

Impact of U.S. Legislation on Global Biofuel Markets

Carmen Difiglio, Ph.D. Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy Analysis Office of Policy and International Affairs

February 27, 2009 Global Agriculture & Rural America in Transition



World Biofuels Study

Credits and Collaboration

Office of Policy Analysis Audrey Lee Bhima Sastri

With Funding Support from **Office of Biomass Programs**

Feedstock Conv Resource Potential Pro



Conversion Process



Integrated Assessment



ORNL/NREL/BNL reports at http://www.osti.gov/bridge/ search 924080, 921804, 939942

http://www.pi.energy.gov



- Energy Independence & Security Act – New Renewable Fuel Standard
- 2008 Farm Bill
- World Biofuels Study
 - -MARKAL model
 - -Assumptions
 - -Results



EISA Title II: New Renewable Fuel Standard

- Feedstocks <u>included</u>:
 - Crops from previously cleared, non-forested land
 - Biomass from private forest lands*
 - Algae
 - Separated yard, food wastes

*Includes native-American lands, privately held forests and tree plantations


- Current corn plants grandfathered
- Waivers available
- Cellulosic safety valve
- Adjustments up to 10% for GHG

- Feedstocks excluded:
 - Biomass from ecologically sensitive, protected lands
 - Biomass from federal forest lands

Renewable	Includes corn-20% GHG
Advanced	• Excludes corn • -50% GHG
Cellulosic Bio-Diesel	



Renewable Fuels Standard







- **1. Cellulosic Biofuel Production Tax Credit**
 - \$1.01 per gallon, expires at end of 2012
- 2. Biodiesel Tax Credit, expires end of 2008 (no change)
- 3. Volumetric Ethanol Excise Tax Credit (VEETC)
 - Amended to \$0.45 per gallon after 7.5 billion gallons of ethanol are produced and/or imported in the U.S. (2008), expires end of 2010




World Biofuels Study







Max. CO₂ Emissions by Time Period





Updates to ETP Model-Technologies

Feed stock	Source	Conversion Technology	Product	Distribution/ Consumption
Sugar	Sugarcane	Sugar-ethanol mill	Ethanol	
Starch	Corn Wheat	Dry mill	Ethanol	 New distribution infrastructure required Consumption limited to
Cellulose	Bagasse/other agricultural residues	Biochemical conversion	Ethanol	E10 for most of existing vehicle fleetHigher blends (i.e. E85) can be used in small
	Forestry residues	Thermo-chemical alcohol synthesis	Ethanol/ higher alcohols	portion of fleet
	Energy crops	Fischer-Tropsch synthesis	Distillates, naphtha	 Products are refining feedstocks Compatible with conventional fuel infrastructure
Oil	Oil Palm Soybean	Transesterification	Biodiesel (FAME)	 Can be blended with petrodiesel at high ratios in most applications



International Biofuel Policies


ATTEOL		Biofuel tax		
Country/ region	Gasoline tax	exemption (2010)	Ethanol tariffs	Other Biofuels Policies
Australia	\$1.40/gal	100%	90¢/gal	
Canada	\$0.25/gal	100%	20¢/gal	
China	\$0.15/gal	100%	0	
Central & S. America	\$0.70/gal	50%	27¢/gal	Subsidy for hydrous ethanol & FFV; Brazil ethanol blending mandate of 20-25%
Europe	\$2.80/gal	90%	90¢/gal	5.75% market share 2010 10% market share 2020
India	\$1.90/gal	0%	200%	
Japan	\$1.85/gal	90%	17%	500 million liters gasoline equivalent by 2010
S. Korea	\$3.02/gal	90%	0	
USA	\$0.42/gal	45¢/gal (ethanol)	54¢/gal	36 billion gallons 'renewable fuels' (2022); \$1.01/gal cellulosic tax credit

* In the model, tax exemptions are gradually phased out over time; U.S. biodiesel receives a \$1.00/gallon diesel equivalent tax credit.




Reference Case Assumptions

- EISA Renewable Fuel Standard
- \$1.01/gallon cellulosic biofuel subsidy extended until cost competitive (2008 Farm Bill)
- \$1.00/gallon biodiesel subsidy
- Blenders' ethanol credit and Tariff expire in 2010
- Includes existing national biofuels policies worldwide




Oil prices are OECD import basket prices (typically much lower than NYMEX oil prices).





- Grain production levels off after 2015
- Large growth in cellulosic biofuels
- Subsidy for early cellulosic plants is crucial to this growth³





- U.S. and Western Europe are net importers
- U.S. consumes roughly half of supply
- Brazil is net exporter
- Not all mandates are expected to be met (including U.S.)




Scenarios Modeled

Policy Scenarios


Tariff/Credit Extension Credit Extension \$50/tCO₂ (global) E20 Certification Grower's payment

Market Scenarios

High/Low Feedstock Supply Low/High/Higher Oil Price Higher share of Brazilian sugar to ETOH High Oil Price + High Feed Low Oil Price + Low Feed








- Global CO₂ price:
 - Large increase in cellulosic production
 - Grain ethanol production is replaced
- High oil price: Increase in total production






- Global CO₂ price:
 - RFS is met after 2025
 - High oil price: little change from reference because buyout for cellulosic varies with oil price



CO₂, Oil Price Scenarios (U.S.)




- Global CO₂ price:
 - Closer to meeting RFS than Reference Case
 - Sugar replaces corn and fills in RFS gap in 2025
 - Cellulosic replaces sugar and corn in 2030
- High oil price: slightly more corn in place of sugar



- We used the E20 certification scenario to investigate whether ethanol infrastructure was the barrier to meeting the RFS.
- The E20 scenario is a hypothetical scenario that allows increased use of ethanol without new pipelines, fueling stations, and flex fuel vehicles.






- Only case to meet RFS
- Illustrates E85 infrastructure constraints
 - Pipelines, fueling stations, flexible fuel vehicles



E20 Scenario: U.S. Supply Shares

- Significant increase in ethanol use.
- E20 allows lower cost ethanol to replace some F-T liquids and compliance credits (gasoline).
- E20 case shows benefits to reduce ETOH distribution constraints (e.g., expanded E85 retail outlets & more fuelflexible vehicles).



E20 (2020)

Total: 28 B gallons in Ref 30 B gallons in E20

Some Observations

- Imports will be important (sugar & cellulosic)Mandates push production to maximum levels.
 - •So, additional subsidies have little impact
 - •E85 infrastructure constraints significant.
 - •Certification of higher blends would help.
 - •Flexibility between BTL and cellulosic ethanol should also help avoid blend wall problems.
- •Cellulosic biofuels learning investment (Farm Bill) important.
- •CO₂ prices cause decline in grain ethanol.
 •High oil prices cause lower exports to U.S.



Policy Messages


- Existing policies will cause a large expansion in 2nd generation biofuels world-wide.
- U.S. \$1.01/gal cellulosic tax credit important to speed up commercialization of cellulosic biofuels.
- Policies now emphasize 2nd generation biofuels & sustainability.
- Food vs. fuel problem is greatly reduced.
- Corn stover/bagasse/forest waste feed stocks have no land-use impacts.
- Energy crops can use land that is not well suited to food crops.




Back-up Slides




High/Low Feedstock Scenario












•Blenders' Credit and Tariff Extension

–already at inelastic portion of feedstock supply curve before 2020


Credit/Tariff Extension Scenario



- Not targeted to cellulosic biofuels
- Does not relieve cellulosic infrastructure constraint
- Directed towards biofuels that are already mandated
- Very small supply increase




U.S. Biofuels Imports



•Blenders' Credit and Tariff Extension -Small effect on imports until 2030 •Blenders' Credit Extension -Small increase in imports








Cellulosic Biofuel Costs

		-	First Cost		nnual Cost		Net erating	Denatured Eth Yield	Anhydrous Eth Yield	I	Feedstock Cost			Total Cost	
	Year	\$/g	gal-eth	\$/gal-eth		incl elec		gal/ton	gal/ton	\$/ton \$/g		gal-eth	\$/gal-eth		
w/out	2015	\$	6.71	\$	1.01	\$	0.53	89.25	85.00	\$	51.24	\$	0.60	\$	2.15
Learni	2020	\$	5.69	\$	0.86	\$	0.37	89.25	85.00	\$	55.73	\$	0.66	\$	1.88
ng	2025	\$	5.23	\$	0.79	\$	0.31	89.25	85.00	\$	57.60	\$	0.68	\$	1.78
Invest	2030	\$	4.76	\$	0.72	\$	0.28	89.25	85.00	\$	58.90	\$	0.69	\$	1.69
w/	2015	\$	3.20	\$	0.483	\$	0.28	89.25	85.00	\$	51.24	\$	0.60	\$	1.37
Learni	2020	\$	3.20	\$	0.483	\$	0.28	89.25	85.00	\$	55.73	\$	0.66	\$	1.42
ng	2025	\$	3.20	\$	0.483	\$	0.28	89.25	85.00	\$	57.60	\$	0.68	\$	1.44
Invest	2030	\$	3.20	\$	0.483	\$	0.28	89.25	85.00	\$	58.90	\$	0.69	\$	1.46





Conversion Technologies


- Ethanol
 - Sugarcane
 - Dry Mill Corn, Wheat
 - Thermo-chemical Process for Cellulosic Feedstocks (Alcohol Synthesis)
 - Biochemical Process for Cellulosic Feedstock
- Biodiesel
 - Soy Oil
 - Palm Oil
- Biomass-to-Liquids products
 - Thermo-chemical Process for Cellulosic Feedstocks (Fischer-Tropsch)














Definition: Renewable Biomass

- (I) RENEWABLE BIOMASS- The term `renewable biomass' means each of the following:
- `(i) Planted crops and crop residue harvested from agricultural land cleared or cultivated at any time prior to the enactment of this sentence that is either actively managed or fallow, and nonforested.
- `(ii) Planted trees and tree residue from actively managed tree plantations on nonfederal land cleared at any time prior to enactment of this sentence, including land belonging to an Indian tribe or an Indian individual, that is held in trust by the United States or subject to a restriction against alienation imposed by the United States.
- `(iii) Animal waste material and animal byproducts.
- `(iv) Slash and pre-commercial thinnings that are from non-federal forestlands, including forestlands belonging to an Indian tribe or an Indian individual, that are held in trust by the United States or subject to a restriction against alienation imposed by the United States, but not forests or forestlands that are ecological communities with a global or State ranking of critically imperiled, imperiled, or rare pursuant to a State Natural Heritage Program, old growth forest, or late successional forest.
- `(v) Biomass obtained from the immediate vicinity of buildings and other areas regularly occupied by people, or of public infrastructure, at risk from wildfire.
- `(vi) Algae.
- `(vii) Separated yard waste or food waste, including recycled cooking and trap grease.

GHG Emission Requirements

- (i) IN GENERAL- The term `advanced biofuel' means renewable fuel, other than ethanol derived from corn starch, that has lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions, as determined by the Administrator, after notice and opportunity for comment, that are at least 50 percent less than baseline lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions.
- (E) CELLULOSIC BIOFUEL- The term `cellulosic biofuel' means renewable fuel derived from any cellulose, hemicellulose, or lignin that is derived from renewable biomass and that has lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions, as determined by the Administrator, that are at least 60 percent less than the baseline lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions.



IEA-Regions

- US
- Canada
- Japan
- Australia and New Zealand
- IEA-Europe
- South Korea

Non-IEA Regions

- Eastern Europe
- FSU
- China
- India
- Rest of Asia
- Latin America
- Mexico
- Africa
- Middle East