

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of
NORTH TEXAS SPECIALITY PHYSICIANS,


a corporation.

Docket No. 9312

**ERRATA SHEET TO
THE PUBLIC RECORD VERSION OF
COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO
NTSP'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DECISION**

Complaint Counsel hereby file an errata sheet to the Public Record Version of Complaint Counsel's Memorandum in Opposition to NTSP's Motion for Summary Decision ("Public Record Version"). In the Public Record Version, Complaint Counsel inadvertently failed to include five pages consisting of a title caption page, table of contents, and table of authorities. Complaint Counsel hereby submits those five pages, to be attached on top of the Public Record Version, thus correcting the error.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Bloom
Theodore Zang
Alan Loughnan
Elvia P. Gastelo
Asheesh Agarwal
Jonathan W. Platt

Attorneys for Complaint Counsel
Federal Trade Commission
Northeast Region
One Bowling Green, Suite 318
New York, NY 10004
(212) 607-2829
(212) 607-2822 (facsimile)

Dated: March 31, 2004

PUBLIC

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

In the Matter of
NORTH TEXAS SPECIALITY PHYSICIANS,

a corporation.

Docket No. 9312

**COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO
NTSP'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DECISION**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Summary Judgment Is Not Appropriate Because the Evidence Shows Genuine Issues of Fact for Trial	3
III.	Summary of the Evidence	4
IV.	The Documents and Testimony, Read in the Light Most Favorable to Complaint Counsel, Demonstrate That NTSP Restrained Price Competition among Competing Physicians	9
A.	NTSP and its Members Entered into Agreements Relating to Price and Other Terms of Competition	10
1.	NTSP is a combination of competitors subject to the antitrust laws	12
2.	NTSP collected and used powers of attorneys to act as an exclusive negotiating agent for a number of competing member physicians	15
3.	NTSP, on behalf of its members, engaged in collective price negotiations with payors	16
4.	NTSP used its position in price negotiations to terminate existing contracts between member physicians and a payor	18
5.	NTSP collected and disseminated current and future pricing information of its members	19
6.	The evidence of agreement tends to exclude the possibility that NTSP and its participating physicians acted independently	20
B.	Agreements Related to Price Among NTSP and its Members are Inherently Suspect and Require No Detailed Examination of Product or Geographic Markets or Market Power	22
1.	Horizontal Conduct to Restrain Price Competition Traditionally Has Been Condemned as <i>Per Se</i> Illegal	23
2.	NTSP Has Not Met Its Burden to Demonstrate That There Is No Material Dispute That <i>Its</i> Price Restraints Are Ancillary to Any Procompetitive Efficiencies	28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Addino v. Genesee Valley Med. Care, Inc.</i> , 593 F.Supp. 892 (W.D. N.Y. 1984)	13, 15
<i>Allied Tube & Conduit Corp. v. Indian Head, Inc.</i> , 486 U.S. 492 (1988)	13
<i>Alvord-Polk, Inc. v. Schumacher & Co.</i> , 37 F.3d 996 (3d Cir. 1994)	12
<i>American Tobacco Co. v. United States</i> , 328 U.S. 781 (1946)	22
<i>Arizona v. Maricopa County Medical Soc’y</i> , 457 U.S. 332 (1982)	11, 23, 28
<i>Bender v. Southland Corp.</i> , 749 F.2d 1205 (6 th Cir. 1984)	11
<i>Bogan v. Hodgkins</i> , 166 F.3d 509 (2d Cir. 1999)	22, 25
<i>Broadcast Music, Inc. v. Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc.</i> , 441 U.S. 1 (1979)	28
<i>Brooke Group, Ltd. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.</i> , 509 U.S. 209 (1993)	21
<i>California Dental Ass’n v. FTC</i> , 526 U.S. 756 (1999)	2, 9, 10, 25, 26
<i>Celotex Corp. v. Catrett</i> , 477 U.S. 317 (1986)	3
<i>Copperweld Corp. v. Independence Tube Corp.</i> , 467 U.S. 752 (1984)	13
<i>FTC v. Indiana Federation of Dentists</i> , 476 U.S. 447 (1986)	3, 25
<i>FTC v. Superior Court Trial Lawyers Ass’n</i> , 493 U.S. 411 (1990)	18
<i>General Glass Co., Inc. v. Globe Glass and Trim Co.</i> , 1980-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) 63,531 (N.D.Ill. 1980)	19
<i>Goldfarb v. Virginia State Bar</i> , 421 U.S. 773 (1975)	23
<i>Hahn v. Oregon Physicians’ Serv.</i> , 868 F.2d 1022 (9 th Cir. 1989)	14, 15
<i>Helicopter Support Systems v. Hughes Helicopter</i> , 818 F.2d 1530 (11th Cir. 1987)	11
<i>In re Fair Allocation System</i> , http://www.ftc.gov/os/1998/10/9710065cmp.htm	14

<i>In re High Fructose Corn Syrup Antitrust Litig.</i> , 295 F.3d. 651 (7 th Cir. 2002) . . .	3, 4, 16, 20, 21
<i>In re Medical X-Ray Film Antitrust Litig.</i> , 946 F. Supp. 209 (S.D.N.Y. 1996)	11
<i>In re Petroleum Products Antitrust Litig.</i> , 906 F.2d. 432 (9 th Cir. 1990)	20
<i>In re Kroger Corp.</i> , 98 F.T.C. 639 (1981).	3
<i>Isaksen v. Vermont Castings, Inc.</i> , 825 F.2d 1158 (7 th Cir. 1987)	11
<i>Massachusetts Board of Registration in Optometry</i> , 110 F.T.C. 549 (1988)	26
<i>Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co. v. Zenith Radio Corp.</i> , 475 U.S. 574 (1986)	20
<i>Michigan State Medical Soc’y</i> , 101 F.T.C. 191 (1983)	2, 15, 18, 26
<i>Monsanto Co. v. Spray-Rite Serv. Corp.</i> , 465 U.S. 752 (1984)	10, 18, 22
<i>National Collegiate Athletic Ass’n. v. Board of Regents of the University of Oklahoma</i> , 468 U.S. 85 (1984).	28
<i>New York v. St. Francis Hosp.</i> , 94 F.Supp.2d 399 (S.D. N.Y. 2000)	16
<i>Plymouth Dealers Ass’n v. United States</i> , 279 F.2d 128 (9 th Cir. 1960)	21
<i>Polygram Holding, Inc.</i> , 5 Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 15,453 at 22, 456 (FTC 2003)	10, 23, 25, 26, 28, 29
<i>Reeves v. Sanderson Plumbing Products, Inc.</i> , 530 U.S. 133 (2000)	4
<i>Re/Max International, Inc. v. Realty One, Inc.</i> , 173 F3d 995 (6 th Cir. 1999)	25
<i>Todd v. Exxon Corp.</i> , 275 F3d 191 (2d Cir. 2001)	24
<i>Trans Union Corp.</i> , 118 F.T.C. 821 (1994)	3
<i>T.W. Elec. Serv. v. Pacific Elec. Contractors Ass’n</i> , 809 F.2d 626 (9 th Cir. 1987)	4
<i>United States v. Addyston Pipe & Steel Co.</i> , 85 F. 271 (6 th Cir. 1898)	28
<i>United States v. Colgate</i> 250 U.S. 300 (1919)	13
<i>United States v. Container Corp. of America</i> , 393 U.S. 333 (1969)	20

<i>United States v. General Motors Corp.</i> , 384 U.S. 127 (1966)	14
<i>United States v. Joint Traffic Ass'n</i> , 171 U.S. 505 (1898)	23
<i>United States v. Masonite Corp.</i> , 316 US 265 (1942)	10, 19
<i>United States v. United States Gypsum Co.</i> , 438 U.S. 422 (1978)	20
<i>United States v. Trans-Missouri Freight Ass'n</i> , 166 U.S. 290 (1897)	23
<i>Viazis v. American Ass'n of Orthodontists</i> , 314 F.3d 758 (5 th Cir. 2002)	14, 15, 25
<i>Vitamins Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 99-197 TFH, MDL. 1285, 2004 WL 438586, at *9 (D. D.C. Mar. 9, 2004)	10, 19

Statutes, Regulations and Guidelines

16 C.F.R. § 3.24 (a) (3)	3
Fed. Trade Comm'n & U.S. Dep't of Justice, <i>Antitrust Guidelines for Collaborations Among Competitors</i> (April 2000)	29
U.S. Dep't of Justice & Fed. Trade Comm'n, <i>Statements of Antitrust Enforcement Policy in Health Care</i> , 4 Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 13,153 (August 28, 1996) ..	3, 20, 24, 28, 29

Other Authorities

John J. Miles, <i>Joint Venture Analysis and Provider-Controlled Health Care Networks</i> , 66 Antitrust L. J. 127 (1997)	28
Letter from Jeffrey W. Brennan, Assistant Director, Bureau of Competition, Federal Trade Commission, to John J. Miles (February 19, 2002) (available at http://www.ftc.gov/bc/adops/medsouth.htm)	28, 29

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sarah Croake, hereby certify that on March 31, 2004, I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served upon the following persons:

Gregory Huffman, Esq.
Thompson & Knight, LLP
1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 3300
Dallas, TX 75201-4693
Gregory.Huffman@tklaw.com

Hon. D. Michael Chappell
Administrative Law Judge
Federal Trade Commission
Room H-104
600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, D.C. 20580

Office of the Secretary
Federal Trade Commission
Room H-159
600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, D.C. 20580



Sarah Croake
Honors Paralegal