

information submitted to support approval of this application may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

FDA has determined under 21 CFR 25.33(a)(1) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

This rule does not meet the definition of "rule" in 5 U.S.C. 804(3)(A) because it is a rule of "particular applicability." Therefore, it is not subject to congressional review requirements in 5 U.S.C. 801-808.

#### List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 520

Animal drugs.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Center for Veterinary Medicine, 21 CFR part 520 is amended as follows:

#### PART 520—ORAL DOSAGE FORM NEW ANIMAL DRUGS

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 520 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 360b.

#### § 520.1263c [Amended]

2. Section 520.1263c *Lincomycin hydrochloride soluble powder* is amended in paragraph (b) by removing "and 051259" and by adding in its place "051259, and 059130".

Dated: January 7, 2003.

**Stephen F. Sundlof,**

*Director, Center for Veterinary Medicine.*

[FR Doc. 03-1685 Filed 1-24-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-S

#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### Food and Drug Administration

#### 21 CFR Part 524

#### Ophthalmic and Topical Dosage Form New Animal Drugs; Ivermectin Pour-On

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the

animal drug regulations to reflect approval of an abbreviated new animal drug application (ANADA) filed by First Priority, Inc. The ANADA provides for topical use of ivermectin on cattle for treatment and control of various species of external and internal parasites.

**DATES:** January 27, 2003.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lonnie W. Luther, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV-104), Food and Drug Administration, 7519 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855, 301-827-8549, e-mail: lluther@cvm.fda.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** First Priority, Inc., 1585 Todd Farm Dr., Elgin, IL 60123, filed ANADA 200-340 for PRIVERMECTIN (ivermectin). The application provides for topical use of 0.5 percent ivermectin solution on cattle for the treatment and control of various species of gastrointestinal nematodes, lungworms, grubs, horn flies, lice, and mites. First Priority's PRIVERMECTIN is approved as a generic copy of Merial Ltd.'s IVOMEC Pour-On for Cattle, approved under NADA 140-841. The ANADA is approved as of December 4, 2002, and 21 CFR 524.1193 is amended to reflect the approval. The basis of approval is discussed in the freedom of information summary.

In accordance with the freedom of information provisions of 21 CFR part 20 and 21 CFR 514.11(e)(2)(ii), a summary of safety and effectiveness data and information submitted to support approval of this application may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

The agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.33(a)(1) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

This rule does not meet the definition of "rule" in 5 U.S.C. 804(3)(A) because it is a rule of "particular applicability." Therefore, it is not subject to the congressional review requirements in 5 U.S.C. 801-808.

#### List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 524

Animal drugs.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Center for Veterinary Medicine, 21 CFR part 524 is amended as follows:

#### PART 524—OPHTHALMIC AND TOPICAL DOSAGE FORM NEW ANIMAL DRUGS

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 524 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 360b.

#### § 524.1193 [Amended]

2. Section 524.1193 *Ivermectin pour-on* is amended in paragraph (b) by adding "058829," after "051311,".

Dated: January 6, 2003.

**Stephen F. Sundlof,**

*Director, Center for Veterinary Medicine.*

[FR Doc. 03-1686 Filed 1-24-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-S

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[FL-82-200309a; FRL-7443-3]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Florida: Approval of Revisions to the Florida State Implementation Plan

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is approving revisions to the Florida State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted on September 7, 1999, by the State of Florida through the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP). The purpose of the revisions to rule 62-212.400 is to correct discrepancies between State and Federal rule language on exemptions from Prevention of Significant Deterioration and to include additional provisions.

**DATES:** This direct final rule is effective March 28, 2003 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by February 26, 2003. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** All comments should be addressed to Heidi LeSane at the EPA, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960.

Copies of the state submittal are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

Environmental Protection Agency, Atlanta Federal Center, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960.

Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Twin Towers Office Building, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Heidi LeSane at (404) 562-9035 (E-mail: [lesane.heidi@epa.gov](mailto:lesane.heidi@epa.gov)).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

The State of Florida through the FDEP submitted revisions to Rule 62-212.400 of the Florida SIP on September 7, 1999. The purpose of the revisions to rule 62-212.400 is to correct discrepancies between State and Federal rule language on exemptions from Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) and to include additional provisions. A detailed analysis of the revisions is presented below.

**II. Analysis of Florida's Submittal**

Paragraph 62-212.400(2)(a)2.a, 62-212.400(2)(a)4, and 62-212.400(2)(a)5 are being revised to include a cross-reference to Rule 62-204.800.

Paragraph 62-212.400(2)(a)2.b is being added to exempt from PSD review any collateral emissions increases resulting from pollution control projects at pulp and paper mills being undertaken to comply with the EPA "cluster" rule.

Paragraph 62-212.400(2)(a)2.c is being added to exempt from PSD review any collateral emissions increases resulting from pollution control projects at municipal solid waste landfills being undertaken to comply with EPA landfill gas collection rules.

Paragraph 62-212.400(2)(a)3 (the temporary clean coal technology demonstration project exemption from PSD review) is being added in accordance with 40 CFR part 52, thus correcting a discrepancy between State and Federal rules.

Paragraph 62-212.400(2)(a)4 is being revised to correct a discrepancy between State and Federal rules (changing "unit-by-unit" to "pollutant-by-pollutant").

**Final Action**

EPA is approving the aforementioned revisions to the Florida SIP because they are consistent with the Clean Air Act (CAA) and EPA requirements. The EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register** publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the SIP revision should adverse comments be filed. This rule will be effective March 28, 2003 without further

notice unless the Agency receives adverse comments by February 26, 2003.

If the EPA receives such comments, then EPA will publish a document withdrawing the final rule and informing the public that the rule will not take effect. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Parties interested in commenting should do so at this time. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this rule will be effective on March 28, 2003 and no further action will be taken on the proposed rule.

**Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4).

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely

approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by March 28, 2003. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (*See* section 307(b)(2).)

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: January 8, 2003.  
**A. Stanley Meiburg,**  
*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.*  
 Part 52 of chapter I, title 40, *Code of Federal Regulations* is amended as follows:

**PART 52—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority for citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**EPA APPROVED FLORIDA REGULATIONS**

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

**Subpart K—Florida**

2. Section 52.520(c) is amended by revising the entry for “62–212.400” to read as follows:

**§ 52.520 Identification of plan.**

\* \* \* \* \*  
 (c) \* \* \*

State citation	Title/subject	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
*	*	*	*	*
<b>62–212 Stationary Sources Preconstruction Review</b>				
*	*	*	*	*
62–212.400 .....	Prevention of Significant Deterioration .....	08/15/1999 .....	01/27/2003 [Insert page citation of publication].	
*	*	*	*	*

\* \* \* \* \*  
 [FR Doc. 03–1632 Filed 1–24–03; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

**47 CFR Part 73**

[DA 03–17, MM Docket No. 01–19: RM–10048, RM–10027; MM Docket No. 01–27, RM–10056, RM–10118]

**Radio Broadcasting Services; Clayton, Ruston, Saint Joseph, and Wisner, LA**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.  
**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document consolidates two rulemaking proceedings and allots Channel 257C3 to Saint Joseph, Louisiana, and Channel 300C3 to Wisner, Louisiana, as first local services. To accommodate the Saint Joseph allotment, the document also substitutes Channel 2666A for vacant Channel 257A at Clayton, Louisiana. *See* 66 FR 10267, February 14, 2001, and 66 FR 10659, February 16, 2001. This document also dismisses a counterproposal to upgrade Station KNBB(FM), Ruston, Louisiana, from Channel 257C3 to Channel 257C2, because it was not technically correct upon the date when it was filed. Rather, it was contingent on the dismissal of a counterproposal in an earlier rulemaking. The coordinates for Channel 257C3 at Saint Joseph are 32–51–44 and 91–11–41. The coordinates

for Channel 266A at Clayton are 31–44–48 and 91–31–16. The coordinates for Channel 300C3 at Wisner are 32–05–28 and 91–28–57.

**DATES:** Effective February 24, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrew J. Rhodes, Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission’s *Report and Order* in MM Docket Nos. 01–19 and 01–27, adopted January 6, 2003, and released January 8, 2003. The full text of this decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC’s Reference Information Center at Portals II, CY–A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission’s copy contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202–863–2893, facsimile 202–863–2898, or via e-mail *qualexint@aol.com*.

**List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73**

Radio, Radio broadcasting.  
 Part 73 of title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

**PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES**

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

**§ 73.202 [Amended]**

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Louisiana, is amended by removing Channel 257A and adding Channel 266A at Clayton, by adding Saint Joseph, Channel 257C3, and Wisner, Channel 300C3.

Federal Communications Commission.  
**John A. Karousos,**  
*Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 03–1745 Filed 1–24–03; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6712–01–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

**50 CFR Part 660**

[Docket No.; 021016235–3005–02; I.D. 092402E]

RIN 0648–AP87

**Fisheries Off West Coast States and in the Western Pacific; Coastal Pelagic Species Fishery; Amendment 10**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS issues a regulation to implement Amendment 10 to the Coastal Pelagic Species (CPS) Fishery Management Plan (FMP), which was submitted by the Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) for