

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Federal Aviation Administration****Notice of Intent To Rule on Application 03-05-C-00-EUG To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Mahlon Sweet Field, Submitted by the City of Eugene, Mahlon Sweet Field, Eugene, OR**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Rule on Application.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use PFC revenue at Mahlon Sweet Field under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 2, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Mr. J. Wade Bryant, Manager; Seattle Airports District Office, SEA-ADO; Federal Aviation Administration; 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Suite 250, Renton, Washington 98055-4506.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Robert Noble, Airport Manager, at the following address: 28855 Lockheed Drive, Eugene, Oregon 97402.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to Mahlon Sweet Field, under § 158.23 of part 158.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Suzanne Lee-Pang, (425) 227-2654, Seattle Airports District Office, SEA-ADO; Federal Aviation Administration; 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Suite 250, Renton, Washington 98055-4056. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application 03-05-C-00-EUG to impose and use PFC revenue at Mahlon Sweet Field, under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On July 25, 2003, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by City of Eugene, Mahlon Sweet Field, Eugene, Oregon, was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than October 25, 2003.

The following is a brief overview of the application: Level of the proposed PFC: \$4.50. Proposed charge effective date: January 1, 2004. Proposed charge expiration date: October 31, 2005. Total requested for use approval: \$2,032,935. Brief description of proposed project: Parallel runway 16L/34R construction, Construct and expand apron.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFC's: Operations by Air Taxi/Commercial Operators utilizing aircraft having a maximum seating capacity of less than twenty passengers when enplaning revenue passengers in a limited, irregular/non-scheduled, or special service manner. Also exempted are operations by Air Taxi/Commercial Operators, without regard to seating capacity, for revenue passengers transported for student instruction, non-stop sightseeing flights that begin and end at the airport and are conducted within a 25 mile radius of the same airport, fire fighting charters, ferry or training flights, air ambulance/medivac flights and aerial photography or survey flights.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA Regional Airports Office located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Northwest Mountain Region, Airports Division, ANM-600, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Suite 315, Renton, WA 98055-4056.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Mahlon Sweet Field.

Issued in Renton, Washington on July 25, 2003.

**David A. Field,**

*Manager, Planning, Programming and Capacity Branch, Northwest Mountain Region.*

[FR Doc. 03-19529 Filed 7-30-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Federal Aviation Administration****Policy Statement on Standardization of Application Regarding Hazardous Misleading Heading Information for Attitude-Heading Reference Systems (AHRS); PS-ACE100-2002-003**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of issuance of policy.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces the issuance of PS-ACE100-2002-003. The purpose of this policy statement is to clarify Federal Aviation Administration certification policy on the application of AC 23.1309-1C, Equipment, Systems, and Installations in Part 23 Airplanes, regarding hazardous misleading heading information.

**DATES:** PS-ACE100-2002-003 was issued by the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate on May 30, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** A paper copy of PS-ACE100-2002-003 may be obtained by contacting Mr. Erv Dvorak, Standards Office, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, Kansas City, Missouri 64106, telephone (816) 329-4123, fax (816) 329-4090. The policy will also be available on the Internet at <http://www.airweb.faa.gov/policy>.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri on July 15, 2003.

**Michael Gallagher,**

*Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 03-19528 Filed 7-30-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****National Highway Traffic Safety Administration**

[Docket No. NHTSA-2003-15783]

**Decision That Certain Nonconforming Motor Vehicles Are Eligible for Importation**

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of decision by NHTSA that certain nonconforming motor vehicles are eligible for importation.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces decisions by NHTSA that certain motor vehicles not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because they are either (1) substantially similar to vehicles originally manufactured for importation into and/or sale in the United States and certified by their manufacturers as complying with the safety standards, and they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards, or (2) they have safety features that comply with, or are capable of being altered to comply with, all such standards.

**DATES:** These decisions are effective as of the date of their publication in the **Federal Register**.