

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> October 17, 2008 <b>Received date:</b> Not specified <b>Status:</b> Pending Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 807401b7 <b>Comments Due:</b> October 29, 2008 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
--

**Docket:** MSHA-2008-0014

Alcohol-and Drug-Free Mines: Policy, Prohibitions, Testing, Training, and Assistance

**Comment On:** MSHA-2008-0014-0001

Alcohol- and Drug-Free Mines: Policy, Prohibitions, Testing, Training, and Assistance

**Document:** MSHA-2008-0014-DRAFT-0046

Comment from James Male, Golden Predator Mines Inc, US

## Submitter Information

**Name:** James Dean Male

**Address:**

PO Box 615

Winnemucca, NV, 89446

**Email:** jmale@goldenpredator.com

**Phone:** 775-538-7384

**Organization:** Golden Predator Mines Inc, US

## General Comment

This proposed rule is senseless, why would you force a company to give an employee two chances at failure. I do not support this rule in any way. This would add a huge expense to a mines budget to come up with non safety sensitive jobs. Where would that be on a mine site?

Here is my propped rule:

I believe we should have a database of all miners who have been terminated for drug and alcohol and never allow these miners to work in the industry again. One chance only.

Why give someone the chance to injure or kill themselves or another more than once?

*ABYI-COMM-79*