

MASTER

UNITED STATES ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION


AECU-1275

THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF THORIUM AND ZIRCONIUM
DIHYDRIDES BY X-RAY AND NEUTRON DIFFRACTION

By
R. E. Rundle
C. G. Shull
E. O. Wollan

April 20, 1951

Ames Laboratory
Oak Ridge National Laboratory



Technical Information Service, Oak Ridge, Tennessee

This document is
PUBLICLY RELEASABLE

J. Kinser

Authorizing Official

Date: *2/11/05*

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

2

CHEMISTRY

Reproduced direct from copy
as submitted to this office.

Work performed under
Contract No. W-7405-eng-82.

AEC, Oak Ridge, Tenn., 7-6-51--335-W4061

THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF THORIUM AND ZIRCONIUM DIHYDRIDES

BY X-RAY AND NEUTRON DIFFRACTION*

by

R. E. Rundle
Institute for Atomic Research, and Department of Chemistry
Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa

and
C. G. Shull and E. O. Wollan
Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee

Abstract

Thorium forms a tetragonal lower hydride of composition ThH_2 . The hydrides ThH_2 , ThD_2 and ZrD_2 have been studied by neutron diffraction in order that hydrogen positions could be determined. The hydrides are isomorphous, and have a deformed fluorite structure. Metal-hydrogen distances in thorium hydride are unusually large, as in UH_3 .

Thorium and zirconium scattering amplitudes and a revised scattering amplitude for deuterium are reported.

Introduction

ThH_2 , discovered during X-ray study of the thorium-hydrogen system, and ZrH_2 (Hagg, 1930) have axes which suggest that they are isomorphous with the reported tetragonal structure of ThC_2 (von Stackelberg, 1930). Though ThC_2 is now known to be monoclinic (Hunt and Rundle, 1950) the tetragonal lattices might be interpreted as suggesting H_2 groups for these hydrides, making their structure a matter of considerable interest.

* Work performed under contract with Atomic Energy Commission.

This paper** presents X-ray evidence for the existence of ThH_2 , the lattice constants and metal arrangement obtained by X-ray diffraction, and hydrogen positions as determined for both ZrH_2 and ThH_2 by neutron diffraction. In addition, the magnetic susceptibility of ThH_2 , which has a bearing on the presence of H_2 groups, was examined.

Phase Determination

Thorium has been reported to react with hydrogen to form a phase or phases varying in composition from about ThH_2 to ThH_4 (Winkler, 1891; Matignon and Delepine, 1901; Sieverts and Roell, 1926). However, the earlier evidence for any distinct hydride or hydrides was slight, and most of the earlier investigators favored the concept that the system was of the solid solution type. No structural information was available in the older literature. Zachariasen (1944) found a higher hydride having a cubic structure unrelated to that of thorium metal. Shortly afterward, during a systematic X-ray study of the thorium-hydrogen system, we found a lower hydride. It appeared tetragonal, and isomorphous with ZrH_2 , and hence was presumed to ThH_2 . The composition study reported in Table I confirms this formula.

The limits of solubility of thorium and hydrogen in the hydride are uncertain since, due to the small particle size of the hydride, precise determinations of the lattice constant as a function of composition are impossible. However, it appears that large changes in the lattice

** The publication of the data and conclusions in this paper have been delayed considerably because of declassification problems. All of the data were obtained and most of the analysis performed during the middle of 1948 or earlier.

Table I
Thorium-Hydrogen System

| Composition | Phases and Intensity |
|---------------------|--|
| ThH _{0.92} | Th (med.) - Tetr. (med.) |
| ThH _{1.24} | Th (weak) - Tetr. (med.) |
| ThH _{1.50} | Th (weak) - Tetr. (strong) |
| ThH _{1.78} | Tetragonal |
| ThH _{1.98} | Tetragonal |
| ThH _{2.11} | Tetragonal (strong)-Cubic (very weak) ^a |
| ThH _{2.49} | Tetragonal (medium)-Cubic (medium) |
| ThH _{2.56} | Tetragonal (medium)-Cubic (medium) |
| ThH _{2.96} | Tetragonal (weak)-Cubic (strong) |
| ThH _{3.12} | Tetragonal (weak)-Cubic (strong) |
| ThH _{3.53} | Cubic |
| ThH _{3.62} | Cubic |

^aZachariasen found that the higher hydride was a cubic phase.

constants do not occur, and presumably neither solubility is great. ThH₂ may be regarded as a distinct compound.

Preparation of Samples. The thorium hydrides are quite sensitive to oxidation. To prepare samples for X-ray study a known quantity of pure thorium metal was reacted with carefully purified hydrogen within a glass bulb equipped with attached thin-walled glass capillaries. A measured volume of hydrogen was admitted to the system at known pressure and temperature, the reaction initiated by heating to 400-450° C, and the excess hydrogen measured and evacuated.

Thorium metal forms a powder when reacted with hydrogen. The powdered hydride of known composition was shaken into the capillaries which were then sealed off the bulb and used for the X-ray studies.

The hydrides of lower compositions were made by removing hydrogen from the higher hydride at about 575° and a high vacuum. The hydrogen removed was measured by water displacement from a Mariotte bottle.

Because of the higher coherent scattering amplitude and lower spin diffuse scattering for deuterium, deuterides rather than hydrides are preferred for the neutron diffraction studies. A sample of ThH_2 was studied, however, and this provided an interesting check on the ThD_2 conclusions, even though the two diffraction patterns were completely different. The deuterides were prepared and used in quite large amounts, so that slight surface oxidation was not so important as in the X-ray studies. They were prepared from thorium and zirconium metals in a vacuum system but were transferred to sample holders in a dry box under carbon dioxide. The thorium metal was pure Ames metal turnings while the zirconium was Foote Mineral Co. metal reduced to fine turnings (the massive metal would not react under the conditions of the experiment).

The deuterium for the thorium deuteride was prepared by passing the vapor from 99.3% D_2O , obtained from the A.E.C., over uranium turnings at 700° . The method is due to Newton (Spedding, Newton *et al.*, 1949). In the preparation of zirconium deuteride, 99.5% deuterium gas supplied by the Stuart Oxygen Company was used. In both preparations the purity of the deuterium was assured by reacting it with uranium turnings at 250° and subsequently releasing it by heating the UD_3 thus formed to 500° .

Structure Determination

Lattice Constants. X-ray powder diagrams of ThH₂ indicate it to be body-centered tetragonal, $a_0 = 4.10 \pm 0.03$, $c_0 = 5.03 \pm 0.03$ Å, $Z = 2$, $\rho = 9.20$ g./cc. Our lattice constants for ZrH₂ are $a_0 = 3.520 \pm 0.003$, $c_0 = 4.449 \pm 0.003$ Å, in good agreement with those of Hagg (1930), if his axes are transformed from face-centered to body-centered, and changed from μ X to Angstrom units.

A few very weak maxima not explained by the above unit were noted in the ThH₂ X-ray pattern, and some of these have not been explained in terms of impurities. Since ThC₂ was found to be only pseudo-tetragonal it was feared that this might also be the case for ThH₂. However, the extra reflections on the ThH₂ diagram were not related to the more numerous extra reflections of ThC₂. Moreover, the neutron diffraction data leave no doubt that ZrH₂ and ThH₂ are isomorphous or very nearly isomorphous with respect to both metal and hydrogen positions. There is neither X-ray nor neutron diffraction evidence to indicate anything other than the above body-centered lattice for ZrH₂. We presume that the extra lines in the ThH₂ pattern are due to unidentified impurities.

Magnetic Susceptibilities. As noted above, the possibility must be considered that ThH₂ and ZrH₂ contain H₂ groups. Metal distances are materially greater in the hydrides than in the metals, and the metal arrangements are different in the metals and hydrides. It seemed unlikely that such large changes could be produced by the solution of H₂ molecules in the metals. Consequently, if H₂ groups were to exist, it seemed

likely that they would do so as H_2^+ or H_2^- ions. In either case the ion would contain an unpaired electron, and should lead to paramagnetic dihydrides.

ThH_2 was examined and found to be diamagnetic. Our zirconium metal contained sufficient iron to render susceptibility measurements valueless. We have not examined the susceptibility of ZrH_2 further, but it appears likely that ZrH_2 is also diamagnetic.

Hydrogen Positions in the Hydrides. ThH_2 , ThD_2 and ZrD_2 have been studied by neutron diffraction using the apparatus described by Wollan and Shull (1948). A monochromatic beam of neutrons of wavelength 1.057 Å was diffracted by the polycrystalline samples. The resulting diffraction patterns are shown in Figures (1) and (2). A pronounced difference is to be seen in the patterns for ThH_2 and ThD_2 , and this is a consequence of the reversed phase of scattering for hydrogen relative to that for deuterium and thorium. The very high diffuse scattering in the ThH_2 pattern is to be noted and this is caused by the very large nuclear spin incoherence which characterizes hydrogen scattering. This is very much less in the case of the deuteride. The ZrD_2 pattern is very similar to that of ThD_2 aside from some intensity differences caused by scattering amplitude differences.

All reflections observed are accounted for by the body-centered, tetragonal lattices obtained by X-ray diffraction. It is to be noted that without the neutron diffraction data it would be unsafe to assume a body-centered set of positions for the hydrogen atoms.

The metal atoms can be placed at 000 and $\frac{111}{222}$ without loss of generality. There are then five possible sets of body-centered positions

for hydrogen atoms. These are

- I $000, \frac{111}{222} + 0\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}0\frac{1}{4}$
 II $000, \frac{111}{222} + 0\frac{1}{2}0, \frac{1}{2}00$
 III $000, \frac{111}{222} \pm 00z$
 IV $000, \frac{111}{222} + 0\frac{1}{2}z, \frac{1}{2}0z$
 V $000, \frac{111}{222} + 0\frac{1}{2}z, \frac{1}{2}0\bar{z}$

The structure proposed by Stackelberg (1930) for ThC_2 is not body-centered, but a similar structure with H_2 groups at random along $[\bar{1}10]$ and $[\bar{1}\bar{1}0]$ is body-centered, and has been considered. This structure is eliminated by consideration of (002) alone, irrespective of the H_2 distance assumed, since it makes this reflection far more intense than observed.

Structure II is easily eliminated; e. g., it makes (002) strong and (112) very weak, contrary to observation. Likewise, III can be eliminated irrespective of z since it makes (110) strong. A somewhat more detailed examination of V eliminates it for all possible values of z .

Structure I provides very satisfactory agreement between observed and calculated intensities (Table II, III and IV), and structure IV is satisfactory in the neighborhood of $z = \frac{1}{4}$, where it becomes identical with structure I. The allowed variation of z from $\frac{1}{4}$ in structure IV is about 0.03 (Table V). Since agreement becomes somewhat worse for any appreciable deviation of z from $\frac{1}{4}$, and since there is no obvious reason for any deviation, we presume that Structure I is correct.

Neutron Intensities. The observed neutron intensities, recorded in Tables II, III and IV are integrated intensities on an absolute scale determined with a BF_3 , B^{10} enriched, proportional counter by methods

Table II
Observed and Calculated Intensities for ThD₂

| Indices | P _{hkl} (calcd)* | P · e ^{-$\frac{\sigma \sin^2 \theta}{2}$} ** | P _{obsd.} ($\frac{\text{neutrons}}{4 \text{ min}}$) |
|---------|---------------------------|--|--|
| 101 | 332 | 320 | 322 |
| 110 | 7 | 7 | < 10 |
| 002 | 4 | 4 | 10 |
| 200 | 368 | 339 | 344 |
| 112 | 649 | 588 | 586 |
| 211 | 207 | 183 | 191 |
| 202 | 8 | 7 | 74 |
| 103 | 87 | 75 | |
| 220 | 196 | 166 | 125 |
| 301 | 65 | 52 | 181 |
| 310 | 4 | 3 | |
| 004 | 78 | 62 | |
| 222 | 4 | 3 | |
| 213 | 117 | 93 | |
| 114 | 4 | 3 | 429 |
| 312 | 538 | 413 | |
| 321 | 98 | --- | --- |

$$R = \frac{\sum |P_{\text{obsd.}} - P_{\text{calcd.}}|}{\sum P_{\text{obsd.}}} = 0.05$$

* Using $f_D = 0.64$, $f_{Th} = 1.01 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2$

** $\sigma = 1.41 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2$.

Table III
Calculated and Observed Intensities for ThH₂

| Indices | P _{calcd.} | P _{calcd.} x e ^{-βsin²θ} | P obsd. ($\frac{\text{neutrons}}{4 \text{ min.}}$) |
|---------|---------------------|---|--|
| 101 | 146 | 140 | 172 |
| 110 | 185 | 178 | 195 |
| 002 | 74 | 70 | 86 |
| 200 | 2 | 2 | --- |
| 112 | 3 | 3 | --- |
| 211 | 89 | 79 | 110 |
| 202 | 122 | 106 | 150 |
| 103 | 37 | 32 | |
| | } 159 | | |
| | } 138 | | |
| 220 | 1 | 1 | --- |

Table IV
Calculated and Observed Intensities for ZrD₂

| Indices | P _{calcd.*} | P obsd. ($\frac{\text{neutrons}}{4 \text{ min.}}$) |
|---------|----------------------|--|
| 101 | 164 | 187 |
| 110 | 56 | 57 |
| 002 | 21 | --- |
| 200 | 292 | 294 |
| 112 | 512 | 543 |
| 211 | 100 | 117 |
| 202 | 36 | 62 |
| 103 | 43 | |
| | } 79 | |
| 220 | 154 | 121 |

* $f_D = 0.64$, $f_{Zr} = 0.67 \times 10^{-12}$ cm.; not corrected by temperature factor.

Table V
Calculated Versus Observed Intensities for ThD₂
Based on Structure IV

| Indices | $P \cdot e^{-\frac{8 \sin^2 \theta}{2}}$ (calcd.) | | | | P obsd. |
|---------|---|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| | $z = 0.25$ | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.31 | |
| 101 | 320 | 320 | 320 | 320 | 322 |
| 110 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | < 10 |
| 002 | 4 | 8 | 22 | 43 | 10 |
| 200 | 339 | 339 | 339 | 339 | 344 |
| 112 | 588 | 580 | 553 | 510 | 586 |
| 211 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 191 |
| 202 | 7 | 15 | 38 | 76 | 74 |
| 103 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | |
| 220 | 166 | 166 | 166 | 166 | 125 |
| 301 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 181 |
| 310 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| 004 | 62 | 58 | 48 | 33 | |
| 222 | 3 | 6 | 16 | 32 | |
| 213 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 429 |
| 114 | 3 | 16 | 52 | 103 | |
| 312 | 413 | 406 | 388 | 369 | |
| R | 0.052 | 0.054 | 0.078 | 0.124 | |

$$R = \frac{\sum |P_{\text{obsd.}} - P_{\text{calcd.}}|}{\sum P_{\text{obsd.}}}$$

previously described. The calculated absolute neutron intensities were obtained from the equation

$$P_{hkl} = \frac{k}{L(\theta)} j_{hkl} F_{hkl}^2 e^{-\beta \sin^2 \theta / \lambda^2}$$

were k , determined independently for this instrument = 0.066, j is the multiplicity factor, F is the structure factor, L is the Lorentz factor. For the powder block method employed for the ThD_2 data, L is $\sin^2 2\theta$, and for the ThH_2 and ZrD_2 data, taken with cylindrical samples, it is $\sin 2\theta \sin \theta$. The exponential term is a temperature factor of the usual form, where β is determined empirically. Note that k is a known constant determined from studies with materials of known cross section, so the absolute intensity agreement is significant.

The coherent scattering amplitude for deuterium was determined previously, but if the parameterless positions for the deuterium atoms are assumed, the value can be improved somewhat by the present work, and for the calculation of Tables II, and IV a value, $f_D = + 0.64 \times 10^{-12} \text{cm.}$, has been used.

Coherent scattering factors were available for neither zirconium nor thorium and these were determined from ZrN , ZrO_2 , Th and ThO_2 . The scattering amplitudes* for zirconium and thorium thus determined are respectively $+ 0.67$ and $+ 1.01 \times 10^{-12} \text{cm.}$, whereas that for hydrogen has been taken as $- 0.39 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{cm.}$

* See Shull and Wollan (1951) for a more complete tabulation of neutron scattering amplitudes. The value used here for the zirconium scattering amplitude differs somewhat from that in the tabulation but is not outside the limits of error on either. The present value was suggested from consistency within the ZrD_2 data.

Discussion of the Structures. The nearest neighbors of hydrogen and metal atoms are listed in Table VI. The four metal atoms about hydrogen in the structure are arranged tetrahedrally. One two-fold axis of the tetrahedron has the direction $\overline{[001]}$, and the tetrahedron is flattened slightly in this direction. The hydride has a distorted fluorite structure, tetragonal rather than cubic because of compression along $\overline{[001]}$.

These hydrides are black and metallic (or interstitial) rather than saline in character. It seems clear from the metal distances, which are much larger for the hydrides than the pure metals, that metal-hydrogen interactions are quite important in the structure.

If one assumes that the bonds between metal and hydrogen are essentially covalent the H-M bonds are expected to be of bond number 0.25, or quarter bonds, since hydrogen can share at most one electron pair with its four neighbors. Using Pauling's metallic radii (H = 0.27, Zr = 1.454, Th = 1.652 Å) and rule (Pauling, 1947), one would predict for Th-H a distance of 2.28 Å versus 2.41 Å observed. Similarly, the predicted and observed ZrH bonds are 2.08 and 2.09 Å respectively. Though the agreement is satisfactory for ZrH₂, the observed value for ThH₂ is much too long, and would suggest very poor Th-H bonding. (Application of Pauling's rule gives a bond number for Th-H of 0.14). In thorium hydride the metal-metal distances are relatively long, so that application of Pauling's rule would lead to the conclusion that in ThH₂ the number of electrons used by thorium in forming bonds (the metallic valence) is only 2.37, while for ZrH₂ the calculated valence is 3.94, versus 4 expected in both cases. There is no obvious explanation for the very long interatomic distances and apparent low metallic valence in the thorium hydride.

Table VI
Interatomic Distances in ThD₂ and ZrD₂

| ThD ₂ | | ZrD ₂ |
|------------------|---------|------------------|
| | About D | |
| 4Th at 2.41 Å | | 4 Zr at 2.09 Å |
| | About M | |
| 8H at 2.41 Å | | 8H at 2.09 |
| 8Th at 3.83 | | 8Zr at 3.33 |
| 4Th at 4.09 | | 4Zr at 3.51 |

It seems likely that the compression of the structure along c_0 (c_0 of ThD₂ is shorter than the corresponding distance, a_0 , in thorium metal), leading to the distortion of the fluorite structure, occurs to provide for shorter metal-metal bonds. Unfortunately, quantitative rules, such as Pauling's, concerning bond distances are apparently too rough to be of help in understanding how large such a distortion should be. Neither are such rules of help in guessing hydrogen positions from metal positions. On the basis of Pauling's rule the distances of structure II, which is ruled out according to the neutron intensities, are at least as satisfactory as those of I, and, indeed, lead to a metal valence somewhat nearer the expected value. A similar situation has been noted in the case of UD₃, where hydrogen was again found in distorted tetrahedral positions with abnormally large U-H distances, (Rundle, 1951), and again, ordinary considerations of bond distances would seem to favor another structure (Rundle, 1947, Pauling and Ewing, 1948).

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to a number of people. Dr. R. F. Raeuchle prepared the deuterides and hydride used in the neutron diffraction studies and made the magnetic susceptibility measurements on ThH₂. Dr. R. Nottorf prepared the thorium hydrides of varying composition used in the X-ray studies, and Dr. A. S. Wilson prepared most of the X-ray powder diagrams.

References

- Hagg, G. (1930), Z. physik. Chem., (B) 11, 433.
- Hunt, E. B. and Rundle, R. E. (1951), J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press.
- Matignon, C. and Delepine, M. (1901), Compt. rend., 132, 36.
- Pauling, L. (1947), J. Am. Chem. Soc., 69, 542.
- Pauling, L. and Ewing, F. J. (1948), J. Am. Chem. Soc., 70, 1660.
- Rundle, R. E. (1947), J. Am. Chem. Soc., 69, 1719.
- Rundle, R. E. (1951), J. Am. Chem. Soc., submitted for publication.
- Shull, C. G. and Wollan, E. O. (1951), Phys. Rev., 81, 527.
- Sieverts, A. and Roell, E. (1926). Z. anorg. allgem. Chem., 153 299.
- Spedding, F. J., Newton, A., Warf, J., Johnson, O., Nottorf, R., Johns, I., and Daane, A. (1949). Nucleonics, 4, 4.
- Stackelberg, M. von (1930). Z. physik. Chem., (B), 2, 437.
- Winkler, C. (1891). Ber. 24, 886.
- Wollan, E. O. and Shull, C. G. (1948), Phys. Rev., 73, 830.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1944). Manhattan Project Report, CC-2166.





Figure 1. Neutron diffraction patterns taken for polycrystalline samples of ThD_2 and ThH_2 . The difference in the two patterns is caused by the reversed phase of hydrogen scattering relative to that of deuterium.

18

18

AECU-1275



END OF DOCUMENT

Figure 2. Neutron diffraction pattern taken for a polycrystalline sample of ZrD_2 .