
Guidance for Industry

Submission of Documentation in Applications for Parametric Release of Human and Veterinary Drug Products Terminally Sterilized by Moist Heat Processes

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document contact Marla Stevens-Riley (CDER) at 240-276-9310 or Mai Huynh (CVM) at 240-276-8273 or Deborah Trout (CBER) at 301-827-3031.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)**

**August 2008
CMC**

Guidance for Industry

Submission of Documentation in Applications for Parametric Release of Human and Veterinary Drug Products Terminally Sterilized by Moist Heat Processes

*Additional copies are available from:
Office of Training and Communication
Division of Drug Information
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
10903 New Hampshire Avenue
Bldg. 51, rm. 2201
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002
(Tel) 301-796-3400*

*<http://www.fda.gov/cder.guidance/index.htm>
and/or*

*Communications Staff, HFV-12
Center for Veterinary Medicine
Food and Drug Administration
7519 Standish Place, Rockville, MD 20855
(Tel) 240-276-9300*

*<http://www.fda.gov/cvm/guidance/published.htm>
and/or*

*Office of Communication, Training, and Manufacturers Assistance, HFM-40
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
1401 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-1448
(Tel) 800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800
<http://www.fda.gov/cber/guidelines.htm>*

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)**

**August 2008
CMC**

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. INTRODUCTION..... 1**
- II. BACKGROUND 2**
- III. CONTENT OF SUBMISSIONS FOR PARAMETRIC RELEASE 3**
 - A. Control Strategy for the Terminal Sterilization Cycle 4**
 - B. Risk Assessment, Process Understanding, and Prior Knowledge 4**
 - C. Documentation for Parametric Release Process 5**
- IV. FILING REQUIREMENTS 6**
- V. REFERENCES..... 6**

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

34
35 This guidance does not provide information on procedures, studies, and data concerning efficacy
36 and qualification/validation of moist heat sterilization processes. This guidance also does not
37 provide information on sterility assurance validation programs. However, you may find
38 information relating to such topics in the Agency's guidance for industry on *Submission of*
39 *Documentation for Sterilization Process Validation in Applications for Human and Veterinary*
40 *Drug Products*.^{6,7} CGMP requirements for process validation are found at 21 CFR 211.100
41 and, for sterile products in particular at 21 CFR 211.113(b).

42
43 The principles in the guidance may also be applicable to products sterilized by other terminal
44 sterilization processes, such as radiation sterilization, which may be suitable for parametric
45 release. We recommend discussion with the review division to determine appropriateness of the
46 guidance regarding submission filing and content details.

47
48 FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable
49 responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should
50 be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are
51 cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or
52 recommended, but not required.

53 54 **II. BACKGROUND**

55
56 Sterility testing by cultivation of finished units drawn from the batch is limited in its ability to
57 detect contamination because of: (1) the small number of samples required for testing, which
58 restricts the ability to capture those microorganisms dispersed in a large volume, and (2) the
59 limited ability of the prescribed culture media to stimulate growth of all potential
60 microorganisms. Typically, these tests will detect only major errors in the manufacturing
61 process that result in contamination of a large number of product units. However, data derived
62 from in-process controls of a validated terminal sterilization process can provide more accurate
63 information regarding product sterility because the probability of product bioburden surviving
64 the sterilization process in any single unit of a product can be calculated to be less than one in a
65 million.

66
67 Parametric release allows manufacturers to replace sterility testing of samples drawn from the
68 finished product as a release criterion with acceptance criteria for the control of identified
69 process parameters. These parameters, called *critical parameters*, are critical to a successful
70 sterilization process and are based on an in-depth knowledge of the process, the product, the
71 effects of the sterilization process on the product itself, and the microorganisms associated with
72 the product. Parametric release of the batch is then based on documented evidence of the control
73 of critical parameters, removing the need for testing of samples drawn from the finished product.

⁶ This guidance outlines the submission documentation for microbiological product quality of sterile products.

⁷ CDER guidance documents can be found on the Internet at <http://fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm>. We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the CDER guidance Web site. CVM guidance documents can be found at <http://fda.gov/cvm/guidance/published.htm>, and CBER guidance documents can be found at <http://www.fda.gov/cber/guidelines.htm>.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

74
75 The *sterilization load monitor*,⁸ either in the form of a chemical indicator⁹ or a biological
76 indicator, is included with each load to demonstrate that the sterilization cycle has occurred and
77 the criteria for critical parameters have been met. The load monitor is placed in an appropriate
78 position in the load, based on the evaluation of development and qualification data. The load
79 monitor can be a direct measurement of lethality delivered to the load, or an indirect lethality
80 measurement system; however, direct measurement is preferred. Either of these approaches can
81 satisfy the CGMP requirement for a laboratory test¹⁰ when used in combination with a sterility
82 assurance program that is in a demonstrated state of control.

83
84 FDA conducts scientific evaluation of the parametric release program as part of a cooperative
85 effort between the review staff, compliance staff, and field investigators to ensure the overall
86 state of control of the sterile processing of human and veterinary drug products. Information
87 included in an approved application or supplement is subject to CGMP requirements and
88 inspection.

89
90 FDA has accepted the practice of parametric release for products terminally sterilized by moist
91 heat since 1985. Parametric release, described in ICH *Q6A*,¹¹ is endorsed by regulatory and/or
92 pharmaceutical manufacturing groups in the US, EU, and Japan.¹²

94 III. CONTENT OF SUBMISSIONS FOR PARAMETRIC RELEASE

95
96 An application to FDA is required to obtain approval for parametric release.¹³ The approval of
97 parametric release practices is based on an assessment of the applicant's proposed critical
98 process parameters and how they are controlled. As always, adherence to CGMPs is required for
99 marketed products. Demonstrated reliability of the production terminal sterilization cycle,
100 microbiological control and monitoring and control of production cycle parameters within
101 established validated limits is part of this assessment. The specific terminal sterilization process
102 for the product proposed for parametric release should be the same as the process already
103 approved in the application and for original applications, validated according to the Agency's
104 guidance for industry on *Submission of Documentation for Sterilization Process Validation in*
105 *Applications for Human and Veterinary Drug Products*.¹⁴

106
107 FDA approval of the parametric release program will be based on how well the firm has
108 addressed the risks to product sterility. A statement that describes how the risk assessment

⁸ See section III. C., bullet 5.

⁹ See reference 2 in section V.

¹⁰ See 21 CFR 211.167(a).

¹¹ ICH *Guidance on Q6A Specifications: Test Procedures and Acceptance Criteria for New Drug Substances and New Drug Products: Chemical Substances*, Federal Register, Vol. 65, No. 251, December 29, 2000. See also footnote 7.

¹² See references 1, 3, and 4 in section V.

¹³ See 21 CFR 314.50(d)(1)(ii)(a) and 21 CFR 314.70(b)(2)(iii) for human drug products; 21 CFR 514.1(b)(5)(vii)(b), and 21 CFR 514.8(b)(2)(ii)(C) for veterinary drug products; 21 CFR 601.2(a) for biological products.

¹⁴ See footnotes 6 and 7.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

109 includes current control strategies for the terminal sterilization cycle, the risk that these strategies
110 might fail to assure sterility, and how prior manufacturing experience and knowledge were
111 incorporated into the risk assessment should be provided in the application.
112

A. Control Strategy for the Terminal Sterilization Cycle

113
114 The control strategy assures that the acceptance criteria of the parametric release process and
115 terminal sterilization cycle are consistently met, thus assuring the sterility of the product.
116

117 The control strategy should include:
118

- 119 • The rationale for the methods implemented for monitoring and control of the terminal
120 sterilization process used for the product release cycle (the critical process parameters).
121
- 122 • The rationale for the selection of critical process parameter(s).
123
- 124 • A description of the acceptance criteria for parametric release.
125
- 126 • A description of the drug product and container closure system (including secondary
127 packaging, as applicable) that will be part of the parametric release program.
128
- 129 • A description of the proposed production loading patterns, and verification that they are
130 within the validated limits for the terminal sterilization cycle, or a statement that they
131 have not changed since last approved and validated.
132
- 133 • A description of the microbiological monitoring plan for the product and components
134 prior to terminal sterilization, with emphasis on spore detection and heat resistance of
135 bioburden in the product, or a statement that the plan has not changed since last approved
136 and validated.
137

138 If you are referencing information previously submitted to meet these recommendations, it
139 should include the identity of the file by name, application number, volume, and page number in
140 the Agency's records where the information can be found.¹⁵
141

B. Risk Assessment, Process Understanding, and Prior Knowledge

142
143 Successful parametric release systems are based on the reliability of the control strategy of the
144 sterility assurance program. We recommend that your risk assessment focus on the risk of
145 failure to achieve sterility for each unit of every batch. The risk assessment should include:
146
147

- 148 • Consistency of performance of the terminal sterilization cycle within the approved,
149 validated limits.

¹⁵ See 21 CFR 314.50(g)(1) or 21 CFR 601.2.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 150 • A discussion of any changes made to the original submission regarding: 1) the production
151 terminal sterilization cycle (e.g., the established minimum limit cannot be lowered;
152 however, maximum limits can be increased with appropriate stability data to support the
153 increase), 2) the production loading patterns, and 3) the container closure system
154 (including secondary packaging). You should also include an assessment of the risk to
155 sterility associated with these changes.
- 156 • Experience with the proposed or similar product (and container closure system), the
157 overall risks to sterility, and the steps you have taken to assess and control these risks.
- 158 • A discussion of your overall prior knowledge and production and testing experience
159 relevant to the drug product that will be subject to parametric release.

C. Documentation for Parametric Release Process

161
162
163 The following information specific to the proposed parametric release process should also be
164 included in your submission:

- 165
166 • The application/supplement number(s), including approval date(s), of the submission(s)
167 that provides for the current terminal sterilization cycle, as applicable.
- 168
169 • Identification of the critical process parameters (process/cycle parameters essential for
170 product release) for the product(s) proposed for parametric release, including the
171 minimum and maximum limits for these critical parameters. The critical process
172 parameters should be within the limits that have been validated and approved for sterility
173 assurance of the subject product(s).
- 174
175 • Acknowledgement that the parametric release system is the primary release test and that
176 test results based on drawing samples from the finished product will not be used to
177 overrule it. In the event of failure to meet the parametric release critical parameter
178 criteria, the specific sterilizer load will be rejected by the quality control unit and will not
179 be released unless there is a provision for resterilization. In such cases, issues of stability
180 and container closure integrity also become relevant.
- 181
182 • Acknowledgement that regardless of the batch release technique used, any specimen
183 tested according to the referee test method for sterility (e.g., compendium or CFR) will
184 meet the criteria for sterility (such as during testing for stability or postmarketing
185 investigations).
- 186
187 • A description of the sterilization load monitor including indication of: 1) the type of
188 monitor being proposed, 2) how the load monitor will be used and analyzed, 3) what
189 functions are being measured by the monitor, and 4) the rationale for the location of the
190 monitor. Additionally, for indirect monitors, we recommend that you include a statement
191 justifying the classification of the indirect indicator that you are using as defined in
192 International Standards Organization (ISO) document 11140 (see section V, reference 2).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 194 • Revision of the certificates of analysis for batch release for each product subject to
195 parametric release to indicate that parametric release is now the method used to provide
196 assurance of the requirement of sterility. We recommend that you provide in the
197 certificate of analysis either a reference to an SOP or a description of the parametric
198 release acceptance criteria to show the link between batch release criteria and the
199 commitments in the application.
200

201

IV. FILING REQUIREMENTS

202

203
204 To request parametric release in an original application submission, the request should include
205 information specific to parametric release along with sterilization validation information and
206 product release criteria. For changes to an approved application, the request for parametric
207 release can be submitted in a prior approval supplement under 21 CFR 314.70, 21 CFR 601.12,
208 or 21 CFR 514.8(b)(2). The change to parametric release requires approval before its
209 implementation, unless a different agreement is reached with the FDA (e.g., comparability
210 protocol). If you have current experience using parametric release with the same sterilization
211 cycle at the same manufacturing site and the proposed product's manufacturing process fits into
212 the same validation protocol for parametric release (e.g., container closure system, load patterns,
213 cycle process parameters, and cycle acceptance criteria), then you can meet the filing
214 requirements with a special report (21 CFR 314.81(b)(3)(ii)) or annual report (21 CFR 514.8
215 (b)(4)).
216

V. REFERENCES

217

- 218
219 1. The European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Product (EMA); Committee for
220 Proprietary Medicinal Products: Note for Guidance on Parametric Release, February
221 2001, CPMP/QWP/3015/99. Internet address: <http://www.emea.europa.eu>
222
223 2. International Standards Organization (ISO) 11140. Sterilization of Health Care Products-
224 Chemical Indicators. 2005, ISO, Geneva, Switzerland.
225
226 3. PDA Journal of GMP and Validation in Japan, Parametric Release for Moist Heated
227 Pharmaceutical Products in Japan, Tsuguo Sasaki, Volume 4, Number 1 (2002).
228
229 4. Pharmaceutical Inspection Convention/Pharmaceutical Inspection Co-operation Scheme
230 (PIC/S): Guidance on Parametric Release, September 2007. Internet address:
231 <http://www.picscheme.org>.
232
233 5. United States Pharmacopeia (USP), General Chapter <71> Sterility Tests.
234
235 6. FDA Compliance Policy Guide (CPG) 460.800.