

Further, Respondents submit that OFHEO's approach would create "nonsensical practical consequences." As they see it, by permitting OFHEO to raise a privilege claim after the document has been disclosed, this has the effect of thereby effectively reviving a confidentiality claim for which OFHEO missed the opportunity to assert. Id. at 3. Thus, according to the Respondents, the prior production should foreclose OFHEO not only from a back-door opportunity to assert confidentiality but from any privilege claim as well, as the production itself demonstrates that the documents were not privileged or, even if they were, disclosure means that a litigant has knowingly decided that the privilege was not worth pursuing. Id. The same problem manifests itself with OFHEO's proposed paragraph 4 for the Protective Order, because it too allows a privilege claim to be inappropriately asserted. Respondents suggest that, under their alternative, the question of whether a privilege has been waived can be litigated later, after OFHEO has identified the documents in dispute through its privilege log. The Respondents believe that its approach will stop OFHEO from circumventing the law governing the waiver of privileges Id. at 5.

In its Surreply, OFHEO notes that it is recognized that it may assert various privileges, such as the deliberative process privilege. It contends that any protective order must acknowledge the existence of such privileges, a point distinct from a court's ruling on an asserted privilege as to a particular document. As it describes it, any protective order "must recognize the governmental privileges that OFHEO may assert in order to protect OFHEO's ongoing examination and supervisory work with the regulated Enterprises and to preserve relevant, but sensitive documents and information, while ensuring that the issues raised in the administrative enforcement action may be fully and fairly adjudicated." OFHEO Surreply at 2. OFHEO correctly observes that the Rules of Practice and Procedure provide that 'privileged documents are not discoverable. Privileges include the attorney-client privilege, work-product privilege, any government's or government agency s deliberative process privilege and any other privileges provided by the Constitution any applicable act of Congress, or the principles of common law." 12 CFR § 1780.26(d). OFHEO also points out that, pursuant to 12 U.S.C. \$ 4517, "the Director and each OFHEO examiner shall have the same authority and be subject to the same disclosures, prohibitions, obligations, and penalties as are applicable to examiners employed by the Federal Reserve banks. OFHEO Surreply at B. Included among these are the "examination privilege," and the "deliberative process privilege." These privileges are important because they involve the important considerations of intra-agency candidness as well as openness between an agency and the regulated entity.

Although OFHEO acknowledges that, for purposes of smoothing the progress of litigation, it has at times produced documents for which a privilege could apply, it did so both with the understanding that the documents were still considered confidential and without surrendering its claim of any applicable privileges. OFHEO Surreply at 7. OFIEO maintains that while it has agreed to produce documents which it contends fall within one or more such privileges, that production is not intended to waive the confidentiality of such documents, and it believes that its proposed language for Paragraph 1(c) accomplishes that intent. In sum, OFHEO asserts that it is important that documents issue "be kept confidential in order to protect sensitive information therein, and to safeguard the continued open lines of communication within the agency, and between agency and regulated entities." OFHEO Surreply at 8.

The Court notes that there are salutary and less-than-salutary reasons for parties in litigation to assert confidentiality claims. In this instance, the basis for OFHEO's general concerns about confidentiality fall into the salutary category, for the substantial and important reasons it identified in its Surreply. It must also be said that there is an element of strategy involved on the part of the Respondents and that their protestations over OFHEO's proposed language are not simply about

their pedantic concern over the principle that confidential information should not conflated with privilege claims. Rather, the underlying purpose seems to be to prevent OFHEO from trying to assert limits on the use of information which it has already disclosed, whether the claim for such a limit stems from a privilege or confidentiality claim. The Court will not base its decision on such grounds, nor will it engage in trying to unravel whether documents were disclosed subject to any understanding that they were to be kept confidential or the terms of any such understanding

The importance of confidentiality to the effective operation of OFHEO is undeniable and determinative for this ruling. Accordingly, the Court adopts the language proposed by OFHEO and denies the version presented by Respondents in their Motion.

So Ordered,

William B. Moran

United States Administrative Law Judge

Dated: April 11, 2007 Washington, DC

Attachment: signed copy of Pretrial Protective Order.

In the Matter of Franklin D. Raines, J. Timothy Howard, Leanne G. Spencer Notice No. 2006-1

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true copy of the Order Regarding Respondents' Motion for Entry of Protective Order, dated April 11, 2007 was sent in the following manner to the addressees listed below:

Copy by Regular Mail and facsimile to:

#### OFHEO:

David A. Felt, Esq.
Deputy General Counsel
Office of Federal Housing Enterprises Oversight
1700 G Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20552

Joseph Aronica, Esq.
Duane Morris, LLP
1667 K St. N.W.
Washington, DC 20006

#### Respondents:

Steven Salky, Esq.
Eric Delinsky
Zuckerman Spaeder LLP
1800 M Street, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20036
(Counsel for J. Timothy Howard)

David Krakoff, Esq.
Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP
1909 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
(Counsel for Leanne G. Spencer)

Kevin Downey
Williams & Connolly LLP
725 Twelfth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
(Counsel for Franklin Raines)

Washington, DC April 1 , 2007 Maria Whiting - Beade for Knolyn R. Jones Legal Assistant

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA OFFICE OF FEDERAL HOUSING ENTERPRISE OVERSIGHT

In the Matter of:

Notice Number 2006-1

FRANKLIN D. RAINES,
J. TIMOTHY HOWARD,

Judge William B. Moran

LEANNE G. SPENCER,

Respondents.

# PRETRIAL PROTECTIVE ORDER

of confidential documents, testimony, discovery materials, and other information in the matter captioned above:

#### **Definitions**

DM1-7609714

- The following definitions shall apply to this Stipulated Protective Order ("Protective Order"):
  - a. "Proceeding" shall refer to the above-captioned proceeding.
- b. The term "Discovery Material" encompasses documents as defined in 12 C.F.R. 1780.26, including but not limited to: any type of written materials, transcripts of testimony, any taped, recorded, filmed, electronic, written or typed matter, including the originals and all marked copies, whether different from the originals by reasons of any notation made on such copies or otherwise; all deposition testimony; , and document requests, including all responses thereto; any physical objects or other items or any other information gained by inspection of any tangible thing, including data or code stored in electronic form.
- c. "Confidential Information" shall mean Discovery Material which a party

  (i) takes reasonable precautions to maintain the confidentiality of the material, and (ii) in good

faith believes constitutes confidential information that is used by it, or pertaining to, trade secrets, financial information, personal privilege or other personal information, or which information is not generally known and which that party would normally not reveal to third parties, or if disclosed, would require such third parties to maintain in confidence. "Confidential Information" shall include documents that are privileged pursuant to various privileges, including, but not limited to, the examination, deliberative process and bank supervisory privileges, even if either such documents may be or have been in the hands of third parties (such as examination reports and workpapers) or such documents were produced subject to a Protective Order in other litigation. Except as provided above, "Confidential Information" shall not include documents or information that (i) is at any time independently developed without use of or reliance upon any of the producing party's Designated Discovery Material; (ii) is rightfully acquired from an independent source, without restrictions as to use or obligations as to confidence; (iii) was, prior to disclosure, rightfully in the possession or knowledge of the requesting party; (iv) is publicly available in substantially the same form in which it was provided by the producing party claiming confidentiality; (v) is required by law to be made available to third parties; (vi) was, is or becomes public knowledge, not in violation of this Protective Order; or (vii) is voluntarily de-designated by the party producing the Discovery Material.

d. "Highly Confidential Information" shall mean Discovery Materia that counsel in good faith believes constitutes, contains or reveals (i) information that relates to an individual that is of a purely personal nature, (ii) non-public communications, discussions, deliberations, or analyses regarding senior executive hiring initiatives at the Executive Vice President level and above; (iii) non-public communications, discussions, deliberations, or

analyses regarding executive succession; (iv) internal, non-public communications, discussions, deliberations, or analyses regarding Board of Director succession or hiring initiatives; (v) information detailing competitively sensitive, non-public, compensation for non-officers, which, if made public, could put Fannie Mac at a competitive disadvantage; or (vi) information concerning Fannie Mae's proprietary portfolio methodologies, strategies, modeling, and formulae; or

- e. "Confidential Legend" shall mean a stamp or similar insignia stating "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential."
- f. "Designated Discovery Material" shall mean Discovery Material designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" pursuant to this Protective Order as well as the contents of such Discovery Material.

#### **Applicability**

- This Protective Order shall govern the parties' use of any Discovery Material produced in the Proceeding.
- 3. This Protective Order shall be fully applicable to material produced by or depositions taken of third parties and non parties, and any third party or non party from whom discovery is sought shall be entitled to designate material and testimony produced as Confidential Information pursuant to the terms of this Protective Order.
- The parties expressly reserve and decline to waive any privilege that may be applicable to any "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" documents that previously may have been provided by a party governed by this Protective Order or any "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" document that may be furnished under this Protective Order.

- Nothing in this Protective Order shall be construed to require the production of any information, document or thing that a party contends is protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege.
- Discovery material produced in other litigation is subject to this Protective Order when used in this Proceeding. Nothing in this Protective Order shall affect the use of such Discovery Material in the litigation in which it was originally produced.

Designation of Material

- 7. Parties may designate any Discovery Material as Confidential Information in accordance with paragraph 1(c) herein, by applying to it the legend "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential." ("Designated Discovery Material") The legend shall be affixed in such a manner that the written material is not obliterated or obscured. Any Discovery Material so designated shall thereafter be treated pursuant to the appropriate provisions of this Protective Order. In the case of data stored in electronic form, the "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" legend shall be printed on the cover or container of the disk, tape, or other medium in which the electronic form data is stored. A party making Discovery Material available for inspection, however, shall not have to apply a "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" legend to those materials until such time as a party requests copies, if that ever occurs. During the period when Discovery Material is made available for inspection but not designated, it shall be treated as "Confidential."
- With respect to testimony or deposition transcripts, the producing party shall have twenty-one (21) days from the date upon which the testimony is given to designate said testimony or any portion thereof as "Confidential Information" or "Highly Confidential Information" within the meaning of this Protective Order. In the event that a party intends to use portions or excerpts of transcripts prior to the expiration of the 21 day period, such party shall

give counsel for the deponent 48 hours to designate said testimony or any portion thereof as "Confidential Information" or "Highly Confidential Information" within the meaning of this Protective Order.

9. In the event that a producing party inadvertently fails to designate material as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" or designates material at a lower level of confidentiality pursuant to this Protective Order at the time of the production, the party shall be entitled to make a correction. Such correction and notice thereof shall be made in writing as soon as practicable. The producing party, at their cost, shall also provide substitute copies of each item of Discovery Material, appropriately designated, to all parties who previously received the misdesignated material. Those individuals who received the Discovery Material prior to notice of the misdes gnation by the producing party shall within ten (10) business days of receipt of the substitute copies, destroy or return to the producing party all copies of such misdesignated documents. Those individuals who reviewed the misdesignated Discovery Material prior to notice of the misdesignation by the producing party shall abide by the provisions of this Protective Order with respect to the use and disclosure of any information contained in the misdesignated Discovery Material after receipt of the notice of misdesignation.

In the event a party produces two or more identical copies of a document and any such copy is designated with a lesser degree of confidentiality than any other copy or without any such designation, all such identical documents shall be treated in accordance with the most restrictive designation on any copy once the inconsistent designation is known. The producing party shall be responsible for informing the party receiving the inconsistently designated information of the inconsistent designation; provided, however, if any person subject to this Protective Order receives such inconsistently designated information, and has actual knowledge

of the inconsistent designation, the person shall treat all copies in accordance with the most restrictive designation.

## Disclosure and Use of Confidential Information

- Designated Discovery Material shall be treated in accordance with the terms of this Protective Order and is not to be communicated in any manner, directly or indirectly, to anyone other than the person qualified to receive such material under the terms and conditions set forth below.
- Any Designated Discovery Material, and the information contained in such material (including extracts and summaries derived from such material), shall be used solely for prosecuting and defending the Proceeding and shall not be used for any other purpose or be revealed to parties or counsel in any action or administrative proceeding other than the Proceeding, unless the Presiding Officer otherwise directs or the producing party otherwise agrees.
- Discovery Material designated as "Confidential" shall not be disclosed directly or indirectly by the person receiving such material to persons other than (i) persons identified in the documents or through testimony as already having seen or received such "Confidential" material (excluding persons whose prior access to such Confidential material was known by the disclosing party to be unauthorized) and (ii) the following persons, as to whom disclosure shall be limited to the extent reasonably necessary for the prosecution, defense, and/or appeal of the Proceeding:
  - a. The Presiding Officer or Director of OFHEO in the performance of his/her duties pursuant to 12 C.F.R. § 1780 et seq., persons employed by the Presiding Officer, and the stenographers transcribing the testimony or

- argument at a hearing, or deposition in the Proceeding or any appeal therefrom;
- b. Counsel for the parties in the Proceeding, whether or not counsel of record, including in-house counsel, associates, legal assistants, paralegals, secretarial and clerical employees, and outside services (including, without limitation, copy services, litigation consulting services, and graphics services) who are assisting counsel in the Proceeding and/or appear of the Proceeding;
- c. Persons retained to assist the parties in the Proceeding and/or appeal, including but not limited to experts, consultants, accountants, statisticians, economists retained or employed by OFHEO, the Respondents and/or their respective counsel including their secretarial and clerical employees who are assisting in this proceeding provided that the requirements of Paragraph 16 below have been met;
- d. OFHEO and any party currently named or later joined in the Proceeding, including, in the case of parties other than individuals, their officers, directors, employees, and agents, solely for the purpose of assisting in this Proceeding and/or appeal of the Proceeding;
- e. Any person who is interviewed with respect to this Proceeding because counsel for either party believes in good faith such person may testify as a witness either at a deposition or a hearing in the Proceeding for the purpose of assisting in the preparation or examination of the witness, provided that the requirements of Paragraph 16 have been met;

- f. Any mediator appointed by the Presiding Officer or other individual acting pursuant to Presiding Officer appointment; and
- g. Other persons upon further order of the Presiding Officer or written consent of the producing party.
- Presiding Officer, Discovery Material designated as "Highly Confidential" shall not be disclosed directly or indirectly by the person receiving such material to persons other than (i) persons identified in the documents or through testimony as already having seen or received such "Highly Confidential" material (excluding persons whose prior access to such Confidential material was known by the disclosing party to be unauthorized) and (ii) the following persons, as to whom disclosure shall be limited to the extent reasonably necessary for the prosecution, defense, and/or appeal of the Proceeding:
  - a. The Presiding Officer or Director of OFHEO in the performance of his/her duties pursuant to 12 C.F.R. § 1780 et seq., persons employed by the Presiding Officer, and the stenographers transcribing the testimony or argument at a hearing or deposition in the Proceeding or any appeal therefrom;
  - b. Counsel for the parties in the Proceeding, whether or not counsel of record, including in-house counsel, associates, legal assistants, paralegals, secretarial and cicrical employees, and outside services (including, without limitation, copy services, litigation consulting services, and graphics services) who are assisting counsel in the Proceeding and/or appeal of the Proceeding;

- c. Persons retained to assist the parties in the Proceeding and/or appeal, including but not limited to experts, consultants, accountants, statisticians, and economists retained or employed by OFHEO, the Respondents or their respective counsel, including their secretarial and clerical employees who are assisting in this proceeding, provided that the requirements of Paragraph 16 below have been met;
- d. Respondents and OFHEO;
- e. Any person who is interviewed with respect to this Proceeding because counsel for either party believes in good faith such person may testify as a witness either at a deposition or a hearing in the Proceeding for the purpose of assisting in the preparation or examination of the witness, provided that the requirements of Paragraph 16 have been met;
- f. Any mediator appointed by the Presiding Officer or other individual acting pursuant to Presiding Officer appointment; and
- g. Other persons upon further order of the Presiding Officer or written consent of the producing party.
- 15. The undersigned attorneys, as well as their clients and any other personnel of their law firm or litigation support services assisting them in the Proceeding, agree to be bound by the terms of this Protective Order. Other than disclosure of Confidential Information and/or Highly Confidential Information at a deposition or hearing, persons described in subparagraphs 13 c, e, and g above, prior to being given access to any Confidential material, must be provided a copy of this Protective Order and sign the Acknowledgment attached as Exhibit A hereto agreeing to be bound by the terms of the Protective Order and agreeing to subject himself or herself to the

purisdiction of this tribunal for the purpose of enforcing the terms and conditions of this Protective Order. The Party providing the individual with Designated Discovery Material shall retain copies of all executed Acknowledgments. Said Acknowledgments will only be provided to the producing party as may be ordered by the Presiding Officer. As parties to the Proceeding are bound by Order to the terms of the Protective Order, they are not required to sign an Acknowledgment in order to receive Confidential material. Persons who receive Confidential material and/or Highly Confidential materials at a deposition or hearing who are not otherwise authorized to receive such information pursuant to paragraphs 13 and 14 above and who have not signed an Acknowledgment, may be shown and questioned about the Confidential and/or Highly Confidential materials during the deposition or hearing but will not be entitled to take possession of the Confidential material and/or Highly Confidential materials that were disclosed.

If a party desires to file Designated Discovery Material with the Presiding Officer, whether separately or with or as part of pleadings or other filings, the party shall file the Designated Discovery Materials under seal if the producing party's consent, which shall not be unreasonably withheld, to the public filing of the materials has not been obtained. The Designated Discovery Material shall be filed in a sealed envelope or other appropriate container on which shall be endorsed the caption of this matter; the title of the filing or a brief description of the enclosed material; and the legend "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential."

17. If or when Designated Discovery Material is ever used during any interview, deposition, hearing or other proceeding, other than during the evidentiary hearing, counsel for the parties shall take appropriate steps to preserve the confidential substance of the Designated Discovery Material, unless otherwise required by order of the Presiding Officer.

- Il8. If a subpoena issued in any other action or administrative proceeding calls or arguably calls for the production by the recipient of the subpoena ("Recipient") of Designated Discovery Material produced to Recipient by any other person in this Proceeding, then the Recipient shall:
  - a. be obligated, within five business days of the Recipient's receipt of the subpoena, to provide notice of the subpoena, as well as a copy of same, to the party who or which produced the Designated Discovery Materia to the Recipient; and
  - b. be permitted to respond in a timely manner to such subpoena without violation of this Protective Order if the foregoing notice is timely given and, within the period provided for response to such subpoena, the producing party has neither moved to intervene to seek an order by the appropriate authority preventing disclosure of the Designated Discovery Material nor made other arrangements with the person or entity issuing the subpoena. If the producing party has moved to intervene to seek an order preventing disclosure of the Designated Discovery Material, the Recipient will not disclose the Designated Discovery Material until such motion is adjudicated.
- Except as agreed in writing by all parties to this Agreement or by order of the Presiding Officer, persons having knowledge of another producing party's Designated Discovery Material by virtue of their participation in the conduct of this Proceeding shall use that Designated Discovery Material only in connection with the prosecution, defense or appeal of the Proceeding, and shall neither use such Designated Discovery Material for any other purpose nor

disclose such Designated Discovery Material to any person who is not permitted access to such Designated Discovery Material by this Protective Order.

- The restrictions against disclosure set forth in this Protective Order shall not apply to any producing party's use of its own Designated Discovery Material.
- If Designated Discovery Material is disclosed to any person other than in the **2**1. manner authorized by this Protective Order, the requesting party or any other party responsible for this disclosure shall immediately (1) provide written notice to the producing party; (2) make every effort to retrieve such material; and (3) prevent further disclosure by the person who was the recipient of such Designated Discovery Material. The written notice required by subparagraph (1) above shall include the names of all persons who improperly received Designated Discovery Material and a description of the Designated Discovery Material disclosed to such persons.

# Objection to Confidential Information

At any time, a party may challenge a designation of material as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential," or may object to the treatment of any information as deserving "Highly Confidential" treatment. In the event of such a challenge, the contesting party will have the burden of making an appropriate motion to the Presiding Officer and obtaining a hearing upon such motion. At such hearing, the party producing the Designated Discovery Material shall have the burden of establishing the need for such status. Pending such determination by the Presiding Officer, material designated by the producing party as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" shall be treated in accordance with the producing party's designation pursuant to this Protective Order.

# Relief From Terms of Protective Order

- This Protective Order is being entered without prejudice to the right of any party or other person to move the Presiding Officer for relief separately, or to move the Presiding Officer for modification of any of its terms on a going-forward basis.
- The parties to this Proceeding anticipate utilizing one or more third party vendors for purposes of electronic production, storage, and maintenance of documents. In the event of an unauthorized release of any privileged materials by any such vendor, the producing party shall be entitled to have any and all copies of such documents returned and/or destroyed at the producing party's option. Such unauthorized production of documents shall not constitute a waiver of the right to claim in the Proceeding or thereafter that said documents are "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" or subject to any valid claim of privilege or protection, including but not I mited to, the attorney-client privilege and the work product doctrine. The party whose vendor produced the unauthorized material shall make a request for the return or destruction of the Discovery Material in writing, accompanied by redacted substitute copies of each item of Discovery Material if appropriate. Those individuals who received the Discovery Materia shall within three (3) business days of receipt of the substitute copies, destroy or return to the producing party all copies of such documents. No such items shall be copied, distributed or otherwise disseminated for review beyond those individuals who have already been given access to the Discovery Material.

# Return of Confidential Information

Upon final termination of this Proceeding (whether by judgment, settlement, or otherwise), including all appeals and applications for discretionary review, the undersigned, at their election, shall, within sixty (60) days following written request of the producing party,

either (i) return all Designated Discovery Material and all copies, extracts, and summaries of such Designated Discovery Material to the party producing it, or (ii) destroy, subject to applicable law, such Designated Discovery Material, including all copies, extracts, and summaries, and provide a letter certifying such destruction to the producing party. The parties shall request that all attachments or exhibits to pleadings designated under this Protective Order and filed under seal with the Presiding Officer shall be returned within sixty (60) days to the party producing it, or the Presiding Officer may destroy such material. For archival purposes, the attorneys in the law firms representing the parties may retain all material constituting attorney work product and one copy of all pleadings, deposition and hearing transcripts, exhibits, and written discovery responses, including portions designated pursuant to this Protective Order.

# Termination of Proceeding

26. The terms of this Protective Order shall survive the termination of the Proceeding, and the Presiding Officer shall retain jurisdiction of the Proceeding after final disposition for the purpose of enforcing this Protective Order.

Dated: April 11, 2007

William B. Moran

United States Administrative Law Judge

#### **EXHIBIT A**

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA OFFICE OF FEDERAL HOUSING ENTERPRISE OVERSIGHT

In the Matter of:

FRANKLIN D. RAINES,

Judge Williams B. Moran

J. TIMOTHY HOWARD,

LEANNE G. SPENCER,

Respondents.

## <u>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</u>

captioned matter and that I agree to be bound by the terms of the Protective Order. I consent and agree to be subject to the jurisdiction and authority of the Presiding Officer in the above-captioned matter for purposes of enforcement of the Stipulated Protective Order.

Dated:
Signature
Printed Name

15



# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES MAIL CODE 1900L 1200 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20460-2001

TELEPHONE 202:564-6255 FACSIMILE: 202-565-0044

#### FAX TRANSMITTAL PAGE

Date: _	4-11-07		_ Pages (incl. transmittal page):
From:	Judge	Moran	,
To:	4-11-07 Judge David Fel	t, Esq	
	Fax: 414-6	•	
To:	Joseph ARun	ica, Esp	
	Fax: 176-	1801	Telephone:
To:	Steven Sal	ry, Egg	
	Fax: 822-	8106	Telephone:
- 1	1		
1	1		Telephone:
To:	KEVIN DOWNEY	1, Earl	
	Fax: 434-	5029	Telephone:
Hard Copy To Be Mailed:YesNo			
Any Q	destions Or Prob	lems May Be	e Directed To  Telephone:
REMA	ARKS:		
	1	1	
-			
To:/ Hard C	Steven Sal  Fax: 823-  DAVID KRAK  Fax: 263- 5  KEVIN DOWNEY  Fax: 434-  Copy To Be Maile  Duestions Or Prob	ky, lag 8106 20ff, lag -370 1, lag 5029	Telephone:Telephone:Telephone:YesNo