Missouri River Master Water Control Manual

Review and Update

Final Environmental Impact Statement Volume II: Main Report, Part 2



U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Northwestern Division

March 2004

The following FEIS materials are available to members of the public upon request:

Bound Copies

- Volume I, FEIS, Part 1—This volume contains Chapters 1 through 5 of the FEIS.
- Volume II, FEIS, Part 2—This volume contains Chapters 6 through 13 of the FEIS.
- Volume III, Appendix A, Tribal, Part 1—This volume describes Tribal consultation regarding the Master Manual Study, contains a record of Tribal communications, and contains copies of the actual correspondence with the Tribes.
- Volume IV, Appendix A, Tribal, Part 2—This volume contains copies of the remaining correspondence with the Tribes.
- Volume V, Appendix B, Water Quality and Appendix C, Biological Assessment—This volume contains detailed water quality information and the Corps' Final Biological Assessment on the operation of the Missouri River Mainstem Reservoir System, the Operation and Maintenance of the Bank Stabilization and Navigation Project, and the Operation of the Kansas River Reservoir System.
- Volume VI, Appendix D, RDEIS Comments and Responses, Part 1—This volume contains an overview of the RDEIS public comment process, a summary of the public comments received, and responses to public comments.
- Volume VII, Appendix D, RDEIS Comments and Responses, Part 2—This volume contains copies of RDEIS comment letters from Federal agencies, Tribal groups, State agencies, local agencies, non-governmental organizations, and businesses.
- Volume VIII, Appendix D, RDEIS Comments and Responses, Part 3—This volume contains copies of RDEIS comment letters from private citizens.
- Volume IX, Appendix D, RDEIS Comments and Responses, Part 4—This volume contains copies of the RDEIS public hearing transcripts.

CDs

- CD 1 contains Volumes I through V (FEIS and Appendices A-C).
- CD 2 contains Volumes VI through IX (Appendix D, RDEIS Comments and Responses).

To request a copy of any of the FEIS materials described here, please contact the Corps (see the back cover – For More Information). These materials are also available for review at a number of libraries throughout the region. Please log on to our Web site to find the library nearest you: http://www.usace.army.mil

Volume 1: Main Report

1.	INTRODUCTION		
	1.1	Background and Authority	1-1
	1.2	Purpose and Need	1-2
	1.3	History of the Study and NEPA Process	1-2
	1.4	Public Concerns and Issues	1-7
	1.5	American Indian Tribes and the Master Manual Revision	1-9
2.	CUR	RENT AND ALTERNATIVE WATER CONTROL PLANS	2-1
	2.1	Current Water Control Plan	2-1
	2.2	Alternatives Formulation	2-8
3.	DES	CRIPTION OF EXISTING ENVIRONMENT	3-1
	3.1	General Description of the Missouri River—Fort Peck Lake to St. Louis	3-1
	3.2	The Mainstem Reservoir System and Lower River	3-5
	3.3	Water in Storage and River Flows	3-11
	3.4	Sedimentation, Erosion, and Ice Processes	3-19
	3.5	Water Quality	3-27
	3.6	Wetland and Riparian Vegetation	3-59
	3.7	Wildlife Resources	3-77
	3.8	Fish	3-91
	3.9	Flood Control	3-103
	3.10	Water Supply	3-111
	3.11	Hydropower	3-119
	3.12	Recreation	3-125
	3.13	Navigation	3-143
	3.14	Socioeconomics	3-147
	3.15	Historic Properties	3-165
4.	ALTE	RNATIVES SUBMITTED TO THE CORPS FOR CONSIDERATION	4-1
	4.1	Introduction	4-1
	4.2	Summary of Alternatives Submitted for Corps Consideration	4-3
	4.3	Summary of Missouri River Issues	4-10
5.	EFFE	CTS OF ALTERNATIVES SUBMITTED TO THE CORPS FOR	
	CON	SIDERATION	5-1
	5.1	Introduction	5-1
	5.2	Mainstem Reservoir System Hydrology	5-3
	5.3	Sedimentation, Erosion, and Ice Processes	5-23
	5.4	Water Quality	5-25
	5.5	Wetland and Riparian Habitat	5-37
	5.6	Wildlife Resources	5-47
	5.7	Fish Resources	5-53
	5.8	Flood Control, Interior Drainage, and Groundwater Impacts	5-85
	5.9	Water Supply	5-101
	5.10	Hydropower	5-107
	5.11	Recreation	5-117
	5.12	Navigation	5-125
	5.13	Total National Economic Development Economics	5-131
	5.14	Historic Properties	5-135

5.15	Mississippi River Impacts	5-141
5.16	Summary of Impacts of Submitted Alternatives to American Indian Tribes	5-159
5.17	Summary of Impacts of Alternatives Submitted to the Corps for Consideration	5-167

Volume II: Main Report, Part 2

6.	SELI	ECTION OF ALTERNATIVES FOR DETAILED ANALYSIS	6-1
	6.1	Introduction	6-1
	6.2	Formulation of the Alternatives for Detailed Presentation	6-2
	6.3	Features of the Modified Conservation Plan	6-6
		6.3.1 Adaptive Management	6-6
		6.3.2 Drought Conservation Measures	6-6
		6.3.3 Intrasystem Unbalancing	6-6
		6.3.4 Fort Peck Dam Flow Changes	6-6
		6.3.5 Gavins Point Dam Flow Changes	6-7
	6.4	Features of the Four GP Options	6-9
	6.5	Other Activities Following Receipt of the USFWS Biological Opinion	6-9
		6.5.1 Implementation of the USFWS Biological Opinion	6-10
		6.5.2 Navigation	6-10
		6.5.3 Power	6-10
		6.5.4 Mississippi River Concerns	6-11
		6.5.5 Depletions	6-11
		6.5.7 Considerations for Development of An Adaptive Management Strategy for	0-11
		Restoration of the Missouri River Ecosystem	6-12
			0.12
7.	EFFE	ECTS OF ALTERNATIVES SELECTED FOR DETAILED ANALYSIS	7-1
	7.1	Introduction	7-1
	7.2	Mainstem Reservoir System Hydrology	7-3
		7.2.1 Mainstem Reservoir System Storage and Lake Elevations	7-3
		7.2.2 Fort Peck Dam Release	7-5
		7.2.3 Lake Sakakawea Elevations	7-5
		7.2.4 Bismarck Flow Duration	7-6
		7.2.5 Gavins Point Dam Release	7-7
		7.2.6 Nebraska City Flow Duration	7-8
		7.2.7 Boonville Flow Duration	7-8
	7.3	Sedimentation, Erosion, and Ice Processes	7-23
		7.3.1 Sedimentation and Erosion	7-23
	7.4	7.3.2 ICE Processes	7-23
	7.4	Water Quality	7.05
		7.4.1 Water Quality in the River Reaches of the Missouri River	7-20
		7.4.2 Water Quality for Tribal Reservations	7-20
	75	Wetland and Rinarian Habitat	7-35
	7.5	7.5.1 Wetland Habitat	7-35
		7.5.2 Riparian Habitat	7-38
	7.6	Wildlife Resources	7-47
		7.6.1 Riverine Tern and Plover Habitat	7-47
		7.6.2 Lake Tern and Plover Habitat	7-49
		7.6.3 Tern and Plover Habitat for Seven Tribal Reservations	7-51
	7.7	Fish Resources	7-59
		7.7.1 Young Fish Production in Mainstem Lakes	7-59

	7.7.2	Coldwater Fish Habitat in Mainstem Lakes	7-61
	7.7.3	Coldwater Fish Habitat in River Reaches	7-62
	7.7.4	Warmwater Fish Habitat in River Reaches	7-64
	7.7.5	Physical Habitat for Native River Fish	7-65
	7.7.6	Missouri River Connectivity to Low-Lying Lands during the Spring Rise	7-66
	7.7.7	Shallow Water Habitat Along the Lower River	7-69
	7.7.8	Spawning Cue for the Lower River	7-71
	7.7.9	Fish Resources for Tribal Reservations	7-74
7.8	Flood	Control, Interior Drainage, and Groundwater Impacts	7-99
	7.8.1	Flood Control	7-99
	7.8.2	Interior Drainage	7-102
	7.8.3	Groundwater	7-106
7.9	Water	Supply	7-153
	7.9.1	Water Supply for Tribal Reservations	7-155
7.10	Hydro	power	7-159
	7.10.1	Hydropower Economic Benefits	7-159
	7.10.2	Power at Risk	7-163
	7.10.3	Hydropower Revenue Impacts to the Upper Great Plains Region of WAPA	
	_	and its Customers	7-167
7.11	Recrea	ation	7-183
- 40	7.11.1	Recreation for Tribal Reservations	7-186
7.12	Naviga	ation	7-191
7.13	Total N	NED Economics	7-197
7.14	Histori	ic Properties	7-201
	7.14.1	Historic Properties for Tribal Reservations	7-203
7.15	Missis	sippi River Impacts	7-207
	7.15.1	Hydraulic Impacts on the Mississippi River	7-207
	7.15.2	Middle Mississippi River Environmental Resources	7-210
	7.15.3	Dreaging	7-212
	7.15.4	Navigalion Mississippi Biver Channel Improvement Features, Mouth of Missouri Biver to	7-215
	7.15.5	Gulf of Mexico	7-215
7.16	Summ	ary of Impacts of Alternatives Selected for Detailed Analysis to	
	Amerio	can Indian Tribes	7-231
7.17	Summ	ary of Impacts of Alternatives Selected for Detailed Analysis	7-239
7.18	Cumul	ative Impacts	7-241
	7.18.1	Complexities in Selecting a Water Control Plan and Need for Awareness of	
		Water Level Changes	7-241
	7.18.2	Need for Awareness of Water Level Changes	7-242
	7.18.3	Projects Currently Being Considered	7-244
7.19	Deplet	ion Analysis	7-247
7.20	Modifi	cations that could be included in the GP Options	7-251
-	7.20.1	Constrain Higher Spring Flows while Moving Some Spring Rises to Extended	-
		Droughts	7-251
	7.20.2	Switch to Navigation Targets to Conserve Water in the System During	
		Extended Droughts	7-252
SEI E	CTION	OF THE PREEERRED ALTERNATIVE AND DESCRIPTION OF	
ITS E	FFECTS		8-1
8.1	Introd	uction	8-1
8.2	Descri	ption of the PA	8-5
	8.2.1	Drought Conservation Measures	8-5
	8.2.2	Unbalancing of the Upper Three Lakes	8-5
	8.2.3	Summer Non-Navigation Service Level	8-6
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

8.

	8.3	Effects of the PA	8-9
		8.3.1 Comparison of Average Annual Effects of the MCP and PA	8-9
		8.3.2 Comparison of CWCP and PA Effects	8-10
	8.4	Future Depletions Analysis	8-51
	8.5	Mitigation and Monitoring	8-53
		8.5.1 Mitigation	8-53
		8.5.2 Monitoring	8-54
9.	ENVI	RONMENTAL STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND GUIDANCE	9-1
	9.1	National Environmental Policy Act	9-1
	9.2	Endangered and Threatened Species and Critical Habitat	9-2
	9.3	Fish and Wildlife Conservation	9-2
		9.3.1 Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act	9-2
		9.3.2 Migratory Bird Conservation Act	9-2
	9.4	Heritage Conservation	9-3
		9.4.1 National Historic Preservation Act	9-3
		9.4.2 Archeological Resources Protection Act	9-4
		9.4.3 Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act	9-5
		9.4.4 American Indian Religious Freedom Act	9-5
		9.4.5 Executive Order 13007 Indian Sacred Sites	9-6
	9.5	State, Area-Wide, and Local Plan and Program Consistency	9-6
	9.6	Environmental Justice	9-6
	9.7	Flood Plain Management	9-6
	9.8	Wetlands Protection	9-7
	9.9	Farmland Protection	9-7
		9.9.1 Farmland Protection Policy Act	9-7
		9.9.2 CEQ Memorandum, August 11, 1990, on Analysis of Impacts on Prime or	
		Unique Agricultural Lands	9-7
	9.10	Recreation Resources	9-7
		9.10.1 Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	9-7
		9.10.2 Water Resources Development Act	9-8
		9.10.3 Federal Water Project Recreation Act	9-8
		9.10.4 Land and Water Conservation Fund Act	9-8
	9.11	Navigable Waters	9-8
	9.12	Pollution Control at Federal Facilities	9-8
		9.12.1 Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Clean Water Act)	9-8
		9.12.2 Clean Air Act	9-9
	9.13	Tribal	9-10
		9.13.1 Description	9-10
	9.14	Flood Control Act of 1944	9-10
	9.15	Executive Order #13211 on Actions Concerning Regulations that	
		Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution or Use	9-11
10.	GLO	SSARY AND ACRONYMS	10-1
11.	REFE	ERENCES	11-1
12.	LIST	OF PREPARERS	12-1
13.	DIST	RIBUTION LIST	13-1

List of Appendices

Appendix A, Tribal Information

Part 1 is in Volume III.

Part 2 is in Volume IV.

Appendix B, Water Quality

Appendix B is in Volume V.

Appendix C, Biological Assessment

Appendix C is in Volume V.

Appendix D, RDEIS Comments and Responses

Part 1 (overview and responses) is in Volume VI.

Part 2 (comment documents from Federal agencies, Tribal groups, State agencies, local agencies, non-governmental organizations, and businesses) is in Volume VII.

Part 3 (copies of comment documents from private citizens) is in Volume VIII.

Part 4 (copies of RDEIS public hearing transcripts) is in Volume IX.

This page is intentionally left blank.

See Volume I: Main Report, Part 1 for a list of figures in Sections 1 to 5.

Figure 6.5-1.	A legislative proposal for bay-delta ecosystem restoration.	6-16
Figure 7.2-1.	Number of days in May/June that Fort Peck Dam releases exceed target for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-9
Figure 7.2-2.	Number of days in May/June that Fort Peck Dam releases exceed target for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-9
Figure 7.2-3.	Number of days in May/June that Fort Peck Dam releases exceed target for GP1528, GP1521, and GP2028.	7-10
Figure 7.2-4.	Number of days per year Lake Sakakawea is below elevation 1,825 feet: 1930 to 1941 drought.	7-10
Figure 7.2-5.	Number of days per year Lake Sakakawea is below elevation 1,825 feet: 1954 to 1961 drought.	7-11
Figure 7.2-6.	Number of days per year Lake Sakakawea is below elevation 1,825 feet: 1987 to 1993 drought.	7-11
Figure 7.2-7.	Missouri River at Bismarck: Number of days flows exceed 55 kcfs, April to June for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-12
Figure 7.2-8.	Missouri River at Bismarck: Number of days flows exceed 55 kcfs, April to June for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-12
Figure 7.2-9.	Missouri River at Bismarck: Number of days flows exceed 55 kcfs, April to June for GP1528, GP1521, and GP2028.	7-13
Figure 7.2-10.	Gavins Point Dam release duration, January.	7-13
Figure 7.2-11.	Gavins Point Dam release duration, February.	7-14
Figure 7.2-12.	Gavins Point Dam release duration, March.	7-14
Figure 7.2-13.	Gavins Point Dam release duration, April.	7-15
Figure 7.2-14.	Gavins Point Dam release duration, May.	7-15
Figure 7.2-15.	Gavins Point Dam release duration, June.	7-16
Figure 7.2-16.	Gavins Point Dam release duration, July.	7-16
Figure 7.2-17.	Gavins Point Dam release duration, August.	7-17
Figure 7.2-18.	Gavins Point Dam release duration, September.	7-17
Figure 7.2-19.	Gavins Point Dam release duration, October.	7-18
Figure 7.2-20.	Gavins Point Dam release duration, November.	7-18
Figure 7.2-21.	Gavins Point Dam release duration, December.	7-19
Figure 7.2-22.	Missouri River at Nebraska City: Number of days flows exceed 55 kcfs, April to July for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-19
Figure 7.2-23.	Missouri River at Nebraska City: Number of days flows exceed 55 kcfs, April to July for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-20
Figure 7.2-24.	Missouri River at Nebraska City: Number of days flows exceed 55 kcfs, April to July for GP1528, GP1521, and GP2028.	7-20
Figure 7.2-25.	Missouri River at Boonville: Number of days flows exceed 90 kcfs, May to June for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-21
Figure 7.2-26.	Missouri River at Boonville: Number of days flows exceed 90 kcfs, May to June for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-21
Figure 7.2-27.	Missouri River at Boonville: Number of days flows exceed 90 kcfs, May to June for GP1528, GP1521, and GP2028.	7-22
Figure 7.5-1.	Average annual wetland habitat (thousands of acres) for the submitted alternatives and the alternatives.	7-42
Figure 7.5-2.	Annual wetland vegetation acres for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-42

Figure 7.5-3.	Annual wetland vegetation acres for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-43
Figure 7.5-4.	Annual wetland vegetation acres for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-43
Figure 7.5-5.	Annual riparian habitat (thousands of acres) for the submitted alternatives and the	7-11
Figure 7 5-6	Annual riparian vegetation acres for CWCP_MCP_and GP1528	7-44
Figure 7 5-7	Annual riparian vegetation acres for GP1528 and GP2021	7-45
Figure 7 5-8	Annual riparian vegetation acres for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521	7-45
Figure 7 6-1	Average annual riverine tern and plover habitat for the submitted alternatives and the	1 10
i iguio no n	alternatives (acres).	7-53
Figure 7.6-2.	Annual riverine tern and plover habitat for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-53
Figure 7.6-3.	Annual riverine tern and plover habitat for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-54
Figure 7.6-4.	Annual riverine tern and plover habitat for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-54
Figure 7.6-5.	Missouri River at Sioux City, sediment yield distribution for the alternatives.	7-55
Figure 7.6-6.	Average annual lake tern and plover habitat for the alternatives (acres).	7-55
Figure 7.6-7.	Annual lake tern and plover habitat for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-56
Figure 7.6-8.	Annual lake tern and plover habitat for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-56
Figure 7.6-9.	Annual lake tern and plover habitat for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-57
Figure 7.7-1.	Average annual young fish production index values for submitted alternatives and the alternatives.	7-78
Figure 7.7-2.	Annual values for young fish production in the mainstem lakes for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-79
Figure 7.7-3.	Annual values for young fish production in the mainstem lakes for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-79
Figure 7.7-4.	Annual values for young fish production in the mainstem lakes for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-80
Figure 7.7-5.	Average annual coldwater fish habitat in the mainstem lakes for submitted alternatives and the alternatives (MAF).	7-80
Figure 7.7-6.	Annual coldwater fish habitat in the mainstem lakes for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-81
Figure 7.7-7.	Annual coldwater fish habitat in the mainstem lakes for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-81
Figure 7.7-8.	Annual coldwater fish habitat in the mainstem lakes for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-82
Figure 7.7-9.	Average annual coldwater fish habitat in the river reaches for submitted alternatives and the alternatives (miles).	7-82
Figure 7.7-10.	Annual coldwater fish habitat in the river reaches for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-83
Figure 7.7-11.	Annual coldwater fish habitat in the river reaches for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-83
Figure 7.7-12.	Annual coldwater fish habitat in the river reaches for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-84
Figure 7.7-13.	Average annual warmwater fish habitat in the river reaches for submitted alternatives and the alternatives (miles).	7-84
Figure 7.7-14.	Annual warmwater fish habitat in the river reaches for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-85
Figure 7.7-15.	Annual warmwater fish habitat in the river reaches for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-85
Figure 7.7-16.	Annual warmwater fish habitat in the river reaches for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-86
Figure 7.7-17.	Average annual native river fish physical habitat index values for submitted alternatives and the alternatives.	7-86
Figure 7.7-18.	Annual values for native river fish physical habitat for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-87
Figure 7.7-19.	Annual values for native river fish physical habitat for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-87
Figure 7.7-20.	Annual values for native river fish physical habitat for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-88
Figure 7.7-21.	Acres of connectivity for 2 days during May and June.	7-88

Figure 7.7-22.	Acres of connectivity for 2 days in May and June (25th percentile) for the submitted alternatives and the alternatives.	7-89
Figure 7.7-23.	Duration plot of shallow water habitat (acres/mile) during the mid-July to mid-August period, Sioux City reach.	7-89
Figure 7.7-24.	Expected daily shallow water habitat for river fish.	7-90
Figure 7.7-25.	Shallow water habitat (acres), Sioux City to the Osage River for submitted alternatives and the alternatives.	7-90
Figure 7.7-26.	Annual average daily acres of shallow habitat from Sioux City to the Grand River from mid-July to mid-August for CWCP and MCP.	7-91
Figure 7.7-27.	Annual average daily acres of shallow habitat from Sioux City to the Grand River from mid-July to mid-August for MCP and GP1528.	7-91
Figure 7.7-28.	Annual average daily acres of shallow habitat from Sioux City to the Grand River from mid-July to mid-August for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-92
Figure 7.7-29.	Duration plot of spawning cue length during May and June for CWCP.	7-92
Figure 7.7-30.	Duration plot of spawning cue length during May and June at Sioux City for CWCP, MCP, GP options, and ROR scenario.	7-93
Figure 7.7-31.	Percent of years with a 21-day spawning cue at Lower River gaging stations for CWCP, MCP, GP options, and ROR scenario.	7-93
Figure 7.7-32.	Percent of years that a 21-day spawning cue is provided.	7-94
Figure 7.7-33.	Percent of years that a 14-day spawning cue is provided.	7-94
Figure 7.7-34.	Percent of years that a 7-day spawning cue is provided.	7-95
Figure 7.7-35.	Annual values for spawning cue length and shallow water habitat at Sioux City for MCP.	7-95
Figure 7.7-36.	Annual values for spawning cue length and shallow water habitat at Sioux City for GP1528.	7-96
Figure 7.7-37.	Annual values for spawning cue length and shallow water habitat at Sioux City for GP2021.	7-96
Figure 7.7-38.	Percent of years when spawning cue length and shallow water habitat (2 acres/mile) coincide at Sioux City.	7-97
Figure 7.7-39.	Percent of years when spawning cue length and shallow water habitat (3 acres/mile) coincide at Nebraska City.	7-97
Figure 7.7-40.	Percent of years when spawning cue length and shallow water habitat (15 acres/mile) coincide at Boonville.	7-98
Figure 7.8-1.	Average annual flood control benefits for submitted alternatives and the alternatives (\$millions).	7-111
Figure 7.8-2.	Annual flood control benefits for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-112
Figure 7.8-3.	Annual flood control benefits for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-112
Figure 7.8-4.	Annual flood control benefits for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-113
Figure 7.8-5.	Average annual interior drainage damages for submitted alternatives and the alternatives (\$millions).	7-113
Figure 7.8-6.	Annual interior drainage damages for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-114
Figure 7.8-7.	Annual interior drainage damages for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-114
Figure 7.8-8.	Annual interior drainage damages for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-115
Figure 7.8-9.	Average annual interior drainage damages for site L575 by season and total .	7-115
Figure 7.8-10.	Average annual spring damages at site L575 versus amount of spring rise.	7-116
Figure 7.8-11.	Summer interior drainage damages at site L575 versus summer average Gavins Point Dam release.	7-116

Figure 7.8-12.	Average annual interior drainage damages for the post-September 6 timeframe at site L575 versus average May through August release from Gavins Point Dam.	7-117
Figure 7.8-13.	Average annual groundwater damages for submitted alternatives and the alternatives (\$millions).	7-117
Figure 7.8-14.	Annual groundwater damages for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-118
Figure 7.8-15.	Annual groundwater damages for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-118
Figure 7.8-16.	Annual groundwater damages for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-119
Figure 7.8-17.	Average annual seasonal groundwater crop damages at site L575 by season and total.	7-119
Figure 7.8-18.	Average annual spring groundwater crop damages at site L575 versus amount of the Gavins Point Dam spring rise.	7-120
Figure 7.8-19.	Average annual fall groundwater crop damages at site L575 versus amount of the Gavins Point Dam spring rise.	7-120
Figure 7.8-20.	Average annual total groundwater crop damages at site L575 versus amount of the Gavins Point Dam spring rise.	7-121
Figure 7.8-21.	Average annual fall groundwater crop damages at site L575 versus amount of Gavins Point Dam average summer release.	7-121
Figure 7.8-22.	Average annual damages for CWCP at site L488/497.	7-122
Figure 7.8-23.	Average annual damages for MCP at site L488/497.	7-123
Figure 7.8-24.	Average annual damages for GP1528 at site L488/497.	7-124
Figure 7.8-25.	Average annual damages for GP2021 at site L488/497.	7-125
Figure 7.8-26.	Average annual damages for GP1521 at site L488/497.	7-126
Figure 7.8-27.	Average annual damages for GP2028 at site L488/497.	7-127
Figure 7.8-28.	Average annual damages for CWCP at site L575.	7-128
Figure 7.8-29.	Average annual damages for MCP at site L575.	7-129
Figure 7.8-30.	Average annual damages for GP1528 at site L575.	7-130
Figure 7.8-31.	Average annual damages for GP2021 at site L575.	7-131
Figure 7.8-32.	Average annual damages for GP1521 at site L575.	7-132
Figure 7.8-33.	Average annual damages for GP2028 at site L575.	7-133
Figure 7.8-34.	Average annual damages for CWCP at the Tri-County site.	7-134
Figure 7.8-35.	Average annual damages for MCP at the Tri-County site.	7-135
Figure 7.8-36.	Average annual damages for GP1528 at the Tri-County site.	7-136
Figure 7.8-37.	Average annual damages for GP2021 at the Tri-County site.	7-137
Figure 7.8-38.	Average annual damages for GP1521 at the Tri-County site.	7-138
Figure 7.8-39.	Average annual damages for GP2028 at the Tri-County site.	7-139
Figure 7.8-40.	Average annual damages for CWCP at site RM691.	7-141
Figure 7.8-41.	Average annual damages for MCP at site RM691.	7-143
Figure 7.8-42.	Average annual damages for GP1528 at site RM691.	7-145
Figure 7.8-43.	Average annual damages for GP2021 at site RM691.	7-147
Figure 7.8-44.	Average annual damages for GP1521 at site RM691.	7-149
Figure 7.8-45.	Average annual damages for GP2028 at site RM691.	7-151
Figure 7.9-1.	Average annual water supply benefits for submitted alternatives and the alternatives (\$millions).	7-157
Figure 7.9-2.	Annual water supply benefits for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-157
Figure 7.9-3.	Annual water supply benefits for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-158
Figure 7.9-4.	Annual water supply benefits for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-158

Eiguro 7 1	1 Average appual hydropower benefits for submitted alternatives and the alternatives	
Figure 7.1	(\$millions).	7-170
Figure 7.1	D-2. Annual hydropower benefits for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-170
Figure 7.1	D-3. Annual hydropower benefits for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-171
Figure 7.1	D-4. Annual hydropower benefits for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-171
Figure 7.1	D-5. Monthly average hydropower peaking capacity for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-172
Figure 7.1	D-6. Monthly average hydropower peaking capacity for GP1528, GP2028, GP1521, and GP2021.	7-172
Figure 7.1	0-7. Monthly average hydropower energy values for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-173
Figure 7.1	D-8. Monthly average hydropower energy values for GP1528, GP2028, GP1521, and GP2021.	7-173
Figure 7.1	D-9. Missouri River thermal powerplants, capacity at risk in the summer (mid-June to mid-September).	7-174
Figure 7.1	0-10. Potential capacity loss for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528 in the summer (mid-June to mid-September).	7-174
Figure 7.1	D-11. Potential capacity loss for GP1528 and GP2021 in the summer (mid-June to mid- September).	7-175
Figure 7.1	D-12. Potential capacity loss for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521 in the summer (mid-June to mid- September).	7-175
Figure 7.1	0-13. Potential thermal capacity at risk in the summer (mid-June through mid-September) duration plot.	7-176
Figure 7.1	0-14. Total hydropower (in July) and thermal power capacity change from CWCP in the summer (mid-June to mid-September).	7-176
Figure 7.1	D-15. Missouri River thermal powerplants, energy at risk in the summer (mid-June to mid-September).	7-177
Figure 7.1	D-16. Potential thermal-generated energy loss for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528 sometime from mid-June through mid-September.	7-177
Figure 7.1	0-17. Potential thermal-generated energy loss for GP1528 and GP2021 from mid-June to mid-September.	7-178
Figure 7.1	D-18. Potential thermal-generated energy loss for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521 sometime from mid-June through mid-September.	7-178
Figure 7.1	0-19. Thermal energy at risk from mid-June through mid-September, duration plot.	7-179
Figure 7.1	0-20. Total hydropower and thermal energy change from mid-June to mid-September from the CWCP.	7-179
Figure 7.1	0-21. Total hydropower and thermal energy at risk from mid-June through mid-September, duration plot.	7-180
Figure 7.1	D-22. Net revenue: Pick-Sloan firm power marketing, 100-year monthly average at Cinergy Rates (Jan. 30, 2001).	7-180
Figure 7.1	0-23. Percent increase in purchase power cost.	7-181
Figure 7.1	 Average annual recreation benefits for submitted alternatives and the alternatives (\$millions). 	7-188
Figure 7.1	1-2. Annual values for recreation benefits for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-188
Figure 7.1	1-3. Annual values for recreation benefits for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-189
Figure 7.1	1-4. Annual values for recreation benefits for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-189
Figure 7.1	 Average annual navigation benefits for submitted alternatives and the alternatives (\$millions). 	7-195
Figure 7.1	2-2. Annual Missouri River navigation benefits for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-195
Figure 7.1	2-3. Annual Missouri River navigation benefits for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-196

Figure 7.12-4.	Annual Missouri River navigation benefits for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-196
Figure 7.13-1.	Annual total NED benefits for alternatives.	7-199
Figure 7.13-2.	Annual total NED benefits for alternative GP1528: total and total without flood control.	7-199
Figure 7.14-1.	Average annual historic properties values for Fort Peck Lake, Lake Sakakawea, Lake Oahe, and Lake Sharpe for submitted alternatives and the alternatives.	7-204
Figure 7.14-2.	Annual values for historic properties for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-204
Figure 7.14-3.	Annual values for historic properties for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-205
Figure 7.14-4.	Annual values for historic properties for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-205
Figure 7.15-1.	Average monthly flow at Hermann, Missouri.	7-218
Figure 7.15-2.	Mean monthly stage at St. Louis.	7-218
Figure 7.15-3.	Maximum annual stage at St. Louis.	7-219
Figure 7.15-4.	Minimum annual stage at St. Louis.	7-219
Figure 7.15-5.	Average annual St. Louis stage duration.	7-220
Figure 7.15-6.	St. Louis stage duration, January.	7-220
Figure 7.15-7.	St. Louis stage duration, February.	7-221
Figure 7.15-8.	St. Louis stage duration, March.	7-221
Figure 7.15-9.	St. Louis stage duration, April.	7-222
Figure 7.15-10.	St. Louis stage duration, May.	7-222
Figure 7.15-11.	St. Louis Stage Duration, June.	7-223
Figure 7.15-12.	St. Louis stage duration, July.	7-223
Figure 7.15-13.	St. Louis stage duration, August.	7-224
Figure 7.15-14.	St. Louis stage duration, September.	7-224
Figure 7.15-15.	St. Louis stage duration, October.	7-225
Figure 7.15-16.	St. Louis stage duration, November.	7-225
Figure 7.15-17.	St. Louis stage duration, December.	7-226
Figure 7.15-18.	Mean monthly stage at Cairo.	7-226
Figure 7.15-19.	Maximum annual stage at Cairo.	7-227
Figure 7.15-20.	Minimum annual stage at Cairo.	7-227
Figure 7.15-21.	Cairo stage duration.	7-228
Figure 7.15-22.	Increased costs associated with Mississippi River navigation inefficiencies for CWCP, MCP, and GP1528.	7-228
Figure 7.15-23.	Increased costs associated with Mississippi River navigation inefficiencies for GP1528 and GP2021.	7-229
Figure 7.15-24.	Increased costs associated with Mississippi River navigation inefficiencies for GP1528, GP2028, and GP1521.	7-229
Figure 7.19-1.	Average annual release from Gavins Point Dam at different levels of depletion.	7-249
Figure 7.19-2.	Effects of depletion on navigation benefits for the CWCP.	7-249
Figure 7.19-3.	DRM depletion run results for young fish production for the CWCP.	7-250
Figure 7.20-1.	Duration plot of the total number of days in May and June releases from Gavins Point Dam exceed 45 kcfs.	7-254
Figure 7.20-2.	Duration plot of the number of days flows exceed 55 kcfs at Nebraska City during May.	7-254
Figure 7.20-3.	Total number of days in May and June releases from Gavins Point Dam equaled 45 kcfs or greater for the BIOP alternative.	7-255
Figure 7.20-4.	Total number of days in May and June releases from Gavins Point Dam equaled 45 kcfs or greater for the FC3 alternative.	7-255

Figure 8.2-1.	Comparison of drought conservation measures between the CWCP and the System operations under the PA based on the March 15 Mainstem Reservoir System storage check for service level.	8-7
Figure 8.2-2.	Comparison of drought conservation measures between the CWCP and the System operations under the PA based on the July 1 Mainstem Reservoir System storage check for service level.	8-7
Figure 8.2-3.	Comparison of drought conservation measures between the CWCP and the System operations under the PA based on the July 1 Mainstem Reservoir System storage check for season length.	8-8
Figure 8.3-1.	End-of-June Mainstem Reservoir System storage, 1931 to 1941 drought.	8-33
Figure 8.3-2.	End-of-June Mainstem Reservoir System storage, 1954 to 1961 drought.	8-33
Figure 8.3-3.	End-of-June Mainstem Reservoir System storage, 1987 to 1993 drought.	8-34
Figure 8.3-4.	Comparison of the average monthly flows at Bismarck, North Dakota.	8-34
Figure 8.3-5.	Comparison of average monthly flows at Nebraska City, Nebraska.	8-35
Figure 8.3-6.	Comparison of annual maximum flows at Bismarck, North Dakota.	8-35
Figure 8.3-7.	Comparison of annual maximum flows at Nebraska City, Nebraska.	8-36
Figure 8.3-8.	Annual wetland habitat acreages for all of the sites and only the Lower River sites (thousands of acres).	8-36
Figure 8.3-9.	Annual riparian habitat acreages for all of the sites and only the Lower River sites (thousands of acres).	8-37
Figure 8.3-10.	Annual total riverine tern and plover habitat (acres).	8-37
Figure 8.3-11.	Annual lake tern and plover habitat (acres).	8-38
Figure 8.3-12.	Annual total young fish production in the lakes (index).	8-38
Figure 8.3-13.	Correlation plot of the young fish production index value versus annual runoff.	8-39
Figure 8.3-14.	Annual total coldwater fish habitat in the lakes (MAF).	8-39
Figure 8.3-15.	Annual total coldwater fish habitat in the river reaches (miles).	8-40
Figure 8.3-16.	Annual total and Fort Peck reach warmwater fish habitat in the river reaches (miles).	8-40
Figure 8.3-17.	Annual physical habitat index values for all reaches, the three Upper River reaches, and the six Lower River reaches (miles).	8-41
Figure 8.3-18.	Annual flood control benefits (\$millions).	8-41
Figure 8.3-19.	Differences in the annual flood control benefits between the PA and CWCP (\$millions).	8-42
Figure 8.3-20.	Average monthly flow at Nebraska City, Nebraska for the interior drainage modeling period of 1950 to 1994.	8-42
Figure 8.3-21.	Average monthly flow at Nebraska City, Nebraska for the groundwater modeling period of 1970 to 1979.	8-43
Figure 8.3-22.	Difference in the number of days between the PA and CWCP that the flow at Nebraska City exceeds 50 kcfs for the 45-year interior drainage modeling period (1950-1994).	8-43
Figure 8.3-23.	Annual water supply benefits (1930-1946) (\$millions).	8-44
Figure 8.3-24.	Annual hydropower benefits (\$millions).	8-44
Figure 8.3-25.	Difference in the annual hydropower benefits between the PA and CWCP (\$millions).	8-45
Figure 8.3-26.	Annual average monthly July hydropower capacity.	8-45
Figure 8.3-27.	Annual total and upper three lakes recreation benefits (\$millions).	8-46
Figure 8.3-28.	Annual recreation benefit changes provided by the PA to the upper three lake, as compared to the benefits of the CWCP (\$millions).	8-46
Figure 8.3-29.	Annual Missouri River navigation benefits (\$millions).	8-47
Figure 8.3-30.	Change in navigation benefits provided by the PA compared to the CWCP (\$millions).	8-47
Figure 8.3-31.	Average annual index values for historic properties.	8-48

Figure 8.3-32.	Relative difference between the PA and the CWCP historic properties index values.	8-48
Figure 8.3-33.	Annual Mississippi River lost navigation inefficiency costs (\$millions).	8-49
Figure 8.3-34.	Mississippi River navigation benefits provided by the change from the CWCP to the PA (\$millions).	8-49
Figure 8.5-1.	Change in the warmwater fish habitat in the Fort Randall reach for a change from the CWCP to the PA (miles).	8-55
Figure 8.5-2.	Sorted change in the warmwater fish habitat in the Fort Randall reach for a change from the CWCP to the PA (miles).	8-55

See Volume I: Main Report, Part 1 for a list of tables in Sections 1 to 5.

Table 7.1-1.	Alternatives selected for detailed analysis in the RDEIS.	7-2
Table 7.2-1.	Minimum system storage (MAF) and lake levels for the upper three lakes (feet).	7-4
Table 7.3-1.	Erosion study conclusions on erosion and deposition of channel features, additional stabilization, and operational changes.	7-24
Table 7.4-1.	Water quality effects of the alternatives on the Missouri River mainstem lakes.	7-26
Table 7.4-2.	Water quality effects of the alternatives on the river segments of the Missouri River. ^{1/}	7-29
Table 7.5-1.	Average annual wetland habitat (thousands of acres). ^{1/}	7-35
Table 7.5-2.	Average annual wetland habitat (thousands of acres) for 10 Tribal Reservations. ^{1/}	7-37
Table 7.5-3.	Average annual riparian habitat (thousands of acres). ^{1/}	7-39
Table 7.5-4.	Average annual riparian habitat (thousands of acres) for 10 Tribal Reservations. ^{1/}	7-40
Table 7.6-1.	Average annual riverine tern and plover habitat downstream of mainstem dams (acres). ^{1/}	7-47
Table 7.6-2.	Average annual lake tern and plover habitat on Lake Sakakawea and Lake Oahe (acres).	7-51
Table 7.6-3.	Average annual tern and plover habitat (acres) for seven Tribal Reservations.	7-52
Table 7.7-1.	Average annual young fish production in the mainstem lakes (relative index).	7-60
Table 7.7-2.	Average annual coldwater fish habitat in the mainstem lakes (MAF).	7-62
Table 7.7-3.	Average annual coldwater fish habitat in the river reaches (miles).	7-63
Table 7.7-4.	Average annual warmwater fish habitat in the river reaches (miles).	7-65
Table 7.7-5.	Average annual physical habitat for native river fish in nine river reaches (relative index).	7-66
Table 7.7-6.	Connectivity to low-lying lands for 2 days in May and June (acres for the 25th percentile).	7-68
Table 7.7-7.	Expected daily shallow water habitat for representative subreaches from mid-July to mid-August (acres/mile).	7-69
Table 7.7-8.	Expected daily shallow water habitat available from mid-July to mid-August (acres).	7-70
Table 7.7-9.	Percent of years with a 21-day spawning cue at Lower River gaging stations for CWCP, MCP, GP options, and ROR scenario.	7-73
Table 7.7-10.	Average annual young fish production in the mainstem lakes for seven Tribal Reservations (relative index).	7-75
Table 7.7-11.	Average annual coldwater fish habitat impact for three Tribal Reservations along the mainstem lakes (MAF).	7-76
Table 7.7-12.	Average annual coldwater fish habitat for the Fort Peck Reservation (miles).	7-76
Table 7.7-13.	Average annual warmwater fish habitat for Reservations in the reaches downstream from Fort Peck and Fort Randall Dams (miles).	7-76
Table 7.7-14.	Average annual physical habitat values for native river fish impact on Reservations (index).	7-77
Table 7.8-1.	Average annual flood control benefits (\$millions).	7-100
Table 7.8-2.	Average annual flood control benefits (\$millions) to Tribal Reservations.	7-102
Table 7.8-3.	Average annual interior drainage damages, 1950 to 1994 (\$millions).	7-104
Table 7.8-4.	Distribution of average annual interior drainage damages by season without pumping for L575 (\$thousands).	7-106
Table 7.8-5.	Interior drainage crop losses on the Missouri River floodplain.	7-107
Table 7.8-6.	Average annual groundwater damages 1970 to 1979 (\$millions).	7-108
Table 7.8-7.	Groundwater damages by season for levee unit L575 (\$millions).	7-109

LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

Table 7.8-8.	Groundwater crop losses on the Missouri River floodplain.	7-111
Table 7.9-1.	Average annual water supply benefits (\$millions).	7-154
Table 7.9-2.	Average annual water supply benefits (\$millions) to Tribes.	7-156
Table 7.10-1.	Average annual hydropower benefits (\$millions).	7-159
Table 7.10-2.	Monthly average hydropower peaking capacity (MW).	7-161
Table 7.10-3.	Monthly average hydropower energy values (GWh).	7-162
Table 7.10-4.	Marketable capacity from the Mainstem Reservoir System hydropower facilities (MW).	7-162
Table 7.10-5.	Potential capacity at risk sometime from mid-June to mid-September at powerplants using Missouri River water for cooling (MW).	7-165
Table 7.10-6.	Potential total thermal and hydropower average annual capacity at risk sometime from mid-June to mid-September compared to the CWCP (MW).	7-166
Table 7.10-7.	Potential energy at risk from mid-June to mid-September at the powerplants using Missouri River water for cooling (GWh).	7-167
Table 7.10-8.	Potential total thermal and hydropower energy impacts from mid-June to mid- September compared to the CWCP (GWh).	7-167
Table 7.10-9.	Average annual impact to WAPA for meeting Pick-Sloan firm power commitments (\$millions).	7-168
Table 7.11-1.	Average annual recreation benefits (\$millions).	7-184
Table 7.11-2.	Index of average annual recreation benefits to Reservations adjacent to Upper and Lower River reaches.	7-186
Table 7.11-3.	Average annual recreation benefits for Reservations adjacent to lakes (\$millions).	7-186
Table 7.12-1.	Average annual Missouri River navigation benefits (\$millions).	7-191
Table 7.12-2.	Summary of navigation service level and season length data (years).	7-193
Table 7.13-1.	Average annual total NED benefits by resource (\$millions).	7-197
Table 7.13-2.	Average annual total NED benefits for different modeling periods (\$millions).	7-198
Table 7.13-3.	Differences in average annual total NED benefits from CWCP for different modeling periods (\$millions).	7-198
Table 7.14-1.	Average annual historic property values for the upper three mainstem lakes and Lake Sharpe (relative index).	7-201
Table 7.15-1.	Mean monthly shallow water habitat acres for CWCP, MCP, GP1521, GP1528, GP2021, and GP2028 (1930 -1995).	7-213
Table 7.15-2.	The number of occurrences of "continuous" days (\geq 50, \geq 75, \geq 100) of sandbar exposure for 11 potential least tern nesting/rearing sites over the 66-year (1930-1995) period of record for the CWCP and the five alternatives.	7-214
Table 7.15-3.	Mississippi River lost navigation efficiency average annual costs (\$millions).	7-215
Table 7.15-4.	Current Mississippi River LWRP stages (feet).	7-216
Table 7.15-5.	97 percent exceedance flow (kcfs).	7-217
Table 7.15-6.	Change in Mississippi River LWRP relative to the CWCP (feet).	7-217
Table 7.15-7.	Revised Mississippi River LWRP (feet).	7-217
Table 7.15-8.	Mississippi River channel improvement features cost by alternative.	7-217
Table 7.16-1.	Fort Peck Reservation impacts summary.	7-232
Table 7.16-2.	Fort Berthold Reservation impacts summary.	7-232
Table 7.16-3.	Standing Rock Reservation impacts summary.	7-233
Table 7.16-4.	Cheyenne River Reservation impacts summary.	7-233
Table 7.16-5.	Lower Brule Reservation impacts summary.	7-234
Table 7.16-6.	Crow Creek Reservation impacts summary.	7-234
Table 7.16-7.	Yankton Reservation impacts summary.	7-235

LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

Table 7.16-8.	Ponca Tribal Lands impacts summary.	7-235
Table 7.16-9.	Santee Reservation impacts summary.	7-236
Table 7.16-10.	Winnebago Reservation impacts summary.	7-236
Table 7.16-11.	Omaha Reservation impacts summary.	7-237
Table 7.16-12.	Iowa and Sac and Fox Reservations impacts summary.	7-237
Table 7.17-1.	Impacts summary for the alternatives selected for detailed analysis.	7-240
Table 7.19-1.	Comparison of the depletion effects to the economic use or environmental resources.	7-248
Table 7.20-1.	Average annual economic benefits of flood control alternatives and two GP options relative to the CWCP (percent).	7-252
Table 7.20-2.	Average annual environmental effects of flood control alternatives and two GP options relative to the CWCP (percent).	7-253
Table 8.2-1.	Unbalancing schedule for upper three lakes.	8-6
Table 8.3-1.	Average annual use and resource values for the MCP and PA with relative differences.	8-9
Table 8.3-2.	Minimum Mainstem Reservoir System storage (MAF) and lake levels for the upper three lakes (feet).	8-10
Table 8.3-3.	Average annual wetland habitat (thousands of acres).	8-11
Table 8.3-4.	Average annual riparian habitat (thousands of acres).	8-11
Table 8.3.5.	Riverine tern and plover habitat (acres).	8-12
Table 8.3-6.	Average annual lake tern and plover habitat (acres).	8-12
Table 8.3-7.	Average annual young fish production in the mainstem lakes (relative index).	8-13
Table 8.3-8.	Average annual coldwater fish habitat in the mainstem lakes (MAF).	8-14
Table 8.3-9.	Average annual coldwater fish habitat in the river reaches (miles).	8-14
Table 8.3-10.	Average annual warmwater fish habitat in the river reaches (miles).	8-14
Table 8.3-11.	Average annual physical habitat for native river fish in nine river reaches (relative index).	8-15
Table 8.3-12.	Average annual flood control benefits (\$millions).	8-16
Table 8.3-13.	Comparison of the CWCP and PA monthly average flows (years).	8-17
Table 8.3-14.	Average annual water supply benefits (\$millions).	8-18
Table 8.3-15.	Average annual hydropower benefits (\$millions).	8-19
Table 8.3-16.	Monthly average hydropower capacity and energy generated by the Mainstem Reservoir System.	8-19
Table 8.3-17.	Average annual recreation benefits (\$millions).	8-20
Table 8.3-18.	Average annual Missouri River navigation benefits (\$millions).	8-21
Table 8.3-19.	Summary of navigation service level and season length data (years).	8-22
Table 8.3-20.	Average annual historic properties values for the upper three lakes and Lake Sharpe (relative index).	8-23
Table 8.3-21.	Mississippi River lost navigation efficiency average annual costs (\$millions).	8-24
Table 8.3-22.	Fort Peck Reservation impacts summary.	8-25
Table 8.3-23.	Fort Berthold Reservation impacts summary.	8-26
Table 8.3-24.	Standing Rock Reservation impacts summary.	8-26
Table 8.3-25.	Cheyenne River Reservation impacts summary.	8-27
Table 8.3-26.	Lower Brule Reservation impacts summary.	8-27
Table 8.3-27.	Crow Creek Reservation impacts summary.	8-28
Table 8.3-28.	Yankton Reservation impacts summary.	8-28
Table 8.3-29.	Ponca Tribal Lands impacts summary.	8-29
Table 8.3-30.	Santee Reservation impacts summary.	8-29

LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

Table 8.3-31.	Winnebago Reservation impacts summary.	8-30
Table 8.3-32.	Omaha Reservation impacts summary.	8-30
Table 8.3-33.	lowa and Sac and Fox Reservations impacts summary.	8-31
Table 8.3-34.	Impacts Summary for the PA.	8-32
Table 8.4-1.	Comparison of the depletion effects to the uses or resources.	8-51

The following technical reports document the detailed analyses summarized in this FEIS and are bound under separate cover. Copies are deposited at local libraries or can be obtained by contacting us at:

- e-mail: mastermanual@usace.army.mil.
- mail: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Northwest Division, Attention: Missouri River Master Manual, 12565 West Center Road, Omaha, NE 68144-3869.
- fax: Attention: Missouri River Master Manual, (402) 697-2504.

Volume 1:	Alternatives Evaluation Report
Volume 1A:	Preliminary Revised Draft EIS Alternatives
Volume 2:	Reservoir Regulation Studies - Long Range Study Model
Volume 2A:	Reservoir Regulation Studies - Daily Routing Model Studies
Volume 3A:	Low Flow Studies - Gavins Point Dam to St. Louis
Volume 3B:	Low Flow Studies - Gavins Point Dam to St. Louis (cont.)
Volume 4:	Hydraulic Studies Upstream from Gavins Point Dam
Volume 5:	Aggradation, Degradation, and Water Quality Conditions
Volume 6A-R:	Economic Studies - Navigation Economics (Revised)
Volume 6B:	Economic Studies - Water Supply Economics
Volume 6C:	Economic Studies - Recreation Economics
Volume 6D:	Economic Studies Hydropower
Volume 6E-R:	Economic Studies - Regional Economics (Revised)
Volume 6F:	Economic Studies - Flood Control (Revised), Interior Drainage, and
	Groundwater
Volume 7A:	Environmental Studies - Reservoir Fisheries
Volume 7B:	Environmental Studies - Reservoir Fisheries (cont.)
Volume 7C:	Environmental Studies - Riverine Fisheries
Volume 7D:	Environmental Studies - Riverine Fisheries (cont.)
Volume 7D-S1:	Environmental Studies - Riverine Fisheries (Supplement)
Volume 7D-S2:	Environmental Studies - Riverine Fisheries (Supplement)
Volume 7E:	Environmental Studies - Riverine Studies (cont.)
Volume 7F:	Environmental Studies - Wetland and Riparian
Volume 7H:	Environmental Studies - Wetland and Riparian (cont.)
Volume 7H:	Environmental Studies - Least Tern and Piping Plover and Historic
	Properties
Volume 8:	Economic Impacts Model - Environmental Impacts Model
Volume 9:	Socioeconomic Studies
Volume 10:	Cumulative Erosion Impacts Analysis
Volume 11:	Interior Drainage Study
Volume 12A:	Groundwater Study
Volume 12B:	Groundwater Study (cont.)
Volume 13:	Mississippi River Studies

LIST OF TECHNICAL REPORTS (CONTINUED)

This page is intentionally left blank.