WIND AND WILDERNESS

POWERING RURAL ÅLASKA

By Meera Kohler

Alaska Village Electric Cooperative

2009 USDA Agricultural Outlook Forum Washington DC February 27, 2009

New turbines in Hooper Bay



Who is AVEC?

- Non-profit member-owned cooperative
- 53 villages
- 22,000 population
 - Would be the 4th largest city in Alaska after Anchorage, Fairbanks and Juneau
- 44% of Village Alaska population
- Anvik (smallest)
- Hooper Bay (largest)
- Average population
- Anchorage
- 94% Alaska Native

101 1,124 420 277,498



System Information

- 48 power plants
- 6 wind systems serving 9 villages
- 160+ diesel generators
- 500+ fuel tanks
- 5 million gallons fuel burned
- 7,500 services
- 75 Anchorage-based employees
- 95 Village technicians



Cost of 700 Residential kwh in 2008

 Anchorage Fairbanks • Juneau Kodiak Kotzebue • AVEC Village MKEC Village **Napakiak** - *After PCE

I PATER

\$ 88 \$135 \$ 76 \$104 \$158* \$232* \$375* \$421*

AVEC's Delivered Fuel Cost

- Average 2002
- Average 2003
- Average 2004
- Average 2005
- Average 2006
- Average 2007
- Average 2008

4.55 +1.62

Increase since 2002

\$3.26 +353%

AVEC Board's 2008 Goals

- Reduce diesel fuel use by 25% in 10 Years
 - 1,250,000 gallons
 - 77% of our fuel is used in Wind Class 4+ villages
- Reduce number of power plants by 50% in 10 Years
 - Interconnect another 24 villages
- Reduce non-fuel costs by 10%
 - Plant costs, depreciation, interest...

Our Wind Potential

- 39 of AVEC's 53 villages are in 4+ wind regimes
- A high-efficiency generator yields 14 kWh/gallon
- A 100-kW turbine could displace 15,700 gallons
- Three units = 47,000 gallons

At 2008 fuel prices, wind has lowered the fuel cost by almost 1/3 in villages served by the Kasigluk and Toksook Bay projects





Alaska Wind Map



Challenges to Wind Development

- Remote locations
- Complex logistics
- Difficult environmental conditions
- Small loads
- Poor soils
- Complex foundations
- •Turbulence
- Low temperatures
- Icing
- Few options for remote village systems (100-500 kW)

AVEC's work truck got stuck and needed help!



Wind Diesel Efficiency:

Penetration Levels

• Low

Think

AVEC 💭

- Max 30% WindGrid Connected
- Medium
 - Max 80% Wind
 - Secondary Load Control
- High
 - 100% Wind
 - Diesel Off
 - Load Control
 - Short Term Storage

Typical AVEC Systems

Savoonga

Wind Generation Advantages

- A hedge against rising fuel costs
- Lower carbon footprint
- Reduced exposure to oil spills
- Reduced oil storage
 needs





Value of 2007 Wind Production

2007 \$2008 \$Selawik129,780 kwh\$27,472\$45,294

Kasigluk 442,760 kwh \$71,753 \$156,428

Toksook562,693 kwh\$90,308\$199,720Total1,135,233 kwh\$189,533\$401,442Savoonga



AVEC Consolidated Power Plant and Tank Farm at Toksook Bay Serves Tununak and Nightmute via 23 miles of intertie Eliminated two power plants and their associated tank farms Load consolidation made wind power at Toksook Bay more feasible



Toksook Bay, Alaska

AVEC Wind Projects

- 2003 Selawik
- 2006 Kasigluk
 - Tieline to Nunapitchuk
- 2006 Toksook Bay
 - Tieline to Tununak
 - Tieline to Nightmute
- 2008 Hooper Bay
- 2008 Savoonga
- 2009 Gambell
- 2009 Chevak

AVEC

USDA has funded 1/3 of all costs!



Interconnecting Villages

Reduce the number of power plants

Larger loads make renewables like wind feasible

Existing Interties

- Kasigluk-Nunapitchuk
- St. Mary's-Andreafsky
- Upper Kalskag-Lower Kalskag
- >Mt. Village-Pitka's Point
- Shungnak-Kobuk
- Toksook Bay-Tununak
- Toksook Bay-Nightmute

Possible Future Interties

Brevig Mission-Teller St. Mary's-Mt. Village St. Mary's-Pilot Station > St. Michael's-Stebbins > Emmonak-Alakanuk New Stuyahok-Ekwok ➤ Togiak-Twin Hills ➢ Noorvik – Kiana – Selawik (NKS) Ambler – Shungnak – Kobuk (ASK) Upper Kobuk – Lower Kobuk (ASK – NKS)



A key issue is the availability of heavy construction equipment

 Dovetailing wind projects with other local projects reduces construction costs





Wind Assessment is critical

- Determine estimated output of a project
- Avoid misplacement of a project
- Identify potential
 problems...





Problems Such as...

- Land ownership and land use in the area
- Geotechnical issues
 for foundations
- Historical and cultural resource impacts



Kasigluk

Other challenges

- Bird issues
- Equipment accessibility
- Proximity to power lines





Foundations in permafrost are a major hurdle

Warming trends are affecting the expanse and depth of permafrost





Geotechnical Conditions





Poor roads, water and sewer lines, boardwalks and existing overhead power and phone lines present hurdles







Transportation Issues





Hauling equipment upriver between villages

ADL:O

15 5140

C AVEC A

antes-

ENM

AVEC AVEC AVEC

Hauling equipment upriver between villages – towing a power pole

115

Hauling power poles by specialized sled

Hauling equipment and distribution line by specialized sled – back in the "good old days"

A. 1. A.

Kasigluk – 1970s

1 to



Hauling equipment by sled – "modern day" transportation







You know you're having a bad day when your heavy crane gets stuck





Summer Tundra/ Permafrost

KOBELCO



Difficult Environmental Conditions









Frozen, snow- and ice-covered equipment and employees









Building Human Capacity

 AVEC and its contractors are building local capacity by training wind technicians who live in the villages

 These trainees have worked in the construction and operation of the new systems



Charles Green Sr. of Toksook Bay - left Elias Friday of Chevak - middle Lawrence Lake of Hooper Bay - right 3 of 8 Native Alaskans who received Wind Technician certificates at the Northern Power manufacturing facility in Barre, Vermont A total of 14 village residents have received this training

Building 💈

29 Pitman Barre, VT 0

Wind Technicians Lawrence Lake and Julius Bell standing by a nacelle in Hooper Bay

AVEC

NSIP 023559 0

Wind Technician Julius Bell adjusting the rotor assembly during the installation of the NW100 wind turbines in Hooper Bay, Alaska







Toksook Bay, Alaska



Meera Kohler Alaska Village Electric Cooperative