and in the consideration of any specific practices (see §§ 780.148–780.158 and 780.205–780.214), there may be special factors in addition to those above mentioned which may aid in the determination.

## § 780.146 Importance of relationship of the practice to farming generally.

The inclusion of incidental practices in the definition of agriculture was not intended to include typical factory workers or industrial operations, and the sponsors of the bill made it clear that the erection and operation on a farm by a farmer of a factory, even one using raw materials which he grows, "would not make the manufacturing \* \* \* a farming operation'' (see 81 Cong. Rec. 7658; Maneja v. Waialua, 349 U.S. 254). Accordingly, in determining whether a given practice is performed 'as an incident to or in conjunction with" farming operations under the intended meaning of section 3(f), the nature of the practice and the circumstances under which it is performed must be considered in the light of the common understanding of what is agricultural and what is not, or the facts indicating whether performance of the practice is in competition with agricultural or with industrial operations, and of the extent to which such a practice is ordinarily performed by farmers incidentally to their farming operations (see *Bowie* v. *Gonzales*, 117 F. 2d 11; Calaf v. Gonzalez, 127 F. 2d 934; Vives v. Seralles, 145 F. 2d 552; Mitchell v. Hunt, 263 F. 2d 913; Holtville Alfalfa Mills v. Wyatt, 230 F. 2d 398; Mitchell v. Budd, 350 U.S. 473; Maneja v. Waialua, supra). Such an inquiry would appear to have a direct bearing on whether a practice is an "established" part of agriculture. The fact that farmers raising a commodity on which a given practice is performed do not ordinarily perform such a practice has been considered a significant indication that the practice is not "agriculture" within the secondary meaning of section 3(f) (Mitchell v. Budd, supra; Maneja v. Waialua, supra). The test to be applied is not the proportion of those performing the practice who produce the commodities on which it is performed but the proportion of those producing such commodities who perform the practice

(Maneja v. Waialua, supra). In Mitchell v. Budd, supra, the U.S. Supreme Court found that the following two factors tipped the scales so as to take the employees of tobacco bulking plants outside the scope of agriculture: Tobacco farmers do not ordinarily perform the bulking operation; and, the bulking operation is a process which changes tobacco leaf in many ways and turns it into an industrial product.

# § 780.147 Practices performed on farm products—special factors considered.

In determining whether a practice performed on agricultural or horticultural commodities is incident to or in conjunction with the farming operations of a farmer or a farm, it is also necessary to consider the type of product resulting from the practice—as whether the raw or natural state of the commodity has been changed. Such a change may be a strong indication that the practice is not within the scope of agriculture (Mitchell v. Budd, 350 U.S. 473); the view was expressed in the legislative debates on the Act that it marks the dividing line between processing as an agricultural function and processing as a manufacturing operation (Maneja v. Waialua, 349 U.S. 254, citing 81 Cong. Rec. 7659-7660, 7877-7879). Consideration should also be given to the value added to the product as a result of the practice and whether a sales organization is maintained for product. the disposal of the Seasonality of the operations involved in the practice would not be very helpful as a test to distinguish between operations incident to agriculture and operations of commercial or industrial processors who handle a similar volume of the same seasonal crop. But the length of the period during which the practice is performed might cast some light on whether the operations are conducted as a part of agriculture or as a separate undertaking when considered together with the amount of investment, payroll, and other factors. In some cases, the fact that products resulting from the practice are sold under the producer's own label rather than under that of the purchaser may furnish an indication that the practice

#### § 780.148

is conducted as a separate business activity rather than as a part of agriculture

PRACTICES INCLUDED WHEN PERFORMED AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 3(f)

# § 780.148 "Any" practices meeting the requirements will qualify for exemption.

The language of section 3(f) of the Act, in defining the "secondary" meaning of "agriculture," provides that any practices performed by a farmer or on a farm as an incident to or in conjunction with such (his or its) farming operations are within the definition. The practices which may be exempt as "agriculture" if so performed are stated to include forestry or lumbering operations, preparation for market, and delivery to storage or to market or to carriers for transportation to market. The specification of these practices is illustrative rather than limiting in nature. The broad language of the definition clearly includes all practices thus performed and not merely those named . (see *Maneja* v. *Waialua,* 349 U.S. 254).

## § 780.149 Named practices as well as others must meet the requirements.

The specific practices named in section 3(f) must, like any others, be performed by a farmer or on a farm as an incident to or in conjunction with such farming operations, for this condition applies to "any" practices brought within the secondary meaning of agriculture as defined in that section of the Act. Thus the preparation for market, by a farmer's employees on a farm of animals to be sold at a livestock auction is not within section 3(f) if animals from other farmers and other farms are also handled. The practice is not performed as an incident to or in conjunction with "such" farming operations, that is, the operations of the farmer by whom, or of the farm on which, the livestock is raised (Mitchell v. Hunt, 263 F. 2d 913).

#### PREPARATION FOR MARKET

### § 780.150 Scope and limits of "preparation for market."

"Preparation for market" is also named as one of the practices which may be included in "agriculture." The

term includes the operations normally performed upon farm commodities to prepare them for the farmer's market. The farmer's market normally means the wholesaler, processor, or distributing agency to which the farmer delivers his products. "Preparation for market" clearly has reference to activities which precede "delivery to market." It is not, however, synonymous with "preparation for sale." The term must be treated differently with respect to various commodities. It is emphasized that "preparation for market," like other practices, must be performed "by a farmer or on a farm as an incident to or in conjunction with such farming operations" in order to be within section 3(f).

### § 780.151 Particular operations on commodities.

Subject to the rules heretofore discussed, the following activities are, among others, activities that may be performed in the "preparation for market" of the indicated commodities and may come within section 3(f):

(a) Grain, seed, and forage crops. Weighing, binning, stacking, drying, cleaning, grading, shelling, sorting, packing, and storing.

- (b) Fruits and vegetables. Assembling, ripening, cleaning, grading, sorting, drying, preserving, packing, and storing. (See In the Matter of J. J. Crosetti, 29 LRRM 1353, 98 NLRB 268; In the Matter of Imperial Garden Growers, 91 NLRB 1034, 26 LRRM 1632; Lenroot v. Hazelhurst Mercantitle Co., 59 F. Supp. 595; North Whittier Heights Citrus Ass'n v. NLRB, 109 F.2d 76; Dofflemeyer v. NLRB, 206 F.2d 813.)
- (c) Peanuts and nuts (pecans, walnuts, etc.). Grading, cracking, shelling, cleaning, sorting, packing, and storing.
- (d) Eggs. Handling, cooling, grading, candling, and packing.
- (e) Wool. Grading and packing.
- (f) Dairy products. Separating, cooling, packing, and storing.
- (g) Cotton. Weighing, ginning, and storing cotton; hulling, delinting, cleaning, sacking, and storing cottonseed
- (h) *Nursery stock*. Handling, sorting, grading, trimming, bundling, storing, wrapping, and packing. (See *Jordan* v. *Stark Brothers Nurseries*, 45 F. Supp. 769;