



Wastewater Technology Fact Sheet Sequencing Batch Reactors

DESCRIPTION

The sequencing batch reactor (SBR) is a fill-and-draw activated sludge system for wastewater treatment. In this system, wastewater is added to a single “batch” reactor, treated to remove undesirable components, and then discharged. Equalization, aeration, and clarification can all be achieved using a single batch reactor. To optimize the performance of the system, two or more batch reactors are used in a predetermined sequence of operations. SBR systems have been successfully used to treat both municipal and industrial wastewater. They are uniquely suited for wastewater treatment applications characterized by low or intermittent flow conditions.

Fill-and-draw batch processes similar to the SBR are not a recent development as commonly thought. Between 1914 and 1920, several full-scale fill-and-draw systems were in operation. Interest in SBRs was revived in the late 1950s and early 1960s, with the development of new equipment and technology. Improvements in aeration devices and controls have allowed SBRs to successfully compete with conventional activated sludge systems.

The unit processes of the SBR and conventional activated sludge systems are the same. A 1983 U.S. EPA report, summarized this by stating that “the SBR is no more than an activated sludge system which operates in time rather than in space.” The difference between the two technologies is that the SBR performs equalization, biological treatment, and secondary clarification in a single tank using a timed control sequence. This type of reactor does, in some cases, also perform primary clarification. In a conventional activated sludge system, these unit

processes would be accomplished by using separate tanks.


A modified version of the SBR is the Intermittent Cycle Extended Aeration System (ICEAS). In the ICEAS system, influent wastewater flows into the reactor on a continuous basis. As such, this is not a true batch reactor, as is the conventional SBR. A baffle wall may be used in the ICEAS to buffer this continuous inflow. The design configurations of the ICEAS and the SBR are otherwise very similar.

Description of a Wastewater Treatment Plant Using an SBR

A typical process flow schematic for a municipal wastewater treatment plant using an SBR is shown in Figure 1. Influent wastewater generally passes through screens and grit removal prior to the SBR. The wastewater then enters a partially filled reactor, containing biomass, which is acclimated to the wastewater constituents during preceding cycles. Once the reactor is full, it behaves like a conventional activated sludge system, but without a continuous influent or effluent flow. The aeration and mixing is discontinued after the biological reactions are complete, the biomass settles, and the treated supernatant is removed. Excess biomass is wasted at any time during the cycle. Frequent wasting results in holding the mass ratio of influent substrate to biomass nearly constant from cycle to cycle. Continuous flow systems hold the mass ratio of influent substrate to biomass constant by adjusting return activated sludge flowrates continually as influent flowrates, characteristics, and settling tank underflow concentrations vary. After the SBR, the “batch” of wastewater may flow to an equalization basin where the wastewater flowrate to

additional unit processed can be is controlled at a determined rate. In some cases the wastewater is filtered to remove additional solids and then disinfected.

As illustrated in Figure 1, the solids handling system may consist of a thickener and an aerobic digester. With SBRs there is no need for return activated sludge (RAS) pumps and primary sludge (PS) pumps like those associated with conventional activated sludge systems. With the SBR, there is typically only one sludge to handle. The need for gravity thickeners prior to digestion is determined



Source: Parsons Engineering Science, 1999.

FIGURE 1 PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM FOR A TYPICAL SBR

on a case by case basis depending on the characteristics of the sludge.

An SBR serves as an equalization basin when the vessel is filling with wastewater, enabling the system to tolerate peak flows or peak loads in the influent and to equalize them in the batch reactor. In many conventional activated sludge systems, separate equalization is needed to protect the biological system from peak flows, which may wash out the biomass, or peak loads, which may upset the treatment process.

It should also be noted that primary clarifiers are typically not required for municipal wastewater applications prior to an SBR. In most conventional activated sludge wastewater treatment plants,

primary clarifiers are used prior to the biological system. However, primary clarifiers may be recommended by the SBR manufacturer if the total suspended solids (TSS) or biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) are greater than 400 to 500 mg/L. Historic data should be evaluated and the SBR manufacturer consulted to determine whether primary clarifiers or equalization are recommended prior to an SBR for municipal and industrial applications.

Equalization may be required after the SBR, depending on the downstream process. If equalization is *not* used prior to filtration, the filters need to be sized in order to receive the batch of wastewater from the SBR, resulting in a large surface area required for filtration. Sizing filters to accept these “batch” flows is usually not feasible, which is why equalization is used between an SBR and downstream filtration. Separate equalization following the biological system is generally not required for most conventional activated sludge systems, because the flow is on a continuous and more constant basis.

APPLICABILITY

SBRs are typically used at flowrates of 5 MGD or less. The more sophisticated operation required at larger SBR plants tends to discourage the use of these plants for large flowrates.

As these systems have a relatively small footprint, they are useful for areas where the available land is limited. In addition, cycles within the system can be easily modified for nutrient removal in the future, if it becomes necessary. This makes SBRs extremely flexible to adapt to regulatory changes for effluent parameters such as nutrient removal. SBRs are also very cost effective if treatment beyond biological treatment is required, such as filtration.

ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES

Some advantages and disadvantages of SBRs are listed below:

Advantages

- Equalization, primary clarification (in most cases), biological treatment, and secondary clarification can be achieved in a single reactor vessel.
- Operating flexibility and control.
- Minimal footprint.
- Potential capital cost savings by eliminating clarifiers and other equipment.

Disadvantages

- A higher level of sophistication is required (compared to conventional systems), especially for larger systems, of timing units and controls.
- Higher level of maintenance (compared to conventional systems) associated with more sophisticated controls, automated switches, and automated valves.
- Potential of discharging floating or settled sludge during the DRAW or decant phase with some SBR configurations.
- Potential plugging of aeration devices during selected operating cycles, depending on the aeration system used by the manufacturer.
- Potential requirement for equalization after the SBR, depending on the downstream processes.

DESIGN CRITERIA

For any wastewater treatment plant design, the first step is to determine the anticipated influent characteristics of the wastewater and the effluent requirements for the proposed system. These influent parameters typically include design flow, maximum daily flow BOD₅, TSS, pH, alkalinity, wastewater temperature, total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), ammonia-nitrogen (NH₃-N), and total phosphorus (TP). For industrial and domestic wastewater, other site specific parameters may also be required.

The state regulatory agency should be contacted to determine the effluent requirements of the proposed plant. These effluent discharge parameters will be dictated by the state in the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. The parameters typically permitted for municipal systems are flowrate, BOD₅, TSS, and Fecal Coliform. In addition, many states are moving toward requiring nutrient removal. Therefore, total nitrogen (TN), TKN, NH₃-N, or TP may also be required. It is imperative to establish effluent requirements because they will impact the operating sequence of the SBR. For example, if there is a nutrient requirement and NH₃-N or TKN is required, then nitrification will be necessary. If there is a TN limit, then nitrification and denitrification will be necessary.

Once the influent and effluent characteristics of the system are determined, the engineer will typically consult SBR manufacturers for a recommended design. Based on these parameters, and other site specific parameters such as temperature, key design parameters are selected for the system. An example of these parameters for a wastewater system loading is listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1 KEY DESIGN PARAMETERS FOR A CONVENTIONAL LOAD

	Municipal	Industrial
Food to Mass (F:M)	0.15 - 0.4/day	0.15 - 0.6/day
Treatment Cycle Duration	4.0 hours	4.0 - 24 hours
Typically Low Water Level Mixed Liquor Suspended Solids	2,000-2,500 mg/L	2,000 - 4,000 mg/L
Hydraulic Retention Time	6 - 14 hours	varies

Source: AquaSBR Design Manual, 1995.

Once the key design parameters are determined, the number of cycles per day, number of basins, decant volume, reactor size, and detention times can be calculated. Additionally, the aeration equipment, decanter, and associated piping can then be sized.

Other site specific information is needed to size the aeration equipment, such as site elevation above mean sea level, wastewater temperature, and total dissolved solids concentration.

The operation of an SBR is based on the fill-and-draw principle, which consists of the following five basic steps: Idle, Fill, React, Settle, and Draw. More than one operating strategy is possible during most of these steps. For industrial wastewater applications, treatability studies are typically required to determine the optimum operating sequence. For most municipal wastewater treatment plants, treatability studies are not required to determine the operating sequence because municipal wastewater flowrates and characteristic variations are usually predictable and most municipal designers will follow conservative design approaches.

The Idle step occurs between the Draw and the Fill steps, during which treated effluent is removed and influent wastewater is added. The length of the Idle step varies depending on the influent flowrate and the operating strategy. Equalization is achieved during this step if variable idle times are used. Mixing to condition the biomass and sludge wasting can also be performed during the Idle step, depending on the operating strategy.

Influent wastewater is added to the reactor during the Fill step. The following three variations are used for the Fill step and any or all of them may be used depending on the operating strategy: static fill, mixed fill, and aerated fill. During static fill, influent wastewater is added to the biomass already present in the SBR. Static fill is characterized by no mixing or aeration, meaning that there will be a high substrate (food) concentration when mixing begins. A high food to microorganisms (F:M) ratio creates an environment favorable to floc forming organisms versus filamentous organisms, which provides good settling characteristics for the sludge. Additionally, static fill conditions favor organisms that produce internal storage products during high substrate conditions, a requirement for biological phosphorus removal. Static fill may be compared to using “selector” compartments in a conventional activated sludge system to control the F:M ratio.

Mixed fill is classified by mixing influent organics with the biomass, which initiates biological reactions. During mixed fill, bacteria biologically degrade the organics and use residual oxygen or alternative electron acceptors, such as nitrate-nitrogen. In this environment, denitrification may occur under these anoxic conditions. Denitrification is the biological conversion of nitrate-nitrogen to nitrogen gas. An anoxic condition is defined as an environment in which oxygen is not present and nitrate-nitrogen is used by the microorganisms as the electron acceptor. In a conventional biological nutrient removal (BNR) activated sludge system, mixed fill is comparable to the anoxic zone which is used for denitrification. Anaerobic conditions can also be achieved during the mixed fill phase. After the microorganisms use the nitrate-nitrogen, sulfate becomes the electron acceptor. Anaerobic conditions are characterized by the lack of oxygen and sulfate as the electron acceptor.

Aerated Fill is classified by aerating the contents of the reactor to begin the aerobic reactions completed in the React step. Aerated Fill can reduce the aeration time required in the React step.

The biological reactions are completed in the React step, in which mixed react and aerated react modes are available. During aerated react, the aerobic reactions initialized during aerated fill are completed and nitrification can be achieved. Nitrification is the conversion of ammonia-nitrogen to nitrite-nitrogen and ultimately to nitrate-nitrogen. If the mixed react mode is selected, anoxic conditions can be attained to achieve denitrification. Anaerobic conditions can also be achieved in the mixed react mode for phosphorus removal.

Settle is typically provided under quiescent conditions in the SBR. In some cases, gentle mixing during the initial stages of settling may result in a clearer effluent and a more concentrated settled sludge. In an SBR, there are no influent or effluent currents to interfere with the settling process as in a conventional activated sludge system.

The Draw step uses a decanter to remove the treated effluent, which is the primary distinguishing factor between different SBR manufacturers. In general, there are floating decanters and fixed

decanters. Floating decanters offer several advantages over fixed decanters as described in the Tank and Equipment Description Section.

Construction

Construction of SBR systems can typically require a smaller footprint than conventional activated sludge systems because the SBR often eliminates the need for primary clarifiers. The SBR never requires secondary clarifiers. The size of the SBR tanks themselves will be site specific, however the SBR system is advantageous if space is limited at the proposed site. A few case studies are presented in Table 2 to provide general sizing estimates at different flowrates. Sizing of these systems is site specific and these case studies do not reflect every system at that size.

TABLE 2 CASE STUDIES FOR SEVERAL SBR INSTALLATIONS

Flow (MGD)	Reactors			Blowers	
	No.	Size (feet)	Volume (MG)	No.	Size (HP)
0.012	1	18 x 12	0.021	1	15
0.10	2	24 x 24	0.069	3	7.5
1.2	2	80 x 80	0.908	3	125
1.0	2	58 x 58	0.479	3	40
1.4	2	69 x 69	0.678	3	60
1.46	2	78 x 78	0.910	4	40
2.0	2	82 x 82	0.958	3	75
4.25	4	104 x 80	1.556	5	200
5.2	4	87 x 87	1.359	5	125

Note: These case studies and sizing estimates were provided by Aqua-Aerobic Systems, Inc. and are site specific to individual treatment systems.

The actual construction of the SBR tank and equipment may be comparable or simpler than a conventional activated sludge system. For Biological Nutrient Removal (BNR) plants, an SBR eliminates the need for return activated sludge (RAS) pumps and pipes. It may also eliminate the need for internal Mixed Liquor Suspended Solid (MLSS) recirculation, if this is being used in a conventional BNR system to return nitrate-nitrogen.

The control system of an SBR operation is more complex than a conventional activated sludge system and includes automatic switches, automatic valves, and instrumentation. These controls are very sophisticated in larger systems. The SBR manufacturers indicate that most SBR installations in the United States are used for smaller wastewater systems of less than two million gallons per day (MGD) and some references recommend SBRs only for small communities where land is limited. This is not always the case, however, as the largest SBR in the world is currently a 10 MGD system in the United Arab Emirates.

Tank and Equipment Description

The SBR system consists of a tank, aeration and mixing equipment, a decanter, and a control system. The central features of the SBR system include the control unit and the automatic switches and valves that sequence and time the different operations. SBR manufacturers should be consulted for recommendations on tanks and equipment. It is typical to use a complete SBR system recommended and supplied by a single SBR manufacturer. It is possible, however, for an engineer to design an SBR system, as all required tanks, equipment, and controls are available through different manufacturers. This is not typical of SBR installation because of the level of sophistication of the instrumentation and controls associated with these systems.

The SBR tank is typically constructed with steel or concrete. For industrial applications, steel tanks coated for corrosion control are most common while concrete tanks are the most common for municipal treatment of domestic wastewater. For mixing and aeration, jet aeration systems are typical as they allow mixing either with or without aeration, but other aeration and mixing systems are also used. Positive displacement blowers are typically used for SBR design to handle wastewater level variations in the reactor.

As previously mentioned, the decanter is the primary piece of equipment that distinguishes different SBR manufacturers. Types of decanters include floating and fixed. Floating decanters offer the advantage of maintaining the inlet orifice slightly

below the water surface to minimize the removal of solids in the effluent removed during the DRAW step. Floating decanters also offer the operating flexibility to vary fill-and-draw volumes. Fixed decanters are built into the side of the basin and can be used if the Settle step is extended. Extending the Settle step minimizes the chance that solids in the wastewater will float over the fixed decanter. In some cases, fixed decanters are less expensive and can be designed to allow the operator to lower or raise the level of the decanter. Fixed decanters do not offer the operating flexibility of the floating decanters.

Health and Safety

Safety should be the primary concern in every design and system operation. A properly designed and operated system will minimize potential health and safety concerns. Manuals such as the Manual of Practice (MOP) No. 8, Design of Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants, and MOP No. 11, Operation of Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants should be consulted to minimize these risks. Other appropriate industrial wastewater treatment manuals, federal regulations, and state regulations should also be consulted for the design and operation of wastewater treatment systems.

PERFORMANCE

The performance of SBRs is typically comparable to conventional activated sludge systems and depends on system design and site specific criteria. Depending on their mode of operation, SBRs can achieve good BOD and nutrient removal. For SBRs, the BOD removal efficiency is generally 85 to 95 percent.

SBR manufacturers will typically provide a process guarantee to produce an effluent of less than:

- 10 mg/L BOD
- 10 mg/L TSS
- 5 - 8 mg/L TN
- 1 - 2 mg/L TP

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The SBR typically eliminates the need for separate primary and secondary clarifiers in most municipal systems, which reduces operations and maintenance requirements. In addition, RAS pumps are not required. In conventional biological nutrient removal systems, anoxic basins, anoxic zone mixers, toxic basins, toxic basin aeration equipment, and internal MLSS nitrate-nitrogen recirculation pumps may be necessary. With the SBR, this can be accomplished in one reactor using aeration/mixing equipment, which will minimize operation and maintenance requirements otherwise needed for clarifiers and pumps.

Since the heart of the SBR system is the controls, automatic valves, and automatic switches, these systems may require more maintenance than a conventional activated sludge system. An increased level of sophistication usually equates to more items that can fail or require maintenance. The level of sophistication may be very advanced in larger SBR wastewater treatment plants requiring a higher level of maintenance on the automatic valves and switches.

Significant operating flexibility is associated with SBR systems. An SBR can be set up to simulate any conventional activated sludge process, including BNR systems. For example, holding times in the Aerated React mode of an SBR can be varied to achieve simulation of a contact stabilization system with a typical hydraulic retention time (HRT) of 3.5 to 7 hours or, on the other end of the spectrum, an extended aeration treatment system with a typical HRT of 18 to 36 hours. For a BNR plant, the aerated react mode (oxic conditions) and the mixed react modes (anoxic conditions) can be alternated to achieve nitrification and denitrification. The mixed fill mode and mixed react mode can be used to achieve denitrification using anoxic conditions. In addition, these modes can ultimately be used to achieve an anaerobic condition where phosphorus removal can occur. Conventional activated sludge systems typically require additional tank volume to achieve such flexibility. SBRs operate in time rather than in space and the number of cycles per day can be varied to control desired effluent limits, offering additional flexibility with an SBR.

COSTS

This section includes some general guidelines as well as some general cost estimates for planning purposes. It should be remembered that capital and construction cost estimates are site-specific.

Budget level cost estimates presented in Table 3 are based on projects that occurred from 1995 to 1998. Budget level costs include such as the blowers, diffusers, electrically operated valves, mixers, sludge pumps, decanters, and the control panel. All costs have been updated to March 1998 costs, using an ENR construction cost index of 5875 from the March 1998 Engineering News Record, rounded off to the nearest thousand dollars.

TABLE 3 SBR EQUIPMENT COSTS BASED ON DIFFERENT PROJECTS

Design Flowrate (MGD)	Budget Level Equipment Costs (\$)
0.012	94,000
0.015	137,000
1.0	339,000
1.4	405,000
1.46	405,000
2.0	564,000
4.25	1,170,000

Source: Aqua Aerobics Manufacturer Information, 1998.

In Table 4, provided a range of equipment costs for different design flowrates is provided.

TABLE 4 BUDGET LEVEL EQUIPMENT COSTS BASED ON DIFFERENT FLOW RATES

Design Flowrate (MGD)	Budget Level Equipment Costs (\$)
1	150,000 - 350,000
5	459,000 - 730,000
10	1,089,000 - 1,370,000
15	2,200,000
20	2,100,000 - 3,000,000

Note: Budget level cost estimates provided by Babcock King - Wilkinson, L.P., August 1998.

Again the equipment cost items provided do not include the cost for the tanks, sitework, excavation/backfill, installation, contractor's overhead and profit, or legal, administrative, contingency, and engineering services. These items must be included to calculate the overall construction costs of an SBR system. Costs for other treatment processes, such as screening, equalization, filtration, disinfection, or aerobic digestion, may be included if required.

The ranges of construction costs for a complete, installed SBR wastewater treatment system are presented in Table 5. The variances in the estimates are due to the type of sludge handling facilities and the differences in newly constructed plants versus systems that use existing plant facilities. As such, in some cases these estimates include other processes required in an SBR wastewater treatment plant.

TABLE 5 INSTALLED COST PER GALLON OF WASTEWATER TREATED

Design Flowrate (MGD)	Budget Level Equipment Cost (\$/gallon)
0.5 - 1.0	1.96 - 5.00
1.1 - 1.5	1.83 - 2.69
1.5 - 2.0	1.65 - 3.29

Note: Installed cost estimates obtained from Aqua-Aerobics Systems, Inc., August 1998.

There is typically an economy of scale associated with construction costs for wastewater treatment,

meaning that larger treatment plants can usually be constructed at a lower cost per gallon than smaller systems. The use of common wall construction for larger treatment systems, which can be used for square or rectangular SBR reactors, results in this economy of scale.

Operations and Maintenance (O&M) costs associated with an SBR system may be similar to a conventional activated sludge system. Typical cost items associated with wastewater treatment systems include labor, overhead, supplies, maintenance, operating administration, utilities, chemicals, safety and training, laboratory testing, and solids handling. Labor and maintenance requirements may be reduced in SBRs because clarifiers, clarification equipment, and RAS pumps may not be necessary. On the other hand, the maintenance requirements for the automatic valves and switches that control the sequencing may be more intensive than for a conventional activated sludge system. O&M costs are site specific and may range from \$800 to \$2,000 dollars per million gallons treated.

REFERENCES

1. *AquaSBR Design Manual*. Mikkelson, K.A. of Aqua-Aerobic Systems. Copyright 1995.
2. Arora, Madan L. *Technical Evaluation of Sequencing Batch Reactors*. Prepared for U.S. EPA. U.S. EPA Contract No. 68-03-1821.
3. *Engineering News-Record*. A publication of the McGraw Hill Companies, March 30, 1998.
4. Irvine, Robert L. *Technology Assessment of Sequencing Batch Reactors*. Prepared for U.S. EPA. U.S. EPA Contract No. 68-03-3055.
5. Liu, Liptak, and Bouis. *Environmental Engineer's Handbook*, 2nd edition. New York: Lewis Publishers.
6. *Manufacturers Information*. Aqua-Aerobics, Babcock King-Wilkinson, L.P., Fluidyne, and Jet Tech Systems, 1998.
7. Metcalf & Eddy, Inc. *Wastewater Engineering: Treatment, Disposal, Reuse*. 3rd edition. New York: McGraw Hill.
8. Parsons Engineering Science, Inc. *Basis of Design Report - Urgent Extensions to Maray Sewer Treatment Works*, Abu Dhabi, UAE, 1992.
9. Norcross, K.L., *Sequencing Batch Reactors - An Overview*. Technical Paper published in the IAWPRC 1992 (0273-1221/92). *Wat. Sci. Tech.*, Vol. 26, No. 9-11, pp. 2523 - 2526.
10. Peavy, Rowe, and Tchobanoglous: *Environmental Engineering*. New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc.
11. U.S. EPA. *Innovative and Alternative Technology Assessment Manual*, EPA/430/9-78-009. Cincinnati, Ohio, 1980.
12. U.S. EPA. EPA Design Manual, Summary Report *Sequencing Batch Reactors*. EPA/625/8-86/011, August 1986.
13. Manual of Practice (MOP) No. 8, Design of Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants,
14. Manual of Practice (MOP) No. 11, Operation of Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Brad Holtsinger, Chief Operator
City of Stockbridge WWTP
4545 North Henry Boulevard
Stockbridge, GA 30281

Gary Hooder, Operator
Martinsburg WWTP
133 East Allegheny
Martinsburg, PA 16662-1112

Mitchell Meadows, Lead Operator
1300 Recker Highway
Auburndale, FL 33823

Teresa Schnoor, Administrator
Antrim TWP
P.O. Box 130
Greencastle, PA 17225

Charles Sherrod, Chief Operator
Blountstown WWTP
125 West Central Avenue
Blountstown, FL 32424

The mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

For more information contact:

Municipal Technology Branch
U.S. EPA
Mail Code 4204
401 M St., S.W.
Washington, D.C., 20460

