



DHS Exhibit 300 Public Release BY08 (Form) / TSA - Crew Vetting (2008) (Item)

Form Report, printed by: Administrator, System, Feb 12, 2007

OVERVIEW

General Information

1. Date of Submission:	Jun 16, 2006
2. Agency:	Department of Homeland Security
3. Bureau:	Security, Enforcement and Investigations (SEI)
4. Name of this Capital Asset:	TSA - Crew Vetting (2008)
Investment Portfolio:	TSA Home Portfolio 2008
5. Unique ID:	024-50-01-03-01-5633-00

(For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)

All investments

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2008? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2008, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2008 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)
Operations and Maintenance
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?
FY2005
8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap.
The Crew Vetting Program (CVP) is designed to verify that flight crews and cargo flight operators are authorized to operate flights to, from, within, or overfly the U.S. All air carriers conducting international passenger and cargo flight operations are required to submit a Master Crew List (MCL) of all cockpit and cabin crew (all-cargo operations will also submit a list of all non-crew). Once the MCL has been developed, air carriers and cargo operators submit Flight Crew Manifests (FCM); only crew listed on the MCL that have been vetted will be permitted on such flights. By automating the CVP, TSA is able to: compare 100% of flight manifest received from air carriers against the MCL in real time; process (vet) individual records prior to a flight's departure; report the results of vetting activities to the appropriate Program Office for final disposition; enable TSA to measure air carriers' compliance in real time; and allow for the sharing of information.
9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?
Yes
9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?
Apr 7, 2004
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?
Yes
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.
Yes
12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?
Yes
12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)
No
12.b.1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?
12.b.2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?
12.b.3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?
13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?
Yes
If "yes," select the initiatives that apply:

Human Capital

Yes

Budget Performance Integration	Yes
Financial Performance	Yes
Expanded E-Government	Yes
Competitive Sourcing	Yes
Faith Based and Community	
Real Property Asset Management	
Eliminating Improper Payments	
Privatization of Military Housing	
R and D Investment Criteria	
Housing and Urban Development Management and Performance	
Broadening Health Insurance Coverage through State Initiatives	
Right Sized Overseas Presence	
Coordination of VA and DoD Programs and Systems	

13.a. Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)?

Human Capital: CVP promotes efficient response resource allocation and reduces terrorist information screening time.

Budget Performance Integration: CVP automates internal processes to reduce costs.

Financial Performance: CVP awarded a Performance-Based Service Contract based on DHS, TSA and TTAC Objectives with ANSI-748A compliant EVM.

Expanded E-Government: CVP shares information through an interoperable environment.

Competitive Sourcing: CVP competitively procured required O&M resources.

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

Yes

14.a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?

Yes

14.b. If "yes," what is the name of the PART program assessed by OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool?

TSA - Transportation Vetting and Credentialing

14.c. If "yes," what PART rating did it receive?

Results Not Demonstrated

15. Is this investment for information technology (See section 53 for definition)?

Yes

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 3

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)

(4) Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started

18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's "high risk" memo)?

Yes

19. Is this a financial management system?

No

19.a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFIA compliance area?

19.a.1. If "yes," which compliance area:

N/A

19.a.2. If "no," what does it address?

CVP addresses the critical capability gaps of: tactical vetting capabilities while facilitating the efficient movement of people, cargo, conveyances, and other potentially affected activities in commerce; tactical vetting of aircrew members on international flights flying into, out of, or over the continental United States; a coordinated and comprehensive approach to terrorist-related tactical vetting; and tactical vetting for credentialing yet insures the privacy of American citizens.

19.b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52.

N/A

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Area	Percentage
Hardware	18.00
Software	17.00
Services	56.00

Other	9.00	
Total	100.00	★

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

N/A

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

No

SUMMARY OF FUNDING

SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (In Millions)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

All amounts represent Budget Authority

	PY-1 & Earlier	PY	CY	BY
	-2005	2006	2007	2008
Planning:				
Budgetary Resources	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Acquisition:				
Budgetary Resources	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Subtotal:				
Budgetary Resources	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Maintenance:				
Budgetary Resources	0.000	9.300	10.600	10.850
TOTAL, All Stages				
Budgetary Resources	0.000	9.300	10.600	10.850
Government FTE Cost	2.100	4.000	4.100	4.200
# of FTEs	61.00	42.00	42.00	42.00
Total, BR + FTE Cost	2.100	13.300	14.700	15.050

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies).

Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

Yes

2.a. If "yes," how many and in what year?

Beginning with the transfer of the Watch List Program Management in PY2006, 14 additional FTEs will be required. Additionally, starting in PY2006, and continuing, 12 additional analyst FTEs and 3 additional IT staff will be required to handle increased vetting workload associated with new vetted populations.

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2007 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.

Demand for tactical vetting capabilities such as the one provided by CVP will continue to increase from DHS and TSA, and other federal agencies for which tactical vetting is a critical component to mission success. Demand for CVP's centralized, consistent application of tactical vetting tools, processes, procedures, techniques and methodologies will continue to increase as new areas of risk are identified, and the accompanying requirement for risk assessment of new or changing transportation populations increases. Some examples of these on the horizon are all FAA Airman Certificate holders, port workers, pipeline security workers, and support for National Special Security Events (NSSE's).