

II. Review Focus

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which: Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. Current Actions

The Department of Labor seeks a new approval of this information collection in order to learn more about veteran users of One-Stop Career Centers who do not appear to have had successful employment outcomes.

Type of Review: New.

Agency: Veterans' Employment & Training Service.

Title: Veteran Employment Services.

OMB Number: N/A.

Agency Number: CA-1032.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Total Respondents: 1,068.

Total Annual Responses: 1,068.

Average Time per Response: 15 minutes.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 267.

Frequency: One Time.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): Contractor cost of \$299,955.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 27th day of February 2008.

John M. McWilliam,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Veterans Employment and Training.

[FR Doc. E8-4091 Filed 3-4-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-79-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-293-LR;] [ASLBP No. 06-848-02-LR]

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board; Before Administrative Judges: Ann Marshall Young, Chair, Dr. Paul B. Abramson, Dr. Richard F. Cole, In the Matter of: Entergy Nuclear Generation Company and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station); Notice of Hearing and of Opportunity To Make Limited Appearance Statements

February 27, 2008.

This proceeding involves Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.'s Application to renew its operating license for the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station for an additional 20-year period, and Intervenor Pilgrim Watch's challenge of certain aspects of the Application.¹ This Atomic Safety and Licensing Board hereby gives notice that the oral hearing in the proceeding will be held on Thursday, April 10, 2008. The hearing will commence at 9 a.m., at the Radisson Hotel, 180 Water Street in Plymouth, Massachusetts.

In addition, the Board further hereby gives notice that, in accordance with 10 CFR. 2.315(a), it will entertain oral limited appearance statements from members of the public in connection with this proceeding on the evening of April 9, 2008, as specified below.

Limited Appearance Statement Session

a. Date, Time, and Location of Oral Limited Appearance Statement Session

The session will be held on the following date at the specified location and time:

Date: April 9, 2008.

Time: 6:30-8:30 p.m. EDT.

Location: Radisson Hotel, 180 Water Street, Plymouth, Massachusetts 02360.

b. Participation Guidelines for Oral Limited Appearance Statements

Members of the public will be permitted in this session to make short oral statements of five (5) minutes or less on their positions on matters of concern relating to this proceeding. Although these statements do not constitute testimony or evidence in the proceeding, they nonetheless may assist the Board and/or the parties in their consideration of the issues.

Oral limited appearance statements will be entertained during the hours

¹The Town of Plymouth, Massachusetts, where the Pilgrim plant is located, is also participating in this proceeding as an interested local governmental body, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.315(c).

specified above, or such lesser time as necessary to accommodate all speakers who are present.² If all scheduled and unscheduled speakers present at the session have spoken prior to the scheduled time to end the session, the Board may conclude the session before that time. In addition, if there is an unusually large group of persons wishing to speak, the time permitted for each speaker may be limited to a period of less than five (5) minutes, in order to allow all interested persons an opportunity to speak.

c. Submitting a Request to Make an Oral Limited Appearance Statement

Persons wishing to make an oral statement who have submitted a timely written request as specified below and who are present when their names are called will be given priority over those who have not filed such a request. To be considered timely, a written request to make an oral statement must be mailed, faxed, or sent by e-mail so as to be received by 5 p.m. EDT on Friday, April 4, 2008.

Written requests to make an oral statement should be submitted to:

Mail: Office of the Secretary, Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Fax: (301) 415-1101 (verification (301) 415-1966).

E-mail: hearingdocket@nrc.gov.

In addition, using the same method of service, a copy of the written request to make an oral statement must be sent to the Chair of this Licensing Board as follows:

Mail: Administrative Judge Ann Marshall Young, c/o: Johanna Thibault, Esq., Law Clerk, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, Mail Stop T-3 A2A, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Fax: (301) 415-5599 (verification (301) 415-6094).

E-mail: Johanna.Thibault@nrc.gov.

d. Submitted Written Limited Appearance Statements

A written limited appearance statement may be submitted to the Board regarding this proceeding at any time, either in lieu of or in addition to

²Members of the public who plan to attend the limited appearance session are advised that security measures may be employed at the entrance to the facility, including searches of hand-carried items such as briefcases, backpacks, packages, etc. In addition, although signs no larger than 18" by 18" will be permitted, they may not be waved, attached to sticks, held up, or moved about in the room. See Procedures for Providing Security Support for NRC Public Meetings/Hearings, 66 FR 31,719 (June 12, 2001).

any oral statement. Such statements should be sent to the Office of the Secretary using the methods prescribed above, with a copy to the Licensing Board Chair.

Dated: February 27, 2008, at Rockville, Maryland.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

Ann Marshall Young,

Chair, Administrative Judge.

[FR Doc. E8-4226 Filed 3-4-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549-0213.

Extension:

Rule 17d-1; SEC File No. 270-505; OMB Control No. 3235-0562.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") is soliciting comments on the collections of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit these existing collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Section 17(d) (15 U.S.C. 80a-17(d)) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a *et seq.*) (the "Act") prohibits first- and second-tier affiliates of a fund, the fund's principal underwriters, and affiliated persons of the fund's principal underwriters, acting as principal, to effect any transaction in which the fund or a company controlled by the fund is a joint or a joint and several participant in contravention of the Commission's rules. Rule 17d-1 (17 CFR 270.17d-1) prohibits an affiliated person of or principal underwriter for any fund (a "first-tier affiliate"), or any affiliated person of such person or underwriter (a "second-tier affiliate"), acting as principal, from participating in or effecting any transaction in connection with a joint enterprise or other joint arrangement in which the fund is a participant, unless prior to entering into the enterprise or arrangement "an application regarding (the transaction) has been filed with the Commission and has been granted by an order." In reviewing the proposed affiliated transaction, the rule provides

that the Commission will consider whether the proposal is (i) consistent with the provisions, policies, and purposes of the Act, and (ii) on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants in determining whether to grant an exemptive application for a proposed joint enterprise, joint arrangement, or profit-sharing plan.

Rule 17d-1 also contains a number of exceptions to the requirement that a fund must obtain Commission approval prior to entering into joint transactions or arrangements with affiliates. For example, funds do not have to obtain Commission approval for certain employee compensation plans, certain tax-deferred employee benefit plans, certain transactions involving small business investment companies, the receipt of securities or cash by certain affiliates pursuant to a plan of reorganization, and arrangements regarding liability insurance policies. The Commission amended rule 17d-1 most recently in 2003 to expand the current exemptions from the Commission approval process to permit funds to engage in transactions with "portfolio affiliates"—companies that are affiliated with the fund solely as a result of the fund (or an affiliated fund) controlling them or owning more than five percent of their voting securities. This amendment was designed to permit funds' transactions with portfolio affiliates without seeking Commission approval, as long as certain other affiliated persons of the fund (*e.g.*, the fund's adviser, persons controlling the fund, and persons under common control with the fund) ("prohibited participants") are not parties to the transaction and do not have a "financial interest" in a party to the transaction. The rule excludes from the definition of "financial interest" any interest that the fund's board of directors (including a majority of the directors who are not interested persons of the fund) finds to be not material, as long as the board records the basis for its finding in their meeting minutes.

Thus, the rule contains two filing and recordkeeping requirements that constitute collections of information. First, rule 17d-1 requires funds that wish to engage in a joint transaction or arrangement with affiliates to meet the procedural requirements for obtaining exemptive relief from the rule's prohibition on joint transactions or arrangements involving first- or second-tier affiliates. Second, rule 17d-1 permits a portfolio affiliate to enter into a joint transaction or arrangement with the fund if a prohibited participant has a financial interest that the fund's board

determines is not material and records the basis for this finding in their meeting minutes. These requirements of rule 17d-1 are designed to prevent fund insiders from managing funds for their own benefit, rather than for the benefit of the funds' shareholders.

Based on an analysis of past filings, Commission staff estimates that 4 funds file applications under section 17(d) and rule 17d-1 per year. Based on a limited survey of persons in the mutual fund industry, the Commission staff estimates that each applicant will spend an average of 154 hours to comply with the Commission's applications process. The Commission staff therefore estimates the annual burden hours per year for all funds under rule 17d-1's application process to be 616 hours.

Based on analysis of past filings, the Commission's staff estimates that 148 funds are affiliated persons of 668 issuers as a result of the fund's ownership or control of the issuer's voting securities, and that there are approximately 1,000 such affiliate relationships. Staff discussions with mutual fund representatives have suggested that no funds are currently relying on rule 17d-1 exemptions. We do not know definitively the reasons for this transactional behavior, but differing market conditions from year to year may offer some explanation for the current lack of fund interest in the exemptions under rule 17d-1. Accordingly, we estimate that annually there will be no joint transactions under rule 17d-1 that will result in a collection of information. The Commission, therefore, requests authorization to maintain an inventory of total burden hours per year for all funds under rule 17d-1 of 616 hours.

The estimate of average burden hours is made solely for the purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act. The estimate is not derived from a comprehensive or even a representative survey or study of the costs of Commission rules. Complying with these collections of information requirement is necessary to obtain the benefit of relying on rule 17d-1. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the