

## CPTC Program Milestones | 2002 – Present

**April 2002**

### **Proteomics Planning Workshop**

A Proteomics Planning workshop was held among the National Cancer Institute, the National Human Genome Research Institute, and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences.

- There are many challenges in measuring proteins including proteins present at low abundance, membrane bound proteins, and quantifying absolute abundance of each protein including all splice variants and modified forms.
- In the short term, examining the protein profiles of disease cells and comparing them to normal profiles can offer diagnostic and prognostic tools in medicine. In the longer term, a complete interaction map of proteins in human cells will serve as an atlas for biological and medical exploration.
- Mass spectrometry is the analytical tool presently best suited for protein profiling and related studies. However, microarray and other technologies that offer alternative paths to proteomic information must be further developed.
- The organization and distribution of proteomic data must be improved, including standardized formats and ways of expressing uncertainties.

*Bethesda, Maryland*

Click here for workshop summary (<http://www.genome.gov/10004801>)

**April 2003**

### **Proteomic Technologies for Early Cancer Detection**

The National Cancer Advisory Board established an ad hoc committee on National Advanced Technology Initiative for Cancer (NATIC) co-chaired by Eric Landers and Lee Hartwell. Recommendations by this committee included:

- Most biomarkers will be proteins. There is a need to increase our knowledge of proteins that are altered or abnormally expressed in cancer and those proteins that appear in easily identifiable body fluids.
- Advances in mass spectrometry offer one of the best opportunities for current technology for biomarker discovery. However, standards must be identified to facilitate replication of results across laboratories and to permit clinical implementation with other technologies like ELISA tests.
- There has been little attempt to develop standards in the field for tissue collection, sample preparation, internal calibration of instruments, or replication across laboratories or instruments.

- Standard tissue samples, use of mouse cancer models, and standardized reagents would aid data reproduction and comparison. A universally accessible database, algorithms for data analysis and standardization of data formats are essential.

*Chantilly, Virginia*

**June 2004**

**Initial draft proposal for a Clinical Proteomics/Biomarker Discovery Initiative**

The draft proposal was based on the following assumptions: clinical biomarkers exist in readily available fluids; panels of biomarkers will be needed to achieve high specificity and sensitivity; current technology is capable of discovering these panels, and; current application of this technology can be improved.

- A systematic approach to discovery requires teams of investigators sharing and aggregating data is needed to advance proteomics from a research tool to a robust and reliable clinical tool.
- Achieving biomarker discovery goals will require:
  - Setting standards
  - Ensuring quality control
  - Developing an informatics platform capable of aggregating and comparing data across laboratories
- An informatics platform is central and enabling to the success of the program.
- **Technology Assessment Core-** assess technologies central to biomarker discovery in order to provide laboratories with the best possible techniques and protocols. The ability of an integrated and optimized platform to identify biomarkers is to be assessed using mouse models of human cancer.
- Experimental protocols (including sample collection and processing), MS platforms, and analysis methods will need to be highly reproducible to allow statistical power for performing class distinction across a large number of samples in high dimensional proteomic data space.
- A “team science” approach involving multiple institutions that openly share data and resources is necessary in order to adequately address these issues.

**Nov 2004**

**Clinical Proteomics and Biomarker Discovery in Cancer Research**

Workshop outcomes included:

- NCI should develop multidisciplinary centers to help train the next generation of cross-disciplinary researchers rather than establish centers based on single expertise such as informatics or technology development.

- Standards for how to measure low concentration proteins as well as tissue collection and processing methods used to assay such proteins will need to be developed for emerging proteomic technologies.
- A consortium driven environment, rather than individual investigators, is the best scenario for developing probes/targets, setting standards, and comparing technologies.
- NCI should set up a central laboratory (*now established at the National Institute of Standards and Technology*) and data repository (*currently at the NCICB*) and act as a disinterested party for data sharing and dissemination.
- The technology to ensure homogeneity of human samples does not yet exist, which is why it is important to work on mouse models in parallel with human studies. If the protocols for collecting and storing human tissue samples were robust enough, the same level of homogeneity could be reached with human samples as with mouse samples.

*East Coast – Bethesda, Maryland*  
*West Coast – Menlo Park, California*

**Jan 2005**

**Clinical Proteomics Technologies Team Initiative proposal**

**Feb 2005**

**Proteomic Technologies Informatics Workshop**

This workshop focused on information management strategies that can make proteomic data the most useful for developing platforms for the early detection, monitoring, and therapy of cancer.

The goals and objectives were:

- Prioritize the development agenda of a mouse model serum and tissue proteomics data repository
- Identify the needs of a general clinical proteomics data repository that are not accommodated within the mouse proteomic technology consortia
- Outline a roadmap for developing a general clinical proteomics data repository
- Discuss proteomic data management approaches and develop strategies to frame this information to accelerate discovery and educate the public, and
- Discuss ways to establish standards in proteomics to minimize experimental variability, share data and information, and facilitate partnerships

*Seattle, Washington*

Click here for meeting summary  
(<http://www.capconcorp.com/nci05/summary.html>)

#### **March 2005**

##### **Considerations for study design and technology evaluation presented to the NCI Board of Scientific Advisors**

BSA recommended that the NCI must a leadership position within the proteomics program and offer robust support for standardization, integration, and focus. Addressing issues in experimental/study design, and the development of high-quality reagents and reference materials was determined to be essential. Additionally, informatics standards need to be developed and approaches that work across platforms.

#### **June 2005**

##### **Clinical Proteomic Technology Initiative Presented to and Approved by the NCI Board of Scientific Advisors**

#### **Dec 2005**

##### **Proteomic Technologies Reagents Resource Workshop**

This workshop was held to identify the cancer research community's expressed needs for validated and well characterized affinity capture reagents (e.g. antibodies, aptamers, and affibodies) to advance proteomics research platforms for the prevention, early detection, treatment, and monitoring of cancer. The workshop brought together leading scientists in proteomics research to discuss model systems for evaluating and delivering resources for reagents to support MS and affinity capture platforms.

*Chicago, IL*

Click here for white paper (<http://www.mcponline.org/cgi/reprint/T600020-MCP200v1.pdf>)

#### **April 2006**

##### **Argonne National Laboratory begins protein production**

Polanski and Anderson, Biomarker Insights 2:1-48; 2006  
(<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez>)

#### **Oct 2006**

##### **CPTC Program is launched**

CPTAC Lead Centers:

The Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard  
Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center  
Vanderbilt University School of Medicine  
Purdue University  
University of California, San Francisco

Advanced Proteomic Platforms:

University of Houston  
Northeastern University

University of California, Los Angeles  
Institute for Systems Biology  
Emory University  
Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories  
Michigan State University  
Computational Sciences:  
University of Maryland, College Park  
College of William and Mary  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
University of Michigan  
Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center  
University of Colorado at Boulder  
Vanderbilt University  
University of Virginia

**Oct 2006**

**Governing body developed**

Program Coordinating Committee (PCC) members:

Steve Carr, Ph.D., Broad Institute  
Susan Fisher, Ph.D., University of California, San Francisco  
Dan Liebler, Ph.D., Vanderbilt University  
Paul Tempst, Ph.D., Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center  
Fred Regnier, Ph.D., Purdue University  
Henry Rodriguez, Ph.D., M.B.A., National Cancer Institute

Ad-Hoc PCC members:

Lee Hartwell, Ph.D., Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center  
Gordon Mills, M.D., Ph.D., M.D. Anderson Cancer Center  
Joe Gray, Ph.D., Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory  
David Ransohoff, M.D., University of North Carolina Lineberger  
Comprehensive Cancer Center

**Oct 2006**

**First inter-laboratory study**

Benchmarking platforms

**Nov 2006**

**Inter-lab Working Groups are established**

Working Groups:

Discovery  
Verification  
Digestion  
Protein Standards  
Post-Translational Modifications  
Data Analysis, Storage, and Dissemination  
Biospecimens  
Analyte Selection  
Cell Lysate

**Feb 2007**

**Second inter-lab study**

Assessing discovery platform variability

**April 2007**

**Memorandum of Understanding Between the National Cancer Institute and the Food and Drug Administration**

MOU published in the Federal Register

**June 2007**

**CPTAC biospecimen collection protocol is established and implemented**

Click here to view the poster pdf.

[http://brnsymposium.com/meeting/brnsymposium/docs/2008pres/Poster16\\_CPTAC\\_annual\\_mtg.pdf](http://brnsymposium.com/meeting/brnsymposium/docs/2008pres/Poster16_CPTAC_annual_mtg.pdf)

**July 2007**

**Third inter-lab study**

Assessing variability in a complex material

**Sept 2007**

**Fourth inter-lab study**

Benchmarking verification platforms

**Oct 2007**

**CPTC 2007 First Annual Meeting**

This inaugural event drew over 170 researchers from private and public organizations from around the country, along with international leaders, to discuss progress and address the hurdles proteomics faces. The meeting highlighted significant progress to date from investigator-initiated work along with multi-institutional, cross disciplinary team-based efforts to optimize and benchmark current proteomic technologies. In addition, unique attributes to the program included the unparalleled open data sharing and collaboration between all members - not just parallel play, but substantive cooperation.

*Washington, D.C.*

Click here for further information

<http://www.capconcorp.com/meeting/proteomic2007/>

**Nov 2007**

**Fifth inter-lab study**

Assessing limits of detection in a complex material

**July 2008**

**Sixth inter-lab study**

Assessing detection efficiency for discovery platforms

**Aug 2008**

**Proteomic Data Release and Sharing Policy International Summit**

On August 14, 2008, the NCI sponsored a summit in the Netherlands that included members from the international proteomics community with one goal: To define what it would take to have proteomics data released into the public

domain as soon as they are produced. This international one-day summit was a major step forward for the proteomics community. It is anticipated that after this process and the release of a white paper that the principles developed at this meeting can be readily adopted by the field as guidelines for releasing and sharing proteomics data.

J Proteome Res. 2008 Nov;7(11):4609. Epub 2008 Oct 7  
(<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18837532>)

## **Sept 2008**

### **Seventh inter-lab study**

Assessing variability in verification platforms

## **Oct 2008**

### **CPTC 2008 Second Annual Meeting**

CPTC held its second annual meeting in Cambridge, Mass. on October 28–29, 2008, bringing together more than 200 participants representing the full gamut of scientific fields that contribute to the initiative's mission to review the technological progress made over the previous year. Giving a sense of the links between CPTC and other technology focused initiatives supported by NCI, the first day of the meeting was held jointly with members of NCI's Innovative Molecular Analysis Technologies (IMAT) program.

Both days featured keynote addresses by researchers speaking on their experiences in integrated research. David Altshuler, M.D., Ph.D., a founding member of the Eli M. and Edythe L. Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard and director of the institute's Program in Medical and Population Genetics, spoke of the lessons learned from conducting large-scale genomics research and how those lessons could apply to large-scale proteomics. The second day's keynote, by Vamsi Mootha, M.D., of the Broad Institute and Massachusetts General Hospital, focused on integrative genomic, proteomic, and metabolomic research on mitochondrial diseases.

*Cambridge, MA*

Click here for further information

(<http://www.capconcorp.com/meeting/proteomic2008/>)

## **Nov 2008**

### **New Members Added to Ad-Hoc Program Coordinating Committee**

Amanda G. Paulovich, MD, PhD, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center  
Leigh Anderson, PhD, Plasma Proteome Institute  
Steven J. Skates, PhD, Massachusetts General Hospital  
Bradford W. Gibson, PhD, Buck Institute of Age Research

**Proteomic Reagents and Resources Core**

**March 2008**

**Antibody production begins**

Three monoclonal antibodies are being developed per antigen

**May 2008**

**Tissue Array Research Program at NCI Center for Cancer Research partnership is formed**

**Sept 2008**

**Harvard Institute of Proteomics collaboration is formed**

**Sept 2008**

**Human Protein Atlas collaboration is formed**

**Oct 2008**

**Antibodies are made available through Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank at University of Iowa**

**Oct 2008**

**Reagent Data Portal is launched**

Click here for access to portal (<http://cpti.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/>)



## **Public-Private Partnerships**

**Nov 2006**

### **2007 SBIR Contract Proposals Solicited**

Topic 238 - Development of Clinical Automated Multiplex Affinity Capture Technology for Detecting Low Abundance Cancer-related Proteins/Peptides  
Topic 239 – Development of Alternative Affinity Capture Reagents for Cancer Proteomics Research

**July 2007**

### **2007 SBIR Contract Proposals Awarded**

Topic 238:

Meso Scale Diagnostics  
Sequenom Inc.  
Quadraspec Inc.  
Rules-Based Medicine Inc.

Topic 239:

Allele Biotechnology & Pharmaceuticals  
Accacia International Inc.

**Nov 2007**

### **2008 SBIR Contract Proposals Solicited**

253 – Advances in Protein Expression of Post-Translationally Modified Cancer Related Proteins  
254 – Development of Clinical Quantitative Multiplex High-Throughput Mass Spectrometric Immunoassay for Detecting Low Abundance Cancer Related Proteins/Peptides in Bodily Fluids

**Nov 2008**

### **2009 SBIR Contract Proposals Solicited**

268 - Novel Antibody Epitope Mapping Technologies  
269 - Development of Novel Protein Expression Technologies for Glycosylated Cancer Related Proteins  
270 - Peptide Aptamers: New Tools to Capture and Study Protein Interactions in Lieu of Immunological Reagents