

NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301-415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of July, 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Beth St. Mary,**

*Acting NRC Clearance Officer Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-17464 Filed 7-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. *Type of submission, new, revision, or extension:* Revision.
2. *The title of the information collection:* DOE/NRC Form 742C, "Physical Inventory Listing."
3. *The form number if applicable:* DOE/NRC Form 742C.
4. *How often the collection is required:* DOE/NRC Form 742C is submitted annually following a physical inventory of nuclear materials.
5. *Who will be required or asked to report:* Persons licensed to possess specified quantities of special nuclear or source material.
6. *An estimate of the number of annual responses:* 180.
7. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 180 licensees.
8. *An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 1,080 hours.
9. *An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104-13 applies:* N/A.
10. *Abstract:* Each licensee authorized to possess special nuclear material totaling more than 350 grams of

contained uranium-235, uranium-233, or plutonium, or any combination thereof, and any licensee authorized to possess 1,000 kilograms of source material is required to submit DOE/NRC Form 742. Reactor licensees required to submit DOE/NRC Form 742, and facilities subject to 10 CFR Part 75, are required to submit DOE/NRC Form 742C. The information is used by NRC to fulfill its responsibilities as a participant in US/IAEA Safeguards Agreement and bilateral agreements with Australia and Canada, and to satisfy its domestic safeguards responsibilities.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC Worldwide Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by August 11, 2003. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date.

Bryon Allen, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0058), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301-415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of July, 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Beth St. Mary,**

*Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-17465 Filed 7-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-247 and 50-286, License Nos. DPR-26 and DPR-64]

### Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.; Receipt of Request for Action Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that by petition dated April 23, 2003, Richard Blumenthal, Attorney General for the State of Connecticut (petitioner) has requested that the U.S. Nuclear

Regulatory Commission (NRC) take action with regard to Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 and 3. The petitioner requests that the NRC (1) order the licensee for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 and 3 (IP2 and 3) to conduct a full review of the facility's vulnerabilities, security measures, and evacuation plans and to suspend operations, revoke the operating license, or adopt other measures resulting in a temporary shutdown of IP2 and 3; (2) require the licensee to provide information documenting the existing security measures which provide the Indian Point facility with protection against terrorist attacks; (3) immediately modify the IP2 and 3 operating licenses to mandate a defense and security system sufficient to protect the entire facility from a land- or water-based terrorist attack; (4) order the revision of the licensee's Emergency Response Plan and the Radiological Emergency Response Plans of the State of New York and the nearby counties to account for possible terrorist attacks; and (5) take prompt action to permanently retire the facility if, after conducting a full review of the facility's vulnerabilities, security measures, and evacuation plans, the NRC cannot sufficiently ensure the security of the IP facility against terrorist threats, or cannot ensure the safety of New York and Connecticut citizens in the event of an accident or terrorist attack.

As the basis for this request, the petitioner states that (1) the IP Radiological Emergency Preparedness Plan (REPP) fails to adequately inform the public in the event of a radiological emergency and relies upon selective release of critical information and irrational and unenforceable secrecy; (2) the IP REPP fails to address voluntary evacuation as required by NRC guidance documents; (3) the IP REPP fails to address family separation in its analysis of evacuation times; (4) the IP REPP fails to meet requirements for protection of foodstuffs and drinking water in 50-mile ingestion exposure pathway emergency planning zone; (5) the evacuation travel time estimates for the IP REPP fail to meet NUREG-0654/FEMA-REP-1; (6) the IP REPP fails to address administering radioprotective drugs to general population; (7) the IP REPP does not adequately address the possibility of a terrorist attack; (8) IP and NRC personnel and resources confront "dual challenges" when ensuring security at an operational facility; (9) the spent fuel storage facility is vulnerable to terrorist attack; (10) the security forces at nuclear power plants have repeatedly failed to