The Bob Hope Airport study contained a proposed noise compatibility program comprised of actions designed for phased implementation by airport management and adjacent jurisdictions. It was requested that the FAA evaluate and approve this material as a Noise Compatibility Program as described in 49 U.S.C. 47504 (formerly section 104(b) of the Act). The FAA began its review of the program on May 31, 2000, and was required by a provision of the Act to approve or disapprove the program within 180 days (other than the use of new or modified flight procedures for noise control). Failure to approve or disapprove such program within the 180-day period shall be deemed to be an approval of such program. FAA approved the program on November 27, 2000. Notice of this FAA's approval was published in the Federal Register on December 21, 2000.

Revision No. 1 to the approved NCP was submitted by the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority on January 20, 2004. The FAA began its review of the revision to the approved program on March 11, 2004, and was required by a provision of the Act to approve or disapprove the program within 180 days (other than the use of new or modified flight procedures for noise control). Failure to approve or disapprove such program within the 180-day period shall be deemed to be an approval of such program.

The submitted revision to the approved program contained one proposed action for noise abatement, noise mitigation, land use planning and program management on and off the airport. The FAA completed its review and determined that the procedural and substantive requirements of the Act and FAR Part 150 have been satisfied. Revision No. 1 to the approved program was approved, by the Associate Administrator for Airports, effective August 4, 2004.

Outright approval was granted for the new Land Use Planning Measure No. 7. "Provision for retention of property located in the northwest quadrant of the Airport within the 2003 65 CNEL noise exposure contour."

The determination is set forth in detail in the Record of Approval signed by the Associate Administrator for Airports on August 4, 2004. The Record of Approval, as well as other evaluation materials and the documents comprising the submittal, are available for review at the FAA office listed above and at the administrative offices of the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority. The Record of Approval also will be available on-line at: *http://*  www.faa.gov/arp/environmental/ 14cfr150/index14.cfm.

Issued in Hawthorne, California on August 5, 2004.

#### Mia Paredes Ratcliff,

Acting Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region, AWP-600. [FR Doc. 04–18820 Filed 8–16–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Federal Aviation Administration

## [Summary Notice No. PE-2004-67]

#### Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of petition for exemption received.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption, part 11 of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of a certain petition seeking relief from specified requirements of 14 CFR. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before September 7, 2004. ADDRESSES: Send comments on the petition to the Docket Management System, U.S. Department of Transportation, Room Plaza 401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001. You must identify the docket number FAA–2004–18747 at the beginning of your comments. If you wish to receive confirmation that the FAA received your comments, include a

You may also submit comments through the Internet to *http:// dms.dot.gov.* You may review the public docket containing the petition, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Dockets Office (telephone 1–800–647–5527) is on the plaza level of the NASSIF Building at the Department of Transportation at the above address. Also, you may review

self-addressed, stamped postcard.

public dockets on the Internet at *http:* //dms.dot.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jan Thor (425–227–2127), Transport Airplane Directorate (ANM–113), Federal Aviation Administration, 1601 Lind Ave, SW., Renton, WA 98055– 4056; or John Linsenmeyer (202–267– 5174), Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591. This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91.

#### Anthony F. Fazio,

Director, Office of Rulemaking.

#### **Petitions for Exemption**

Docket No.: FAA–2004–18747. Petitioner: Lockheed Martin Aircraft Center.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 25.807.

Description of Relief Sought: Lockheed Martin Aircraft Center petitions for exemption from the requirements of 14 CFR 25.807 regarding emergency exits. Specifically, the requirements for overwing exits per paragraph g(1) and per ditching requirements of paragraph i(1). The Gulfstream Model GV-SP (G550) is equipped with a left, forward entry door/exit, and 2 pairs of  $19 \times 26$ -inch ellipse overwing exits approved under an equivalent safety finding. All of the exits combined are rated for a maximum of 19 passengers. The proposed modification will leave the forward entry door/exit unchanged; a fairing is added to the outside of the fuselage, which covers both pairs of the overwing exits. The forward pair of overwing exists will be disabled. The aft pair of overwing exits will remain and not be modified. The added fairing will have a hatch provided in it to allow use of the aft pair of overwing exits from both the inside and the outside. All of the active exits combined in this new configuration will be rated for a maximum of 6 passengers.

[FR Doc. 04–18836 Filed 8–16–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### Federal Aviation Administration

#### [Summary Notice No. PE-2004-66]

## Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption part 11 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of 14 CFR, dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

**DATES:** Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before August 27, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments [identified by DOT DMS Docket Number FAA–200X-XXXXX] by any of the following methods:

• Web site: *http://www.dms.dot.gov.* Follow the instructions for submitting comments on the DOT electronic docket site.

• Fax: 1–202–493–2251.

• Mail: Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL–401, Washington, DC 20590– 001.

• Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 am and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to *http://www.regulations.gov.* Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to *http:// www.dms.dot.gov* at any time or to Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91.

Issued in Washington, DC, on August 11, 2004.

## Anthony F. Fazio,

Director, Office of Rulemaking.

## Petitions for Exemption

Docket No.: FAA-2004-17448.

Petitioner: USA Jet Airlines, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR appendix I to part 121.

*Description of Relief Sought:* To permit USA Jet to use mechanics who are covered under their employer's Federal Aviation Administrationapproved antidrug program on an independent basis to perform emergency maintenance on USA Jet aircraft when company personnel are unavailable.

[FR Doc. 04–18837 Filed 8–16–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2004-18647]

## Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 2004 360 Series Ferrari Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT **ACTION:** Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 2004 360 series Ferrari passenger cars are eligible for importation.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that nonconforming 2004 360 series Ferrari passenger cars that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because (1) they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

**DATES:** The closing date for comments on the petition is September 16, 2004. **ADDRESSES:** Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL–401, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.].

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, *etc.*). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477–78) or you may visit *http://dms.dot.gov.* 

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Coleman Sachs, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366–3151).

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States. certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

J.K. Technologies of Baltimore, Maryland ("JK") (Registered Importer 90–006) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether nonconforming 2004 360 series Ferrari passenger cars are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicles which JK believes are substantially similar are 2004 360 series Ferrari passenger cars that were manufactured for importation into, and sale in, the United States and certified by their manufacturer as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared non-U.S. certified 2004 360 series Ferrari passenger cars to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

JK submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 2004 360 series Ferrari passenger cars as originally manufactured, conform to many Federal