SAP 4.3
Response to June 2007 Comments of CERSAP Expert Peer Review Committee

| Comment #    | Page | Lines   | Comment  | Author Response   | Changes to Draft  |
|--------------|------|---------|--|---|---|
| Ag-1         | n/a  | n/a     | Pull out common threads on climate that impact all sections. Then individual sections can zero in on particular aspects germane to that particular sector. Need to have a range of regional temperature and precip changes to expect. One temperature change of 0.80 C does not capture the range of impacts. Frequency distributions and extreme events need to be considered (need some information from SAP 3.3)  | The whole document has been reorganized to follow each climatic factor through it's main effect and then the interactions | Chapter has been reorganized to include sections on observed changes and responses, extreme events, etc.  |
| Ag-2<br>Ag-3 | 8    | 8 to 10 | Change to: You need to greatly expand this section. Most of the authors used 0.8C for their model projections, although even here there were consistencies- some used "approximately 1C"; some discussed "2030" while others stated "in the next 30 years." We all know that there is considerable variability in the projections of air and surface temperature increase in the next 30 years, largely due to uncertainty in what emissions will be, along with variability in model outputs. Moreover, there is spatial variability in temperature in the US. Finally, there will be significantly higher increases looking farther into the future. As you state, no one can state with certainty what the future will bring. The key here is not to predict PRECISELY what will happen in 30 years, but to prdict which crops, grasses, animals, non-commercial species, etc are likely to be most SENSITIVE to changes in temperature. For example, if grasses appear to show increases in productivity with increasing temperature up to temp increases of 4C, then this is key, as it contrast sharply to many C4 and even c3 crops, which show an inflecti | The value was chosen for its illustrative value for these analyses.   | This explanitory text has been added to the chapter: "In these analyses a 0.8 °C temperature increase was assumed to be consistent with projections for the US for the next 30 years as reported in Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 2001. There is certainty in this degree of change over the next 30 years, although, regional differences will vary. This value represents one of several potential scenarios for temperature change and characterizes the mid-range of the values. If the temperature increases are less than this value some of the effects will not be realized within the next decades; however, if this value is conservative then the impacts on agriculture will be hastened."   |
|              | 9    |         | "impact of the sun" should be "impact of solar energy fluctuations"  Change to: "from other commodity production. Because of this diversity, the potential impacts of climate on agriculture are expected to occur across all regions of the U.S. and understanding the impacts of climate on agriculture is not isolated to a particular region. To evaluate the potential impacts of climate on agriculture the current scientific literature was reviewed for crop, fruit, and nut production, pasturelands, rangelands, and livestock production. Temperature, carbon dioxide,   | OK Madified this continu  | The verbage was deleted during editing.  The passage now reads as follows: "Because of this diversity, changes in climate will likely impact agriculture in many regions of the US. Agriculture within the US is complex: many crops are grown in different climates and soils, and different livestock types are produced in   |
| Ag-4         | 18   |         | rainfall, ozone, and relative humidity are"  But what about higher rises, e.g. 1.5C or even higher??   | Modified this section  Modified the sentence to indicate that 0.8C change is the illustrative value for these analyses.   | numerous ways."  This explanitory text has been added: "In these analyses a 0.8 °C temperature increase was assumed to be consistent with projections for the US for the next 30 years as reported in Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 2001. There is certainty in this degree of change over the next 30 years, although, regional differences will vary. This value represents one of several potential scenarios for temperature change and characterizes the mid-range of the values. If the temperature increases are less than this value some of the effects will not be realized within the next decades; however, if this value is conservative then the impacts on agriculture will be hastened." |

| Comment # | Page | Lines  | Comment   | Author Response                                 | Changes to Draft   |
|-----------|------|--------|---|---|--|
|           |      |        |   |   | Language is modified as follows: "As temperature rises,  |
|           |      |        |   |   | crops will increasingly begin to experience upper failure  |
| Ag-6      | 18   | 22     | Is this really the same for all crops, or is this a mean value?             | Added the phrase in most crops                  | point temperatures, "  |
|           |      |        |   |   | Changed to: "Water availability exerts primary control on  |
|           |      |        |   |   | productivity and plant species composition of  |
|           |      |        |   |   | rangelands, each of the global changes, CO2  |
|           |      |        |   |   | enrichment, altered precipitation regimes, and higher  |
|           |      |        | Again, is this 0.8C? Makes it sound as if 0.8 C is a given, when in fact it | Modified the sentence to include both the temp  | temperatures affect plant productivity and species   |
| Ag-7      | 19   | 9      | is just a midrange (if even that) value                                     | and CO2 values used in the analysis             | composition by altering the water balance."  |
|           |      |        |   | This section does not attempt to address the    |  |
|           |      |        |   | type of response to any one stimulus. There has |  |
|           |      |        | Most change occurred over a shorter time frame that that- this is an        | been an increase in productivity with the       |  |
|           |      |        | underestimate of the true rate of change since it was no where near         | increase in CO2 and a further increase with CO2 |  |
| Ag-8      | 22   | 23     | linear  | and temperature.                                | No change.   |
|           |      |        | " mm changepredict a 1% change" no sign of change is given.                 |   | Changed to: "Roughly, for every 4 mm increase in   |
|           |      |        | Need to state that a xx% increase (decrease) leads to a yy% increase        |   | annual precipitation, the models predict a 1 percent   |
| Ag-9      | 22   | 5-Apr  | (decrease)  | OK  | increase in dryland alfalfa yields."   |
|           |      | 14 to  |   |   | Changed to: "Across the entire United States, percent increase in days to market for swine and beef, and the percent decrease in dairy milk production for the 2040 scenario averaged 1.2 percent, 2.0 percent, and 2.2 percent, respectively, using the CGC model, and 0.9 percent, 0.7 percent, and 2.1 percent, respectively, using |
| Ag-10     |      |        | Need to reference these models better                                       | added model descriptions                        | the Hadley model."   |
| Ag-11     | 24   |        | Change to: Economic Adaptation to Climate Change                            | This section is deleted                         | This section is deleted  |
| 1. 10     | 0.4  |        | Need to distinguish from evolutionary adaptation, which I assume will be    | THE CONTRACT OF THE PARTY.                      | Title and the feet of  |
| Ag-12     | 24   | 24     | discussed elsewhere in the report?  | This section is deleted                         | This section is deleted  |
|           |      |        |   |   |  |
| A = 40    | ٥٦   | 00     | Again, confusion here over "economic/production" adaptation, genetic        | This section is deleted                         | This assiss is deleted   |
| Ag-13     | 25   |        | selection, and evolutionary adaptation- these are artificially selected     | This section is deleted                         | This section is deleted  |
|           |      |        | Change to: breed for, and it may not be possible to identify an             |   |  |
| A = 4.4   | 00   |        | alternative variety that is selected to be physiologically more adapted to  | This as ation is deleted                        | This assiss is deleted   |
| Ag-14     | 26   |        | the new climate, and is also tolerant of local soils and                    | This section is deleted                         | This section is deleted  |
|           |      |        | Change to: but organic matter is mostly carbon derived from CO2 in the      |   |  |
| A = 45    |      |        | atmosphere [via plant photosynthesis], so it reduces the amount of this     | This as ation is deleted                        | This section is deleted  |
| Ag-15     | 28   | 2 to 3 | greenhouse gas in the   | This section is deleted                         | This section is deleted  |

| Comment #      | Page | Lines   | Comment  | Author Response                               | Changes to Draft   |
|----------------|------|---------|--|---|--|
| Ag-16          | 29   | 5 to 18 | Change to: production systems. Because of this diversity changes in climate will likely impact agriculture in many regions of the US. Agriculture within the United States is complex: crops are grown in different climates and soils, and different livestock types are produced in numerous ways. There are 116 Agriculture within the United States is complex: crops are grown in different climates and soils, and different livestock types are produced in numerous ways. There are 116 animal production, e.g., cheese or eggs). These commodities are distributed across the United States as shown in the following example diagram for corn planted acres in 2002 (Fig. 1). Although corn is grown extensively across the United States there is a concentration in the Midwest where the weather and soil are more favorable for production. In | Modified the section to change the structure. | Changed to: "Agriculture within the United States is varied and produces a large value (\$200 billion in 2002) of production across a wide range of plant and animal production systems. Because of this diversity, changes in climate will likely impact agriculture in many U.S. regions. U.S. agriculture is complex: many crops are grown in different climates and soils, and different livestock types are produced in numerous ways. There are 116 different plant commodity groups listed by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) National Agricultural Statistics Service, and four different livestock groupings (i.e., dairy, poultry, specialty livestock, and livestock that contain a variety of different animal types or products derived from animal production, e.g., cheese or eggs). The extensive and intensive nature of U.S. agriculture is best represented in the context of the value of the production of crops and livestock." |
| Λα 17          | 29   | 0       | This paragraph contains many redundancies in language and needs careful editing.   | Modified the section to change the structure. | Changed as described in Ag-17.   |
| Ag-17<br>Ag-18 |      | 1 to 2  | This section needs clarficiation- does this mean that these crops are able to survive here because they don't freeze during the winter? Each of these paragraphs needs a general "tie in". I assume the point her is there there are climatic restrictions to each crop type- frtuit trees are restrictired by how cold it gets in winter, etc. This needs to be made clear.   | OK  | Changed to: "However, orchards are distributed in the Northeast U.S. and intensive areas along the Great Lakes to take advantage of the moderating effect of the lakes. The local microclimate, induced by the regional climate, creates areas in which orchards that have specific requirements for winter chilling create opportunities for these crops as part of the production system."   |
| Ag-19          | 30   | 21      | "each year losses in crop value" "losses" should be replace with "reductions from optimal"   | ок  | This section has been deleted.   |
| Ag-20          |      |         | Sentence makes no sense.   | Changed this section                          | This section has been largely deleted, although in the conclusions, the thought is now summed up in this way: "In regions where vegetation changes are especially counter productive to domestic livestock agriculture, shifts in enterprises will occur. Shifts between rangeland and more intensive agriculture may also occur,"   |

| Comment #      | Page | Lines  | Comment   | Author Response                                  | Changes to Draft  |
|----------------|------|--------|---|--|---|
| A. 24          | 24   | 13 to  | ***But this is a mid range of the most recent projections*** This cannot be sidestepped. The potential implications of using >0.8C (or, <0.8C) increase need to be highlighted right up front, and the most recent projections need to be addressed**** This is **extremely** important. All projections have large margins of error surrounding them, and the projection for 2030 used here is probably conservative, given recent emission trends. Moroever, it definitely will be much higher within 50 years. The findings need to be based not only on "midline" or "conservative" estimates, but also on the other scenarios- there is a very good chance the increase will be more than 0.8C, but this report makes it sound like 0.8C is a given What will happen if the increase is 0.4C? 1.5C? How big of a difference will that make to the industry? Catastrophe? If so, it can't be ignored as a possibility **Why was 2030 chosen, and how was the value of 0.8C selected- this needs to be justified** None of the processes described here are linear or even | OV.  | The discussion has been modified as described in Comment Ag-2. Added more detail to explain that 0.8 is the midpoint and how biological responses to changes would be slowed or hastened by conditions below or   |
| Ag-21          | 31   | 14     | monotonic, and so the choice of year and the choice of temperature deci-  | The effect of temperature on pollinators was not | above this value.   |
| Ag-22          | 32   | 22     | Includes direct effects on pollinators?   | considered in this analysis.                     | No change.  |
| Ag-23<br>Ag-24 | 34   |        | Change to: accelerated by increasing temperature up to a species-dependent optimum temperature Change to: temperature in field conditions may not be due to temperature alone, as high temperatures   | Modified the sentence OK                         | Changed to: "Progression of a crop through its life cycle (phenological) phases is accelerated by increasing temperature up to the species dependent optimum temperature beyond which development rate slows"  Changed as noted.  |
| Ag-25          | 36   |        | Again, need to present a wider range of scenarios*** Discuss sources of uncertainty, specifically in CO2 production and therefore in temperature rise- from what we have seen, 0.8C is a bitconservative.   | See Comment Ag-2.                                | No change.  |
| Ag-26          | 36   | 20ff   | discussion of Lobell and Asner results are stated to be compromised and unreasonalbe - then why include them here?  But these are plant temperatures, not air temperatures, correct? They   | ОК   | Changed to: "Lobell and Asner (2003) evaluated maize and soybean production relative to climatic variation in the United States, reporting a 17 percent reduction in yield for every 1°C rise in temperature because of the confounding effect with other yield-limiting factors."  |
| Ag-27          | 39   | 1 to 2 | are not the same thing  | These are air temperatures                       | No change.  |
| Ag-28          | 42   | 4 ff   | Sentence starting with Baker needs work,  | rewrote sentence                                 | Changed to: "Baker et al. (1995) summarized many of their experiments from sunlit controlled-environment chambers and concluded the optimum mean temperature for grain formation and grain yield of rice is 25°C and grain yield is reduced about 10 percent per 1°C temperature increase above 25°C until reaching zero yield at 35-36°C mean temperature, using a 7°C day/night temperature differential (Baker and Allen, 1993a; Peng et al. 2004)." |
|                |      |        | Pollen sterility *declines* as temp increases?? I can imagine that  |  | Changed to: "Pollen viability and   |
| Ag-29          | 42   |        | viability declines but I doubt sterility declines.  | Agree  | 37 production begins to decline"  |
| Ag-30          | 45   |        | Don't know if it matters or not, but there is a definite change in writing style here and first use of first person   | ОК   | Sentence deleted.   |

| Comment # | Page | Lines   | Comment  | Author Response                                  | Changes to Draft   |
|-----------|------|---------|--|--|--|
|           |      |         |  |  |  |
|           |      |         | Change to: (Craufurd et al., 2003). As air temperature in the southern   |  | Changed to: "(Craufurd et al., 2003). As air temperature                                   |
|           |      |         | USA already averages 26.7°C during the peanut growing season, any  |  | in the southern United States already averages 26.7°C                                      |
|           |      |         | temperature rise will reduce seed yields (4.1% per 1°C, or 3.3% for a  |  | during the peanut growing season, any temperature  |
|           |      |         | 0.8°C rise in range of 26-27°C) using the relationship of Prasad et al.  |  | increase will reduce seed yields (4.1 percent per 1°C, or                                  |
|           |      | 20 to   | (2003). At higher temperatures, 27.5 to 31°C, peanut yield declines  |  | 3.3 percent for a 0.8°C rise in range of 26-27°C) using                                    |
| Ag-31     | 46   | 23      | more rapidly (6.9%   | ОК   | the relationship of Prasad et al. (2003)."   |
|           |      |         | Change to: the collapse of the quota system, has been the strong move  |  |  |
| Ag-32     | 47   | 2       | of production from south   | OK   | Changed as the reviewer suggested.   |
|           |      |         | Change to: is 7° and 22°C for rate of leaf appearance, rate of truss   |  |  |
| Ag-33     | 48   | 6       | appearance, and rate of progress to  | OK   | Changed as the reviewer suggested.   |
|           |      |         | Change to: that only one of five cultivars of tomato successfully set any  |  |  |
| Ag-34     | 48   |         | fruit at chronic exposures to  | OK   | Changed as the reviewer suggested.   |
| Ag-35     | 48   | 18      | Are these day/night temperatures?  | Yes.   | No change.   |
| Ag-36     | 49   | 7       | Change to: 2.3.3 2.3.3 Crop Responses to CO2   | OK   | Changed as the reviewer suggested.   |
| 1         |      |         | Change to: enclosure-confounding effects. In some cases the results  |  |  |
| Ag-37     | 49   | 23      | corroborate previous enclosure   | OK   | Changed as the reviewer suggested.   |
| ĺ         |      |         | Change to: reported. Although the continuously increasing "ambient"  |  |  |
|           |      |         | reference concentration is a cause for lesser response, the smaller  |  |  |
| Ag-38     | 50   | 1 to 2  | increment of CO2 enrichment requires even better   | OK   | Changed as the reviewer suggested.   |
|           |      |         | Change to: over the next 30 years is anticipated to have a negligible  |  |  |
| Ag-39     | 51   | 18      | effect (1.0%, Table 3) on maize  | OK   | Changed as the reviewer suggested.   |
|           |      |         | Use of verbiage like "a 1 degree rise in temp cause a 3% biomass   |  | Changed to "Ziska and Bunce (1997) reported 2.9  |
| Ag-40     | 51   | 12 ff   | response" should be avoided. Be specific - increase or decrease?   | OK   | percent biomass increase to CO2 increase from"   |
|           |      |         |  |  | Changed to: "and the small increment of CO2 causes   |
|           | _,   |         |  | S  | concern about whether these experimental   |
| Ag-41     | 51   | 15      | "is cause for concern" should be "calls for careful interpretation"  | Partially Agree.                                 | measurements"  |
|           |      |         |  |  | Language has been changed throughout. The reviewer   |
|           |      |         |  |  | gives no specific sentence, but "4.4 percent increase to                                   |
| A = 40    |      |         |  | OK   | doubling or more of CO2." and other similar expressions                                    |
| Ag-42     | 52   | various | again, xx causes a "response". Be specific   | OK   | should meet the request.   |
|           |      |         | Change to Infact came of the ingressed yield of group like caybeen   |  | Changed to: "In fact, some of the increased yield of                                       |
|           |      |         | Change to: In fact, some of the increased yield of crops like soybean  |  | crops like soybean currently attributed to technological                                   |
| Λα 12     | E2   | 5 to 6  | currently attributed to technological innovation over the past 4 to 5 decades is in fact attributable to the rise in | ок   | innovation over the past four to five decades is in fact attributable to the rise in CO2." |
| Ag-43     | 55   | 3 10 6  | Change to: dislodging of crops. Wetter conditions at harvest time could  | OK .   | attributable to the rise in CO2.   |
| Ag-44     | 60   | 15      | increase the potential for   | The proper term is lodging.                      | No change.   |
| Ay-44     | 00   | 13      | Change to: temperature can hasten plant maturity (refer back to  | The proper term is loaging.                      | Addition of Section 2.4.2, Plant Response to   |
| Ag-45     | 62   | 4       | particular previous section), thereby  | Added section 2.3.2                              | Temperature. Phrase changed to remove reference.   |
| , .g =0   | 52   |         | particular provious scottorij, triciosy  | The reviewer appears to be referring to the      | Tomporators. Tillage orlanged to remove reference.   |
|           |      |         |  | geographic location of a particular monitoring   |  |
| Ag-46     | 65   | 9       | What about in US? This is a pretty blanket statement to make   | station. It is provided for clarity.             | No change.   |
| , .g 10   | 30   |         | This asset in 55. This is a protty statistic statement to make   | The correct symbol is the degree sign instead of | 1.000.000  |
| Ag-47     | 65   | 12      | EC not defined   | an E   | Changed to oC  |
|           |      |         | • •  |  | <u> </u>   |
|           |      |         | Again, midline projection at best – need an entire section at beginning  | This comment is unclear, this section discusses  |  |
|           |      |         | talking about how this figure is justified, and what it means to use other   | response of ET to various parameters and not     |  |
|           |      |         | figures given nonlinearity of responses to temperature, and fact that  | yield, the authors fail to see the connection on |  |
| Ag-48     | 66   | 4 to 5  | yields first increase and then decrease with increasing temperature  | this comment.                                    | No change.   |
|           |      |         | 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  |  | Changed to: "about 0.3 and 0.4 percent per percent   |
| Ag-49     | 66   | various | per % should be (I think) per 1%   | is given it is 1%                                | change"  |

| Comment # | Page | Lines       | Comment  | Author Response   | Changes to Draft   |
|-----------|------|-------------|--|---|--|
| Ag-50     | 66   | 6, 7        | this sentence if very vague  | Agree   | Changed to: "Stomatal conductance and leaf area have the same relative effect on ET, increasing ET by 18 0.09 and 0.16 percent for a clear summer day and whole year, respectively." |
| 1.9 00    |      | -, -        | , again  | 1,19.00   | Changed to "Given the variation in the 3 sizes and baseline irrigation requirements of the   |
| Ag-51     | 68   | 13ff        | The sentence starting "The sizes" is very vague and needs work.  | Agree   | basins,"   |
| A ~ F2    | 60   | 1ff         | sentence on Gedney results: there were no changes in precip that could have accounted for the streamflow change?   | The authors have rechecked Gedney, and no, precipitation went down, contrary to the streamflow trend. | Nashanga   |
| Ag-52     | 09   | 111         | Change to: al., 2007). The slope in Bernacchi's Figure 4 shows a 12%   | Streamnow trend.  | No change. Changed to "The slope in Bernacchi Figure 2.4 (p. 4?)   |
| Ag-53     | 70   | 19          | reduction over three years.  | Agree   | shows a 12 percent reduction"  |
|           |      |             |  |   | Changed to "Allen et al. (2003) reported that soybean foliage  |
| Ag-54     | 70   | <u>16ff</u> | Allen results: was increase due to enhance IR or plant physiology?  Need wider range of projections. Along with associated probabilities-I can't emphasize this enough. For example, even if there is only a 20% chance that temperature will increase by 1.5C, but the effects will be catastophic, this needs to be considered- same thing for an 0.4C increase, etc. 0.8C is far from a givem- there is a fairly wide margin of error about what will happen by 2030- moreover, the US climate most definitely will heat beyond 0.8C within 50 years. Many of the projections of increased yield shown year will therefore be incorrect. You need to show impacts of multiple scenarios, and explain that each would have different impact on crops because of nonlinearities/relationship between temperature and productivity. The key then will be to determine which crops are most sensitive to small changes in temperature- which are on the "cusp" of a positive feedback from CO2 and a negative feedback from temperature – for example, some crops may show a negative response to increasing temperatures, period. Some may show an initial | Plant physiology. New phrase added.   | 6 at doubled CO2 averaged 1.3°C warmer at mid-day."  |
| Ag-55     | 73   | 9 to 10     | positive response to increasing CO2/temperature, but then as temperature   | See response to Comment Ag-2  | No change.   |
| Ag-56     |      | 23 to<br>24 | References?  | Agree.  | Added reference: Coakley, S.M., H. Scherm, and S. Chakraborty, 1999: Climate change and plant disease management. Annu Rev Phytopath, 37, 399-426.                                   |
| Ag-57     | 81   | 13          | Not sure what you mean- seems redundant if hasn't been done for anything other than soy?   | The effects of ozone on soy are a useful example.   | No change.   |
| Ag-58     | 82   | 5           | Seems to be some inconsistency in this report- uses both projections of "2030" and "next 30 years" Or am I missing something [was this report written several years ago?]  | Agree   | An attempt has been made to make the language consistent throughout.   |
| Ag-59     | 82   |             | Again, what "30 year span"?  | The report focuses on a 25-50 year time period, this chapter, as explained previously, has made       | No change.   |
| Ag-60     | 83   | 15ff        | the discussion of NPN structure is irrelevant and should be deleted Report needs some overall edited- keeps shifting back and forth  | It is an important monitoring network, but perhaps not needed in this specific discussion.            | The reference has been deleted from this section, but added to sectin 2.7.3-Monitoring Relevant to Rangelands. The report has been and continues to be copy edited for               |
| Ag-61     | 84   | 15          | between past and future tense  | Agree   | consistent voice.  |
| Ag-62     | 84   | 1ff         | IPM material sounds too promotional  | The information is necessary to the topic. The specific language has been altered.                    | The discussion of IPM is now contained in 2.7.1.3.   |

| Comment # | Page     | Lines   | Comment  | Author Response                                   | Changes to Draft   |
|-----------|----------|---------|--|---|--|
| A = 02    | 0.5      |         | See comments above on need to incoporporate uncertainty in projections of temperature/CO2 increases. This section seems very   | A   |  |
| Ag-63     | 85       | 4       | redundant (I know it is a synthesis, but it reads almost word for word).   | Agree   | Uncertainty statements have been incorporated into text.   |
|           |          |         | **This is key- need to expand on this, not just in synthesis but in entire   | A   |  |
| 4 . 04    | 00       |         | report- don't just examine static points (0.8C) but look at entire   | An explanation of the use of 0.8oC is given       | No. 1 and  |
| Ag-64     |          |         | relationship between productivity and temp/CO2   | under comment Ag-2.                               | No change.   |
| Ag-65     | 87       | 18      | subscript not used above   | Agree   | Changed to "constrained by the -20°C minimum"  |
| A = . CC  | 00       | 40      | Change to: downward shift in their optimal temperatures for  | A   | Channel as a supported by any invest   |
| Ag-66     | 89<br>89 |         | photosynthesis. See comments in above section on use of 0.8C   | Agree   | Changed as suggested by reviewer.  |
| Ag-67     | 89       | 20      |  | See response to Comment Ag-2                      | No change.   |
| A = . CO  | 00       |         | "This review" should be replaced with" The literature is sparse on the   | A   | Channel as a supported by any invest   |
| Ag-68     | 90       | б       | prediction of"   | Agree   | Changed as suggested by reviewer.  |
|           |          | 4.0     |  |   | Changed as suggested by reviewer and responded to in   |
| Ag-69     | 90       | 16      | "change causes change" be specific, see Ag-41, Ag-43   | Agree   | the comments listed.   |
|           |          |         | Change to: cropping, even under no-till conditions (Izaurralde et al.,   |   |  |
| Ag-70     | 93       |         | 2007). However, winter hay   | No comment required.                              | Section deleted.   |
|           |          |         | Change to: Surface application of manure to pastures contributes to the  |   |  |
|           |          |         | maintenance and improvement of soil quality and pasture productivity   |   |  |
| Ag-71     | 94       |         | as well as to the recycling of   | No comment required.                              | Section deleted.   |
|           |          |         | Change to: precipitation and CO2 concentration originate from their  |   |  |
| Ag-72     | 96       | 5       | regions of origin or from regions  | Agree   | Changed as suggested by reviewer.  |
|           |          |         |  |   | An expanded discussion may be found in the revised   |
| Ag-73     | 103      | na      | Only one invasive is mentioned, Is this the only invasive in agriculture?  | deleted this section                              | report under section 2.6.2 "Projections for Weeds."  |
| Ag-74     | 105      | 8       | sentence needs work  | Agree.  | Changed to "Examples of C3 species grown in the United States exhibiting increased photosynthetic rates under elevated CO2 include Italian ryegrass, orchardgrass, rhizoma peanut, tall fescue, and timothy (Greer et al. 1995; Newman et al. 2001; Wilsey 2001)." |
|           |          |         |  | That wasn't the intent of this sentence, which is |  |
| Ag-75     | 109      | 3 to 4  | But this all depends on water, right??   | a discussion of monitoring protocols.             | No change.   |
|           |          |         | This is a good explanation- maybe move it up earlier in the report,  | This information bears repeating since many       | Information is in the introductory section of the report, but  |
| Ag-76     | 113      | 4 to 13 | before the crop section?   | may only read a specific section.                 | is lengthy and not repeated here.  |
|           |          |         |  |   | Discussion of CO2 enrichment may be found in 2.4.4   |
| Ag-77     | 114      | 14      | Change to: 2.5.4.2 Increases in temperature  | The section discusses CO2 enrichment.             | and 2.4.5.   |
|           |          |         | This is a little generic- I assume you mean increases in surface and air temperature which result in increased plant temperature I know that sounds nit-picky, but "warming" is pretty wishy washy (warming of |   | This section was edited to replace "warming" with more explicit verbiage about changes in ambient air,   |
| Ag-78     | 114      |         | what?)   | ок  | soil and plant temperatures.   |
| 9 10      |          |         | ,  |   | 1 1  |
|           |          |         | Change to: for a given species typically peak at plant temperatures that   |   | Suggested change made to specify plant   |
| Ag-79     | 114      | 22      | are intermediate in the range  | OK  | temperatures.  |
|           |          |         | Change to: high- and mid-latitude and high-altitude rangelands.  |   |  |
| Ag-80     | 115      | 2       | Conversely, increasing plant   | Agree   | Changed as suggested by the reviewer.  |
|           |          |         |  |   | The chapter has been wholly restructured. The information has been rewritten, and is now contained in  |
| Ag-81     | 116      | 9ff     | First paragraph of this section is vague and maybe irrelevant  | Agree.  | sections 2.4.7 and 2.4.8.  |

| Comment # | Page | Lines | Comment  | Author Response  | Changes to Draft   |
|-----------|------|-------|--|--|--|
|           |      |       |  | The reference to oak savannah is used  |  |
|           |      |       |  | because they are a type of rangeland   |  |
|           |      |       | I don't get what oak savannas have to do with pasturelands Are you   | vegetation. This section concerns  |  |
|           |      |       | just using this as an example because there are no data for pasture  | rangelands, not pasturelands as the reviewer   |  |
| Ag-82     | 117  | 5     | species? If so, need to make this clear  | suggests.  | No change.   |
|           |      |       |  |  | Passage has been completed and will be reworked in   |
| Λα 92     | 117  | 21    | sentence incomplete  | OK   | copy editing phase to be performed in parallel with public comment period.   |
| Ag-83     | 117  | 21    | sentence incomplete  | OK   | comment period.  |
|           |      |       |  | This section company offsets of townsometrus   |  |
|           |      |       |  | This section concerns effects of temperature on species composition, not productivity as |  |
|           |      |       |  | 1 1 1 1  |  |
|           |      |       |  | the reviewer suggests. The topic is also not so relevant to contrast with cropping       |  |
|           |      |       | This is in a continuously and a continuously as a first of a continuously as a first of a continuously as a continuously | 11 0   |  |
|           |      |       | This is interesting, although rest of report is pretty locked into 0.8C rise if I am understanding correctly, these species will show an   | systems since such species changes will  |  |
|           |      |       | increase in productivity over a fairly wide range of air temperature   | occur more naturally in rangeland  |  |
|           |      |       | increases, even up to 4C? That is worth emphasizing, especially given  | ecosystems, compared to cropping systems   |  |
|           |      |       | the contrast to crops- in fact, I would think the contrast would be one of   | where adaptations will concern thinks like   |  |
|           | 4.40 |       | the main features of this report, as would the disparity between different   | deciding which particular plant species are  |  |
| Ag-84     | 118  |       | regions of the US***   | chosen to plant or changes in plant genetics.  | No change.   |
| Ag-85     | 122  | 2     | this section is in need of a good editing for precision of writing   | Agree.   | The chapter has been wholly restructured.  |
| Ag-86     | 128  | 10    | sentence needs shortening  | OK   | Changed to "While most information on these events comes either from short-term (last five years at most) manipulative experiments, modeling exercises, or long-term observations of rangeland vegetation changes (taken during the past 100+ years), the certainty of recent climate and CO2 predictions by the 2007 IPCC, along with an increasingly complete understanding of ecosystem responses to climate change provide a stable background upon which to forecast anticipated changes in U.S. rangelands for the next 30 to 50 years." |
| 7.g 00    | .20  |       | somenee meete anatoming  |  | The section (2.9.5) has been rewritten. The sentence is  |
|           |      |       |  |  | retained but should be more precise in its meaning in this   |
| Ag-87     | 131  | 8     | eliminate last sentence of this paragraph  | OK   | context.   |
|           |      |       |  | Implicit in this statement is the need for   | l., .  |
| Ag-88     | 132  |       | What about prediction/forecasting?   | prediction/forecasting.  | No change.   |
| Ag-89     | 133  | 1#    | Monitoring section very poorly written.  | OK   | Revised (2.7.3).   |
|           |      |       |  | The 2040 year is given in this case because  |  |
|           |      |       |  | that is how the authors of the study   |  |
|           |      |       |  | performed their analysis. It is still well   |  |
|           |      |       |  | within the timeframe of interest for this  | l  |
| Ag-90     | 135  | 1     | Inconsistent with other projections (2030)- why?   | report.  | No change.   |
|           |      |       |  | These comparisons are made relative to the   |  |
| Ag-91     | 137  | 11    | How does this compare to background (non severe) years?  | conditions today, no change made   | No change.   |

| Comment # | Page | Lines  | Comment  | Author Response  | Changes to Draft   |
|-----------|------|--------|--|--|--|
|           |      |        |  | There is too limited a body of information   |  |
|           |      |        | This section is too narrowly focused on one region of the US and one   | for inclusion in this analysis on other  |  |
| Ag-92     | 137  | 16     | species  | species.   | No change.   |
| 3 -       |      |        | This is really good- exactly what other sections shoud do if at all  | species.   | 3  |
| Ag-93     | 147  | 7 to 9 | possible, to run a sensitivity analysis  | Thanks.  | No change.   |
| Ag-94     | 149  | 17ff   | magnitude of warming causing this effect not given, sam for swine  | OK   | Added the assumptions on warming   |
|           |      |        | Change to: reflect these interactions remain ill-defined, but research to  |  |  |
| Ag-95     | 152  | 23     | improve them is underway.  | OK   | Changed as suggested by the reviewer.  |
|           |      |        | It also depends on our ability to effectively forecast when planting   | Agreed, forecasting becomes important in   |  |
| Ag-96     |      | 1 to 2 | should occur.  | the process.   | This section has been deleted.   |
| Ag-97     | 156  |        | Expand   | OK   | Section has been rewritten.  |
| Ag-98     | 163  | fig 7  | y-axis units not right   | Agree  | The figure has been eliminated from the revised draft.   |
|           |      |        |  | We agreed that this would be an excellent  |  |
|           |      |        |  | summary to be able to provide values for   |  |
|           |      |        | The state of the s | each region and crop; However, the   |  |
|           |      |        | These temperatures are key- they reflect the scenarios and regions where climate change will have a positive or negative impact on crops   | available databases and literature do not  |  |
|           |      |        | in different regions of the country- they, along with optimal temperature,   | include sufficiently specific information on   |  |
|           |      |        | could be used to estimate the "tipping point" for different regions of the   | the temperature and precipitation changes  |  |
| Ag-99     | 171  | 4 to 5 | country  | required to derive such scenarios.   | No change.   |
|           |      |        |  | These subjects are discussed to some degree in   |  |
| Ag-100    | 180  | 1      | This is really good- include more of this in text of report  | section 2.4.7 and 2.4.8  | Section 2.6.4.   |
|           |      | 441    | Change to: and air quality; and biodiversity conservation. While not all   |  |  |
| Ag-101    | 183  |        | of these services have easily-quantified market values, all services have considerable economic value (Costanza  | ОК   | This section has been deleted.   |
| Ag-101    | 103  | 13     | See comments above in reference to "the anticipated increase" – there  |  | This section has been deleted.   |
|           |      |        | is a large margin in error around this figure that needs to be considered  | We have focused the discussion on the term the   |  |
|           |      | 22 to  | and discussed. Also, the report bounced between "the next 30 years"  | next 25-50 years, so both references are   |  |
| Ag-102    | 381  | 23     | and "2030"   | correct.   | No change.   |
|           |      |        |  |  | Changed to "Crop water use (requirement) will increase   |
|           |      |        | Change to: Crop water use (requirement) will be increased at least 1.2% from anticipated increases in temperature, and reduced 1.4 to  |  | 1.2 percent from a 0.8°C temperature rise, and reduced 1.4 to 2.1 percent by the rise in CO2 from 380 to 440 |
| Ag-103    | 383  | 1 to 2 | 2.1% by the rise in CO2, giving a net  | ок   | ppm, giving a net"   |
| rig ree   |      |        |  | This general information is more appropriate in  | FF-11, grang a reason  |
|           |      |        |  | an introduction than in this chapter specifically.   |  |
|           |      |        | Be careful- one projection is increases in extreme events- including   | See section 2.5 for information on extreme   |  |
| Ag-104    | 383  | 10     | colder winters. Include level of confidence.   | events in this context.  | No change.   |
| Ag-105    | 383  | 15     | Change to: Farmer adaptation to these climatic changes should include shifting sowing dates  | ОК   | This section has been deleted.   |
| 7.g-100   | 505  | 13     | Jointing Sowing dates  | This value is from the IPPC 2001 estimates   | This section has been deleted.   |
| Ag-106    | 387  | 1      | 440 ppm is probably optimistic   | which we used as the guide for the changes   | No change.   |
|           |      |        | Consider eliminating the section on ozone influence on crops. Acid rain,   |  |  |
|           |      |        | for instance, is not included. The section as written has much   | The section of the se |  |
| Ag. 107   | n/a  | n/a    | misinformation. Ozone production is dependent on sunlight and not  | There is evidence that ozone changes are related to climate change.  | No change  |
| Ag-107    | n/a  | n/a    | directly on climate change.  | related to diffiate change.  | No change.   |
|           |      |        | Management adjustment/adaptation is not considered. Focus of this  |  | The possibility for modification of these effects through  |
|           |      |        | document is not adaptation, but there should be some statement that  |  | adaptive practices are in specific sections, e.g., "Chronic  |
|           |      |        | impacts mentioned here should be considered as maximum impacts   |  | challenges may require more fundamental responses,   |
| Ag-108    | n/a  | n/a    | that may be reduced by adaptation.   | OK   | such as genetic adaptation and/or alteration."   |

| Comment #                               | Page | Lines  | Comment   | Author Response  | Changes to Draft   |
|---|------|--------|---|--|--|
| Ag-109                                  | n/a  | n/a    | Table 13 needs to be revised.   | OK   | This is now Table 2.7.   |
| - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1    |        | Now the document has 150 pp in the agriculture section. The document  |  |  |
|   |      |        | needs to be reduced in size and much more concise and precise   |  |  |
|   |      |        | without rambling sections that reduce credibility. Eliminate redundancy   |  |  |
|   |      |        | and sweeping generalizations that recount the obvious. For example, p.  |  |  |
|   |      |        | 23 and p. 134 are identical; similarly for other points. Major findings on  |  |  |
|   |      |        | pp. 17-24 are all repeated later as major findings in subsectionsthese  |  | The report has been rewritten with the reviewer's                  |
| Ag-110                                  | n/a  | n/a    | need to be eliminated.  | ОК   | concerns in mind.  |
|   |      |        | Connectivity among agriculture, eliminate overlap. Natural synergies  |  |  |
|   |      |        | are missedrangeland and livestock are separated. Pastureland can  |  |  |
|   |      |        | be considered as part of crop land (albeit having perennial plants) since   |  |  |
|   |      |        | it is highly managedthe crop is harvested by animals rather than  | It was decided to keep these separate in the                         |  |
|   |      |        | machines. Rangeland is a more multi-species ecosystem. Pastureland  | discussion but are merged in the synthesis                           | Where possible, the discussion has been consolidated               |
| Ag-111                                  | n/a  | n/a    | can be merged with either rangeland or crops.   | section  | (e.g., Table 2.1)  |
|   | 1    | †      | Transport of animals in agriculture; indoor production and outdoor  |  | 1. 3 /   |
|   |      |        | production. During times indoor animals are outdoors they are highly  |  |  |
| Ag-112                                  | n/a  | n/a    | vulnerable.   | ок   | Text added.  |
|   | 1    |        |   | There is little information on other species and                     |  |
|   |      |        |   | since most are grown under controled                                 |  |
|   |      |        |   | environments the effect of climate change is on                      |  |
|   |      |        | Animal section focuses too much on beef production and not adequate   | the building management rather than directly on                      |  |
| Ag-113                                  | n/a  | n/a    | attention to other species.   | the animal.  | No change.   |
|   |      |        |   |  | Added: "Animal production systems cover beef, dairy,               |
|   |      |        |   |  | swine, and poultry as the primary classes of animals.              |
|   |      |        |   |  | While climate changes affects all of these animals, the            |
|   | ١.   | ١.     | Regional distribution is not complete, too much focus on high plains and  |  | literature available predominantly addresses beef, dairy,          |
| Ag-114                                  | n/a  | n/a    | misses dairy and poultry.   | under confined environments  | and swine."  |
| Ag-115                                  | n/a  | n/a    | Human food production is not adequately addressed.  | Beyond the scope of this report                                      | No change.   |
|   |      |        | Irrigated agriculture and dependence on water supply on natural   | This publicat is accurred in the water recourses                     |  |
| A = 116                                 | 2/0  | n/o    | rain/snow and snow storage. Also allocation of available water to   | This subject is covered in the water resources                       | No shange  |
| Ag-116                                  | n/a  | n/a    | agriculture.  Many of the above [general] comments apply to pastures and  | portion of this report   | No change.   |
|   |      |        | rangelands, especially at the redundancy of material related to general   | The reorganization of the chapter eliminates the                     | The chapter has been substantially rewritten to reduce             |
| Λα 117                                  | n/o  | n/a    | effects of climate change on these systems.   | The reorganization of the chapter eliminates the redundant sections. | The chapter has been substantially rewritten to reduce redundancy. |
| Ag-117                                  | n/a  | 11/a   | A specific remark regarding sequestration potential, the estimate of 12-  | redundant Sections.  | redundantey.   |
|   |      |        | 22 Tg per ha per year seems outrageously high. Numbers I can recall   |  |  |
|   |      |        | are around < 1 MT per ha per year, so there is like 7 orders of   |  |  |
| Ag-118                                  | n/a  | n/a    | magnitude difference.   | Agree.   | This section has been deleted.                                     |
|   |      | 1.,, ~ | Include wildlife regarding benefits of pasture's ecosystem services to  | jg   |  |
| Ag-119                                  | n/a  | n/a    | wildlife and domestic animals   | -  | This section has been deleted.                                     |
| Ag-120                                  | n/a  | n/a    | change "downward shit" downward shift   | ОК   | Changed as suggested by the reviewer.                              |
|   |      |        |   | For the objectives of this report, which                             |  |
|   |      |        | Discussion of CO2 effects on ecosystem processes should seek  | include brief descriptions of ecosystem                              |  |
|   |      |        | consistency and more clarity on the effects on physiological processes  | mechanisms, and are more concerned with                              |  |
|   |      |        | related to photosynthesis and respiration, and how this translates to   | ecosystem responses than the C cycle                                 |  |
|   |      |        | changes in NEE (ie there should be a more synthetic approach to   | (which is the focus of another report), we                           |  |
|   |      |        | discussing CO2 enrichment effects so that full carbon cycle considerations are made clear and that consistent terminology and | feel level of detail on C in this report is                          |  |
| Δα-121                                  | n/a  | n/a    | considerations are used in discussing response to elevated CO2)   | sufficient.  | No change.   |
| Ag-121                                  | II/d | II/a   | considerations are used in discussing response to elevated CO2)   | Sufficient.  | INO Glange.  |

| Comment # | Page     | Lines | Comment   | Author Response                                      | Changes to Draft  |
|-----------|----------|-------|---|--|---|
|           |          |       | Discussion on Invasives underwhelming. There needs to be more of a        |  |   |
| Ag-122    | n/a      | n/a   | balanced approach in discussing critical issues.                          | ОК   | This section has been deleted.                              |
|           |          |       | Clarify difference in ranching systems relative to pastoralism and why    |  | The distinctive features of each system are now             |
| Ag-123    | n/a      | n/a   | this makes a difference to issues related to climate change               | ОК   | discussed in sections 2.4.7 and 2.4.8.                      |
| 3         |          |       | Discussion of ecosystem responses to CO2 and Climate Drivers seem         |  |   |
|           |          |       | to be biased to mesic grasslands and pastures. The response along the     |  |   |
|           |          |       | temperature and precipitation gradient determines much of the             |  |   |
|           |          |       | response to CO2 and climate drivers. So temperature increases do not      | The very first statement in the rangeland section    |   |
|           |          |       | always dictate growing season response, in areas like Colorado up to      | is that rangeland dynamics are drive more by         |   |
|           |          |       | Montana, the grasslands respond as much to moisture regime as they        | water dynamics than other parameters, we think       |   |
| Ag-124    | n/a      | n/a   | do to temperature changes.  | this is sufficient to address this concern           | No change.  |
| Ag-124    | II/a     | 11/a  | do to temperature changes.  | We agree that this dynamic is critical to            | ino change.   |
|           |          |       | Discussion of altered precipitation should include some consideration of  | agriculture and without definitive indications of    |   |
|           |          |       | rain for snow switch and how this may affect grassland/rangeland          | this change it is not possible with any certainty to |   |
| Ag-125    | n/a      | n/a   | community dynamics and invasive species dynamics.                         | address this question                                | No change.  |
| Ag-125    | II/a     | 11/a  | Overall, the sections do are consistent and suffer from redundancy and    | address this question                                | The chapter has been substantially rewritten to increase    |
|           |          |       | sometimes inconsistency in the points made. Many sections do not real     | The reorganization of the chapter adds clarity to    | cohesion and improve the presentation of summary            |
| Ag-126    | n/a      | n/a   | conclude to make a clear point of the section.                            | the discussion                                       | points.   |
| Ag-127    | n/a      | n/a   | Need uniformity on abbreviations throughout.                              | Agree  | Change made as suggested by reviewer.                       |
| Ag-128    | n/a      | n/a   | Need uniformity on US, U.S., USA, or United States; also on US\$          | Agree  | Change made as suggested by reviewer.                       |
| 71g 120   | 11/α     | 11/4  | There is no discussion on impacts and opportunites for soil. What are     | rigice   | Change made as suggested by reviewer.                       |
|           |          |       | the impacts of temperature and moisture on soil, specifically SOM? Soil   | The literature on cropland SOM is largely related    |   |
|           |          |       | C sequestration in cropland? This was mentioned for rangeland. Soil       | to mitigation rather than impacts, which exceeds     |   |
| Ag-129    | 17       | 24    | management. Change in nutrient cycling?                                   | the scope of this report.                            | This section has been deleted.                              |
| Ag-130    | 17       |       | Change to: "of \$200 trillion in 2002 "                                   | It's actually billion rather than trillion.          | Changed to "\$200 billion"                                  |
| 7.g .cc   | <u> </u> |       |   | Given the previous sentence ("wide range of          | onangea to \$200 billion                                    |
|           |          |       | What does "this" diversity refer to? Change to: "because of the diversity | plant and animal production systems."), the          |   |
| Ag-131    | 17       | 30    | of commodities and"   | authors believe the phrase is clear.                 | No change.  |
|           |          |       |   |  | Changed to: "Agriculture within the United States is        |
|           |          |       |   |  | varied and produces a large value (\$200 billion in 2002)   |
|           |          |       |   |  | of production across a wide range of plant and animal       |
|           |          |       |   |  | production systems. Because of this diversity, changes      |
|           |          | 29 to |   |  | in climate will likely impact agriculture in many U.S.      |
| Ag-132    | 17       | 31    | This sentence is not clearly written                                      | ОК   | regions."   |
| Ag-133    | 17       |       | It is not just rainfall but snow. Change "rainfall" to "precipitation."   | Agree.   | This sentence has been deleted.                             |
|           |          |       |   |  |   |
|           |          |       |   |  | Changed to: "As temperature rises and weather               |
|           |          |       |   |  | variability and drought periods increase, crops will be     |
|           |          |       |   |  | more frequently exposed to daily maximum temperatures       |
|           |          |       |   |  | above 33°C, a point at which pollination and grain-set      |
|           |          |       |   |  | processes in most crops began to fail, and quality of       |
|           |          |       |   |  | horticultural crops can be negatively affected. Grain yield |
|           |          |       |   |  | is reduced as a result of decreased grain-set, and          |
|           |          |       |   |  | shortened duration of grain fill. Regional climate          |
|           |          |       |   |  | variability will augment variation in crop production       |
| Ag-134    | 18       | 20    | If other things are equal. Pests, diseses, nutrients, water.              | ОК   | between regions during the growing season."                 |
|           |          |       |   | Current terminology is preferable for the            |   |
| Ag-135    | 20       | 3     | Replace "rape" with "canola."   | intended audience.                                   | No change.  |
|           |          |       | Check the units. 12 terra grams per hectare per year?? Should this be     |  |   |
| Ag-136    | 20       | 15    | 12 Tg/y?  | Agree  | This section has been deleted.                              |

| Comment #        | Page     | Lines  | Comment   | Author Response                           | Changes to Draft   |
|------------------|----------|--------|---|---|--|
|                  |          |        | Is this solely attributed to CO2 or are there other factors (precipitations,  |   |  |
| Ag-137           | 22       | 23     | N deposition, management)   | OK  | This discussion has been significantly altered.  |
| Ag-138           | 29       | 4      | Change to: (\$200 trillion in   | It's billion.                             | Changed to "\$200 billion"   |
|                  |          |        |   |   | Changed to: "Distribution of beef cows across the United   |
|                  | 0.0      |        |   | av.                                       | States is indicative of a livestock commodity produced   |
| Ag-139           | 30       | 6      | Delete "as shown in the following figure"   | OK  | across a range of climates (Figure 2.4)."  Changed to "Market value of agricultural production   |
|                  |          |        |   |   | within the United States represents a combination of all   |
| Ag-140           | 30       | 13     | Delete "as shown in the following map."   | OK  | crops and their distribution (Figure 2.1)."  |
| Ag-141           | 30       |        | Change to "in excess of \$200 trillion and distributed "  | It's billion.                             | Changed to "\$200 billion"   |
| Ag-142           | 34       |        | Replace "rape" with "canola."   | OK  | Changed as suggested by the reviewer.  |
|                  |          |        |   |   | 0 00 .   |
| Ag-143           | 39       |        | Remove comma  | OK  | Changed as suggested by the reviewer.  |
| Ag-144           | 47       | 5      | Remove comma  | OK  | Copy editing.  |
|                  |          |        | Change to "The latent energy accordated with even strong insting from   |   | Changed to: "The latent energy associated with ET from   |
|                  |          |        | Change to: "The latent energy associated with evapotranspiration from soybean is 10 to 60 W/m2 less in the FACE plots compared to the |   | soybean is 10 to 60 W/m2 less in the FACE plots compared to the control plots at ambient CO2 when the  |
|                  |          |        | control plots at ambient CO2 when the crop had ample water (Figure 9  |   | crop had ample water (Figure 2.9 adapted from  |
| Ag-145           | 67       | 5 to 7 | adapted from Bernacchi et al., 2007).   | OK  | Bernacchi et al. 2007)."   |
| Ag-146           | 67       |        | Replace "workers" with "researchers"  | OK  | Changed as suggested by the reviewer.  |
| Ag-147           | 68       |        | Replace "one" with "estimate"   | OK  | Changed as suggested by the reviewer.  |
| Ag-148           | 70       |        | Remove comma  | OK  | Copy editing.  |
| Ag-149           | 70       |        | Is this unit supposed to be ppmv?   | OK, should be ppm                         | Copy editing.  |
| Ag-149           | 70       | 23     | is this drift supposed to be pprinv:  | OK, should be ppin                        | Copy editing.  |
|                  |          |        |   |   | Changed to "The slope in Bernacchi Figure 2.4 (p. 4?)  |
|                  |          |        | Change to: The slope in Bernacchi's Figure 4 shows a 12% reduction  |   | shows a 12 percent reduction over three years. Allen et  |
| Ag-150           | 71       | 1 to 2 | over three years. Allen et al. (2003) observed a 9% reduction in ET   | Agree                                     | al. (2003) observed 9 percent reduction in ET"   |
|                  |          |        | ·   |   | Changed to "However, the projected 0.8°C would   |
|                  |          |        | Change to: However, the projected 0.8°C would increase the ET by  |   | increase ET by 1.2 percent, thereby partially negating   |
| Ag-151           | 75       | 8 to 9 | 1.2%,   | OK  | this water-savings effect of CO2."   |
| A = 450          | 77       | 40     | Change to: However, an increase in short- to medium-term drought will   | OV  | Ohan and an arranged distribution and instance   |
| Ag-152<br>Ag-153 | 77<br>80 |        | tend to Change to: Elagoz and Manning, 2005   | OK<br>OK                                  | Changed as suggested by the reviewer.  Changed as suggested by reviewer.   |
| Ag-133           | 80       | 13     | This section could benefit by a summary figure with crops by regions  | There is not ample certainty from which a | Changed as suggested by reviewer.  |
| Ag-154           | 84       | 19     | and % change on y-axis  | regional summary figure could be drawn    | No change.   |
| Ag-155           | 89       |        | Check units   | OK  | This statement has been deleted.   |
| Ag-156           | 89       | 2      | Change to: negative response in terms of plant  | -   | Changed to: "are unlikely to respond strongly"   |
| i ig i i i       |          | _      | F   |   | and the second s |
|                  |          |        |   |   | Changed to "Another aspect that emerges from this  |
|                  |          |        |   |   | review is the need for comprehensive studies of the  |
|                  |          |        |   |   | impacts of climate change on the pasture ecosystem   |
|                  |          |        |   |   | including grazing regimes, mutualistic relationships (e.g.,  |
| A . 457          |          | _      | Pature land will require more N if CO2 growth increase. More inputs   | OV.                                       | plant roots-nematodes; N-fixing organisms), as well as   |
| Ag-157           | 91       |        | unless mixed with legumes   | OK  | C, nutrient and water balances."   |
| Ag-158           | 93       | _      | Check units   | Agree.                                    | This section has been deleted.   |
| Ag-159           | 96       |        | Replace "origen" with "origin"  | OK  | Changed as the reviewer suggested.   |
| Ag-160           | 96       |        | Be consistent with units throughout the document  | Agree                                     | Units have been made consistent.   |
| Ag-161           | 100      |        | Will the general US reader know this term?  | OK  | Added a note that this is the plural of turf   |
| Ag-162           | 103      | 4      | Change to "Effect on Invasive Species"  | -   | Section is deleted   |

| Comment # | Page | Lines       | Comment   | Author Response  | Changes to Draft  |
|-----------|------|-------------|---|--|---|
|           |      |             |   |  | Changed to "Recently, scientists have been examining the potential for improved profitability and improved sustainability with a conversion to integrated crop- |
| Ag-163    | 108  | 9           | One potentialwhat? involves grain crops?  | OK   | livestock farming systems (Russelle et al. 2007)."  |
|           |      | 20 to       | Change to: "Warmer temperatures likely will lengthen the growing season and affect development rates of individual species, but the   |  | Changed to "Warmer temperatures likely will lengthen growing seasons and affect development rates of individual species, but effects of warming will vary       |
| Ag-164    | 109  |             | effects of warming will vary"   | OK   | among species."   |
| 7.g 101   | 100  |             | onode of marning mir vary   | Work by Owensby et al. is mentioned later. We  |   |
|           |      |             |   | reference Drake here because it was a review on the                                  |   |
| Ag-165    | 113  |             | Work by Owensby, Ham in KS tallgrass related to photosynthetic rate   | subject.   | No change.  |
| Ag-166    | 114  |             | Replace "tall grass" with "tallgrass"   | OK   | Copy editing.   |
| Ag-167    | 117  | 21          | Change to "Weltzin and McPherson 2003"  | OK   | Copy editing.   |
|           |      |             |   | The preponderance of evidences suggests C3 species respond more strongly to CO2 than |   |
|           |      |             |   | C4 species. There is certainly evidence of   |   |
|           |      |             |   | stronger C4 responses in the literature,   |   |
|           |      |             |   | certainly the work of Owensby's group.   |   |
|           |      |             |   | However, in such instances, usually some   |   |
|           |      |             |   | other issue (such as light interception in   |   |
|           |      |             |   | Kansas tallgrass prairie, which was greater  |   |
|           |      |             |   | for the tall C4 grasses compared to the short-                                       |   |
|           |      |             | How does this fit with Owensby that C4 responded more to CO2 than   | stature C3 grasses at that site) is interacting                                      |   |
| Ag-168    | 120  | 1 to 4      | C3 when water stress. Thus favored the cominant C4 grasses  | and affecting the CO2 response.  | Owensby 1999 citation has been added.   |
|           |      |             | Should included a discussion of interactions and feedbacks with grazing   | See Table 2.14 and Sectin 2.9.5. These   | •   |
| Ag-169    | 121  | 23          | and fire two major drivers of many grasslands   | issues are discussed.  | No change.  |
| Ag-170    | 122  |             | Figure 12, not figure 14  | OK   | Figure 2.11 in the public comment draft.  |
| Ag-171    | 123  |             | Change to "Epstein, et al., 2002"   | OK   | Changed as suggested by reviewer.   |
| Ag-172    | 124  |             | Dell and Rice   | Need more info.  | No change.  |
|           |      | 16 to       |   | * * *  |   |
| Ag-173    | 129  | 19          | This does not agree with observations of Owensby.   | See response to Ag-169   | See response to Ag-169.   |
| Ag-174    | 131  | 23          | Change to "altered by climate change"   | OK   | Changed to "climate change and CO2 enrichment"  |
| Ag-175    | 132  | 1           | Capacity is not changed by economics only the extent that the capacity is realized.   | OK   | Clause on economics deleted.  |
| Ag-176    | 133  | 13          | Change to: Booth and Cox 2006;  | OK   | Changed as suggested by reviewer.   |
| Ag-177    | 140  | 16 to<br>17 | Italicize Bos taurus. Also 140 line 21, 143 line 10, etc.   | ОК   | Changed as suggested by reviewer.   |
|           |      |             | Is accessibility to water a concern. I have not seen this in any  |  |   |
| Ag-178    | 146  | 16          | discussions but if water is limited for crops this could also be a limitation for livestock?  | ОК   | у   |
| Ag-179    | 158  | 11          | However there is some debate about the effect of warmer temperatures on soil oranic matter decomposition rates. While uncertain is should at least be mentioned. Was soil C sequestration mentioned?? | -  | This section has been deleted.  |
| Ag-180    | 158  | 16          | As mentioned by other reviewers. Are there tradeoffs extract more C at the expense of soil C and sustainability.  | -  | This section has been deleted.  |

| Comment # | Page | Lines | Comment   | Author Response   | Changes to Draft   |
|-----------|------|-------|---|---|--|
|           |      |       | One of the challenges in framing the report that the lead authors face is to make it very clear how this report fits into the general scheme of things and the boundaries that were placed on the authors in framing and developing their sections. As I understand the framing, and the authors were not to discuss adaptation to climate change at least with respect to managed systems. Thus, they report explores the consequences of observed changes in climate on unmanaged/somewhat managed systems, birds, coral, but the focus for the impact on more intensively managed systems such as maize production is impact assuming no adaptation. Since there has been considerable discussion in the climate change literature on adaptation and criticism for estimates of adjustment costs that fail to adequately account for adaptation, the managed systems estimates presumably  | The scope of this chapter is according to the charge this writing team was given. The discussion of adaptation is the prerogative of SAP 4.4., although we do not believe that they are looking into agricultural adaptation specifically. It is an important discussion,   |  |
| Ag-181    | n/a  | n/a   | should be described as upper bound estimates.   | but it is outside the scope of this report.   | No change.   |
| Ag-182    | n/a  | n/a   | I was a bit confused in that there is a discussion of adaptation to climate changes in the summary although it does not draw on adaptation literature per se.   | OK  | The discussion has been reduced essentially to this statement, "This resilience will become increasingly important as a component of farm adaptation to climate change." and the contents of Table 2.15. |
| Ag-183    | n/a  | n/a   | As I understand the discussions by the lead authors, the recommendations that were discussed in the subcommittees to provide scenarios for the century of pertinent measures from selected GCM's (or, RCMS) as a lead to the discussion to provide a general context but to focus on why the 30 year rise was chosen for purposes of this report is indeed the plan.  | The time frame for this report is more directly given in the introduction   | No change.   |
| Ag-184    | n/a  | n/a   | In the context above, it might be useful for the general reader to have a "pull out" box that focuses on the fact that considerable research takes place to adapt cultivars to microclimates and, particularly on the horticultural side, scientists maintain vast collections of cultivars from around the world looking for cultivars with desirable characteristics for particular climates, regions and markets. These kinds of investigations and databases have been one of the sources of information to assess adaptation capability. There are a few references in the report that relate to some of this literature but I didn't run down where they were cited.  | The use of pull-out boxes will be determined as the document progresses through the review process, however adaptation is not within the scope of this report.  |  |
| Ag-185    | n/a  | n/a   | As noted at several points in the general discussion, agriculture including pasture and rangeland is both homogeneous over significant areas but also very heterogeneous in climate and soils. This is even truer of the less managed environment. Those familiar with agriculture readily appreciate the implications of this for the existing structure of agriculture and one gets a sense from the figures that describe the location of different agricultural enterprises. However, the discussion of climate impacts tends to come across as if agriculture existed on a homogeneous "plain". While I grant this is an overdrawn caricature, it does seem to me that it was a general underlying concern/frustration that the group was trying to put their finger on and related to the potential to differential impact of climate change on different regions. Again, perhaps the maps of location might be helpful in tying this part of the story together. | Agriculture exists in a heterogenous environment. Climate change will not occur equally and throughout this chapter we focussed on the responses that are driven by temperature which can be related to a region with some certainty. Agricultural production is a result of complex interactions and those are not well-quantified nor are the regional changes in climate sufficently certain to be able to address for each commodity the certain impact. The information is not available to do the analysis suggested by the reviewer. | No change.   |

| Comment # | Page | Lines | Comment  | Author Response   | Changes to Draft                                       |
|-----------|------|-------|--|---|--|
|           |      |       |  |   |  |
|           |      |       | Having had some experience with the challenges of regional specificity   | The introduction to this report has added               |  |
| Ag-186    | n/a  | n/a   | in going from GCM's to more regional impacts, this may be part of the challenge. If so, it would seem to be sensible to be clearer on the point. | clarity to this discussion                              | See report introduction                                |
| Ay-100    | II/a | II/a  | Also, in this context, the agriculture authors to speak to the issue of  | ciarity to this discussion                              | See report introduction                                |
|           |      |       | variability in both rainfall and temperature and their interaction. It would   |   |  |
|           |      |       | be helpful to push a bit further, if possible, in the context of other   |   |  |
|           |      |       | discussions and narratives to speak as definitively as possible to the   |   |  |
|           |      |       | impact of increased variability if the increase in variability is going to   |   |  |
|           |      |       | push plants into areas of lower performance. To put this story another   |   |  |
|           |      |       | way, plugging in average temperature and precipitation overstates plant  |   |  |
|           |      |       | performance. A related question is most of the focus is on weighted  |   |  |
|           |      |       | average performance and relatively little discussion in the agricultural   |   |  |
|           |      |       | section is on whether we should expect a change in the variance of   |   |  |
|           |      |       | performance or some other measure of dispersion including extreme  |   | This is now clairified in the synthesis portion of the |
| Ag-187    | n/a  | n/a   | events.  | OK  | chapter  |
|           |      |       | I struggled with the recommendation that follows, namely is it   | The use of pull-out boxes will be determined            |  |
|           |      |       | superfluous? Consider a "pull out" box on approaches to estimation of plant response that appears in the manuscript. Many different              | as the document progresses through the                  |  |
| Ag-188    | n/a  | n/a   | approaches were involved in reported paramerization.   | 1   | No change.   |
| 3         |      |       |  | FERRENCE PROCESS  | 3.   |
|           |      |       | One of the challenges that were widely discussed is what is left out. We   |   |  |
|           |      |       | discussed this issue agriculture subcommittee. There are several   |   |  |
|           |      |       | pieces of research in the report that draw on a statistical method called  |   |  |
|           |      |       | meta-analysis. One of the challenges this method specifically tries to   |   |  |
|           |      |       | deal with is publication bias. In some disciplines, if there's no response   |   |  |
|           |      |       | it may have been be difficult to get a paper published or if the   |   |  |
|           |      |       | measurements are judged to be sufficiently routine that may appear in  |   |  |
|           |      |       | research reports which takes us to the "gray" areas of review. I'm not   | While we agree that there may be literature bias,       |  |
| A = 100   | 2/0  | 2/2   | quite sure what to do here one but to at least acknowledge the   | we can only review what is available to us as a         | No change  |
| Ag-189    | n/a  | n/a   | challenge if it is acknowledged to be a problem and the direction of bias. In the current context, it may be quite clear to those developing the | scientific community.                                   | No change.   |
|           |      |       | agricultural section but it is not clear to me as to the impact of the   |   |  |
|           |      |       | current round of maize genetics for this class of issues. My guess is we   |   |  |
|           |      |       | don't know according to the current ground rules of the review but the   |   |  |
|           |      |       | authors should not acknowledge that major changes have taken place   |   |  |
|           |      |       | in recent years. It might also be helpful to have a graph depicts a  |   |  |
|           |      |       | change in maze yield over the course of the century for Iowa or Illinois.  |   |  |
|           |      |       | This puts some of the discussion in a more general context and also  |   |  |
|           |      |       | raises the question as to the potential impact of climate change on the  |   |  |
|           |      |       | rate of increase in mean maize yields. If I understand the claims being  |   |  |
|           |      |       | made, the argument is that in the near term the rate of increase will be   | <u>L</u>  |  |
|           |      |       | greater than it has been historically and that the cultivars are more  | The graph of corn yields has been removed;              |  |
|           |      |       | robust to variations in moisture. This would seem to be one of those   | however, the discussion of the role of genetics         |  |
|           |      |       | places that if you do not have knowledge we should acknowledge what  | on yiled responses to climate is left in the more       |  |
| Ag-190    | n/a  | n/a   | has changed the landscape in the upfront that we do not have specific knowledge at this point in time  | basic processes of temperature, CO2 and water responses | No change.   |
| 11g-130   | 11/a | 11/a  | Innomedge at this point in time  | гозропосо   | ito change.  |

| Comment #             | Page       | Lines  | Comment   | Author Response          | Changes to Draft  |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|---|--------------------------|---|
| 30                    | . 490      |        | I am not clear as to what is envisioned for socioeconomic issues in the             | - tame. Nooponoo         | g-5 to brait  |
|                       |            |        | report. They mostly seem to be statements of gross sales. I would                   |                          |   |
|                       |            |        |   |                          |   |
|                       |            |        | probably drop the graph of corn revenues over time or at the very least             |                          |   |
|                       |            |        | converted to constant dollars. I think this story of corn yields is more            |                          |   |
|                       |            |        | helpful in the context. If there are measures across time they need to              |                          |   |
|                       |            |        | take converted to constant dollars. There are challenges, such as the               |                          |   |
|                       |            |        | one in the agricultural graph of sales by "group" that are somewhat                 |                          |   |
|                       |            |        | misleading in that there is a substantial amount of double counting.                |                          |   |
|                       |            |        | These are routinely used and I'm not sure whether the value added is                |                          |   |
|                       |            |        | even available. Where it is a challenge for this report in particular is that       |                          |   |
|                       |            |        | pasture and rangeland is marketed through livestock. Except for its                 |                          |   |
|                       |            |        | value in a multiple use sense, it would not show economic value in the              |                          | We have reconstructed the document to focus on the  |
|                       |            |        | sense has been measured here unless it was marketed through                         | -14                      | responses and have removed any references to  |
| Ag-191                | n/a        | n/a    | livestock.  | OK.                      | economics other than the overall value of US agriculture.   |
| L                     |            |        | Change to: Root (ref) contend that human activities have contributed                |                          |   |
| Biodiv-1              | 330        |        | significantly to temperature  | OK                       | Change made as suggested by reviewer.   |
| Biodiv-2              | 330        | 22     | Where did this figure come from?  | from cited reference     | No change.  |
|                       |            |        | Change to: the background of climatic and ecological noise from a 0.6°              |                          |   |
| Biodiv-3              | 330        |        | C increase in global mean   | agree                    | Change made as suggested by reviewer.   |
| Biodiv-4              | 330        | 15     | Cite IPCC 2007 findings?  | This is done throughout. | No change.  |
|                       |            |        |   |                          | We have made the change suggested by the reviewer   |
|                       |            |        |   |                          | and explained that, in some cases, we have used   |
|                       |            |        |   |                          | analyses of biodiversity impacts in other regions   |
|                       |            |        | and elsewhere. Should distinguish when findings specifically relate to              |                          | because equivalent analyses have not been done within   |
| Biodiv-5              | 331        | 15     | US and where they are derived from other regions                                    | agree                    | the US.   |
|                       |            |        | Change to: studies focus on plants. Although most studies tend to                   |                          |   |
| Biodiv-6              | 332        |        | separate distributional and   | editorial                | change made   |
| Biodiv-7              | 332        | 3      | "tree line had increased previously" explain  | editorial                | sentence revised to be clearer  |
|                       |            |        | Change to: Parmesan (ref) describes three types of studies                          |                          |   |
| Di li o               | 000        | 4      | documenting shifts in species ranges: (1) those that measure an entire              | . 19 2 . 1               | To a contract of the contract |
| Biodiv-8              | 333        | 4 to 5 | species range, (2) those that infer large-scale range shifts                        | editorial                | done  |
| Diadio 0              | 222        | 4.5    | Change to: distributions and/or phenologies over the 20 and 140 year                |                          | dan a   |
| Biodiv-9<br>Biodiv-10 | 333        |        | time frame {Parmesan, 2003  | editorial                | done  |
| Biodiv-10             | 333<br>333 |        | Define if you are going to distinguish "phenological responses" of what?            | agree<br>editorial       | done<br>sentenced revised   |
|                       | 335        |        |   | editorial                | deleted   |
| Biodiv-12             | 333        |        | Incomplete thought  Ouch!! Individual birds never adapt Do you mean physiologically | Cultural                 | ucicicu   |
| Biodiv-13             | 335        |        | acclimate?  | agree                    | change made   |
| Biodiv-14             | 335        |        | Something is missing  | agree<br>editorial       | deleted   |
| Biodiv-15             | 335        |        | "their" ?   | editorial                | clarified sentence  |
| Diodiv-15             | 333        | 15     | Change to: species will not be spatially uniform across a species' range            | cultural                 | cianned sentence  |
| 1                     |            |        | and is thus likely to be highly complex and dependent on species-                   |                          |   |
|                       |            |        | specific traits, characteristics of local microhabitats, and aspects of local       |                          |   |
| Biodiv-16             | 336        | 3 to 5 | thermal history.  | agree                    | Change made as suggested by reviewer.   |
| Biodiv-17             | 336        |        | Change to: term consequences might be {Visser, 2005 #7753}.                         | agree<br>editorial       | Change made as suggested by reviewer.  Change made as suggested by reviewer.  |
| Diodiv-17             | 330        | 13     | Change to: and egg-laying dates will aid in the understanding of                    | cunonar                  | Change made as suggested by leviewer.   |
|                       |            | 18 to  | impacts of climate change. There are a suite of responses that facilitate           |                          |   |
| Biodiv-18             | 336        |        | an adaptive phenological shift: a shift in egg-laying                               | editorial                | chango mado   |
| DIOUIV-10             | 330        | 19     | Change to: that the peak of abundance of their food resource                        | editorial                | change made   |
| Biodiv-19             | 336        | 22     | (caterpillars) has advanced in the last   | editorial                | chango mado   |
| Pionis-18             | 330        | 22     |   | cultural                 | change made   |
| Biodiy 20             | 227        | _      | Change to: constraints and interpreting changes in life-history traits              | aditorial                | ahanga mada   |
| Biodiv-20             | 337        | 8      | requires a better understanding   | editorial                | change made   |

| Comment #                                     | Page | Lines  | Comment   | Author Response                                    | Changes to Draft                                  |
|---|------|--------|---|--|---|
|   |      |        |   | Not clear, but intent was to argue that species    |   |
|   |      |        |   | that migrate into high latitudes will have as much |   |
|   |      |        |   | effect on future phenologies and productivities    |   |
|   |      |        |   | as the loss of current species. However,           |   |
|   |      |        |   | statement is unsupported and therefore has         |   |
| Biodiv-21                                     | 337  | 16-18  | Where did this come from  | been deleted.                                      | deleted   |
|   |      |        | Change to: expanded northward, less than 20% had contracted   |  |   |
| Biodiv-22                                     | 338  | 12     | southward, and the remainder were   | editorial  | change made                                       |
|   |      |        | Change to: have shifted to the south {Parmesan 1999 #7763}. In North  |  |   |
| Biodiv-23                                     | 338  |        | America, butterflies are  | editiral   | change made                                       |
|   |      |        | Change to: found that 2-4 ° C warming was driving the northward   |  |   |
| Biodiv-24                                     | 338  |        | range expansion over the past 50  | editorial  | change made                                       |
|   |      |        | Obviously the habitat was not suitable if they did not survive. Rephrase-   |  |   |
|   |      |        | to explain better. Eg. they did not survive in spite of adequate food   |  |   |
| Biodiv-25                                     | 338  |        | supply or whatever is meant.  | agree  | change made                                       |
| Diodiv 20                                     | 000  |        | Change to: northwards across all species (Hill, 2002 #7865). A  | agroo  | change made                                       |
| Biodiv-26                                     | 339  |        | subsequent modeling exercise to   | editorial  | change made                                       |
| Biodiv-27                                     | 339  |        | both species diversity and what?  | editorial  | clarified   |
| DIOGIV 27                                     | 000  |        | Change to: butterfly-host interaction in California led to population   | cultorial  | Ciamica   |
| Biodiv-28                                     | 339  |        | extinctions of the checkerspot  | editorial  | change made                                       |
| Diodiv-20                                     | 339  | 21     | extinictions of the checkerspot   | euitoriai  | change made                                       |
|   |      |        | Might be a good place to discuss responses to interannual and decadal   |  |   |
| Biodiv-29                                     | 339  |        |   | 0.000  | that discussion is in introduction and contact    |
| DI00IV-29                                     | 339  |        | climate fluctuations in relation to long term warming trends.  Change to: changes in dispersal were associated with reduced | agree  | that discussion is in introduction and context    |
| Biodiv-30                                     | 340  |        | investment in reproduction which  | editorial  | shanga mada                                       |
| DIOUIV-30                                     | 340  |        | I'm not sure what this means- basically, climate change affects   | euitoriai  | change made                                       |
|   |      |        | mammals indirectly rather than through direct effects on their body   |  |   |
| Diadio 04                                     | 0.40 |        | •   |  |   |
| Biodiv-31                                     | 340  |        | temperature   | editorial  | rewritten   |
| Diadis 20                                     | 240  |        | Plants of course regulate their physiology. What is talked about here is  | 0000   | alorified   |
| Biodiv-32                                     | 340  |        | more about changing behavior.   | agree  | clarified   |
| Biodiv-33                                     | 341  | n/a    | Who did these tests of the many cites that follow.  | editorial  | clarified   |
| D' 1 04                                       | 0.40 |        | HUH??????!!!!!! Our biggest uncertainty is just how much CO2 will be  | . Pr. 2.1  | 1. 20 . 1   |
| Biodiv-34                                     |      |        | emitted   | editorial  | clarified   |
| Biodiv-35                                     | 346  |        | PDO?? (not defined until later sections)  | agree  | rewritten   |
| Biodiv-36                                     | 347  |        | What is meant by "to be listed" in this context?  | agree  | rewritten   |
| Biodiv-37                                     | 348  |        | What "complex species"? " a complex of species?"  | yes  | Change made as suggested by reviewer.             |
| Biodiv-38                                     | 348  |        | \$30 billion value (based on what?)   | agree  | Now more fully explained and refernced in chapter |
| <u>                                      </u> |      |        | Change to: irradiance levels (Fitt and Warner 1995, Jokiel and Coles  |  |   |
| Biodiv-39                                     | 349  |        | 1990, Lesser et al. 1990).  | editorial  | change made                                       |
| <u>                                     </u>  |      |        | Change to: Virgin Island National Park surveys. As of yet there are no  |  |   |
| Biodiv-40                                     | 349  |        | reports of recovery as  | editorial  | change made                                       |
|   |      |        | Change to: degree heating weeks in late August 2005 (NOAA Coral   |  |   |
| Biodiv-41                                     | 349  |        | Reef Watch), and some   | editorial  | change made                                       |
| <u>                                     </u>  |      |        | Change to: the world's oceans. It is estimated that half of the CO2   |  |   |
| Biodiv-42                                     | 350  |        | released due to burning fossil fuels was absorbed by the oceans.  | editorial  | rewritten   |
|   |      |        | Change to: soon become too acidic for corals, as well as other species,   |  |   |
|   |      |        | to product calcium carbonate skeletons (Caldeira & Wickett 2003,  |  |   |
| Biodiv-43                                     | 350  | 8 to 9 | Hoegh-Guldberg 2005, Kleypas et al. 1999).  | editorial  | change made                                       |
| <u>                                     </u>  |      |        | Change to: it is possible they have also increased the frequency of   |  |   |
| Biodiv-44                                     | 350  | 14     | these intense storms (Mann and  | editorial  | rewritten for clarity                             |

| Comment # | Page | Lines    | Comment  | Author Response                                | Changes to Draft   |
|-----------|------|----------|--|--|--|
|           |      |          | I recently published a review in Annual Review of Ecology Systemetics      |  |  |
|           |      |          | and Evolution (2006) that showed that the annual rate of species range     |  |  |
|           |      |          | shifts in the intertidal zone was 50 km/decade- faster than anything       |  |  |
|           |      |          | observed on land. However, these data were mostly from Europe.             |  |  |
|           |      |          | However, it makes the point that the coastal zone is extremely             |  |  |
|           |      |          | susceptible to climate change, likely much more so than many terrestrial   |  |  |
| Biodiv-45 | 350  |          | ecosystems.  | noted  | No change.   |
| Biodiv-46 | 350  |          | Change to: 5.8.2 Coastal Seashores   | editorial                                      | change made  |
|           |      |          | Change to: Some of this coastal habitat is on remote and barrier           |  |  |
| Biodiv-47 | 351  |          | islands, where the vulnerability to  | editorial                                      | change made  |
| Biodiv-48 | 352  | 20       | Change to: Range shifts and phenological changes                           | editorial                                      | change made  |
|           |      |          | I got lost here. I thought we were talking about arctic systems but now    |  |  |
|           |      |          | there is generic information about invasives, relevant to any system,      |  |  |
|           |      |          | followed by material on tropical systems. The whole of section 5.8         |  |  |
|           |      |          | needs some close attention. Too many things mixed together, including      |  |  |
| Biodiv-49 | 353  |          | bouncing from recent trends to projected trends.                           | agree  | rewritten  |
|           |      |          | . ,  |  |  |
|           |      | 10       | Would be good to link more clearly the relationship of these oscillations  | Needs to be done in climate context section of |  |
| Biodiv-50 | 363  | onward   | to upward trajectories of warming.   | the report's introduction.                     | No change in this chapter.                               |
|           |      |          | I understand why this is included in this section, but ENSO is discussed   | ·  |  |
|           |      |          | so extensively in the previous section it is a bit strange to have it      |  |  |
| Biodiv-51 | 364  | 11       | introduced so late in the document. Same thing with pH.                    | agree  | editorial changes for repetitiveness                     |
|           |      |          | This has already been discussed in the coral reef section- need to cross   |  |  |
| Biodiv-52 | 364  |          | reference.   | agree  | rewritten  |
|           |      |          | Expand: you mean projections into the future based on our                  |  |  |
| Biodiv-53 | 366  |          | understanding of physics?  | editorial                                      | rewritten for clarity                                    |
| Biodiv-54 | 366  |          | Impacts on what?   | agree  | rewritten for clarity                                    |
|           |      |          | Change to: northward shift in the distribution of most pelagic species,    |  |  |
| Biodiv-55 | 369  |          | and possibly the   | editorial                                      | change made  |
|           |      |          | Add: However, there is considerable heterogeneity in factors such as       |  |  |
|           |      |          | upwelling and climate along coastal regions which can complicates this     |  |  |
| Biodiv-56 | 369  |          | generalized response for benthic species.                                  | agree  | change made  |
|           |      |          | Change to: mammals which relied upon plankton production occurring         |  |  |
| Biodiv-57 | 371  | 15       | at the normal time   | editorial                                      | change made  |
|           |      |          |  |  | This section is now totally revamped in response to all  |
|           |      |          |  |  | reviewers comments, and we have less space on the        |
|           |      |          | Say something about the recent hypoxia occurrences off of the Oregon       |  | Northern California Current. This is an important        |
|           |      | followin | coast and relationship to upwelling shiftsor whatever is happening         |  | phenomenon, but there is not sufficient space to discuss |
| Biodiv-58 |      | g        | there.   | partially agree                                | it.  |
| Biodiv-59 | 373  |          | Why use direct quotes here?  | editorial                                      | change made  |
|           |      |          | Change to: diverged into the lineage that led to the brown bears found     |  |  |
|           |      |          | today in the Alexander Archipelago of southeastern Alaska and another      |  |  |
| Biodiv-60 | 375  | 24       | that led to the polar bear (Ursus  | editorial                                      | change made  |
|           |      |          |  |  |  |
|           |      |          |  |  |  |
| D: " 04   | o=-  |          | This section is interesting but the level of detail is out of balance with |  |  |
| Biodiv-61 | 375  | 5.12.22  | other sections.  | agree  | Section has been rewritten                               |

| Comment # | Page | Lines | Comment  | Author Response                                 | Changes to Draft  |
|-----------|------|-------|--|---|---|
|           |      |       | This section is well-written and very interesting- it just jumps out as very   |   |   |
|           |      |       | odd in a USDA report, especially given the large amount of space   |   |   |
|           |      |       | devoted to it. I suspect it is so long because it is a good example of an  |   |   |
|           |      |       | "early earning species." If this is the case, then spell this out early on in  |   |   |
|           |      |       | the section. Otherwise, it just seems odd- there are plenty of other more  |   |   |
|           |      |       | commercially important species that have been hard-hit in recent years   |   |   |
|           |      |       | but have received little attention simply because they aren't "charismatic   |   |   |
|           |      |       | megafauna" It's fine to include this, but explain why you are doing so in  |   |   |
| Biodiv-62 | 376  |       | a USDA report  | dealt with in introduction                      | No change.  |
| Biodiv-63 | 380  | 21    | also documented for plants.  | Agree, but need a reference                     | No change.  |
|           | 334- |       | There needs be some discussion of the value these various systems for  |   | In process, may not be completed for public comment         |
| Biodiv-64 | 345  | n/a   | detecting biodiversity responses to climate change.  | agree   | draft but will be done for final.                           |
|           |      |       | Approach to chapter: 1. Body temperature affects virtually all   |   |   |
|           |      |       | physiological processes. The relationship between body temperature   |   |   |
|           |      |       | and processes such as productivity, respiration and stress (both lethal  |   |   |
|           |      |       | and nonlethal) is often nonlinear and nonmonotonic. 2. The optimal   |   |   |
|           |      |       | body temperature varies between species, and therefore in any given  |   |   |
|           |      |       | habitat different species may display varying responses to increases to  |   |   |
|           |      |       | temperature; i.e., they may be on different trajectories in relation to their  |   |   |
|           |      |       | "tipping points" both in terms of optimal productivity and the risk of   |   |   |
|           |      |       | mortality. 3. While body temperature is known to interact with a variety   |   |   |
|           |      |       | of other environmental factors, including pH, CO2, food supply, etc, the   |   |   |
|           |      |       | lethal and optimal body temperatures haveen studied for many species.  |   |   |
|           |      |       | It may therefore be possible to estimate how close to a "tipping point" or other defined threshhold a species may be, given sufficient information   |   |   |
|           |      |       | about that organism's environment. 4. By measuring and modeling  |   |   |
|           |      |       |  | This is an intersting framework, but what's the | No specific change, although the chapter has been           |
| Biodiv-65 | n/a  | n/a   | understanding of limits of confidence) predict aspects of an organism's fu   |   | significantly rewritten and structured.                     |
| Biodiv-66 | n/a  | n/a   | Jim Smith: Modeling bird ranges using remote sensing data.   | citation please                                 | No change.  |
| Diodiv-00 | II/a | II/a  | office Sensing data.   | Citation please                                 | We have applied a common lexicon, explained in the          |
|           |      |       |  |   | exec summary and introduction, to explain authors           |
|           |      |       |  |   | confidence in major findings. However, this has not         |
|           |      |       |  |   | been used in all cases because much of the underlying       |
|           |      |       |  |   | literuature does not quantify uncertainties. We have also   |
|           |      |       |  |   | tried to better explain and identify uncertainties          |
| Biodiv-67 | n/a  | n/a   | Explicitly identify (& quantify) areas of uncertainty.   | partially agree                                 | thorughout.   |
|           |      | -     | Include text box of long term survey (bird survey)-look at any small   | ,         |   |
|           |      |       | window-will not see trend or may miss very important eventneed long  |   | Section rewritten, also treated in monitoring discussion in |
| Biodiv-68 | n/a  | n/a   | term.  | Agree   | exec summary and synthesis chapters                         |
| Biodiv-69 | n/a  | n/a   | Root: isotherms and bird wintering ranges  | Root's work extensively cited already           | No change.  |
|           |      |       | Mladenoff: landscape forest simulation modeling, climate and   | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,           |   |
| Biodiv-70 | n/a  | n/a   | disturbance.   | Please see forest chapter of this report.       | No change.  |
| Biodiv-71 | n/a  | n/a   | Past and Post: forest simulation modeling  | Please see forest chapter of this report.       | No change.  |
|           |      |       | , and the second |   | -   |
| Biodiv-72 | n/a  | n/a   | Holtmeier and Broll 2005 Global Ecology and Biogeography 14 395-410  | comment?  | No change.  |
|           |      |       | Helmuth et al. 2006 Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and   |   |   |
|           |      |       | Systematics 37: 373-404. (Reviews ecological forecasting, effects of   |   |   |
| Biodiv-73 | n/a  | n/a   | climate change on coastal ecosystems).   | agree   | now included  |
| Biodiv-74 | n/a  | n/a   | Price: Modeling bird ranges  | comment?  | No change.  |

| Comment # | Page | Lines | Comment   | Author Response                  | Changes to Draft   |
|-----------|------|-------|---|----------------------------------|--|
|           |      |       | This chapter contains lots of good material but needs a conceptual framework on which to hang all of this information, such as was used in chapter 3. The various sections are unbalanced in relation to detail. This chapter is not ready for review since many sections are still not complete. Also, how does the recent release of IPCC 2007 support,   |                                  |  |
| Biodiv-75 | n/a  | n/a   | alter, or contradict this analysis?   | agree                            | Entire chapter extensively re-written  |
| GEN-1     | 16   | 8     | Wrap-up: most uncertainty due to uncertainty in future levels of emissions? Can also refer to recent reports which suggest that we are following "worst case scenario.  | Not sure what commenter intended | We have tried to explain multiple sources of uncertainty, including emissions uncertainty, observationa uncertainty, lack of key observations, and gaps in uderstanding  Too numerous to document individually, please see   |
| GEN-2     | 89   | 6     | need to be consistent in use of subscript throughout report   | Agree                            | revised draft.   |
| GEN-3     | n/a  | n/a   | Need to have the document organized so that general readers can get a good, accurate, non-referenced overview from the executive summary. Body of the document should satisfy the researcher.   | Agree                            | Executive summary was drafted and reworked several times, and new "synthesis" and "climate and ecological context" chapter were added. Synthesis chapter inlcudes findings and conclusions from more detailed sectoral chapters.   |
| GEN-4     | n/a  | n/a   | Explicitly identify (& quantify) areas of uncertainty.  | Partially Agree                  | The CCSP lexicon for confidence in findings was applied to the findings and conclusions. A table illustrating the lexicon is included. However, the fact that the report is based on the peer-reviewed literature which does not always include quantification of uncertainty, there are instances where results that do not explicitly identify and quantify uncertainty are described. |
| GEN-5     | 189  | 15-17 | Here and throughout the report, the authors need to be more explicit about the linkage between statements of expected responses/impacts and whether or not they are considering the likelihood of additional disturbance impacts and/or threshold-like responses, building on recent findings by IPCC and others. Overall, this report makes good progress on including disturbances and responses, building on recent findings by IPCC and others. Overall, this report makes good progress on including disturbances and thresholds, but should go farther than previous reports in explicitly linking statements of expected responses/impacts to disturbances and thresholds. | Agree                            | Many modifications have been made to the discussions of disturbances. We have tried to evaluate the possibility of threshold responses whre we felt that they were well-documented in the literature.  |
| GEN-6     | 194  | n/a   | Integration is needed among related sections of the report both within the Land resources section and within the overall SAP 4.3. For example, discussion of semiarid woodland and forest die-off from drought and associated bark beetle infestation is included in sections under Land Resources, Forests (p. 194), Land resources, Arid (p. 243), Water resources (p. 322), and biodiversity (p. 332, 355), and is not included in the Agriculture, rangelands section.  | Agree                            | We have tried to provide integrated discussion of some of these issues in the executive summary, synthesis (both new) and the revised introductory sections. However, some issues are still discussed in multiple chapters, where we have tried to ensure that discussion is consistent.   |
| GEN-7     | n/a  | n/a   | In addition to the full printed report, the executive summary should be printed as a separate document.   | Agree                            | Executive Summary has been reworked, along with a synthesis chapter, and the introduction was reworked as a climate and ecological context section. We plan to publish these as separate, shorter document.  |

| Comment # | Page | Lines | Comment   | Author Response | Changes to Draft  |
|-----------|------|-------|---|-----------------|---|
| GEN-8     | n/a  | n/a   | The current draft has a wealth of information, however the draft is poorly organized and does a poor job on integrating and synthesizing information that makes the material useful for any of the potential user communities.  It would be useful to pull objectives and guidance wording from the   | Partially Agree | Entire report has been reorganized. Executive summary was drafted and reworked several times, and new "synthesis" and "climate and ecological context" chapter were added. Synthesis chapter includes overarching conclusions and answers to CCSP questions based on the more detailed sectoral chapters.  Language about the scope and guiding questions has |
| GEN-9     | n/a  | n/a   | Prospectus so that a better understanding of the scope of the chapter is clarified.   | Agree           | been included in the new Executive Summary and Introduction chapters  |
| GEN-10    | n/a  | n/a   | The level of writing is unbalanced and many sections are redundant. There is a need for consolidation of key points on climate change effects on critical aspects of ecosystem and biodiversity processes so that repeated materials can be reduced. This will provide a small contribution in reducing the current wordiness of the draft and reduce the report down to a more manageable size.  | Agree           | A new climate and ecological context section was added to provide the consolidated overview suggested by the reviewer. The entire document has been re-written and edited to reduce redundancy. In additon the document will undergo additional copy editing before publication.  |
| GEN-11    | n/a  | n/a   | Would find it useful for the introduction to provide a rationale for the selection and distinction of agriculture, land resources, water resources and biodiversity. What are the distinctions, commonalities, and interactions among these sectors that organize the report.   | Partially Agree | Topics were chosen by the CCSP agencies. We have tried to explain why these topics are important, and have included the entire prospectus from the CCSP agencies as an appendix.  |
| GEN-12    | n/a  | n/a   | Where are wetlands and peatlands handled? Given the importance of these ecosystems and rate of observed permafrost thaw affecting the biogeochemical and hydrological feedback to climate and to ecosystem and biodiversity processes in critical environments around North America, it seems like a noteable omission which at least needs some comment why it is not being handled here.  | Partially Agree | These issue are discussed in parts of the biodiversity chapter. They could easily be the subject of another SAP.  |
| GEN-13    | n/a  | n/a   | Organization structure does not provide a pathway for a comprehensive evaluation of the scientific assessment of knowledge of climate change effects on ecosystems and biodiversity among agriculture, land resources, water resources, and biodiversity.   | Partially Agree | We have reorganized the report. But organization and contents are somewhat constrained by the prospectus agreed by the CCSP agencies.   |
| GEN-14    | n/a  | n/a   | The outline as discerned from the table of contents and reading portions of the draft report lends itself for redundancy and potential for conflicting statements of knowledge of effects. It seems that a more synthetic approach on what is know about ecosystem and biodiversity response to climate change be drawn together as an introductory chapter. That is the climate effects (temperature, precip, CO2, N, etc) stuff be pooled into one place. The specific issues related to ag, land, water, and biodiversity can then be dealt with as special aspects of the general case. | Agree           | A new climate and ecological context section was added to provide the consolidated overview suggested by the reviewer. The entire document has been re-written and edited to reduce redundancy. In additon the document will undergo additional copy editing before publication.  |
| GEN-15    | n/a  | n/a   | Greater emphasis of the develop of our knowledge over the past five to 10 years should be highlighted, in that more is understood about interacting effects, impacts related to multiple stresses, non-linear response of ecosystems and communities that affect ecosystem and biodiversity processes.  | Agree           | We have tried to increases the emphasis on recent results and advances in understanding.  |

| Comment # | Page       | Lines      | Comment   | Author Response | Changes to Draft  |
|-----------|------------|------------|---|-----------------|---|
| GEN-16    | n/a        | n/a        | In the Agricultural sector, there seems to be a lack of connection between crops, pastures, rangelands, and livestock. Given the integration of systems in food and fiber (and now energy) production, it seems arcane to treat these sectors in a "stove-pipe" manner. In the introduction of this section it would be useful for a more synthetic treatment of the issues, followed by a justification of the 4 sectoral considerations, noting the interconnections and highlighting the rational to treat them distinct from each other.  | Partially Agree | We have tried to better integrate and connect the discussion of these systems. These topics were chosen for us by the CCSP agencies.  |
| OFN 47    | n (s       | n la       | The section [in the Water Chapter] on drought may benefit from a more comprehensive coverage. In fact, drought is one topic that cuts across almost all of the chapters of 4.3. Some specific areas to consider are:  - The work of University of Nebraska and reference to drought monitor  - The recent drought studies based on the instrumental records of the past century, in the context of proxy record studies (Tree rings and stable isotope). Overpeck should be a good source for providing some references as well as the Tree Ring center at Univ. of Arizona.  - Some coverage of the literature on the economic impacts of  | Acres           | Discussion of drought has been significantly expandedin water and othe chapters. We note that the relation of climate change and drought is complex and that many   |
| GEN-17    | n/a<br>n/a | n/a<br>n/a | recent droughts maybe useful to include.  Another cross cutting topic relates to the impact of changing vegetation cover on the hydrology. Some of the work sponsored by SAHRA STC at the Univ. of Arizona, especially by Eric Small (formerly at NM Tech and now at Univ of Colorado) and the work of USDA ARS in Tucson (Dave Goodrich is a good contact to identify some references) is useful to reference.   | Agree           | vegetation cover-hydrology interactions are discussed in water and land resources chapters.   |
| GEN-19    | n/a        | n/a        | A key point emphasized by the review panel: Both the drought and land cover change/hydrology, cut across the agriculture, land resources and biodiversity chapters. Whether these topics should be included in the water chapter or in a new section called "cross cut issues", is up to the authoring team.  | Agree           | The authors believe these topics shoud be addressed both within each chapter and in the introductory sections. The new executive summary that has been added to the report contains synthesis and overarching conclusions sections that include discussion of cross-cutting issues. |
| GEN-20    | 96         | 18         | Be consistent with units throughout the document  | Agree           | Changes too numerous to document individually, please see draft.  |
|           |            |            | One of the widely discussed issues in the general discussion and in the agriculture subcommittee was the use of the report and how we use similar reports if we take ourselves to the representative of one group of users. My understanding, and perspective, was a major need is a document which contains the degree of referencing of the current document that permits the general science reader to obtain an overview and to follow up with more detailed references and authors if appropriate. There was concerned that the document not be edited down to the point that it lost that level of specificity. That calls for a very high quality executive summary or an executive summary and a separate document that captures the substance of the report but does |                 | Exective summary has been added that includes summary of findings and conclusions, and synthesis. Authors decided to retain much technical discussion in  |
| GEN-21    | n/a        | n/a        | not provide the detailed documentation of "why" and parameterization.  More important here to show or discuss recent trends since 1975 and  | Agree           | "sector" chapters.  Entire section extensively re-written. Figure referenced  |
| GEN-22    | 7-16       | n/a        | similarities with AR4 models  | Agree           | by reviewer is no longer included.  |

| Comment # | Page | Lines   | Comment   | Author Response   | Changes to Draft   |
|-----------|------|---------|---|---|--|
|           |      |         |   |   | Entire section was re-written and expanded. Sentnce  |
| 1.14      | ١ .  |         |   | D. C. H   | now reads ""The US has warmed up significantly, but  |
| Intro-1   |      | 18      | Change to: "While the U.S. has warmed significantly"  | Partially agree   | change varies by region."  |
|           |      |         | Change to: " A range of potential futures envisioned in the<br>Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change process is shown in Figure       |   |  |
|           |      |         | 6 and these are only a few of the scenarios developed over the past decade. When scenarios like these are used as input to climate        |   | Entire section has been significantly re-written. The figure 6 referenced by the reviewer is not longer  |
|           |      |         | models, the models project increases of from 1-2 to more than 4o C averaged across the US. The range of temperature increases are         |   | included. The sentence describing CCSM projections for<br>the US now reads "Below, Figure 8 shows the results of   |
|           |      |         | simulated by the National Center for Atmospheric Research's climate   |   | an NCAR CCSM simulatin for IPCC scenario A1B,  |
|           |      |         | model, the Community Coupled Climate Model (Figure 7). At doubled preindustrial CO2, average temperatures across the US in the last       |   | generally consdiered a mid-range projection. The expected increases in average U.S. temperatures vary  |
|           |      |         | decade of this Century would range from 1-20 to more than 50 (in Alaska) warmer than the present. These are large changes: and            |   | from 1-2o C to more than 4o C and remember that Alaska, for example, has already warmed by more than   |
| Intro-2   | 8    | 6 to 15 | Alaska, for example, has already warmed by more than 2o."   | Partially agree   | 2oC."  |
|           |      |         | Change to: 20th Century stabilization shows the results if greenhouse   | , ,   |  |
|           |      |         | gas concentrations had been stabilized at the end of the 20th Century levels, as a benchmark for comparison. Scenario B1 represents       |   |  |
|           |      |         | concentrations stabilizing at 550 parts per million (ppm) (of CO2   |   |  |
|           |      |         | equivalents) by the end of the 21st Century. Scenario A1B represents  |   | Entire section has been re-written. Discussion and   |
|           |      |         | concentrations stabilizing at 700 ppm, or roughly doubled preindustrial CO2. Scenario A2, sometimes called a "business-as-usual" scenario |   | description of variation across IPCC scenarios has been  |
|           |      |         | represents concentrations continuing to increase beyond the 21st  |   | removed, since this report is not a scenario-driven analysis, but rather a description of perr-reviwed results   |
| Intro-3   | 16   | 1 to 7  | Century   | Not applicable  | which have made use of numerous scenarios.   |
|           |      |         |   |   |  |
|           |      | 40.1    | Change to: "Results excerpted from a global simulation using NCAR's   |   | Formation of the The Committee of the Co |
| Intro-4   | 16   | 18 to   | advanced model show the patterns and variability of simulated warming at the end of this century (Figure 7).", if appropriate             | Not applicable  | Entire section re-written. The figure referenced by the reviewer is no longer included.  |
| 11110 4   | 10   | , 13    | Writing in the intro is quite simplistic and below expectations for the   | Not applicable  | Entire section has been re-written and will benefit from   |
|           |      |         | level of this document. Example, line 4: "We can't predict what the   |   | additional editing as the document goes through the next   |
| Intro-5   | 7-16 | n/a     | future will bring."   | Agree   | two stages of review   |
| Intro-6   | 7-16 | n/a     | the introduction has many grammatical errors that need fixing   | Agree  Done for forests in introduction. Will be done for | Enttire section has been re-written.  Added: "Forested land occupies about 740 million acres,  |
|           |      |         |   | Arid lands but not in time for public review.             | or about one-third of the US. Forests in the Eastern US  |
|           |      |         |   | The lands such of int time for public review.             | cover 380 million acres, with 74% in broadleaf forests,  |
|           |      |         |   |   | with most of the land in private ownership (83%). The  |
|           |      |         |   |   | 360 million acres of forest lands in the Western US are  |
|           |      |         |   |   | mostly conifer forests (78%), and split between public (57%) and private ownership (Source for forest statistics   |
|           |      |         |   |   | is http://nationalatlas.gov/articles/biology/a_forest.html)."  |
|           |      |         | The opening paragraph should also provide additional quantitative   |   |  |
| Land-1    | 184  | n/a     | information (e.g., areal extents).  |   |  |

| Comment # | Page | Lines   | Comment   | Author Response                                    | Changes to Draft  |
|-----------|------|---------|---|--|---|
|           |      |         |   | Done.  | Added: "Disturbance (such as drought, storms, insect  |
|           |      |         |   |  | outbreaks, and fire) is part of the ecological history of   |
|           |      |         |   |  | most ecosystems, and influences ecological  |
|           |      |         |   |  | communities and landscapes. Climate affects the timing,   |
|           |      |         |   |  | magnitude, and frequency of many of these   |
|           |      |         |   |  | disturbances, and a changing climate will bring changes   |
|           |      |         |   |  | in disturbances to forests and arid lands (Dale et al.  |
|           |      |         |   |  | 2001). Trees and arid land vegetation can take from   |
|           |      |         |   |  | decades to centuries to re-establish after a disturbance.   |
|           |      |         |   |  | Therefore, changes in disturbance regimes caused by   |
|           |      |         |   |  | climate-change can affect land resources (Dale et al.   |
|           |      |         |   |  | 2001). Both human-induced and natural disturbances  |
|           |      |         |   |  | shape ecosystems by influencing species composition,  |
|           |      |         |   |  | structure, and function (such as productivity, water yield,   |
|           |      |         |   |  | erosion, carbon storage, and susceptibility to future   |
|           |      |         | The Introduction to the postion people a secret forward average of the  |  | disturbance). H17 In the past several years, scientists   |
|           |      |         | The Introduction to the section needs a more focused overview of the  |  | have learned that the magnitude and impact of these   |
|           |      |         | role of disturbance and ecosystem function, because this will be an important linkage for latter discussion on climate change and ecosystem |  | disturbances and their response to climate rivals that expected from changes in temperature and precipitation |
|           |      |         | response (coordinated with more general introductory material fo rSAP   |  | (Dale et al. 2001).   |
| Land-2    | 184  | n/a     | 4.3 overall).   |  | (Dale et al. 2001).   |
| 20.10 2   |      | , u     | To eliminate value judgements, insert "viewed as" before "will be   | Done - only occurs once in document                | Changed wording to "be viewed as"   |
| Land-3    | 184  | 18-19   | beneficial."  |  |   |
|           |      |         |   | Done.  | Added: "Disturbances and changes to the frequency or  |
|           |      |         |   |  | type of disturbance present challenges to resource  |
|           |      |         |   |  | managers. Many disturbances command quick action,   |
|           |      |         |   |  | public attention, and resources. Surprisingly, most   |
|           |      |         | The report should highlight that although these systems are relatively  |  | resource planning in the US does not consider   |
|           |      |         | slow responding systems compared to agriculture, it is still important for  |  | disturbance, even though disturbances are common,   |
|           |      |         | management, operations and planning to consider the broader context   |  | and preliminary information exists on the frequency and   |
|           |      |         | of disturbance regimes when addressing sisues for a 20-30 year time   |  | areal extent of disturbances (Dale et al. 2001).  |
|           |      |         | frame. More specifically, the authors need to include a more explicit   |  | Disturbances in the future may be larger and more   |
|           |      |         | discussion of the historical range of variability and to highlight that the   |  | common than those experienced historically, and   |
| Land-4    | 194  | n/a     | distribution will change in the context of climate change. This change will have explicit management consequences.                          |  | planning for disturbances should be encouraged (Dale et al. 2001, Stanturf et al. 2007)."                     |
| Lanu-4    | 104  | 11/a    | The authors should explicitly recognize the geographically fixed and  | We are not sure as to what the reviewers are       | No change.  |
|           |      |         | legislatively mandated constraints on federal and other land resource   | refering to.                                       | ino change.   |
| Land-5    | 184  | n/a     | managers in adapting to climate change.   |  |   |
|           | 1    |         | Highlight more clearly that loss of woody vegetation can occur rapidly  | Included in the broader context added in           | No change.  |
|           |      |         | through die-offs or fire but cannot recover quickly, especially in the  | response to Land-2                                 |   |
| Land-6    | 184  | n/a     | context of the 20-30 year management time frames.   |  |   |
|           |      |         |   | Done for forests in introduction. Will be done for |   |
|           |      |         |   | Arid lands but not in time for public review.      | or about one-third of the US. Forests in the Eastern US   |
|           |      |         |   |  | cover 380 million acres, with 74% in broadleaf forests,   |
|           |      |         |   |  | with most of the land in private ownership (83%). The   |
|           |      |         |   |  | 360 million acres of forest lands in the Western US are   |
|           |      |         | Increase specificity of coverage and ownership information. How many  |  | mostly conifer forests (78%), and split between public  |
|           |      |         | acreas are in in forest and arid lands, east & west? What are the   |  | (57%) and private ownership (Source for forest statistics   |
|           |      |         | ownership patterns and thus the management options acrosss the country? Heavy Federalin interior west, NIPF in east, more mixed on          |  | is http://nationalatlas.gov/articles/biology/a_forest.html)."   |
| Land-7    | 101  | 8 to 10 | along west coast  |  |   |
| Lanu-1    | 104  | 0 10 19 | aiony west todast   |  |   |

| Comment #  | Page | Lines   | Comment   | Author Response                                       | Changes to Draft  |
|------------|------|---------|---|---|---|
|            | 3-   |         |   | To be determined during public comment.               | No change.  |
|            |      |         |   | Current Maps outline areas and text provides a        | i to onango.  |
|            |      |         |   | description of species. New forest map outlines       |   |
|            |      |         |   | species groups for forests. We think for many of      |   |
|            |      |         |   | the readers for which this is intended, the Bailey    |   |
|            |      |         |   | Ecoregions is not particularly straight-forward or    |   |
|            |      |         |   | 'mainstream' for arid lands. We think the             |   |
|            |      |         |   | Sonoran, Mojave, Chihuahuan, Great Basin, etc.        |   |
|            |      |         |   | designations that we use will be more palatable       |   |
|            |      |         |   | to most readers. Furthermore, many of the             |   |
|            |      |         |   | papers we cite use the traditional designations       |   |
|            |      |         |   | when referring to where the research was              |   |
|            |      |         |   | conducted. And, Bailey sub-divides arid lands in      |   |
|            |      |         |   | ways we don't really address or get into in the       |   |
|            |      |         | I suggest including an ecosystem map of U.S. here I prefer Baileys,   | Arid Land section. So, to present his map would       |   |
|            |      |         | but many others would do. This helps to show the land cover   | be confusing to many readers we think.                |   |
|            | 404  |         | distribution and presets the mind for the strong climate influence on   |   |   |
| Land-8     | 184  | 8 to 19 | ecosystems  | Dana anti-array and in decomposi                      | Ohannad wanding to like visused and                       |
|            |      |         | Whatever value based judgments are used. Lauraset inserting "viewed   | Done - only occurs once in document                   | Changed wording to "be viewed as"                         |
| Land-9     | 19/  | 10 2 10 | Wherever value based judgments are used, I suggest inserting "viewed as" will be viewed as beneficial will be viewed as detrimental                   |   |   |
| Lanu-3     | 104  | 10 0 13 | as will be viewed as beneficial will be viewed as detrimental   | Effects on ecosystem services are covered in          | Deleted planned ecoststem services table. Added           |
|            |      |         |   | insect and storms sections, and we added a new        | l ·   |
|            |      |         |   | section on 'How changes in one system affect          | Systems – Forests"  |
|            |      |         |   | other systems to discuss hydrology and                | Systems 1 Ground  |
|            |      |         |   | biodiversity. We deleted page 188 lines 1-8, as       |   |
|            |      | 10 to   | This is a very good statement as to why imapcts on forests are  | our review is broader in scope than productivity,     |   |
| Land-10    | 184  | 14      | important but then you do not follow-up. Also pg 188 lines 1 to 8.  | carbon storage, and species.                          |   |
|            |      |         |   | Added my geographic references to effects of          | Insects: " and millions of ha affected by southern pine   |
|            |      |         |   | temperature, precipitation, and insects.              | beetle (Dendroctonus frontalis), spruce budworm           |
|            |      |         |   |   | Choristoneura fumiferana), and western spruce             |
|            |      |         |   |   | budworm (Choristoneura occidentalis) in recent decades    |
|            |      |         |   |   | in southeastern, northeastern, and western forests,       |
|            |      |         |   |   | respectively (USDA Forest Service 2005)." Temperature     |
|            |      |         |   |   | and precipitation: "If existing trends in precipitation   |
|            |      |         |   |   | continue, forest productivity will likely decrease in the |
|            |      |         | The forest section needs to be more geographically representative. It   |   | Interior West, the Southwest, eastern portions of the     |
|            |      |         | currently has a strong interior western bias. Specifically consider   |   | Southeast, and Alaska. Forest productivity will likely    |
|            |      |         | linkages with invasives beyond fire for other systems besides the   |   | increase in the Northeastern US, the Lake States, and in  |
| Land-11    | 185  | n/a     | forests of the interior west. Be careful with implying that invasives are only driven by a disturbance link and by fire in particular (p. 185, 1. 7). |   | western portions of the Southeast. "                      |
| Lallu- I I | 100  | 11/4    | orny univen by a disturbance link and by life in particular (p. 165, 1.7).  | Sentence revomed in revision, so editorial            | Sentence deleted.   |
|            |      |         | Change to: the carbon released by fossil fuel combustion in the US and  |   | Dentence deleted.   |
| Land-12    | 187  | 5 to 6  | will continue to absorb future emmissions (Birdsey et al. 2006).  | onango not doodod.                                    |   |
|            | .57  |         |   | We did not cover land use change for forests,         | No change.  |
|            |      |         |   | but did for arid lands. We did not include it for     |   |
|            |      |         |   | forests, because, while it is an important factor, it |   |
|            |      |         |   | is difficult to explicitely link with climate.        |   |
| Land-13    | 187  | na      | should urban encroachment be addressed?   |   |   |

| Comment # | Page | Lines   | Comment  | Author Response                                  | Changes to Draft                                       |
|-----------|------|---------|--|--|--|
|           |      |         |  | Done.  | Accepted editorial suggestion.                         |
|           |      |         | Change to: changes enough, species ranges will shift to areas with   |  |  |
|           |      |         | suitable environmental conditions and will go locally extinct wherever   |  |  |
|           |      |         | environmental conditions exceed physiological tolerance levels   |  |  |
|           |      |         | (Woodward 1987). One example of such a species shift is sugar maple  |  |  |
|           |      |         | in the northeastern U.S.—suitable climate for it may move northward  |  |  |
|           |      |         | into Canada and the distribution will likely follow (Chuine and Beaubien   |  |  |
|           |      | 12 to   | 2001), assuming the species is able to disperse propagules rapidly   |  |  |
| Land-14   | 188  | 17      | enough to keep pace with the shifting climatic zone.   |  |  |
|           |      |         |  | In the Land Resources Chapter we are explicitly  | No change.   |
|           |      |         | Here and throughout the report, the authors need to be more explicit   | clear about whether we expect disturbances to    |  |
|           |      |         | about the linkage between statements of expected responses/impacts   | increas and where. We do not have examples       |  |
|           |      |         | and whether or not they are considering the likelihood of additional   | of thresholds for disturbances in forests in the |  |
|           |      |         | disturbance impacts and/or threshold-like responses, building on recent  | literature. The Arid Lands section as several    |  |
|           |      |         | findings by IPCC and others. Overall, this report makes good progress  | examples of disturbances causing thresholds      |  |
|           |      |         | on including disturbances and responses, building on recent findings by IPCC and others. Overall, this report makes good progress on including | especially the cycle of warming -> more invasive |  |
|           |      |         | disturbances and thresholds, but should go farther than previous   | vegetation.                                      |  |
|           |      |         | reports in explicitly linking statements of expected responses/impacts to  | vegetation.                                      |  |
| Land-15   | 190  | 15-17   | disturbances and thresholds.   |  |  |
| Lanu-13   | 109  | 13-17   | distribances and uneshous.   | Good idea for the introductory chapter.          | No change.   |
|           |      |         | Suggest you add a box somewhere in the report to better explain the  | Cood idea for the introductory chapter.          | ivo change.  |
|           |      |         | relationship between weather and climate. For example, the probability   |  |  |
|           |      |         | of large fires increases when fuels are cured by drought and shorter   |  |  |
|           |      |         | term climate vairability but big fire events are driven by weather and   |  |  |
|           |      |         | big runs within big fires are mostly driven by wind. One of the big  |  |  |
|           |      |         | questions about climate change is how the distribution and magnitude of  |  |  |
|           |      |         | weather within a changed climate will be distributed. Late in the SAP  |  |  |
|           |      |         | Miller & Schlegel are referenced in regard to Santa Ana winds and fire   |  |  |
|           |      | 19 to   | that level of understanding of the climate-weather-disturbance panoply   |  |  |
| Land-16   | 189  | 23      | should be displayed in a box   |  |  |
|           |      |         | Change to: and 1998 (Hicke et al. 2002b) but the causes of this  | Done   | Accepted editorial suggestion.                         |
|           |      |         | increase (increases in air and surface temperature, increasing CO2, N  |  |  |
| Land-17   | 190  | 3 to 4  | deposition, or other factors) are difficult to isolate   |  |  |
|           |      |         |  | Entire document has been reorganized and         | Completely reorganized chapter based on mandate from   |
|           |      |         | I do not follow the numbering sequence is everything that follows  | renumbered. There is no explicit 'Literature     | lead authors. Section headings are completely          |
| Land-18   | 190  | 14      | literature review?   | Review' section any more.                        | different.   |
| 1 1 40    | 464  | _       | Change to: in temperature is an approximate doubling of respiration  | Changed to 'plant temperature'                   | Changed to 'plant temperature'                         |
| Land-19   | 191  | 2       | with a 10 °C air (or plant?)   | Ohan and dantanan to lit in immediant to         | Ohannad dantara hallitia immantentita anno 11 a 11 a   |
|           |      |         | No climate change will not be superimposed on present interannual  | Changed dentence to 'It is important to          | Changed dentence to 'It is important to remember that  |
|           |      |         | temperture variations it is not that simple. Interannual variability   | remember that climate warming will be            | climate warming will be superimposed on interannual    |
|           |      |         | contained within future climate regimes remains a key question. It is  |  | temperature variations that already exceed several     |
| Land 20   | 101  | 0 to 10 | possible that there will be less variability embedded in a warming climate -or ther may be more  |  | degrees and may differ in the future.'                 |
| Land-20   | 191  | 0 10 10 | Chimate -Or their may be more  | and may differ in the future.'                   | Changed to"increase in photosynthesis and tree growth" |
| Land-21   | 192  | 7       | what does an "improvement in plant carbon balance" mean.   | growth"  | Changed to increase in photosynthesis and tree growth  |
| Lanu-Z i  | 132  | - /     | Iwhat does an improvement in plant carbon balance illean.  | giowiii  |  |

| Comment # | Page | Lines   | Comment  | Author Response                                      | Changes to Draft   |
|-----------|------|---------|--|--|--|
|           |      |         | Integration is needed among related sections of the report both within         | From the viewpoint of Forests and arid lands,        | No change.   |
|           |      |         | the Land resources section and within the overall SAP 4.3. For                 | the Pinyon dieoff is related to both. Some           |  |
|           |      |         | example, discussion of semiarid woodland and forest die-off from               | consider woodlands to be forests and some            |  |
|           |      |         | drought and associated bark beetle infestation is included in sections         | consider them to be arid lands or the wetter end     |  |
|           |      |         | under Land Resources, Forests (p. 194), Land resources, Arid (p. 243),         | of arid lands.                                       |  |
|           |      |         | Water resources (p. 322), and biodiversity (p. 332, 355), and is not           |  |  |
| Land-22   | 194  | n/a     | included in the Agriculture, rangelands section.                               |  |  |
|           |      |         |  | Done   | Accepted editorial suggestion.   |
|           |      |         | Change to: portions of the eastern US and likely decrease in portions of       |  |  |
| 1 1 00    | 405  | 0.1.    | the western US. However, an increase in drought events will very likely        |  |  |
| Land-23   | 195  | 6 to 8  | reduce forest productivity wherever these events occur.                        | The desired  | M. d   |
| Lond 04   | 201  | 10      | Good overall discussion on disturbance and linkage to effects of               | Thank you!   | No change.   |
| Land-24   | 201  | 16      | changes in physical climate and atmospheric chemistry.                         | Our goal and task was to cover the next 30-50        | No change.   |
|           |      |         |  | years. We do mention predictions outside of this     | ino change.  |
|           |      |         |  | boundary when credible information is available.     |  |
|           |      |         |  | We do include Miller and Schlegel (2006) results     |  |
|           |      |         |  | for the latter part of this century in the sentences |  |
|           |      |         | This (M&S 2006) is another example of the danger of too strict                 | following the example mentioned.                     |  |
| Land-25   | 204  | 4 to 10 | adherence to the "30 year" bound.  | Tonorming the example memberses.                     |  |
|           |      |         | Change to: spruce beetle (Dendroctonus rufipennis) in southern Alaska          | Done.  | Accepted editorial suggestion.   |
|           |      |         | and western Canada (Berg et al. 2006); and >1.2 Mha of pinyon pine             |  |  |
|           |      |         | (Pinus edulis) mortality from extreme drought coupled with an Ips beetle       |  |  |
|           |      |         | outbreak in the Southwest (Breshears et al. 2005). Ecologically                |  |  |
|           |      |         | important whitebark pine (Pinus albicaulis) is being attacked by               |  |  |
| Land-26   | 205  | 4 to 7  | mountain   |  |  |
|           |      |         |  | Done.  | Accepted editorial suggestion.   |
|           |      |         | Change to: beetle (Logan and Powell 2001) and southern pine beetle             |  |  |
|           |      |         | (Dendroctonus frontalis) (Ungerer et al. 1999). Future range expansion         |  |  |
| 1 1 07    | 000  | 0 4- 0  | of mountain pine beetle has the potential of invading jack pine ( <i>Pinus</i> |  |  |
| Land-27   | 206  | 6 to 8  | banksiana), a suitable host that extends across the boreal                     | Added reference and contains                         | Added: "Drought strong requiting from degreesed  |
|           |      |         |  | Added reference and sentence                         | Added: "Drought stress, resulting from decreased precipitation and/or warming, reduces the ability of a tree |
|           |      |         |  |  | to mount a defense against insect attack (Carroll et al.   |
|           |      |         |  |  | 2004, Breshears et al. 2005), though this stress may also  |
|           |      |         |  |  | cause some host species to become more palatable to  |
|           |      | 17 to   |  |  | some types of insects (Koricheva et al. 1998). "   |
| Land-28   | 206  |         | But, it also makes leaves more palatable, doesn't it (less lignified)          |  | typoo or moodio (nononova et al. 1000).  |
|           |      |         | Change to: on the grizzly bear ( <i>Ursus arctos</i> horribilis) (Logan and    | Done.  | Accepted editorial suggestion.   |
| Land-29   | 209  | 12      | Powell 2001)). Impacts are   |  |  |
|           |      |         |  | Done.  | Accepted editorial suggestion.   |
| Land-30   | 211  | 23      | et al. 2003). Recent analysis  |  |  |
|           |      |         |  | Done   | Added: "For example, hurricanes Rita and Katrina   |
|           |      |         |  |  | together damaged a total of 2200 ha and 63 million m3  |
|           |      |         |  |  | of timber volume (Stanturf et al. 2007)." and  |
|           |      |         |  |  | "Disturbances in the future may be larger and more   |
|           |      |         |  |  | common than those experienced historically, and  |
|           |      |         |  |  | planning for disturbances should be encouraged (Dale et  |
| Land-31   | 212  |         | add Stanturf 2007 reference to this section                                    |  | al. 2001, Stanturf et al. 2007)."  |
| Land-32   | 212  | 24      | class 3 should be category 3   | Changed.   | Changed to category 3  |

| Comment # | Page | Lines  | Comment   | Author Response                                  | Changes to Draft  |
|-----------|------|--------|---|--|---|
|           |      |        |   | We included a reference for timber volume        | Added: "In forests, more than 55 million acres are                            |
|           |      |        |   | damage in the storm section from which           | currently impacted by disturbance, with the largest being                     |
|           |      |        |   | economic losses could be estimated. We added     | insects and pathogens (Dale et al. 2001). These                               |
|           |      |        | Change to: economic factors versus climate change. Try to include   | an estimate of economic loss from current        | disturbances cause an estimated economic loss of 3.7                          |
|           |      |        | some estimate of dollar values of each as well (maybe that's what you   | disturbance. We could not estimate losses or     | billion dollars (Dale et al. 2001). "   |
|           |      |        | mean by "importance"?) and then estimate change to each? I know,  | gains from temperature and precipitation         |   |
| Land-33   | 213  | 1 to 3 | that's asking a lot   | changes.   |   |
|           |      |        |   | We deleted the section on ecosystem services.    | Deleted planned ecoststem services table. Added                               |
|           |      |        |   | Potential changes to ecosystem services are      | section 7 "7 How Changes in One System Affect Other                           |
|           |      | 22 and |   | well covered in the text, and we do not have any | Systems – Forests"  |
| Land-34   | 213  | on     | This needs to be done   | quantitative estimates.                          |   |
|           |      | _      | The Land use change section of Land Resources (p. 214-215) should   | We will consider when we see the revised         | Future action.  |
| Land-35   | 214  | 1      | cross reference the related section in Agriculture (p. 94).   | agriculture section.                             |   |
|           |      |        | L   | Changed paragraph                                | Changed paragraph to: "The species that comprise                              |
|           |      |        | This is kind of a weird way to way break things up. I can see the idea  |  | communities respond both physiologically and                                  |
|           |      |        | behind 2-4 as a means of measuring population and community-level   |  | competitively to climate change. One scheme for                               |
|           |      |        | responses to climate change. However, (1) is just one of the  |  | assessing the impacts of climate change on species and                        |
|           |      |        | mechanisms underlying 2-4. For example direct physiological impacts   |  | communities is to assess the effects on (1) the                               |
|           |      |        | of climate change, coupled with indirect effects on a species competitive   |  | physiology of photosynthesis, respiration, and growth;                        |
|           |      |        | abilities, drive (2); Number 3 is just a manifestation of number 1, etc.  |  | (2) species distributions; and (3) phenology, particularly                    |
|           |      |        | Adaptation is not a special response to climate change, it is a normal biological process. It certainly is an important aspect of climate change, |  | life cycle events such as timing of leaf opening. There                       |
|           |      |        | but it is not a response. I would suggest saying that several factors   |  | may also be effects on functions of ecosystems such as hydrologic processes." |
|           |      |        | need to be considered at several levels of biological organization,   |  | Inydrologic processes.  |
|           |      |        | including both direct (physiological) and indirect (competition) effects of   |  |   |
|           |      |        | climate change. These impacts then translate into responses at the  |  |   |
|           |      | 20 to  | population and species level, and result in phonological responses and  |  |   |
| Land-36   | 214  |        | shifts in species range boundaries.   |  |   |
| 24.14 00  |      |        | Simo in oposios range soundanes.  | Changed paragraph                                | Changed paragraph to "Net primary productivity (NPP) is                       |
|           |      |        |   | onangou paragraph                                | closely related to indices of "greenness" and can be                          |
|           |      |        |   |  | detected by satellite over large regions (Hicke et al.                        |
|           |      |        |   |  | 2002b). Net ecosystem productivity (NEP) can be                               |
|           |      |        |   |  | measured on the ground as changes in carbon stocks in                         |
|           |      |        |   |  | vegetation and soil (Boisvenue and Running 2006).                             |
|           |      |        |   |  | Root respiration and turnover are sensitive to climate                        |
|           |      |        |   |  | variability and may be good indicators of climate change                      |
|           |      |        |   |  | if measured over long enough time periods (Atkin et al.                       |
|           |      |        |   |  | 2000, Gill and Jackson 2000). Gradient studies show                           |
|           |      |        |   |  | variable responses of growth to precipitation changes                         |
|           |      |        |   |  | along elevational gradients (Fagre et al. 2003). Climate                      |
|           |      |        |   |  | effects on growth patterns of individual trees is                             |
|           |      |        |   |  | confounded by other factors such increasing CO2 and N                         |
|           |      |        |   |  | deposition, so response of tree growth is difficult to                        |
|           |      |        |   |  | interpret without good knowledge of the exposure to                           |
|           |      |        |   |  | many possible causal variables. For example,                                  |
|           |      |        |   |  | interannual variability in NPP, which can mask long-term                      |
|           |      |        |   |  | trends, can be summarized from long-term ecosystem                            |
|           |      |        | This section is fairly choopy and needs some editorial work. Yeah, but  |  | studies and seems to be related to interactions between                       |
| Land-37   | 215  | 4 to 6 | only to a point, right? This isn't a monotonic response, I assume?  |  | precipitation gradients and growth potential of vegetation                    |

| Comment # | Page | Lines | Comment   | Author Response                                    | Changes to Draft   |
|-----------|------|-------|---|--|--|
|           | _    |       |   | Deleted section                                    | Deleted section.   |
|           |      |       | This section either needs to be significantly expanded or else              |  |  |
|           |      |       | eliminated. The key point should be that local selection can occur (and     |  |  |
|           |      |       | not necessarily introduction of new alleles by mutation) can occur which    |  |  |
|           |      |       | can alter physiological susceptibility to climate change- there are a       |  |  |
|           |      |       | number of good examples of this. In other words, physiological              |  |  |
|           |      |       | susceptibility to temperature, etc., is not geographically uniform, and you |  |  |
| Land-38   | 217  | 4     | can't apply the same niche envelope parameters everywhere.                  |  |  |
| Land-39   | 217  | 17    | Again, need to expand significantly   | Perhaps will be done in the future, or deleted.    | No change.   |
| Land-40   | 217  |       | This section needs re-writing for precision and clarification               | Section seems very clear and concise to us.        | No change.   |
|           |      |       | Change to: Maintaining continuity of remote sensing observations at         | Done.  | Accepted editorial suggestion.                               |
|           |      | 21 to | appropriate temporal and spatial scales must be a high priority. NASA's     |  | 33   |
| Land-41   | 218  |       | Earth Science division cannot support continued                             |  |  |
|           |      |       | ''  | Changed wording on the two bullets to describe     | Changed sentences to" o A national climate observation       |
|           |      |       |   | what is needed, not what should be done.           | system should be able to identify early indicators of        |
|           |      |       |   | •  | climate effects on ecosystem processes and                   |
|           |      |       |   |  | observations of structural and species changes.              |
|           |      |       |   |  | o Large scale experimental manipulations of climate,         |
|           |      |       |   |  | CO2 and N have supplied the most useful information on       |
|           |      |       |   |  | separating the effects of climate from site and other        |
|           |      |       |   |  | effects. Experimental manipulations of precipitation and     |
|           |      |       |   |  | water availability are rare, but supply critical information |
|           |      |       |   |  | on long-term responses of different species."                |
|           |      |       |   |  |  |
| Land-42   | 219  | 21ff  | Limit comments to what is needed, rather than how it should be done         |  |  |
|           |      |       |   | Done   | Removed 'liklihood language' from statements about           |
|           |      |       | In describing the scientific undersatnding of current and past conditions,  |  | ecological knowledge and reserved it for predictions of      |
|           |      |       | the authors should be careful in applying the Degree of Llkelihood          |  | the future.  |
|           |      |       | scale, which in general should be reserved for predictive aspects of the    |  |  |
| Land-43   | 220  | 16-18 | assesment (here and elsewhere throughout the report).                       |  |  |
|           |      |       |   | Done   | Removed 'liklihood language' from statements about           |
|           |      |       | I strongly suggest that you do not use "very likely" or similar modifers    |  | ecological knowledge and reserved it for predictions of      |
|           |      |       | when you are talking about the past or about how we scientifcally           |  | the future.  |
| [         |      |       | understand how the world functions except for extremely rare                |  |  |
| Land-44   | 220  | on    | situations. Keep those modifiers for predicted futures                      |  |  |
|           |      |       |   | We are not sure how to handle this comment         | none at this point.  |
|           |      |       |   | without more specific input. The text mentioned    |  |
|           |      |       |   | on p. 230 of the draft sent to expert reviewers is |  |
|           |      |       |   | a very standard, introductory overview of          |  |
|           |      |       |   | deserts and interactions among temperature,        |  |
|           |      |       |   | precipitation and precipitation seasonality in the |  |
|           |      |       |   | conceptual context of non-climatic factors that    |  |
|           |      |       |   | may modulate ecosystem response to climate         |  |
|           |      |       |   | (e.g. fire, CO2, N-deposition, erosion, invasive   |  |
|           |      |       |   | species). The text beginning on p. 240             |  |
|           |      |       |   | subsequently elaborates these points in more       |  |
|           |      |       |   | detail. We will revisit these sections following   |  |
|           |      |       | The authors present a useful overview for considering the interacting       | receipt of public comments and look for            |  |
|           |      |       | factors that drive arid land dynamics. However, subsequent statements       | opportunities to clarify or simplify wording.      |  |
| ]         |      |       | about the dominance of a given factor (e.g., p. 230, 1.12-15; p. 240,       |  |  |
| Land-45   | 230  | 6     | 1.21) seem confusing and potentially conflicting.                           |  |  |

| Comment # | Page | Lines  | Comment  | Author Response                                      | Changes to Draft  |
|-----------|------|--------|--|--|---|
|           |      |        |  | We acknowledge that with the exception of an         | none at this point.                                     |
|           |      |        |  | invasive annual grass example there is a             |   |
|           |      |        |  | conspicuous shortage of Great Basin cold             |   |
|           |      |        |  | desert examples. This reflects a general lack of     |   |
|           |      |        |  | literature on climate change impacts meeting the     |   |
|           |      |        |  | criteria set forth for SAP 4.3, so there is not      |   |
|           |      |        |  | much we can do about it. We generally                |   |
|           |      |        |  | disagree with the comment that the Arid Land         |   |
|           |      |        |  | section is focused on the Sonoran Desert.            |   |
|           |      |        |  | Below we give an overview of the deserts from        |   |
|           |      |        |  | which points are made and examples are drawn;        |   |
|           |      |        |  | and feel that we have reasonably good                |   |
|           |      |        |  | coverage of US arid lands: p. 232 -                  |   |
|           |      |        |  | Biogeograhic boundaries. Sonoran Desert -            |   |
|           |      |        |  | specific example                                     |   |
|           |      |        |  | P. 239 – Invasive grasses in Cold Deserts            |   |
|           |      |        |  | (Sonoran Desert is a Hot Desert)                     |   |
|           |      |        |  | P. 242 – Invasive grasses in Mojave, Great           |   |
|           |      |        |  | Basin and Sonoran Deserts                            |   |
|           |      |        |  | P. 244 – Drought impacts in Mojave and               |   |
|           |      |        |  | Sonoran Deserts                                      |   |
|           |      |        |  | P. 245- Plant functional group responses in          |   |
|           |      |        |  | Mojave and Sonoran Deserts                           |   |
|           |      |        | Supporting arid lands examples are focused on Sonoral systems,             | P. 247 Charismatic Mega Flora – Sonoran and          |   |
|           |      |        | perhaps due to the larger amount of literature on this desert, but authors | Mojave Desert  |   |
|           |      |        | should evaluate if additional examples from other deserts can be           | P. 248-253. The 'Ecosystem Processes" section        |   |
| Land-46   | 231  | n/a    | integrated into the text.  | is built on citations from the Mojave, Sonoran       |   |
|           |      |        |  | This comment is in reference to a statement in       | none at this point.                                     |
|           |      |        |  | the Introduction that was simply setting the stage   | •   |
|           |      |        |  | for the more detailed information contained in       |   |
|           |      |        |  | subsequent sections. Thus, we don't want to get      |   |
|           |      |        |  | into too much detail at this point in the report re: |   |
|           |      |        |  | annual vs. seasonal temperature changes,             |   |
|           |      |        |  | length of growing season, etc. We talk about         |   |
|           |      |        |  | extreme climatic events several other places in      |   |
|           |      |        |  | the Arid Lands section (see for example: p. 232      |   |
|           |      |        |  | lines 30-36; p. 233, lines 1-7 and 24-30; p. 239     |   |
|           |      |        |  | lines 4-5; p. 247 lines 14-17; page 258 lines 6-     |   |
|           |      |        | But, some projections of climate change are an increase in extremes,       | 18) in the draft sent to expert reviewers.           |   |
| Land-47   | 231  | 1 to 2 | including an increase in winter freezes??                                  | d  |   |
|           |      |        | Observation detail Continue O O O will discuss aliments as I discuss       | the section numbering will be updated on the         | see last paragraph of the 'Systems Perspective' section |
|           |      |        | Change to: detail. Section 2.2.2 will discuss climate and climate          | next draft after the "Arid Lands" portion is         | of the Arid Lands report.for nue section numbering      |
|           |      |        | change effects on species distributions and community dynamics and         | integrated with the "Forests" portion of the "Land   |   |
|           |      |        | Section 2.2.3 will review the consequences for ecosystem processes.        | Resources" chapter.                                  |   |
|           |      | 11 40  | Section 2.2.4 will focus on climate change implications for structure and  |  |   |
| Land 40   | 220  | 11 to  | function of riparian and aquatic ecosystems in arid lands. Implications    |  |   |
| Land-48   | 239  | 15     | for wind and water erosion will be reviewed in 2.2.5.                      | This will be attended as because it is a first of    | none of this point                                      |
|           |      |        | Increase planity on C2 and C4 dishotomics within the Arid Lands section    | This will be attempted subsequent to receipt of      | none at this point.                                     |
| Land 40   | 244  | 22     |  | Public Review comments.                              |   |
| Land-49   | 244  | 23     | and contrast with related discussion under Agriculture (p. 62, 1.17)       |  |   |

| Comment # | Page | Lines | Comment   | Author Response   | Changes to Draft  |
|-----------|------|-------|---|---|---|
| Land-50   | 249  | n/a   | Arid lands C sequestration conclusion needs more integration with the literature.   | We purposely cited few papers in the Findings and Conclusions section. This is because our aim here was to distill what has been elaborated in more detail with supporting references in the main body of the report. | we have added the following text and supporting citations to "Ecosystem Processes" section of the "Findings and Conclusions – Arid Lands" section in the in the new draft: "Arid soils contain relatively little soil organic matter and collectively make only a small contribution to the global pool of carbon in soils (Schlesinger 1977, Jobbagy and Jackson 2002)."   |
|           |      |       | Note the importance of long-term repeat photography as an additional  | The importance or repeat photography is mentioned in the first paragraph of the "Needs' section that follows the 'Monitoring' section. Additional text has now been developed for the monitoring section.             | The following text has been added to section "Indicators and Observing Systems – Arid Lands" (last entry in that section in the Revised manuscript): Repeat Photography Repeat photography is a valuable tool for documenting changes in vegetation and erosion. Hart and Laycock (1996) present a bibliography listing 175 publications using repeat photography and information on the ecosystems photographed, where they are located, number of photographs, and dates when the photographs were taken. More recent publications have added to this list (e.g., Webb 1996, McClaran 2003, Webb et al. 2007) and Hall (2002) has published a handbook of procedures. Time-series aerial photographs dating back to the 1930s and 1940s are also a useful source for quantifying landscape-scale changes in land cover (e.g., Archer 1996, Asner 2003). These early aerial photographs, often commissioned by federal and state agencies, are a valuable source of synoptic, spatially explicit depictions of vegetation cover  |
| Land-51   | 263  |       | The authors should highlight the need for soil moisture as a key monitoring metric and cross reference related text in the Water Reources section (p. 327). | Done  | The following text has been added to the "Needs" section of the revised manuscript (after paragraph 1): Soil moisture is a key indicator and integrator of ecological and hydrological processes. However, as noted in the Water Resources Chapter (Chapter 4, this volume), there is a dearth of information on the long-term patterns and trends in this important variable. Even on well-instrumented watersheds in arid lands (e.g., Lane and Kidwell 2003, NWRC 2007, SWRC 2007) soil moisture records are only erratically collected over time and are limited in their spatial coverage and depth. Thus, there is a pressing need for a distributed network of soil moisture sensors in arid lands. Ideally, such a network would also include collection of plant, soil and precipitation samples for determination of the stable isotope composition of C, O and H. Such isotope data would provide important clues regarding when and where plants were obtaining soil moisture and how primary production and water use efficiency are being affected by environmental conditions (e.g., Boutton et al. 1999, Roden et al. 2000, Williams and Ehleringer 2000) |

| Comment # | Page          | Lines | Comment   | Author Response   | Changes to Draft   |
|-----------|---------------|-------|---|---|--|
|           |               |       |   | Done  | Accepted editorial suggestion.   |
| Land-53   | 391           | 3     | Change to: Network, and continuous and broad-scale remote sensing   |   |  |
| Land-53   | 391           | 3     | Change to: Network, and continuous and broad-scale remote sensing  This section is quite excellent and complete. I do see the work of Neilson and his colleagues missing and a recent paper by Stanturf:  - Stanturf, J.A. et al., Disturbance and coastal forests: A strategic approach to forest management in hurricane impact zones, Forest Ecol. Manage. (2007), doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2007.03.015  - Cary, G.J., R.E. Keane, R.H. Gardner, S. Lavorel, M.D. Flannigan, I.D. Davies, C. Li, J.M. Lenihan, T.S. Rupp, F. Mouillot. 2006. Comparison of the sensitivity of landscape-fire-succession models to variation in terrain, fuel pattern, climate and weather. Landscape Ecology 21:121-137  - Bachelet D., J.M. Lenihan, R. P. Neilson, R.J. Drapek, and T. Kittel. 2005. Simulating the response of natural ecosystems and their fire regimes to climatic variability in Alaska. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 35:2073-2293  - Calkin, D.E., K.M. Gebert, J.G. Jones, and R.P. Neilson. 2005 Forest Service large fire area burned and suppression expenditure trends, | Added New section: 5.8 5.8 Changes in Overstory Species Composition Added Stanturf citation to Hurricane section (see comment under Land-4 and Land-31). Added Calkin ref to the fire section.  | Added new section:5.8 Changes in Overstory Species Composition Several approaches can predict changes in biomes (major vegetation assemblages such as conifer forests, and savanna/woodland) and changes in species composition or overstory species communities (Hansen et al. 2001a). These approaches use either rules that define the water balance, temperature, seasonality, etc. required for a particular biome, or statistically link species distributions or community composition with climate envelopes. These efforts have mostly focused on equilibrium responses to climate changes over the next century (Hansen et al. 2001a), so predictions for the next several decades are unavailable. Bachelet et al (2001) used the MAPPS model with the climate predictions generated by seven different global circulation models to predict how biome distributions would change with a doubling of CO2 by 2100. Mean annual temperature of the US increased from 3.3 to 5.8 |
|           |               |       | 1970 - 2002. Journal of Forestry 103(4): 179-183.   |   | °C for the climate predictions. Predicted forest cover in  |
| Land-54   | 190 to<br>220 |       | - Neilson, R.P., L.F. Pitelka, A.M. Solomon, R. Nathan, G.F. Midgley, J Marland, G., Pielke, R.A., Apps, M., Avissar, R., Betts, R.A., Davis, K.J.  |   | 2100 declined by an average of 11% (range for all climate models was +23% to -45%). The MAPPS model  |
|           |               |       | No mention of the Great Lakes? Major oversight. Small lakes and   |   |  |
| Water-1   | 272           |       | marshes?  | Agree   | NRC (1988) reference has been added.   |
| Water-2   | 289           | 20ff  | Work has been done on the Mississippi basin. References sent by separate message  | Agree   | Pagano and Garen (2005) reference has been added.  |
| Water-3   | 316           | na    | Water quality section is focused only on temp. Work has been done on nutrient/sediment loading under climate change. Refs sent separately   | Agree   | References added   |
|           |               |       |   | this comment refers to reported increases in the number of frost-free days since 1948 in the Water Resources chapter. In the Arid Lands section of the Land Resources chapter, we make no explicit statements regarding length of the frost-free season <i>per se</i> . However, we do refer to studies that explore the implications of a lengthening of the frost-free season (e.g., Weiss & Overpeck 2005 and associated text in the 'Bio- | of climate trends in the Sonoran Desert (1960-2000) also shows a decrease in the frequency of freezing   |
| Water-4   | 321           | 1,2   | not consistent with arid lands section.   |   |  |
| Water-5   | 321           |       | This section is covered elsewhere   | That report is not yet available  | No change has been made.   |

| Comment # | Page | Lines      | Comment   | Author Response  | Changes to Draft   |
|-----------|------|------------|---|--|--|
|           |      |            |   | This topic wanders too far from the charge. If this were a chapter on the global water cycle, the authors would agree. The authors believe that this topic would be more appropriate elsewhere in this SAP activity, but not in this |  |
| Water-6   | 322  | 4          | ditto   | report specifically.   | No change has been made.   |
| Water-7   | n/a  | n/a        | Each of the sections may benefit from adding some more references.  The recent chapter on water prepared for IPCC, may be a good source.  | Agree  | Woodhouse and Overpeck (1998) reference has been added.  |
| Water-8   | n/a  | n/a        | The coverage of the evaporation section is limited to the terrestrial aspect. However, oceanic evaporation (which accounts for over 75% of total global evaporation) plays a critical role in the dynamics of terrestrial water balance and water resources in general. Examples are many such as the winter precipitation in Western US responsible for much of the snow pack etc. The report should cite some of the recent studies such as the work of <i>L. Yu and R. A. Weller, 2006, In BAMS.</i> Other references that may be considered are: <i>A. Ohmura and M. Wild, Science, Nov. 15, 2002; Peterson et al., Science 1995; Also Trenberth has a few on this topic.</i> | Agree  | The following text and reference on pre-instrumental droughts has been added: "Prior to the instrumental record of roughly 100 years, there is evidence that much more severe droughts have occurred in North America. For instance, Woodhouse and Overpeck (1998), using paleo indicators (primarily tree rings) find that many droughts over the last 2000 years have eclipsed the major U.S. droughts of the 1930s and 1950s, with much more severe droughts occurring as recently as the 1600s. Although the nature of future drought stress remains unclear, for those areas where climate models suggest drying, such as the Southwest (see e.g., Seager et al, 2007), droughts more severe than those encountered in the instrumental record may be increasingly likely." |
| Water-9   | n/a  | n/a        | The section on drought may benefit from a more comprehensive coverage. In fact, drought is one topic that cuts across almost all of the chapters of 4.3. Some specific areas to consider are:  The work of University of Nebraska and reference to drought monitor  The recent drought studies based on the instrumental records of the past century, in the context of proxy record studies (Tree rings and stable isotope). Overpeck should be a good source for providing some references as well as the Tree Ring center at Univ. of Arizona.  Some coverage of the literature on the economic impacts of recent droughts maybe useful to include.                            | Agree  | Suggested references have been added. But even they are vague on water quality impacts, there is not a lot written on this.  |
|           |      | , , ==     | Another cross cutting topic relates to the impact of changing vegetation cover on the hydrology. Some of the work sponsored by SAHRA STC at the Univ. of Arizona, especially by Eric Small (formerly at NM Tech and now at Univ of Colorado) and the work of USDA ARS in Tucson (Dave Goodrich is a good contact to identify some references) is useful   |  | NRC (1988) reference has been added to the   |
| Water-10  |      | n/a<br>n/a | to reference.  The coverage of the water quality sub-section can benefit from the broadening of its scope. In specific and especially relevant to the cornbelt region is the impact of hydrologic variability on water quality (surface and subsurface). Gene had some suggestions for additional references, which have looked at the impact of non-point source impact of Nitrogen and Phosphorus (essentially from fertilizer and feedlots) on water quality.  | Agree  | background section.  Pagano and Garen (2005) reference has been added, to discussion of Central US.  |

| Comment # | Page | Lines | Comment  | Author Response   | Changes to Draft   |
|-----------|------|-------|--|---|--|
|           |      |       | Even though the chapter presents a few of the recent literature about the inadequacy of the stationarity assumption in hydrology, adding some more references may not hurt. In specific, the NRC GCIP report |   |  |
|           |      |       | prepared by the GEWEX panel in mid 90s, discusses the issue of the non-stationarity of the hydrologic processes and calls for need for   | This is a bit too out of date, given focus of this  |  |
| Water-12  | n/a  | n/a   | research to address the issue.   | report on implications of AR4 scenarios.  | No change has been made.   |
| Water-12  | 11/4 | 11/4  | research to address the issue.   | The reviewer refers to SAP 5.3, Decision  | No change has been made.   |
|           |      |       |  | support experiments and evaluations using   |  |
|           |      |       |  | seasonal to interannual forecasts and   |  |
|           |      |       | The work of Pagano etal (Evaluation of official western US seasonal  | observational data, with regard to water  |  |
|           |      |       | water supply outlooks, 1922-2002 in Journal of Hydrometeorology)   | resources in particular. The reviewer and SAP   |  |
|           |      |       | may be useful to build the foundation for a discussion on uncertainties  | 4.3 report management have reviewed the   |  |
|           |      |       | due to lack of sufficient observation and hence the importance of the  | available draft, and found that no significant or   |  |
| Water-13  | n/a  | n/a   | investment in long term observations.  | contradictory overlaps exist.   | No change has been made.   |
| Water-14  | n/a  | n/a   | The special issue of Water Resources Bulleting in mid 90's on the topic of climate and water may be another useful source to reference.  | This is a bit too out of date, given focus of this report on implications of AR4 scenarios. | No change made.  |
|           |      |       | The committee is aware of at least anther chapter on water by the  | Agree. Water is also being coverdin a SAP   |  |
| Water-15  | n/a  | n/a   | NOAA CCSP effort.  | sponsored by EPA  | No change  |
| Water-16  | ln/a | n/a   | Some of the above issues may have already been covered there and no need to duplicate. Coordination may be necessary.  | Partially Agree   | We agree that avoiding duplication is a worhtwhile goal. However, each SAP also needs to be a complete, standalone document. Given the differing sponsors, and management of each SAP effort, and the widley varying schedules, the task of coordination was beyond what could be accomplished by the author team alone. |
| vvaler-10 | ıı/a | II/a  | Theed to duplicate. Coordination may be necessary.   | railially Agree   | could be accomplished by the author team alone.  |