

TABLE 2.—CLASSIFICATION OPTIONS
[Counts of hypothetical nonattainment areas]
(2000–2002 data)

| | Subpart 2 | | | | | | Subpart 1 | Total |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| | Extreme | Severe-17 | Severe-15 | Serious | Moderate | Marginal | | |
| Option 2 as proposed 6/2/03 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 11 | 64 | 101 |
| Alternative A (8-hour-only design value option) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 26 | 55 | 101 |
| Alternative B (Modified Option 2) | 0 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 30 | 10 | 45 | 101 |
| Original 1991 Classifications * | 1 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 30 | 43 | 2 | 101 |

* Does not account for section 185A or incomplete data areas

[FR Doc. 03–26537 Filed 10–20–03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[Docket # OR–02–002b; FRL–7568–8]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; State of Oregon; Klamath Falls PM–10 Nonattainment Area Redesignation to Attainment and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On November 4, 2002, the State of Oregon submitted a PM–10 maintenance plan for Klamath Falls to EPA for approval and concurrently requested that EPA redesignate the Klamath Falls nonattainment area to attainment for the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than ten micrometers (PM–10). In this action, EPA is proposing to approve the maintenance plan and to redesignate the Klamath Falls PM–10 nonattainment area to attainment.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by November 20, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted either by mail or electronically. Written comments should be mailed to Steven K. Body, Office of Air Quality, (OAQ–107), EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Ave., Seattle Washington 98101. Electronic comments should be sent either to r10.aircom@epa.gov or to <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is an alternative method for submitting electronic comments to EPA. To submit comments, please follow the detailed instructions described in the Direct

Final Rule, **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section, Part VII, General Information.

Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday at the following office: United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, Office of Air Quality, 1200 Sixth Ave., Seattle WA 98101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven K. Body, Office of Air Quality, (OAQ–107), EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Ave., Seattle, WA 98101, (206) 553–0782, or body.steve@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State’s redesignation request and State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision, involving the maintenance plan, as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views the redesignation and SIP revision as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

For additional information see the direct final rule, of the same title, published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: September 24, 2003.
Ronald A. Kreizenbeck,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.
[FR Doc. 03–26541 Filed 10–20–03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 262 and 271

[FRL–7575–8]

Massachusetts: Proposed Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions; Proposed State-Specific Modification to Federal Hazardous Waste Regulations; Proposed Extension of Site-Specific Regulations for New England Universities’ Laboratories XL Project

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Today’s action consists of three distinct but related proposals briefly characterized here and discussed in detail below in the supplementary information section of this action. First, the EPA proposes to grant final authorization to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts for revisions to its hazardous waste program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The revisions consist of updated State regulations covering hazardous waste definitions and miscellaneous provisions, provisions for the identification and listing of hazardous wastes, and standards for hazardous waste generators, which correspond to RCRA Consolidated Checklists C1, C2 and C3, respectively. These State regulations are being updated to address most Federal RCRA requirements listed in Checklists C1, C2 and C3 through at least July 1, 1990. These State regulations have been determined by the EPA to meet the requirements for authorization (including equivalency) as set forth in the EPA’s current regulations.

Second, the State regulations submitted for authorization also include comprehensive regulations governing hazardous wastes being recycled on-site by generators. Although these State regulations differ in several respects