR. SCHWAAB: So the proposed amendment is modified to simply substitute what's under (f)

now for what is highlighted there by Rachel.

- 2 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. Now, as the maker of the motion, you can do that. I know it was seconded for discussion purposes only by Dr.
- Roberts. That's acceptable to you, sir?
 - 6 MR. ROBERTS: Acceptable.
- 7 MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you. Okay. So, Rachel, could we make that change, please.
 - 9 MS. O'MALLEY: Yeah.
- 10 MR. SCHWAAB: So do we have to vote on it? 11
- MR. DiLERNIA: We're going to have to vote 10n --
 - MS. BRYANT: -- on the whole thing.
- 15 MR. SCHWAAB: I thought we had to vote on the amendment.
- 17 MR. DiLERNIA: First we have to vote on the amendment.
 - MS. BRYANT: We vote on the amendment. 20(Pause.)
- MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. So is there any additional discussion on the amendment? Mr.

NEAL R. GROSS

Roberts? Dr. Roberts?

- 2 MR. ROBERTS: Eric, if I were trying to respond to that as somebody in an agency -- and I know this is nit-picking -- but landings -- I don't know how they can report landings expenditures to you different than effort expenditures. I think what you really mean is landings and effort data, not just landings data.
- 9 MR. SCHWAAB: Larry's shaking his head, so I'm say yes.
- MR. SIMPSON: He's more technical than I am12
- 13 MR. ROBERTS: But I think that's your intent, if I gather where you're trying to go here, and Isthink if that's the intent, we need to be specific, because if I were Jim, and I get this thing?passed to me on my desk, I'm going to say, well; I can't separate my expenditures from landings out of that.
- 20 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. You both agree on that 21 It doesn't have to be italicized because you were 2the makers and the seconders. So if you want

NEAL R. GROSS

to amend your own motion to amend, that's fine. We don't 2 need to actually take that up.

- 3 MR. ROBERTS: That's a clarification, I guess4
- 5 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. Clarification. So just leave it as text.
- 7 Okay. All those -- any additional discussion on the amendment to the motion?
 - 9(No audible responses.)
- MR. DiLERNIA: Seeing none, all those that laccept the amendment, say "aye."
 - 12 MULTIPLE SIMULTANEOUS VOICES: Aye.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Opposed?
 - 14 MR. FLETCHER: No.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. It shows that the motion passes, but with opposition.
- MR. SCHWAAB: I still have the floor for my -- I have one more point with respect to the overall --
- MR. DiLERNIA: Well, now we're looking at the amended motion. Do you wish to amend it again?

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. SCHWAAB: No, we're back -- I thought we just voted on that.
- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: We voted on the amendment to the motion. Now we have to vote on the full motion.
- 6 MR. SCHWAAB: Right. And I was going through a list of concerns, and we just addressed my second to last concern on my list.
- 9 MR. DiLERNIA: So you have an additional concern. Fine. Please, go ahead.
 - MR. SCHWAAB: My apologies.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: No, go.
- 13 MR. SCHWAAB: Can we scroll back up to the tep, toward the top. Okay. Back down below number two. Sorry. I don't have this document in front6of me, and it is the first time I've seen it. Right7there. We had some discussion informally about8whether the potential fix -- realm of potential fixes included only fixes to MRFSS, or it should include some discussion about alternatives. I think that I heard, Bob, you say that your -- this 2document focuses only on fixing MRFSS, and

does not leave the door open for possible altermatives.

- open, 4Eric, by way of saying one of the suggestions might 5be that, due to the problems and the good old phrase "fatal flaw," we might need to come up with an entirely different system. My only comment was they sould still call it MRFSS as long as it addressed all the issues and came up with a system that 1was acceptable and credible. So it was just a matter of semantics. You can name it something else, 2a whole different system.
- MR. SCHWAAB: So I'll offer as a friendly amendment substituting the MRFSS with recreational data collection systems.
 - 16 MR. FLETCHER: Sure.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Acceptable by maker?
 Who seconded the original motion?
 - 19 MR. FLETCHER: Eric.
- 20 MR. DiLERNIA: Eric. Okay. So it's acceptable. Okay.
 - MR. SCHWAAB: Thank you for your

NEAL R. GROSS

indulgence, Mr. Chairman. I'm finished.

- 2 MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you, sir, for your participation.
- Any additional discussion on the motion? I have Mr. Rayburn, I have Chris, and I have Mr. -- Dr. Roberts. Mr. Rayburn.
- 7 MR. RAYBURN: The question is, the participation of a MAFAC representative I assume would 9 be contingent upon financial support to participate, that there's no obligation on the chairman to attend this meeting absent MAFAC support?
- 13 MR. DiLERNIA: That's -- generally, where4he's going, we're paying for it. Thank you, sir.15
- 16 MR. JONER: This is not an unfunded mandate.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Mr. Dorsett.
- 19 MR. DORSETT: Thank you. I'm struggling here a little bit with some of these specific recommendations because I haven't read that 2book yet, and we didn't receive any kind of

NEAL R. GROSS

briefing on changes that are needed. So I'm looking at, for example, (a), eliminate or significantly restrict random digit dialing, which sounds okay to me, but I really don't know one way or the other without someone providing me that information if that should be a key principle. And there 7 are some other ones in that list, as well, I can identify. But that's my concern right now.

- 9 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. To this point, and then Dr. Roberts.
- MR. FLETCHER: Just in response, Chris, my experience with random digit dialing in our discussion was that, for the amount of time and effort and expenditure of money, it accomplishes very title, and that when California went to a different system -- California Recreational Fisheries Survey -- the only dialing they did was on surveys to named persons who already were fishing. So it didn't appear to us that there was a justifiable benefit to even continuing with that element.
 - 22 So that was why that language was

NEAL R. GROSS

there; because experience just did not demonstrate that there was very much benefit for the amount of time and effort and money.

- 4 MR. DORSETT: I appreciate that, and I just 5- I don't know if there are other opinions out there on these kinds of issues. And without a briefing on them, I'm a little uncomfortable.
- 8 There's also this discussion about regional flexibility. I don't know what exactly that means.
- 11 MR. FLETCHER: Well, I can respond to that 12 too. On the West Coast, all the states have ocean 3 licenses. In the Northeast, that's not the case 14 While there is an ability to access anglers through state systems in certain areas, there isn't that 1 ability. So the idea of regional flexibility, to get at the problem and try to come up with a fix absent that information on the number of anglers in any one state, we've got to use the tools we have.
 - Go ahead, Tony.
- MR. DiLERNIA: If I may. Mr. Dorsett, we at2MAFAC -- this request to have someone attend

NEAL R. GROSS

a September meeting, which is perhaps five or six weeks2away, was new to us when we first heard the request. Otherwise, we perhaps would've scheduled a full briefing on the Natural Resource Council's report to the full Committee. So we are faced with this request to either have someone attend or participate.

- I can say that as a recreational representative to the Committee, I did participate in the working group's discussion regarding developing a response and developing some comments, to develop this motion, and I understood it. I would3say that eliminating item (a), eliminate or significantly restrict random digit dialing, is actually one of the recommendations that has been made 1by the NRC regarding -- in their report regarding amending or fixing the East Coast Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey, which is MRFSS9
- I understand, at the same time, your reluctance to vote on an item that you do not fully understand. I respect you for that fully, sir.

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. DORSETT: Yes.
- MR. DiLERNIA: My suggestion is, because at this point we were unable to have -- and some of us understand this fully, because we've been at the NRC briefings, and others and you have not. 6At this point in our meeting here today, my only suggestions are to either -- if we -- we could table 8 this motion, although we will send a representative anyway, or we could require a motion to table, or we could ask you, sir, to consider either voting no or to abstain from the vote. Those2options are available. I offer those comments only as a means of trying to accelerate our meeting here. But I fully understand and respect fully, sir, your hesitation regarding voting on something you have not been fully briefed on. 17
- Dr. Roberts I have next on my list, and then 19 have -- I see -- Laurel's been keeping the list 2for me -- Dr. Roberts.
 - MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 - Bob, on (g), we're requiring for-hire.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

For-hire would include six-pack people working in state2waters entirely, or the kind of people that you represent? And the reason I ask is there's thousands of people who are six-packers, and very few like yourself in comparison. And I'm wondering --

- MR. FLETCHER: I guess it was only -my experience has been that all for-hire operators
 are required to submit records of their catch. In
 my experience, in my area -- and that was why I
 phrased it that way -- and it's turned out to be a
 very realuable tool now that we can take the
 information, program it, and have it accessible to
 the managers -- and it was a general feeling -- and
 Larry5may or may not agree with this -- that
 nationally it would be a good thing to require all
 for-hire operators to provide records of their
 catcheand effort. So that was why that was where
 it was.
- There was also a recommendation in the NRC zeport that they be treated separately, and provide the information. So --

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. ROBERTS: As long as -- you're
 saying, Larry, your recollection is "for-hire"
 means3--
- 4 MR. SIMPSON: "For-hire" is head boat, any paying -- could be guide. It's a state of the art term. Rather than saying "charter boat," you say "for-hire." That could be head boat, it could be six-pack, could be overload, could even be guide9
- 10 MR. ROBERTS: Right now they respond through a telephone poll that NMFS does. Anyway, I would2prefer to see, Mr. Chairman, back on the motion in general, that -- I think some people have some idifficulty because they think this is going to be a istatement of MAFAC policy on some of these issues. If I'm interpreting it right, it's less disturbing to me. To me, these -- to me, the way I read in the doing here is that the Committee's trying to give -- I think we're trying to get Bob sensibized to some things that he ought to look at -21
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you.

- 1 MR. ROBERTS: -- very clearly when he represents MAFAC at this meeting, not represent that all for-hire people need to fill out daily catch4and effort reports, but that you look at the meeting to see what information is talked about in that particular topic.
- 7 MR. FLETCHER: That's kind of the way I wanted to phrase it. These are principles that I will have -- keep in mind when I'm in that meeting discussing ways --
- MR. ROBERTS: And then when you come back ito us in January and give us a report, I expect to see what the conference said about (g), (e) and (d), as opposed to me approving a motion here ito send you to the meeting to say that there oughts to be a hundred percent sampling of for-hire people, because that, as a statistician with some background, that kind of gives me a little bit of trouble, because statistics is -- you know, has got some zmerit in terms of sampling, as opposed to having to do a hundred percent survey. So I'm looking at --

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

- 1 MR. FLETCHER: They may reject the idea, 2but if this motion passes, I will go to the meeting, and I will put these ideas on the table to be considered by the group as they respond to the NRC report.
- 6 MR. ROBERTS: And you will in turn report back to us what the conference said about (e) and (f) and (g) --
 - 9 MR. FLETCHER: Right.
- 10 MR. ROBERTS: -- and some of these other1things. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you, Dr. Roberts. Thank3you for bringing us back a little bit to focus4-- more focus as to what we're actually doing5
 - I have Tom, Dr. Billy.
- MR. BILLY: Yeah. Just for the record, I shame the feelings that Chris Dorsett raised.

 Absent either a paper that briefs me on what this is all about, more briefing, I'd just state my intent to abstain from voting on this.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you, sir.

- 1 Mr. Rayburn.
- 2 MR. RAYBURN: I think Dr. Roberts probably stated what I was going to say. The way I read this -- and I'd ask Rachel if you could scroll up to5the top of this listing here -- we're talking about 6 just consideration three there, consider some key -7 just it's a consideration and not an advocacy for any particular position. So as Ken was saying, I assume the representative will go, make 1sure these items are discussed, not take an advodacy pro or con on any of 'em, bring that information back to us as an information type of situation, so we'll know what the advisory group assembled there thinks about these particular items; not necessarily MAFAC taking the position pro or con, but what they think. Then after insightful discussion, we could determine from a MAFAC *position how we would go with any particular one that is being considered. Is that the intent of this motion?
- MR. DiLERNIA: Hands are jumping up all around. Hold on one second.

- 1 MR. RAYBURN: I'm finished. The question was, is that the intent, that it's --
- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: I'm going to defer. I had next on my list Dr. Balsiger. I'm going to defer5to him, and then I'll go to Mr. Fletcher, and then Ms. McCarty.
- whether this was intended to represent MAFAC policy or resommendation for policy. So that's been clarified. Then there's people that haven't seen the meport yet. So I wonder, you might want to consider, if Chris reads the report, he could send an e-mail to Bob, something that catches his eye before then. I'd hate to see lots of abstentions, because I think the main focus of this meeting was to make sure that MAFAC's represented at the meeting. So we wouldn't want a lot of abstentions and no's against it just because of these individual items. I expect that Chris even would want 200 see MAFAC represented at the main meeting.
- MS. BRYANT: And it was a sincere effort on NMFS' part to make certain MAFAC was

involved, because we've got such a good cross-section.

- 3 MR. JONER: Could Rachel scroll up just above4that so we could see where the "consider" fits in. What's the main obstruction?

 (Pause)
- MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. It's been whispered in my ear that the second paragraph read the working group suggests that the MAFAC representative keep in mind -- not require a mandate, but consider -- or keep in mind the following principles.
- MS. BRYANT: Yeah, the following areas of concern.
- MR. DiLERNIA: The following areas of concern.
- 17 MR. JONER: I don't like that because then 18hat puts --
- 19 MR. DiLERNIA: (Speaking over Mr. Jonez) -- or from the following areas of concern.
- MS. BRYANT: Yeah. It's not a principle that we're adopting. It's just an area

NEAL R. GROSS

of interest to consider.

- MR. DiLERNIA: Following areas of concern. Mr. Fletcher, acceptable to you, that friendly amendment?
 - MR. FLETCHER: I love it.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Seconder, acceptable?
 - 7(No audible response.)
- MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. Back to my list, I have Ms. McCarty, and then Mr. Fletcher. Heather, anything?
- 11 MS. McCARTY: Oh, absolutely. That's way better. Because I have to admit that I misunderstood the language originally, and thought that 11t was taking an advocacy position --
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. 15
- MS. McCARTY: -- on behalf of MAFAC. 16 Now I7see, of course, that it's not.
 - 18 MR. DiLERNIA: Very good. Thank you.
 - Bob, anything? 19
 - MR. FLETCHER: This whole thing --20
 - MR. RUBINO: Just leave it at no. 21
 - MR. FLETCHER: This whole thing is --22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 why don't we just eliminate all the other stuff and just \mathbf{s} ay send me to Denver.

- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: Well, that's what I thought we were going to say in the first place, but then that's when we started -- okay. Why don't we vote on the full motion at this point. All those7in favor of the full motion, say "aye."
 - 8 MULTIPLE SIMULTANEOUS VOICES: Aye.
- 9 MR. DiLERNIA: Any opposition? Any abstentions?
 - 11(No audible responses.)
- MR. DiLERNIA: Very good. Why don't we take 1ten minutes.
 - 14(Recess from 2:34 p.m., until 2:47 p.m.)
- 15 MR. DiLERNIA: Folks, can we come back to onder, please.
 - 17(Pause.)
- MR. DiLERNIA: I thought it was going to be deepeasy to be done before 5:00, but I -- I still have hope to be done before 5:00. We have some housekeeping items. We have the Sustainable Fisheries DVD. We can't show that just

NEAL R. GROSS

yet. 1I was going to open with that for the second half.2 I was going to open with that, but we still don't3have the projector. So what I'd like to do now is turn my attention to the 2020 document.

Mary Hope is -- well, I'll tell you what, we'll postpone that. There's the TV. Okay. So while they're setting up the -- getting ready to set up the DVD, Jim, Laurel, who could we go to first?

Jim? 9Dr. Balsiger.

- MR. BALSIGER: This is -- let's see -we launched our Sustainable Fisheries Leadership
 Awards this last year. MAFAC played some role in
 that 13 It was a successful ceremony. We got lots
 of gaed accolades from our bosses downtown in
 Washington, D.C. So that was positive in itself.
 But I6think beyond that, it was good to be able to
 demonstrate to the large community that we try to
 serve 8 that we appreciate the cooperation and help
 that 100 get from them. So it was a good program,
 and we have video of the ceremonies.
- This agenda item on here is mostly to indicate that we intend to make this a continuing,

NEAL R. GROSS

ongoing program. We're working a little bit on exactly the logistics of it, but we want to make certain that MAFAC continues to help us select the nominees -- advertise for nominees, I guess, select nominees, help us with criteria, if necessary, and then kelp us go through the lists, and ultimately decide who the winners are for the awards for '07. So I think we can make this a very short thing for today? I don't think we have to have time lines and assignments right now. Although Laurel has the background on that.

- MS. BRYANT: It will depend on the time line 10f this, because the last time we went out for nominations in November, and MAFAC, once we got the nominations in, we had the web page that had all of those6for everybody to review and make recommendations. We have criteria, we have all of that 18
- The two things that kind of need to be improved on in that process was we had very few MAFAC1members commit to that process. I think for this 2mext time, because we will not be meeting

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

again1until next February, I really -- I think I would2like members to really be aware of that, and maybe3at least we get some subset of MAFAC that is committed to those reviews.

- Then also I've talked with Vince, and mentioned it a little bit to Larry and Randy, but one of the reasons that Bill also liked MAFAC's involvement is because you have the commissions already built in, so you can get a state perspective. So we really want to make certain that twe get the states involved from that and with that the perspective, so we really have those two discrete review perspectives occurring in the nomination process.
- So I guess this is heads-up to you as we prepare to go through this the next time around, and get our legs on it again. And once Bill makes a decision on the time line, again we're probably looking at June for an event, November for nominations. But when we get that pinned down in the next month or so, I'd like to come back to the Committee via e-mail and through Tony and identify

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

some folks.

- MR. DiLERNIA: Yeah. I'd like to add to what Laurel just said also. Mom just yelled at us, but I'm going to yell at us too a little bit. I was 5a bit disappointed with the number of MAFAC members that participated in the selection process of this year's awardees. We were and are the selection committee. It is our responsibility to review the nominees and to make the selections.
- 10 MS. BRYANT: Well, to make recommendations.
- necommendations. The more participants the better. I know I kept putting it off, and I said, okay, I'm going5to do this. It took me less than an hour -- maybe6-- well, between one and two hours to do the review of all of the nominees and to make my -- put my vote in for the recommendations. A number of members did not last time. I hope that our participation will increase on the next go-around. This 2is the only opportunity I'll get to say this to you before we meet again. We may have those

NEAL R. GROSS

nameslin front of us before the next meeting. So, please, if that comes through, take a few minutes, click3on the site. It's very simple. You have to read 4- it's like voting on American Idol almost. You get to read about it and select your winner for each category.

- Dr. Billy, you had your hand up.
- 8 MR. BILLY: Yeah. Just I was one of those9that didn't, and I'm sorry for that.

 However, I'd like to write down the dates for when this 1is going to occur.
 - MS. BRYANT: As I said, I don't --
 - MR. BILLY: Oh, I heard what you said.
 - MS. BRYANT: November --
 - MR. BILLY: I'm making a point.
 - MS. BRYANT: Oh, okay.
- MR. BILLY: We're busy people, and the soones we know when all this is going to occur, we can neserve time to do these things. It's not being oagainst it. It's an interest in being involved. Our time is difficult, too, sometimes. So we 2need it as soon as possible.

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. DiLERNIA: And I have a question also for Laurel on this. I kept putting it off because I felt I had to do it all in one sitting, whereas if I -- can you do it, review it, in like three5-- say I want to take a half hour of my lunch each day at work and just --
- 7 MS. BRYANT: Oh, yeah. It's all posted online, everything, for you to read.
- 9 MR. DiLERNIA: So you can just do a few, land come back to it a day or two later, do a few others?
- MS. BRYANT: Yeah. It's just a form that 19ou can just download and keep, and as you fill 1½ tout on your own -- that's all it is. It's very 16asual. I will say, though, we got to do no advertising last time. We were prevented from going 7out. And when we did for the very short period of time and the minimal amount of heads-up that 190e got, we still ended up with 48 very qualified candidates. The amount of time you spent; I anticipated if we're successful, that will go up 25 ignificantly. But it is all online. It is

divided by category.

- What I'm suggesting is, working with MAFAC3 maybe it's not -- maybe it's certain members only looking at one category, and some other members looking only at another category. The MAFAC6under-secretary came to you and asked you to develop an awards program that NOAA would utilize to acknowledge its constituents. This is still your baby. These are lessons learned. That's merely what I'm offering here, lessons learned.
- So as we get successful, it might be very 1burdensome. Maybe somebody who wants to -- really wants to read all of them. But there are six dategories of awards, and one member who is not here 1did suggest that one of the categories, which is the special recognition award, should not come from 170 minees outside the Agency, but rather, should exclusively be a nomination process from within the Agency.
- So there's a lot of things kind of turning over that you may want to and that maybe we want 20 put at the top of the agenda for next time,

NEAL R. GROSS

or that we want to have a phone conference or some kind of e-mail exchange as we prepare for it for the next round that we go through.

- MR. DiLERNIA: Mary Hope.
- MS. KATSOUROS: I guess you heard Bill speak 6 on the phone. He has approached Fish for the Future Foundation to do the nomination process, to, you know, post it on their website. I believe he said that on the phone when he called in on Tuesday morning. We are talking about it would still be a MAFAC1-- you know, they would be the review and everything. But this would be that you could go out to the broader public. You could advertise. And being that the event was paid for not by the Government, it would give it some -- you know, somewhat separation. He wanted to do this similar to what the Sanctuaries does with the Sanctuary Awards, and make this a continuum that -- we have not worked out the details, but he has come to us, and we've taken it to our board to have them consider it and take an action on it. still2be a -- you know, a MAFAC review committee,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

but it would be a -- you know, it would be Fish -you know, Fish -- you know, NOAA working with Fish
for the Future Foundation, and then they -- what he
had envisioned, which the Government's not able to
do, is actually to publicize it, ask people to
bring6in, you know, recommendations for nominees,
to have a -- to have the process seem more distant.
You know, this isn't just NOAA, you know, giving -so --9and I don't know where those -- you know,
whereothat's going, but -- and but that would be
something that we would need to clarify, you know,
as we2move forward.

- MR. JONER: I guess I have a question.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. And we have the vided5 So I have two people that want to speak.

 Mr. Jøner.
- 17 MR. JONER: I guess it's a little unclear to me how that worked. Would you serve as like lan outside contractor for advertising this?

 To mego--
- MS. KATSOUROS: No, Fish for the Future --

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. JONER: I'm missing something here.
- 2 MS. KATSOUROS: All right. Fish for the Future --
- 4 MR. JONER: I don't really see why it would5go outside --
- 6 MS. KATSOUROS: Okay. Fish for the Future Foundation would be the -- it's like the Sanctmaries Foundation -- would be the group that would? you know, get the nominations, put these nominations in whatever criteria, and then, you know, present 'em to the review committee, which would be MAFAC. It would be an outside group. You could 3 look at it, yes, as a contractor.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Staff.
- MS. KATSOUROS: But, yeah, it would be an outside group that does this.
- MAFAC, and then from MAFAC to the Agency, or --
- 19 MS. KATSOUROS: MAFAC would serve as the zeview -- well, you would make recommendations -- these are the people that get it -22

- 1 MR. JONER: Yeah, but then --
- 2 MS. KATSOUROS: -- and, you know, those recommendations would be -- I mean, that's why I said we haven't worked out the details, because then somebody can't -- you know, if you have a review -- you have to be transparent. Fish for the Future Foundation has to be transparent. That question of veto power -- you know, if the review committee comes up with these things, there's no veto 1power. I mean, you know, so those kinds of things haven't -- but it was to give transparency to -12you know, again, as I said, this was -- I -- he did bring it up during our phone conversation. I don't know if anybody was paying attention or not.15But he has talked to us about that.
 - 16 MR. JONER: I still -- I --
- MR. DiLERNIA: We listen to every word Bill 18ays, Mary Hope.
- 19 Ralph. Save me here, Ralph. You're next 20n the list.
- MR. RAYBURN: I don't want to delay the vide@2 but I would like to say a few things about

this.1 I mean, it was a very classy activity in

June when these awards were presented. It was a

relatively small group of people that were there,

but, wow, I mean, it was a heavyweight bunch, and I

was real pleased to be a part of it and represent a

little bit of MAFAC.

- 7 I was a little concerned. The funding on this -- I -- I'd understood that -- did the funding come from a fisheries organization?
 - MS. BRYANT: For the dinner.
 - 11 MR. RAYBURN: For the dinner.
- MS. BRYANT: The staffing of nominations, evaluations, the website, that's been done may fisheries, but I think there's an interest in maybe shifting that whole thing over to Fish for the Exture.
- MR. RAYBURN: Well, I guess it, you know,18kind of troubled me, and I'm glad that there are fixsheries that are able to fund these kinds of things. But, I mean, it seems to me like if you're going:1to do it, the Agency -- and the Agency wants to do2it, they ought to find -- and maybe this is

NEAL R. GROSS

Fish for the Future or whatever -- but there needs to be 2 an entity out there that is not regulated by the Agency that's given the money to do this kind of thing.

- 5 MS. KATSOUROS: But Fish for the Future Foundation gets money from a multitude of organizations. It's not just from one.
- 8 MR. RAYBURN: And I'm not -- I'm talking about last time. As I understood it, that was what somebody said. Whether it's true or not, 11-
- MS. KATSOUROS: Well, last time was --well, syeah, we had some of those same issues.

 That is why we discussed it.
- MR. RAYBURN: Good. I don't think that is right to hit on the folks you regulate to fund isomething like this, even though it's important. It's been a while back, a lot of water under9the bridge, but I really can't remember any kind 20f changes in criteria that we need or evaluations or not, but --
 - MS. BRYANT: Yeah. I don't know what

NEAL R. GROSS

the -1 yeah.

(202) 234-4433

- 2 MR. RAYBURN: Everything seemed to -- I mean, 3 again, it was a while.
- 4 MS. BRYANT: It's up there, and everybody can review them if they have any comments or thoughts for Mary Hope.
- 7 MR. RAYBURN: Yeah. Would you like -review it and get you some response back by time
 certain?
- MS. KATSOUROS: Actually, you know, we would1-- I mean, we just started these discussions, and, 170u know, we need clarification, you know, on how we would go forward, you know. If you all are happy4with those criteria -- I mean, we just have to have some criteria when someone says, so, you know,16how did this happen? -- and then you have your 10riteria that you can show. It just needs to be somewhat more transparent. I think that that --
- MR. DiLERNIA: My suggestion, Ralph, would obe for MAFAC to engage in the exercise a second time, perhaps with additional participation, and then after that exercise a second time, to then

NEAL R. GROSS

review the process and see if you want to recommend changes to --

- 3 MS. BRYANT: And if there are -- do look at the criteria, because they're up online, and it's fully public and transparent. So if you want anything, then get us those recommendations.
- 7 MR. DiLERNIA: I see Larry back there. When E was in grade school, I used to love to be the ApV kid and get to go down the hall and get the 10 so go ahead. Push the button, on and off, that made you special, or turn the film strip dial. Remember? Every time there was a ding on the recording, you turned this film strip dial.

14(Video played.)

- 15 MR. DiLERNIA: Ralph.
- MR. RAYBURN: I had one more point to make 1before the video. I understand that it, and maybe 8 what you mentioned about changing that last award 9 to be an internal kind of deal, I know when we -20
- MS. BRYANT: That was suggested by one, but 22

NEAL R. GROSS

MR. RAYBURN: Yeah. When we talked about 2 this before, I think I heard Gilmore say this, 3 and if not, he's not here to defend himself, but we really didn't want it to become just a political award. When you were looking at those candidates, I mean, what Senator Stevens did, you know, 7it really was not comparable, as I recall, with the other candidates for that position. would hate -- I mean, I know you've got to do that, and it's great long-term, but, you know, there are other1folks, I think, that would be significant, but would not be able to compete with the congressional leadership. So I guess what I'm saying is, there ought to be some way to either -you know, to separate the two out so you can really hone 11sn on somebody that's had a lifetime career, but not in politics, you know, and not have them compete with a political leader like Stevens or Gilchpist or whomever else might be out there, you know 20 in the long term. How you do that, I don't know 21 but that was one thing that seemed like it came 20ut early. We were concerned about that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

becoming that, and once you start the process, you know, 2you really can't get off of it. You've got a, you know, well, why didn't my chairman get the award? -- or this or that. So it's not offensive to Stevens. Obviously, he deserves it. But I'm just saying that there needs to be some way to deal with that, I think.

- 8 MS. BRYANT: I agree. I agree.
- 9 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. Unless there's anything else, I think we'll leave that at this point1
- 12 Okay. 2020. Not all the members were here learlier today when the working group got together to discuss the 2020 document. What has been idecided is that there are two basic questions that iwe're going to ask Committee members to respond to. We ask Committee members to respond within the next few days, either by handing in a written response on the sheet that's been distributed -- and if you haven't received one, see us after the meeting, and we'll give you a copy of that 2sheet -- you can either hand it in or you can

NEAL R. GROSS

communicate with us via e-mail. That e-mail is, again? --

- 3 MS. KATSOUROS: And we'll have an e-mail out on Monday to all the MAFAC, you know, just giving the questions just as a reminder. But it's mahk@mk61.com
- 7 MR. DiLERNIA: So you're going to now communicate on Monday --
- 9 MS. KATSOUROS: We have it -- we're putting them in the mailing list just to remind them 11
 - 12 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay.
- MS. KATSOUROS: We have -- I have received five so far. We'll check you off our little list -- well, the general one, so that you'lk have our e-mail, but then you'll start honing in on --
- MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. We need responses to these two questions next week, because on August 10th 20we will send the synthesized version of the responses to the MAFAC members for comments. By August 24th -- let me go through the calendar

NEAL R. GROSS

first1-- we'll expect folks to respond back to us by August 24th. By a week later, August 31st, we will have the proposed options for moving forward on the next steps distributed to all the Committee members.

- 6 MS. KATSOUROS: I could meet with Bill if he7wants.
- 8 MR. DiLERNIA: Again, there's been some confusion regarding what Dr. Hogarth has been asking us. It seems that he's spoken with a few different people, and we've heard a few different messages. So we'll have that clarified also between now and August 31st.
- MS. BRYANT: And you want members to get the things to Mary Hope when, August --
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Well, again, --
 - MS. KATSOUROS: As soon as possible.
 - 18 MS. BRYANT: Okay.
- 19 MR. DiLERNIA: As soon as possible, but the deadline for receiving comments to Mary Hope is August 10th.
 - Pete, I see your hand up.

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MS. KATSOUROS: I can't have a synthesized version.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: I'm sorry?
- 4 MS. KATSOUROS: No, no. You can't send it to 5me on August 10th and expect it to be part of the synthesized -- you know --
- 7 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Give them a day, then.
- 9 MR. DiLERNIA: Mary Hope, what's our calendar, please?
- MS. KATSOUROS: On August 10, I -- it's to gd2back to you so that you can see what we have 13- you know, we will say whatever -- I do have a calendar with me, actually, so I -- right, that's for it to go out. So Monday's the 31st. So by the, 1% ou know, 2nd or 3rd, if you could have submitted your comments to us, we'll -- you know, so that gives us a week to put 'em together to, you know, 19put 'em out.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Everyone has enough time with 2that and that's good?
 - 22(No audible responses.)

- 1 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. So then you'll send it back out to everyone on the 10th?
- 3 MS. KATSOUROS: Yeah. That's all right4 I'll send 'em out on the 10th.
- 5 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. After they come out on the 10th?
- 7 MS. KATSOUROS: We'd ask them to take two weeks to look at this, send us comments back so that we receive it on the 20- -- so that we get it back 10-
 - MS. BRYANT: Was it the 24th?
 - MS. KATSOUROS: -- -4th, right.
- 13 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. This time line will 120e in your e-mail.
 - MS. KATSOUROS: Yeah.
- 16 MR. DiLERNIA: It'll be in your e-mail that 19ou receive on Monday. Any other discussion or questions? Ralph?
- MR. RAYBURN: Mr. Chairman, the purpose of our response to August 10th following the compilation we get on August 10th, is that to reflect on other people's ideas as to whether or

not we think that's realistic, or is it to review our own -- how our own input is --

- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: Well, we need to see what everyone else is saying.
- 5 MS. KATSOUROS: Yeah. And was the synthesis -- is it reflective of the group's thinking, and, you know, whenever you synthesize something.
- 9 MR. RAYBURN: I just wasn't sure that 10- you know, like one may say there's no way to save the fishery, and the other one may say it's going2to be great. I mean, are we supposed to -- are we seeking --
- MR. DiLERNIA: Well, the first (speaking unintelligibly fast) out while the rest of usework it out.
 - 17 MR. RAYBURN: Excuse me?
- 18 MR. DiLERNIA: The first person can sit it out while the rest of us work it out.
- MR. RAYBURN: I guess my point is, are we supposed to be checking to see whether our input is adequately addressed in the synthesized

NEAL R. GROSS

document, or will there be some judgment given to the imput made as to what is included in the synthesized document? Are we to comment? And these are a series of questions, but are we to comment on other people's positions? It seems to me it a fairly -- it could be, I mean, a fairly complicated process to get to a point where we would aget some consensus of MAFAC as to what our vision is.

- MS. KATSOUROS: Or convergence --
- MR. RAYBURN: Convergence maybe.
- MS. KATSOUROS: -- that this represents what 1a -- you know, a combined MAFAC -- you know, and it may be more -- you know, --
- MR. RAYBURN: I just propose that as a challenge.
- MS. KATSOUROS: Yeah. It would be to say, 19ou know, this is something that MAFAC would say dould be our combined -- there would be a -- and then there's some people that weren't here for the discussions. How you bring them in, you know 22-

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. RAYBURN: Well, yeah. It's just a challenge, so good luck.
- 3 MS. KATSOUROS: -- and that also has to be taken into account.
- 5 MR. DiLERNIA: Well, we'll just -- how they come is the response to the two questions.

 That's what we're looking for is response to these two questions.
 - 9 Ms. Foy.
- 10 MS. FOY: Thank you. I can't speak for everyone else, but I intend to restrict my comments at this time to areas of my own personal expertise so that I don't delve too far into the realm of pure pipe dreams. I didn't say what's smoking in the pipe. But the subcommittees and the work groups, if you have a particular interest in an area,17then chances are you have probably studied theres Perhaps we could frame our comments, and then 19et the work groups of the particular areas address their own subsections of the draft. Do you understand what I'm saying?
 - MR. DiLERNIA: I'm sorry, no.

- 1 MS. FOY: Okay. Let me rephrase that, if I can.
- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: I was going to be polite and say yes, but I don't want to get --
 - 5 MS. FOY: If I can.
- 6 MR. DiLERNIA: I want to be productive. So, please.
- 8 MS. FOY: The Ecosystem Approach
 Subcommittee could address ecosystem areas of our
 2020 Wision. The Protected Resources Subcommittee
 couldiaddress protected resources interests.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. That's a new approach. What you're suggesting is a brand new approach now.
- MS. FOY: I'm not suggesting that it affect this, but I think perhaps addressing it as an entire committee is going to become increasingly unwieldy. So if we can as subcommittees perhaps make 19ecommendations, that --
- MR. DiLERNIA: We have a working group that 2s going to begin to meet and work and review the £all Committee members' -- we're -- and Dr.

Balsiger's asked to speak, and I'll defer to him to finish my comments. The concept here is to get something from everyone, to begin to develop a straw4man that can then go to the working group, and start the refinement from there. How that evolves from there remains to be seen still.

- 7 Jim.
- 8 MR. BALSIGER: That's fine. That's where 9I was going.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Yeah. And where it 10 evolues from there is -- and then, you know, do we need 1additional meetings and hearings, and who gets involved? That's all yet to be decided. We're asking for a living, working, breathing document. This 1thing'll be doing a tango by the time we get done 16 But that's -- we wanted to -- we need a working -- we need a straw man. We need to get started. We've discussed it. It was introduced at the last meeting. I hoped to get a little more ground going here. Perhaps if we just take some time 2to focus our comments by writing them down and going2forward, that's another attempt at getting

NEAL R. GROSS

this going.

- Ms. McCarty.
- MS. McCARTY: Mr. Chairman, thank you.
- Do you also anticipate at this meeting having this group agree on the rest of the process at alk? I know you just said this was just a beginning.
 - 8 MR. DiLERNIA: No. No.
- 9 MS. McCARTY: Okay. Given that, then, when two meet again in February, we will decide the rest 10f it? Because that seems to me to be --
 - MR. DiLERNIA: No.
- MS. McCARTY: Okay. So in between those4two times, now and then, who's going to be making the decisions?
- 16 MR. DiLERNIA: We'll -- what I'd like to do7is to have another conversation with Dr. Hoganth, and actually get some written -- probably some 10ritten direction from Dr. Hogarth regarding this 2project. Have that -- those written directions circulated to the entire Committee, take the comments that we have from Committee members,

NEAL R. GROSS

and begin to synthesize them. Send that out -- and we may have to modify it after we get out -- because some folks are going to send us in some comments, and maybe after we get some written direction from Dr. Hogarth, we may have to modify that.6 So we may have to go -- I see a lot of e-mail exchanges on this going back and forth.

- The decision-making regarding how we -once we synthesize the comments from the full
 Committee and we start to go forward, it's going to
 go to the working group, and I'm going to ask the
 working group to make decisions how to go forward.
 So I would expect the working group to make some
 decisions over the next couple of months, and
 perhaps even have the working group meet as a
 subcommittee sometime before the next MAFAC meeting
 to work on that and have some concrete material to
 deliver at the next MAFAC meeting.
- 19 MS. McCARTY: And what do you think that 2will be, that concrete material at that point in February? I'm just trying to get a feel for it because I just want to know -- I'm on the

NEAL R. GROSS

subcommittee --

- 2 MR. DiLERNIA: We may -- at this
 point3-- at that point, we may have a draft of a -or a straw man draft of a report that we want the
 full Committee to bless before we place it on our
 website for public comment, and then decide if we
 have to put on a road show -- and excuse the
 slangs-- but to go out and get additional comments
 and imput before we put together our final report.
 So Ilwould like to see a draft or interim or
 proposed report for full Committee review at the
 next impeeting, when we meet in winter of '07.
- Suggestions or -- okay? We good with that 1thinking?
- MS. McCARTY: That's what I wanted to know 16
- MR. DiLERNIA: All right. Okay.

 Hopefiully we'll be able to keep to that time line and be able to get it done.
- 20 MS. McCARTY: Is it January or February?
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Well, that's -- we're

NEAL R. GROSS

going1to discuss that in the next few minutes. The winter '07 meeting, I purposely said that.

- Okay. So comments to Mary Hope as soon as possible. You'll send out an e-mail.
- 5 MS. KATSOUROS: I will send out an e-maik so that you can just --
- 7 MR. DiLERNIA: This is going to take a lot of work. We're going to keep dumping it on Mary Mope.
- 10 MS. KATSOUROS: And, you know, there's going 1 to be some hornets nests. I mean, we have to be ready for it, of course, any time you do something like this.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Pete.
- MR. LEIPZIG: For the benefit of the people that weren't here this morning, what I got out of the agreement was that in spite of the wording of question one, there are really two parts to that. One is what you think is going to happen, and the second part is, what would you like to see happen. Okay? So some people are just seeing this 22 and it's not worded in the manner that was

NEAL R. GROSS

agreed to.

- 2 MR. DiLERNIA: And you'll reflect that in your e-mail -- going out -- going -- e-mail.
- 4 MS. KATSOUROS: Right. I thought we agreed on what would you like to say --
- 6 MR. DiLERNIA: A little louder, a little louder.
- 8 MS. KATSOUROS: That would be the vision. What would you like to see the fisheries and the fishing infrastructure be in 2020? It was not thrying to project, because if you try to project, --
- MR. LEIPZIG: What I heard this morning was instructions that part (a) was what you antidipate. What do you think is going to happen? -- whatever your stand of status quo is -- and the second part is, what would you really like to see?
- 19 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: That's not the question.
- MR. LEIPZIG: That's not what's worded there2

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. DiLERNIA: If you remember, I was corrected by -- at first, I -- Pete, this morning -- I heard what you said. I said, well, that sounds good. But I was corrected by Dr. Billy to stick to what was on this paper. We agreed to it at6that point, and that's what I'm asking for now, what's on the paper. Good? Thank you.
- 8 Okay. You good with that? You have what you need? Hopefully the Committee has what they meed at this point.
- Okay. I just lost my staff. Calendar of meetings and meetings sites for our next meeting. Right now, our next meeting -- well, why don'tiwe -- is Laurel out there? The week of January 23rd was originally proposed, but it turns out that there are other meetings that were not considered. So the January 23rd date -- the next meeting is scheduled -- the winter meeting is scheduled for New Orleans.
- MR. JONER: The 23rd, is that Oscar Altmann (ph)?
 - MR. DiLERNIA: No. Laurel, the week of

January 23rd, which was originally suggested, is not working.

- 3 MS. BRYANT: Does not, nor does the following -- the only two weeks Dr. Hogarth has is February -- the week of February 5th or the week of February 12th.
- 7 MR. DiLERNIA: Let's take a look at the 5th first.
- 9 MS. McCARTY: February 5th, that's the week 10I believe, of the North Pacific Council.
 - MS. BRYANT: I believe it is.
- 12 MS. NICKELL-TOOLEY: Yeah, it is. It is. 13
- MS. BRYANT: Yeah. So we couldn't do that 15
- MS. McCARTY: So none of us would be able 170 come.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: So February 12th.
 - MS. BRYANT: The week of the 12th.
- MS. McCARTY: It's still the Council meeting.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: How about if we go

NEAL R. GROSS

forward? Do we have a calendar?

- MS. KATSOUROS: I have a calendar.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Please.
- 4 MS. KATSOUROS: Oh, no, I don't. I take that back.
 - 6 MS. BRYANT: I do.
- 7 MS. McCARTY: We have one here. What do you want to know?

9(Pause.)

- 10 MR. FLETCHER: So the 30th through February the 1st is out; right?
- 12 MR. DiLERNIA: The week of January -the 20- -- okay -- I went -- the 22nd, 23rd is no
 good 14 The following week, the 29th, was no good.

 It was the week -- suggested the week of February
 5th, 1but I understand that's North Pacific, and
 that 1doesn't work. Week of February 12th is the
 continuation of North Pacific. That doesn't work.

 So rather than going later into February -- yes?
- MS. McCARTY: Mr. Chairman, the North Pacific Council usually ends on the Tuesday following the Monday that it begins, a week and a

NEAL R. GROSS

day later. So it's the 13th? So it will be over on the afternoon of the 13th of February.

- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: Could you find yourself to be4somewhere by the 14th, the next -- could you find yourself to be in New Orleans -- go from Alaska to New Orleans in one day?
- 7 MS. LOWMAN: It's in Portland, so it isn't8that -- I mean, I think that meeting in February's in Portland.
- on the 14th, Wednesday, and do Wednesday, Thursday, and fiull day Friday, with everyone traveling back on Saturday. I just don't think it's worth getting together and trying to do two days. I think you've got to have the three.
- 16 MR. DiLERNIA: No, you have to have threa7days.
 - 18 Mr. Roberts.
- MR. ROBERTS: Let me caution you. If you go to the 14th, Mardi Gras is the 20th, Tuesday the 20th. There's about 65 percent of the hotel rooms2in New Orleans open now. I mean, then there

NEAL R. GROSS

may be 100 percent. But it'll be extremely crowded on the 14th. You can try. I mean, I'm not saying you shouldn't.

- 4 MR. DiLERNIA: Let's go into -- how about 5January? How about the week of January 15th?
 - 6 MS. BRYANT: Bill is -- I don't know.
 - 7 MR. DiLERNIA: Say again?
- 8 MR. SIMPSON: Gulf Council is the 22nd 9- week of the 22nd.
- 10 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. So that's the week mafter. Okay. I'm still -- January 15th is a Monday.
- 13 MR. BALSIGER: I think that's the International Pacific Halibut Commission, which would be three or four people.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: So the 15th is no good.
- MS. BRYANT: We have to get less involved members, clearly. You're just --
- 19 MR. DiLERNIA: Let's go a week earlier,
 January 8th. Before I go into March, I'd like to
 come 2forward to January -- January 8th.
 - MS. KATSOUROS: Does anybody have the

NEAL R. GROSS

calendar of events?

- MS. BRYANT: It's in my notebook.
- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: Someone swiped Laurel's notebook. Whoever has it, give it back, please.
- 5 MS. BRYANT: Does anybody have online connection? My computer --
 - 7 MR. DiLERNIA: Anyone have wireless?
- 8 MS. BRYANT: We have wireless in here, but -9
 - 10 MR. BALSIGER: Doesn't work.
 - MS. KATSOUROS: It doesn't work.
- MS. BRYANT: Oh, everybody's the same?
 Okay13 I thought it was just me.
- MR. BALSIGER: What's happening January 8th? 15
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Week of January 8th?
- 17 MS. McCARTY: People are still in

Hawaiż.

- 19(Laughter; pause.)
- 20 MR. DiLERNIA: The wireless, I was told21doesn't work in this room. We need access to the MOAA calendar.

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MS. BRYANT: I'm just going to call.
- 2 MR. RAYBURN: I've got a telephone hookup. You need it?
 - 4 MS. BRYANT: I'm going to call Gloria.
- 5 MR. RAYBURN: It's after hours out there; isn't it?
 - 7 MR. DiLERNIA: Yeah, it is.
- 8 MR. BALSIGER: Gloria will still be there9
 - MS. BRYANT: Gloria may still be there.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: God bless that woman.
- MR. RAYBURN: I'll go and get mine if you want me to.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Jimmy, I'll give you -you dan work your calendar, and I can work mine
 out.16
- 17 MR. BALSIGER: When's the Alaska Marine Science Symposium?
- 19 MS. McCARTY: It's the week of the 22nd and 23rd. What day is the Monday? -- whatever that 21-
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Wait a second.

- 1 THE REPORTER: I'm having a hard time telling who's speaking.
- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: It's okay. And if it's okay with me, it's okay with you. All right.

 Someome's checking on the calendar for --
- 6 MR. JONER: The 16th is out. That's Halibut Commission.
- 8 MR. DiLERNIA: January 8th, someone is checking on that right now for us? Someone left the noom?
- 11 MS. NICKELL-TOOLEY: They went to get a calendar, but I don't know if Laurel --
- MR. DiLERNIA: Who went to get the calendar, Mary Beth?
 - MS. NICKELL-TOOLEY: These two guys.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Ralph?
 - 17 MS. NICKELL-TOOLEY: Yup.
- 18 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: They both went 19
- MR. DiLERNIA: All right. While they 2me -- while we're waiting for them to come back 2mith a calendar, the other item I had that was

up for discussion was the location of summer '07.

Anyone want to make a suggestion for the location of summer --

- 4 MR. FLETCHER: Mary Beth's house.
- 5 MS. NICKELL-TOOLEY: Well, that'd be a little tight since they won't rent you any cars, and it's going to be a long walk.
- 8 MR. DiLERNIA: Southern New England has been suggested.
- MS. NICKELL-TOOLEY: Yeah. We did discuss Rhode Island as a possibility. It wouldn't be that far from Woods Hole, which would be a good day their for people. There's New Bedford Seafood Auction that would be close by. And I can probably figure out a way to get a tour of a processing plants as well. So it's a possibility.
- MR. DiLERNIA: That's a possibility. I mean 18it's -- does anyone else have any -- are there 9 any suggestions, other than -- I mean, southern New England, I'm calling back home, and they 2 the telling me it's hot and humid. So it does 2 t necessarily --

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MS. NICKELL-TOOLEY: Well, it's not going2to -- oh, June; right?
- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: No, it'd be July or August.
- 5 MS. NICKELL-TOOLEY: July/August. Well,6the weather'd be about the same as it is here.7 There's a couple of different --
- 8 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: What's wrong 9with Maine?
- 10 MS. NICKELL-TOOLEY: -- possibilities.
 You dould consider downtown Providence or Newport,
 Rhode2Island.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Well, southern New England -- we've -- the Committee has recently been to nothern New England, Maine. They were there a couple years ago. So that's why southern New England is being suggested.
- MS. McCARTY: You can come to Alaska again9 Everybody'd love it. Kodiak would be great0
- MR. DiLERNIA: I'd love to come to Alaska again.

- 1 MS. McCARTY: We have a great meeting place2in Kodiak. We really do. There's a NOAA lab there3
- 4 MR. DiLERNIA: The Juneau -- I have to tell you that the -- we ended up in Juneau last time because of the cost of travel to Kodiak.
 - 7 MS. BRYANT: Yeah.
- Kodiak was double -- the total cost to the
 Committee -- we defaulted to Juneau in our last
 meeting two years ago only because the cost to the
 Committee to go to Kodiak was double what it cost
 to go3to Juneau. So in other words, it was maybe
 20K to get us to Juneau, and it was going to be 40K
 to get us to Kodiak. So we were forced to -- I'd
 love 150 go to Kodiak, but we were forced to
 alternate at Juneau -- take an alternate in Juneau.
 - 18(Side discussion re wireless connection.)
 - MR. FLETCHER: How about Sitka?
 - 20 MR. DiLERNIA: I have -- personally --
- MS. BRYANT: Because New England we were 2at in 2003 or 2002. Alaska, we were there in

2004.1 So --

- 2 MS. McCARTY: I strongly suggest at the summer meeting.
- 4 MS. BRYANT: Oh, yeah, this would be a summer meeting.
 - 6 MR. DiLERNIA: Yeah.
- 7 MS. BRYANT: But I think New England is a place that we haven't been to for a while compared to Alaska. So just in terms of fair play 10--
- 11 MR. DiLERNIA: Why don't we do this:

 Let's2look at budget. Again, our -- Dr. Hogarth is not here. She would get some input from him. The Intermational Olympic Committee can consider more than 16 ne site. Why can't we?

16(Side discussion re wireless connection.)

- MR. DiLERNIA: All right. We're off the location. We're back to the date of the winter '07 meeting. How do we look for the week of --
- MS. BRYANT: See, we were already there1
 - MR. BALSIGER: Bill Hogarth has his

NEAL R. GROSS

Council Chairs Executive Directors January 10 and 11. 2

- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: January -- Council Chairs -- January 10, 11 -- so the 8th is out.
- MS. BRYANT: The 15th is International Halibut Commission; the 22nd through 26th, Alaska Marinæ Science Symposium; Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management is also that same week. Marine Fisheries Advisory Committee was scheduled, and now we have to move it.
- 11 MR. DiLERNIA: There's nothing in March on that calendar there.
 - MR. RUBINO: The 23rd?
- MR. DiLERNIA: March 23rd, you're saying, Mike?
- MR. RUBINO: Excuse me, the 26th through 30th.
- 18 MR. DiLERNIA: March? Let's go to -- look 1at March 5th.
- MR. JONER: March 4th through 9th if PFMC21
 - MR. DiLERNIA: March 12th. You good?

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. JONER: This is for New Orleans; right?
 - 3 MR. DiLERNIA: Pardon?
 - 4 MR. JONER: For New Orleans?
- 5 MR. DiLERNIA: Yes, sir. We're definitely going to need a working group meeting before then if it's March 12th.
 - 8 March 12th, New Orleans. Dorothy?
- 9 MS. LOWMAN: So earlier we talked about the and of the week of February 12th.
 - 11 MR. DiLERNIA: Didn't work.
- MS. LOWMAN: That was because we just were lafraid we couldn't get a hotel; right?
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Yes.
- MS. LOWMAN: Yeah. But we haven't checked.
- MR. DiLERNIA: And the cost of the hotel8
 - MS. LOWMAN: Oh, okay.
- MR. DiLERNIA: We're constrained by our Government rate.
 - MS. LOWMAN: Right. Right.

- 1 MR. RAYBURN: March 12th's your MRFSS date, 2Larry?
 - MR. SIMPSON: Ours is the 26th.
- 4 MR. DiLERNIA: So we should investigate hotels? I mean, I don't live in Louisiana. I'm going6to go back to Dr. Roberts. Give me some help here.7 Is it an exercise in fertility (sic) to look at the weekend before Mardi Gras?
- 9 MR. ROBERTS: I would encourage Laurel to look if she wants to on the 14th, but that's the week 1before Mardi Gras, and that's -- it may still be within your budget. I don't know. Maybe a hundred percent of the rooms will be open by then. You'me having Gulf States there -- when? -- aren't you? 15
 - MR. SIMPSON: In October. Yes.
- MR. ROBERTS: What kind of rate did y'all8get?
- 19 MR. SIMPSON: I don't remember. Do you remember? 120, 150?
 - MS. BRYANT: I'm thinking --
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Oh, so that's February.

So that doesn't work. There's something else on the NMFS calendar. Okay. So we're back to March 5th. 3

- 4 MR. FLETCHER: No, that's Pacific Council.
- 6 MR. DiLERNIA: March 12th. We can do March 712? I don't see any opposition.
- 8 MR. SIMPSON: I don't remember the Gulf Council dates that far out.
- 10 MR. DiLERNIA: Wait a minute. If we schedule ours -- can't you go around ours if we get ours 10n calendar now first?
- 13 MR. SIMPSON: Gulf Council, I don't control them.
- MR. FLETCHER: If you meet in January, you'me not going to -- you meet in January, the Gulf 1@ouncil?
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Yes, sir?
- MR. LEIPZIG: Rather than guessing at what 2the calendar's going to be that far out, how about21somebody just be tasked with compiling a calendar, giving council meetings, commission

NEAL R. GROSS

meetings, Halibut Commission meetings, --

- MS. BRYANT: I'll send it to you.
 We've3got it on an internal internet, and we try to keep all of it. That's what Jim's reading from.
- 5 MR. DiLERNIA: But there's nothing scheduled -- doing it now -- looking at that calendar, --
- 8 MR. LEIPZIG: Is it not scheduled, or it just hasn't been scheduled that far out?
- 10 MS. BRYANT: It hasn't been entered into 10ur --
 - MR. BALSIGER: Hasn't been entered.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Hasn't been entered that far out.
- MR. LEIPZIG: And that's what I'm afraid of is that we're going to start playing guessing games.
- MS. BRYANT: The other thing I want to throw you to you -- and I know that people are going to glare me -- but it is maybe an option, and I could explore it with other folks. The week of January 10th, it looks like you've got Council

NEAL R. GROSS

Chairs coming into town. We've done that once before where we had kind of a combined meeting. You're at the same hotel in D.C., and it allowed some, 4you know, cross-pollination, some interest. Everybody was there. It was easy. It wouldn't be New Orleans, I know, but it would be doable. And considering we've got a lot on our plate with this Vision thing, and ongoing Aquaculture, possibly NRDC stuff, I mean, there's just a lot that we're going oto have to follow through on. And I think Marchis pushing it, frankly.

- 12 MR. DiLERNIA: Okay. So that would be -43Council Chairs is March -- January 10th.
 - MS. BRYANT: January 10th.
- MR. DiLERNIA: So if we met 8, 9, 10, it'd 10 nly be one day of overlap.
- MS. BRYANT: Now, you'd have to fly in probably on Sunday, if that's not too offensive to anyone, and then be ready for Monday morning meetings, which we could do. But that might be something. Then on the 10th -- I think, Bruce, you were 2there when we did that last one we did, and it

NEAL R. GROSS

worked out quite well. It was kind of nice.

Everybody got to see their chairman and their

executive director. I just throw it out. But it's

not New Orleans.

- 5 MR. FLETCHER: Wait a minute. Wasn't New Orleans selected in an effort to try to bring business to the area?
 - 8 MR. DiLERNIA: Yes.
 - 9 MS. BRYANT: Yes.
- 10 MR. DiLERNIA: Yes, it was. That's something we have to decide. I mean, do we want to -12
- MR. LEIPZIG: Bob, where's all that money4coming from? D.C.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: That was definitely --
- 16 MR. LEIPZIG: Where is New Orleans on thein7recovery?
- MR. SIMPSON: Right now, about 65 percent of the downtown hotel rooms are up and available. Larry's having his Gulf States at the World: Senestra (ph), which is right in the middle of the Quarter, which did not flood. The French

NEAL R. GROSS

Quarter did not flood. But it'll probably be close to a kundred percent by then.

- 3 MR. ROBERTS: But a hundred percent hotel4rooms, there's still about 200,000 --
- 5 MR. JONER: Yeah, but, I mean, are they all going to be filled? So if we're looking at not finding rooms, then they don't need our business.

8(Laughter.)

(202) 234-4433

- 9 I want to go to New Orleans, but --
- ordinance, I guess you'd call it. But we had to change it a week just to accommodate New Orleans. I mean, it's tough.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Why don't we perhaps investigate -- have staff investigate two sites, two times. Investigate New Orleans the week of March712th, and D.C. the week of January 8th.

 Based8on availability of hotel rooms, cost to the Committee, and availability of NOAA staff, we'll make 2a decision.
 - MS. BRYANT: Okay. So what am I --
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Is that acceptable to

NEAL R. GROSS

the Committee? You're going to look at D.C. week of Jazuary 8th.

- MS. BRYANT: Uh-huh.
- 4 MR. DiLERNIA: And New Orleans the week of March 12th.
 - 6 MS. BRYANT: Okay.
- 7 MR. DiLERNIA: Let's look at the two, and let's see where we go from there.
- Now, this next -- based on what we just said withis next topic might be an exercise in fertility (sic). But the winter -- or summer '07, let's get back to that discussion. Do we want to identify a site or a location at this point? The two locations I've -- well, I've heard southern New England, and someone just suggested returning to Alaska. Any preferences on the Committee's part or any discussion from the members of the Committee?
- 18 MS. FOY: How long ago was the meeting in Juneau?
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Two years.
 - 21 MS. BRYANT: 2004.

(202) 234-4433

MR. DiLERNIA: Two years ago today. So

NEAL R. GROSS

it'll1be three years since we went to Juneau.

- 2 MS. BRYANT: But it'll be like five or six since you were in New England.
- 4 MR. DiLERNIA: Southern New England, yes. 5
- 6 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Yeah, but if we7go to D.C., then we should go to the West Coast8
- 9 MS. BRYANT: You're going to check that off.10That's a Mid Atlantic --
- MR. DiLERNIA: Yeah, if you go to D.C.12--
- MS. McCARTY: I just suggested it to be hospitable. It's not a burning desire, but I think it'd 15e fun for people. It's good fishing that time 16f year.
- MR. DiLERNIA: And you're suggesting Homen8
- MS. McCARTY: Any place -- Homer,
 Sitka0-- I think Kodiak would be the best
 because --
 - MS. BRYANT: We have a facility.

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MS. McCARTY: -- of the facility there.

 Or Juzeau, or even around Anchorage -- Girdwood

 (ph) 3- I don't know.
 - 4 MR. JONER: Chicken.
 - 5 MS. McCARTY: Chicken.
- 6 MR. DiLERNIA: Chicken? That's a place?
 - 8 MR. JONER: Chicken, Alaska.
- 9 MR. DiLERNIA: Why don't we do this, then 10 Let's look at -- let's have the staff investigate southern -- look at the last week of -- just 12 ike here, last week of July, first week of August. We'll investigate southern New England and Alaska.
- MS. McCARTY: If you fly to Juneau, you can filty to Sitka for about the same amount, and it's mot very far from here. So it's not expensive. If you go to anyplace like Homer or anywhere around Anchorage, then it's Sitka to Anchorage flight, and then probably driving from there1
 - MS. BRYANT: Driving. That was what

became the impediment last time.

- MR. DiLERNIA: The rental cost, yeah.
- MS. McCARTY: Or a bus.
- 4 MS. BRYANT: Well, it's just long. We could5probably do a bus if we did that.
- 6 MS. McCARTY: There's lots of really cool places around Anchorage, and it's not expensive either. But I think Kodiak's probably -- indivadually it would be -- I think it's about \$350 roundetrip from Juneau for me to go to Kodiak.
 - 11 MS. FOY: From Juneau?
- MR. DiLERNIA: I know -- again, Kodiak was dost-prohibitive last time. Okay. So we have two areas we're going to investigate, the last week of July, first week of August, and we'll investigate Alaska and southern New England.
- MS. BRYANT: Southern New England. Uh-huk.
- 19 MR. DiLERNIA: And we'll confer with Dr. Hogarth.
 - MS. BRYANT: Okay.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Laurel, you have some

NEAL R. GROSS

housekeeping items for us.

- MS. BRYANT: One, Mr. Chairman, thank you. 3I'd like to suggest Bill Dewey -- and, Catherine, I don't know if we need to make any kind of formal, but we need to definitely get them on the subcommittees. I don't know whether you thought all about -- I know you mentioned them earlier, and I wasn't loaded up on the right document. So, Bill, what subcommittees were you --
- 10 MR. DEWEY: Yeah, Aquaculture and the 11 what do you call it? -- the --
- MS. BRYANT: Commerce Subcommittee is where 3 Aquaculture's being done.
- MR. DEWEY: What about the endangered species?
- MS. BRYANT: That's the protected resources one.
 - MR. DEWEY: So those two.
 - 19 MS. BRYANT: Okay. And Catherine?
- MS. FOY: The protected resources and the excosystem approach, please.
 - MS. BRYANT: Okay.

NEAL R. GROSS

- MR. RAYBURN: What was the last one?
- MS. FOY: Ecosystem approach.
- 3 MS. BRYANT: I had a couple other things. I also wanted to go through -- can I continue, Mr. Chairman?
 - 6 MR. DiLERNIA: Please do.
- 7 MS. BRYANT: I also wanted to go
 through -- because I did lose my notebook -- I was
 keeping a running list of IOU items that I need to
 get hack. I just got some new ones added, but one
 was getting copies to the Committee of the NRC
 report regarding the MRFSS study. Now that that's
 done land published, trying to get that.
- I had a bottom trawl report that was associated with the discussion on Tuesday that I think 6 was referenced. Am I right?
 - MS. O'MALLEY: Yeah, the UN Report.
- 18 MS. BRYANT: The UN Report. Okay. And I feel like there was something else. Budget numbers -- the budget briefing that we got didn't add up. That's all I can remember in my head. Are therezany other IOUs that I need to --

- 1 MR. DORSETT: Maybe a new one. We got a copy of some of the presentations, the PowerPoint slides. I find those very useful, and I keep my notebook in my office after the meetings. So if we could5just make sure we get those.
- MS. BRYANT: Okay. PowerPoints. Okay. Yeah, 7they came in so late, I didn't get 'em, but I will get them up. Generally how I do it is all that members area stuff in advance, that tends to be the template that then later on, when I get it all done and everything's done, I migrate it over to the public part, and it becomes part of the archival record for this meeting. That will take me allittle bit. I'm going on vacation right when I getsback, but I will get those PowerPoints up. I think6I owe -- there's Sam's and -- I don't know if we need Sam's, but -- I'll have to look through.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Pete, you had your hand up. 19
- of the Palumbo-Magnuson Amendments to give you a copy 20f. I don't know if you photocopied those

or --1

- 2 MS. BRYANT: Yeah. I've got a couple of copies here.
- 4 MR. LEIPZIG: I mean, I have 'em, but other 5 people may not have seen them.
 - 6 MS. BRYANT: The amendment?
- 7 MR. LEIPZIG: The amendments that will occurs to the management.
- 9 MR. DiLERNIA: Yeah, if you could circio-- if that could be circulated to the full Committee by staff.
- MS. BRYANT: I think you could probably go online. Am I correct? Would that be available?
- MR. LEIPZIG: I don't know if it's online.
- 16 MS. BRYANT: I've got four copies here, and that's all I was able to get made in time.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: You can have my copy.
- MS. BRYANT: But this is the July 20th N-block (ph) amendment language from the --
- MR. DiLERNIA: Well, what do we want to do? 2Ralph, your hand's up.

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. RAYBURN: I have a few things before y'all close. I'll let her finish with her housekeeping stuff.
- 4 MR. DiLERNIA: What do I do with this now? 5
 - 6 MR. BALSIGER: It's getting late.
- 7 MS. BRYANT: It's gettin' late, we're gettim' punchy. I have --
- 9 MR. DiLERNIA: That side of the table's getting screwed out of their amendments.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Shh, shh, shh.
- MR. RAUCH: We have one generic IOU, given3the motion that was passed with protected resources, which was to get the Protected Resources Subcommittee the relevant documents that we talked about6in that motion, which is a task on NMFS.
 - 17 MS. BRYANT: Got it.
- MR. DiLERNIA: I have a question. How many 19- did anyone -- I didn't receive my travel orders. Everyone good with their travel orders or travel authorizations or not?
 - MS. BRYANT: Did you get -- because he

didn't, and you didn't.

- 2 MR. DiLERNIA: Chris, you're making a face.3
 - 4 MR. DORSETT: I did.
 - 5 MR. DiLERNIA: You have it.
 - 6 MS. BRYANT: I know you didn't.
 - 7 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: I got mine.
 - 8 MS. BRYANT: And you got two.
- 9 MR. DiLERNIA: All right. Because I have 10- right now I'm on my own. I'm traveling --
 - 11 MS. BRYANT: Tony and Chris --
- MR. FLETCHER: I thought I got 'em.
 When would we have gotten 'em? I mean, were they
 not mailed to me?
- 15 MS. BRYANT: They were faxed to you from 1Selene. These are not your Sato itineraries that 19ou get. These are the actual travel authorizations. I know she was certainly under the impression she faxed them to everybody. So --
- 20 MR. DiLERNIA: Laurel, what's the lag time 20n reimbursement after the stuff comes to your office? What should be about the lag time?

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. BILLY: Depends on whether all the stuff2gets lost and --
- 3 MS. BRYANT: Generally a month, and then if they don't push back -- it really depends on what staff I can get.
- 6 MR. SCHWAAB: Now, we're paying out of pocket for the hotel this time; right?
- 8 MR. DiLERNIA: That's why I'm asking this.9 Laurel?
- 10 MR. SCHWAAB: The out-of-pocket is significantly higher this time because we're paying for the hotel.
- MS. BRYANT: That's going to be reimbursed. So when we get -- when I get your stuffis--
 - MR. SCHWAAB: That's why we --
- MS. BRYANT: I need the hotel bill, and you will get the rooms done.
- 19 MR. DiLERNIA: Because there's interest on credit cards.
- MR. SCHWAAB: It's like a thousand dollars a person price tag there.

- 1 MS. BRYANT: I understand. I'm the same way, including your lunches. So, yes, I know. I absolutely understand.
- I will tell you the reason why.

 Procurement has gotten very slow. The last time,
 we literally had to delay the meeting a month
 because Procurement did not move on the contract.

 It just became a whole lot easier to be able to get
 over government rate per diem kind of hotel in here
 by going individually on your travel authorizations
 rather than trying to do a \$46,000 in bulk
 contract, which has gotten very, very difficult to
 get through Procurement. So that's why so -- get
 them 1% o me.
- I will tell you, I'm leaving on vacation on Wednesday. I will not be there. I come iback on August 13th. So in getting that through, I will talk with Jim. We will make certain that we've got a staffer that is there, and I came-mail you her name and her number so that you can get that stuff right away to her, and we can get that process going. I won't let that go,

but Iim gone, so I won't be there to liaison it for you until I get back on the 13th.

- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: Just so you know, my travel orders even to be able to come back to get reimbursed -- and others --
- orders. You've just got a copy that was faxed to you. 8I've got your travel orders and the original. I'm surprised that your fax -- or whatever -- we need 100 find out what happened.
- MR. LEIPZIG: We often receive the travel claim as we're sitting here.
- MS. BRYANT: Yes. It should be in your notebook. I hadn't gotten there yet, Pete. Travel was 45
- 16 MR. DiLERNIA: Not it's not in anyone's. No one has it.
- MS. BRYANT: Travel reimbursement form, tab 13. I just hadn't gotten to there yet, but you took 20are of that.
- MR. DiLERNIA: So are we sending these back 20ia FedEx?

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MS. BRYANT: Next tab. Yes. Are we done with that, then? I do want to say one other thing3about travel, if I may, before we move on, Mr. Chairman.
 - 5 MR. DiLERNIA: Please.
- frustrated over travel. I am not an administrator. I do not know how to do this. But I do know one things You must -- you must, must, must -- always use Sato. Every year I end up with a member or two that idon't, and then I have to go curtsey, kiss rings? bend around, spit three times, threaten, whatever. I didn't make the law, but the law's there4 That is the Government-approved contractor. If the tickets are not initially issued by Sato, technically and legally, the Government is not obligated to reimburse. So I have to go through a lot of other things.
- I know that Sato is expensive. There's no question. And it's very frustrating. But,

 Pete 21I think we've worked this out before. Now we've 2got it down. If you know what airline you

want,1what flight, what this, what that, and it's a lot cheaper, you still call and you go through Sato.3 If they push back and say, no, I can't do that,4you call me, I call Sato, and I say, this is a significant savings to the Government. I don't care whether or not it is refundable.

- The big issue is theirs are refundable, so you can change on a dime, and you still get a ticket, and nothing happens, bla bla bla. But when you'ne talking \$500, what's 50 bucks? So -- but that's the process that must occur. It's not that it can't be done, but it still has to be done by -- and if you want upgrades, if you want to do that, fine 14 Still go through Sato, and then privately go through and whatever upgrades you want on your frequent flier and on your penny, you may do. But that initial thing must go through on --
- MR. JONER: And in order to do that, you have to book the flight far enough in advance. Sato 29 ays we'll ticket it the week before or whatever, and --
 - MR. DiLERNIA: Develop that a little

NEAL R. GROSS

bit more because that was one of my concerns also. I know there was even concerns about the date of this meeting at one point. So some folks I know got spouse tickets on miles and all. We have to make sure that --

- 6 MR. SIMPSON: You have to do it way in advange.
- MS. BRYANT: You just have to do it in advance, and then you get it done. That's fine.

 And if we want to -- I think probably what I'll do with 1this one is turn in another list for the next meeting once we get the dates down and say, okay,

 Sato 13here are the members. These folks are going to be 4 calling you and making their reservations.

 So there's always something else we learn new together in this process. But that I did want to remind folks.
- Catherine and Bill, I know we haven't gone 18hrough this before, but all your receipts, taxisp parking, hotel -- the way the hotel bit's going 1to work is we're going to go through, highlight all those days. It's the hotel fee. No,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

not food, not alcohol, not Pay-Per-View. That will not be reimbursed. But your telephone and those things, those are covered. I believe you'll see that in your travel order. Put it all together in a package along with this, so at least I've got something with your name, and we can put that in a file,7and I can give it to Celine with your travel authorization. She enters it into the system, and it goes somewhere else out in Suitland (ph) somewhere into a finance center, and magically you get money back.

- MS. LOWMAN: So actually I have a question, because I got it back with my travel order4attached, and I didn't real- -- I -- I thought it was done, but I had to sign it again and send it back one more time.
- MS. BRYANT: Thank you, Dorothy.

 You'ne right. When you get it, it's for you to
 look 1at, and then Finance won't do it until they
 get aosigned copy. So Selene generally Fed Exs it
 to you, not to Alaska, because we have trouble with
 FedEx22 but gets it back to you, sign it, and she

NEAL R. GROSS

always puts in a prepaid -- pre-postaged envelope for you to just send right back and get it in.

So --3

- 4 MS. LOWMAN: But she didn't put in any instructions, so I just thought, oh, I wonder why she sent this envelope.
- 7 MS. BRYANT: Everybody else got instructions, didn't they? Oh, well. Thank you, Dorothy.
- So that's it on travel for me, unless somebody else has questions.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Is there any new business? I have one other item, but I'll ask other members first, is there any new business?
 Mr. Rayburn?
- our donversation with Dr. Lent the first day -- I asked8her about a venue and MAFAC to deal with intermational issues. I'd like to propose that the intermational issues be put under the jurisdiction of the Ecosystems Management Subcommittee. You know22you can consider it now, and we'll make it as

NEAL R. GROSS

a motion. I think you can probably do it just through your executive committee, if y'all felt that was good.

- talked to Chris, who's the chairman of that subcommittee -- is right now, with Ecosystems somewhat, I guess, passed an effort, it seems like that may be a good spot to put international since so much of what they would be doing I suspect would have lecosystems connotations in a global sense. So I'd like consideration given that that be included in the charge for the Ecosystems Subcommittee, that they ideal with the international.
- I also might mention, of course, with Dr. Lent being there and Dr. Hogarth getting those significant leadership roles in international venues, it might be well to have MAFAC provide some opportunity for him to discuss issues and get some assessment from us as to what our suggestion would be. 20
- MR. DiLERNIA: I'll take that under consideration, sir. I'd just like to poll the

NEAL R. GROSS

members of the Committee to see if -- because when they volunteered for the Committee, they volunteered for one type of activity. I want to make sure that those Committee members are willing to pasticipate for a different type of activity.

- 6 MR. RAYBURN: However you see fit to do it. You also may want to think about other folks that may want to be included in this committee if it does have that jurisdiction.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Perhaps renaming and reassigning the committee at this time.
- MR. RAYBURN: Could be. I mean, I think3 "Ecosystems fits, but whatever your --
- MR. DiLERNIA: Not at this time, but before the next MAFAC meeting.
- MR. RAYBURN: And another issue, if I could 7go through about two or three of these, I propose that a letter be sent to both Taylor Shell ish and the Macah Tribe expressing our appræciation for the hospitality they showed us while 1we were here. I'd suggest a letter. Maybe Bill 20an give us an appropriate person, and Steve,

NEAL R. GROSS

and that that be addressed and signed by a liaison, and either Jim or Dr. Hogarth, whoever would be appropriate, to do that.

- 4 MR. DiLERNIA: Without a doubt, sir.
- $_{\rm 5}$ MS. BRYANT: Do you want that coming from the Committee, or do you want that coming from the $^{-7}$
- 8 MR. RAYBURN: It was extremely enjoyable, both events. Thank you very much.
- 10 Finally, I sent up a list, sir, at our last 11- I think following our last meeting. Laurel indicates that they've got a list there now at NOAA 13 So at some point in time --
 - MS. BRYANT: That'll transfer.
- MR. RAYBURN: -- we'll need to -- I can get you -- however you want to do it, just let me know 17 It has, I think, been somewhat useful. But if you've got one set up at NOAA, that's good, too.
 - 19 So that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you, sir. So we do have to convert that list served that we had at Texas 2Aggies there and bring it over to NOAA.

NEAL R. GROSS

- 1 MR. RAYBURN: Be happy to help on that whenever y'all are ready to do it.
- 3 MR. DiLERNIA: Is there any other -- Mr. Simpson.
- 5 MR. SIMPSON: The video, do you want it back?6
- 7 MS. BRYANT: I would like to keep at least 8 one copy. I do have some extra copies if anybody wants any. But that's just for walk-away.
 - MR. SIMPSON: I'd like to have it.
 - MS. BRYANT: You're welcome to.
 - MR. DiLERNIA: I'd just like to
- night4 because there was a test fishery going on with 15he sockeye run by the Pacific Salmon

 Commission, the only available sockeye were from High 17ide Seafoods out of Port Angeles, and they donated that fish. So I know only Pete and John would 9know what I'm talking about. But the fish buyez0 High Tide, gave the fish.
- MR. DiLERNIA: Actually, along those same 22ines, I'd like to send a thank you to Mr.

Gilmore at Sea Processors, who was responsible for the liquid refreshments for yesterday evening. I'd like to again say to the Macah Tribe, Klakow (ph).

- 4 MR. JONER: Klakoh (ph).
- for your wonderful hospitality and for -- it was an awkward time for you, and for our two families to be together perhaps at that awkward time was -- I felt mayself that it was a significant moment perhaps in my life. Again, I sort of joke about it, but in all seriousness, from my culture, coming from 1the East Coast, coming from New York City, as I joke and say, an Italian from Brooklyn to be able to participate in your cultural -- in your culture, it's 15n honor, sir. Thank you very much.
- Is there any other new business before --
- MR. RAYBURN: Yeah. In Mr. Gilmore's letter, will you indicate he can always send booze and not come, that that would be preferred.
- MR. DiLERNIA: We can take care of that 22 Laurel's pulling on my elbow here, so I see

NEAL R. GROSS

thereis something else.

- MS. BRYANT: I wanted to mention the handouts from the Science Center, if you want to include those in your binders. I would be remiss as a NOAA team player to not say that.
- 6 Then the last thing I want to mention is I will work with Kate --
- 8 MR. SCHWAAB: Too late. I already sealed my box.
- MS. BRYANT: Well, then you got to carrylit on the plane, Eric.
- I do want to mention also some marketing stuff with regard to a lot of the work that 1MAFAC did. I will work with Kate on this, kind 16f the follow-up stuff, what MAFAC did. It will 16lways appear in Bill's weekly, which is more internal. But Rachel and I will probably get together, identify some things, perhaps in Fish News 19 So I didn't want anybody to be taken by surprise, but hopefully if we can get some things together and post it, we'll get it up.
 - I don't know how long it will take me

NEAL R. GROSS

to get a summary report drafted and to you.

Hopefully, I can get some rest and pull all that
together and get something drafted to you before I
leave4town. That is my intention. I will not have
transcripts, though. So it's really important to
have those transcripts and be able to go through
those7 But nonetheless, I will try to get
something skeletal outlined and back out to you,
hopefully by Tuesday or Wednesday of next week via
e-mail.

- very mauch for all of your hard work. You've asked to daswork, and you have worked. I'd like to make a special thank you in recognition of Dr. Balsiger for fitling in for Dr. Hogarth. Thank you very much if or keeping us on the straight-and-narrow. It's like, surprise, you're going to go to MAFAC, and you got right up to speed with us. Thank you, sir. 19I know it is additional work on your part to be have and to keep us all on the straight-and-narrow, and I appreciate it, sir.
 - Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS

| thank2the NMFS people that are around the edge who |
|--|
| worked hard to make this work, Rachel over here, |
| and Rachel over here, and you guys are all nice to |
| work with. So it was a pleasure of mine to rub |
| elbows with you. |
| 7 MR. DiLERNIA: Thank you, sir. |
| 8 That's it. We're adjourned. |
| 9(Meeting adjourned on July 27, 2003 at |
| 4:07 lp.m.) |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |

MR. BALSIGER: Thank all of you guys,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

22

1

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com