

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

ADEMCO INT'L. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, identified, certified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a)] Case No. 89SW720250	\$7,500
A.H. ROBINS COMPANY (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, cushioned, marked, labeled, identified, certified, named, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material covered by the description. There was a significant release of the hazardous material to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a), 172.204(c)(1) 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.27(a), 173.117(a), 173.24(a)(1)] Case No. 88GL740390	\$20,000
AKZO COATING, INC. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, marked, labeled, named, certified, and identified. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. There was a significant release of hazardous material to the environment. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees having responsibility for the shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.1(b), 173.6(b)(1), 173.24(a)(1), 173.25(a)(3)] Case No. 90SW720059	\$20,000
ALASKA MECHANICAL, INC. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, and identified, as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. There was a significant release of hazardous material to the environment. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.204(d), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 173.6(b)(1), 173.6(b)(4), 173.24(a)(1)-(2), 173.27(a), 173.1(b)] Case No. 88AL700033	\$14,000

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

AMERADA HESS (Shipper)	Offered for transportation by air a package containing a battery which leaked acid during transportation. The shipment was not accompanied by shipping papers or a declaration of dangerous goods. The shipment was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, and in condition for shipment required by the HMR. [172.2(a), 172.204(a) or (c)(1),172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.1(b), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 173.24(a)(1), 173.260(a)(1)] Case No. 88GI730178	\$4,000
ANTHONY CATANIA (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, named, identified, certified, and specified as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. There was a significant release of hazardous material to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.316(a) and (c), 173.22(a), 173.860, 173.3(a), 173.6(b)(1)-(2), 173.24(a)(1)-(2)] Case No. 88WP750167	\$500
ARMSTRONG WORLD CORPORATION (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, identified, certified, named, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material covered by the description. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(2)-(4), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a)] Case No. 90GL710004	\$10,000
ARROWWOOD CORP. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, and packaged. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1) and (3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.308(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.406(c), 173.3(a), 172.22(a), 173.266(c)(8), 173.1(b)] Case No. 86SW710313	\$500

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

AT&T (Shipper)	Offered dangerous goods for transportation by air when the goods were not properly classified, documented, certified, described, packaged, labeled, marked, and in the condition for shipment as required by the ICAO Technical Instructions. [ICAO Part 1, Ch. 1, Para. 1.2; Part 4, Ch. 1, Para. 1.1(b); Part 4, Ch. 2, Para. 2.1; Part 4, Ch. 2, Para. 2.4.7(a); Part 4, Ch. 2, Para. 2.4.7(b); Part 4, Ch. 3, Para. 3.1; Part 4, Ch. 4, Para. 1.3(b); Part 4, Ch. 4, Para. 1.3(g)] Case No. 89WP750153	\$12,500
ATSUGI UNISA CORP. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. The "Cargo Aircraft Only" label was not affixed to the package. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4) 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.1(b)] Case No. 91AL700006	\$10,000
AZTECA CORN PRODUCTS (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. There was a significant release of hazardous material to the environment. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), and (3), 172.300 and 173.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.6(b)(1), 173.24(a)(1), 173.245(a), 173.1(b))] Case No. 88GL730084	\$15,000
BAKER SAND CONTROL (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly described, packaged, marked, labeled, numbered, certified and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The total quantity of the material covered did not appear before or after the description of the hazardous material. The hazardous material was prohibited aboard a passenger-carrying aircraft, and "Cargo Aircraft Only" labels were not affixed to the package. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(b), 172.202(c), 172.203(a), 172.203(f), 172.204(c)(3),	\$8,000

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

172.402(b), 173.100(v), 173.1(b)]  
Case No. 88SW720285

BASF CORPORATION (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, identified, certified, named, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material covered by the description. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300 and 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.27(a), 173.615(e), 173.1(b)] Case No. 88NE700001	\$27,500
BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORP. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, cushioned, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a) and 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-4, 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300 and 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 172.312(a)(2), 173.1(b), 173.243(a)] Case No. 88SO740131	\$15,000
BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORP. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. There was a significant release of hazardous material to the environment. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(2), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300 and 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 172.312(a)(2), 173.1(b), 173.6(b)(1)-(2), 173.24(a)(1)] Case No. 89SW710368	\$15,000
BAXTER SALES CORP. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. There was a significant release of hazardous material to the environment. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees as to the applicable HMR.	\$20,000

**Federal Aviation Administration - 1992**

BEHR INDUSTRIAL CORP. (Shipper)	[171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(3), 172.300 and 172.301(a), 172.316(a), 173.630, 172.312(a)(2), 173.6(b)(2), 173.24(a)(1), 173.1(b)] Case No. 89SO750004 Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, cushioned, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. There was a significant release of hazardous material to the environment. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees as to the applicable HMR.	\$10,000
BLACK AND DECKER (Shipper)	[171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a) 172.400(a), 172.312(a)(2), 173.1(b), 173.6(b)(1), 173.24(a)(1), 173.117(a)] Case No. 89GL730056 Offered for transportation by air commerce a package containing hazardous materials that was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, named, identified, certified, and labeled. The words "CARGO AIRCRAFT ONLY" were not entered after the basic description on the shipping papers as required by the HMR.	\$10,000
BOMBARDIER, INC. (Shipper)	[171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(3), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 172.402(b)] Case No. 88GL740266 Offered for transportation by air a shipment containing a hazardous material under the HMR. The shipment was not properly classed, described, marked, packaged, and labeled. [171.2(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.402(b)] Case No. 87G1740192	\$3,000
CARBORUNDUM ABRASIVES, INC. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, marked, labeled, named, certified, and identified. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. The hazardous material was prohibited aboard passenger-carrying aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description as required by the HMR. [(171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.204(d), 172.312(a)(2), 172.402(b)] Case No. 87GL740220	\$10,000
CARIBBEAN AIR CARGO CO. (Carrier)	Accepted for transportation by air commerce a package containing hazardous materials that was not properly marked, classed, and described as required by the HMR. [171.2(a), 175.3, 175.30(a)(2), 175.30(a)(3)] Case No. 89S0740052	\$2,500

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

<p>CARTS OF COLORADO (Shipper)</p>	<p>Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, cushioned, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The HM was prohibited aboard a passenger-carrying aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description on the shipping papers. Failed to provide the necessary copies of the shipper's certification, and to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a) and 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(3), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300 and 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.1(b), 173.6(b)(4), 173.24(a)(1), 173.117] Case No. 88SW720209</p>	<p>\$12,000</p>
<p>CHEMICAL CONSULTANTS INT'L., INC. (Shipper)</p>	<p>Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, identified, certified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the HM. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1) and (3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 173.22(a)(1), 173.25(a)(3)] Case No. 87GL740020</p>	<p>\$4,800</p>
<p>COCA-COLA REFRESHMENT SYSTEMS (Shipper)</p>	<p>Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, identified, certified, named, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the HM covered by the description. [171.2(a), 172.200(a) and 172.202, 172.204(c) 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a), 172.204(c)(1), (2)-(3), 172.300 and 172.301(a), 172.400(a)] Case No. 90NM720120</p>	<p>\$2,500</p>
<p>COILTRONICS (Shipper)</p>	<p>Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, identified, certified, named, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material covered by the description. The hazardous material was prohibited aboard a passenger-carrying aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description</p>	<p>\$5,000</p>

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

on the shipping papers. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. The HM exceeded the quantity limitations prescribed for the material in accordance with The HMR. There was a significant release of the HM to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a) and 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.203(c)(1), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400, 172.402(b), 172.312(a)(1), 173.3(a), 173.22(a), 173.119, 173.6(b)(1), 173.24(a)(1)-(2), 173.1(b), 173.27(a)] Case No. 86SO740053

DATAPLEX CORPORATION (Shipper)	Offered for transportation by air a shipment of hazardous materials when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, identified, certified, and in condition for shipment required by HMR. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(3), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 173.1(b), 173.6(b)(1), 173.6(b)(4), 173.24(a)(2), 173.243] Case No. 87S0730180	\$11,000
DELMARVA POWER & LIGHT CO. (Shipper)	Offered for transportation by air a package containing a HM when such material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, and in the condition for shipment required by the HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(c), 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.1(b), 173.6(b)(1)-(2), 173.24(a)(1)-(2), 173.24(c)(6), 173.117(a)] Case No. 87GL740068	\$6,500
DELTA AIRLINES, INC. (Carrier)	Accepted hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed and described. Failed to give the proper shipping name, hazard class, identification number, location of the material, the total number of packages, and to thoroughly instruct employees in relation thereto. The shipping papers did not accompany the hazardous material as required by the HMR. [171.2(a), 171.2(b), 175.20, 175.33, 175.35(a)] Case No. 86SO740156.	\$2,500
DETAILS MASTERS (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, packaged, marked, labeled, identified, named, certified, cushioned, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the HM covered by the description. The HM was prohibited aboard a passenger-carrying aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description on the shipping papers. Failed to provide the necessary copies of the shipper's certification,	\$4,250

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

	and to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 172.312(a), 172.402(b), 173.1(b), 173.6(b)(4), 173.117(a)] Case No. 89SW720394	
DICK BELL EXPORTS, INC. (Shipper)	Offered for transportation by air a shipment of hazardous materials when the shipping papers did not include the proper shipping name, the hazard class prescribed, and the assigned identification prescribed for the material. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202(a), 172.202(a)(1)-(3), 172.203(f), 173.1(b)] Case No. 87S0740043	\$600
DIE TECH. ASSO. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. The "Cargo Aircraft Only" label was not affixed to the package. [171.2(a), 172.200(a) and 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), and (3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.117, 173.6(b)(4)]. Case No 88GL740447	\$16,500
E AND B MARINE (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, marked, labeled, named, identified, packaged, certified, cushioned, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. Failed to provide the required number of copies of the shippers's certification, and to instruct its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b)-(c), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300 and 172.301(a), 172.304(a)(1), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.24(a)(2), 173.1(b)] Case No. 90SO740078	\$13,500
ESSO STANDARD OIL, INC. (Shipper)	Offered dangerous goods for transportation by air when the goods were not properly marked, labeled, named, identified, and packaged as required by Section 171.11 of the HMR, International Civil Aviation Organization Technical Instructions. [ICAO Part 4, Ch. 2, Para. 2.4.1; Part 4, Ch. 3, Para. 3.2.9.c; Part 4, Ch. 4, Para. 4.1.2; Part 4, Ch. 4, Para. 4.1.3.d] Case No. 88SO740091	\$5,000
FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION	Accepted a hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not labeled in	\$4,000



***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

(Carrier)	accordance with the HMR. [175.30(a)(3)] Case No. 89SW720341	
FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION (Carrier)	Failed to train and instruct its employees in the handling and shipping of hazardous material as required by the HMR. [175.20, 121.433(a)] Case No. 87SO710002	\$9,000
FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION (Carrier)	Accepted hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not marked with the proper shipping name and the assigned identification number as required by the HMR. [171.2(a), and 175.30(a)(3)] Case No. 88SW710176	\$1,500
FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION (Carrier)	Accepted hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not described, certified, marked, and labeled as required by the HMR. [175.30(a)(2)-(3)] Case No. 87SW720152	\$3,000
FIRST DATA RESOURCES, INC. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, identified, certified, cushioned, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. There was a significant release of the hazardous material to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(c), 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300 and 172.301(a), 172.304(a)(1), 172.400(a), 173.1(b), 173.24(a)(1)-(2), 173.117(a)] Case No. 88GL740421	\$13,000
FORD MOTOR CO. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The hazardous material was prohibited on board passenger-carrying aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered on the shipping papers. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. [171.2(a), 172.200(a) and 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 172.312(a)(2), 173.6(b)(4), 173.27(a), 173.117(a)] Case No. 89SW720319	\$15,000
GENERAL ELECTRIC CO.	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed,	\$15,000

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

(Shipper)	described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. There was a significant release of hazardous material to the environment. [(171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.200(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(3), 172.300 and 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.24(a)(1)] Case No. 88GL740404	
HARRY A. GAY (Shipper)	Offered for transportation in commerce in the United States a shipment of hazardous materials when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, certified, labeled, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the proper shipping name or the total quantity of the hazardous material. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b)-(c), 172.204(a), or (c)(1), 172(c)(2), 172.204(c)(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.6(b)(1), 173.24(a)(1), 173.24(c)(6), 173.117(a)] Case No. 87 AL700009	\$1,000
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, identified, certified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The total quantity of the material covered did not appear before or after the description of the hazardous material. The hazardous material was prohibited aboard a passenger-carrying aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description on the shipping papers. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.1(b), 173.6(b)(1), 173.119, 173.120(b), 173.260(a)(1) or (c)] Case No. 87WP730036	\$20,000
INTERNATIONAL TECHNOLOGY, CORP. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, identified, certified, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material covered by the description. There was a significant release of the hazardous material to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a)] Case No. 90AL700010	\$17,500
JOHNSON MATTHEY	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within	\$20,000

*Federal Aviation Administration - 1992*

& ALFA PROD (Shipper)	the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, cushioned, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. There was a significant release of the hazardous to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a) and 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 173.24(a)(1), 173.243(a)] Case No. 89SW720432	
J. T. TROUT CORPORATION (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, identified, certified, named, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material covered by the description. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. The hazardous material exceeded the quantity limitations prescribed for the material in accordance with the HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a) and 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a), 172.204(c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.1(b), 173.27(a)] Case No. 90GL730038	\$500
KEARNEY-CENTAUR (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly described, marked, and packaged, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. The hazardous material was prohibited aboard a passenger-carrying aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description on the shipping papers. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees as to the applicable HMR. [(171.2(a), 172.202(a)(4), 172.203(f), 173.1(b), 173.27(a), 173.268(i)(4), 173.268(i)(1), 173.268(j)(1), 172.204(a)(4), 173.312(a)(2), 173.25(a)(3), 173.1(b)] Case No. 87SW710455	\$8,000
KENNETH GRIFFITH (Shipper)	Offered for transportation by air hazardous material that was not properly named, classed, identified, described, certified, marked, labeled, packaged, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The hazardous material had leaked during the flight. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a), 172.204(c)(1), 172.204(c)(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.359(a), 173.27(a), 173.24(a)(1)] Case No. 90CE710050	\$4,000
KIMBERLY-CLARK	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce when	\$2,500

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

CORP. (Shipper)	the material was not properly classed, described, identified, certified, marked, named, labeled, and packaged. There was significant release of hazardous material to the environment. The shipping papers did include the total quantity of the hazardous material covered by the description as required by the HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.6(b)(1), 173.24(a)(1)] Case No. 88GL730211	
KINETIC LAB., INC. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, identified, certified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the HM. The HM was prohibited aboard a passenger aircraft, and the "Cargo Aircraft Only" label was not affixed to the package. There was a significant release of the HM to the environment. The HM exceeded the quantity limitations prescribed for the material in accordance with the HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a) and 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300 and 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.21(a), 173.24(a)(1), 173.27(a), 173.260(a)(1)] Case No. 88AL700034	\$10,000
KINTETSU WORLD KOGYO CO., LTD (Shipper)	Offered dangerous goods for transportation by air when the goods were not properly classified, documented, certified, described labeled, and in the condition for shipment as required by the ICAO Technical Instructions. [HMR 171.11; ICAO Part 1, Ch.1, Para. 1.2; Part 4, Ch.1, Para. 1.1(c); Part 4, Ch.4, Para. 4.1.1; Part 4, Ch.4, Para. 4.1.2; Part 4, Ch. 4, Para. 4.1.2; Part 4, Ch. 4, Para. 4.1.3; Part 4, Ch.3, Para. 4.3.1; Part 4, Ch. 3, Para. 3.1.1)] Case No. 87WP750065	\$20,000
LEAR LEVIN PRODUCTIONS (Shipper)	Offered for transportation by air a shipment of hazardous materials. The shipment was not properly classed, described, packaged, certified, secured, marked, labeled, and named. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. The shipment was prohibited aboard a passenger aircraft. There was significant release of the hazardous material to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.6(b)(4), 173.22(a)(1), 173.24(a)(1)-(2)] Case No. 86S0730540	\$15,000
LONZA, INC.	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within	\$15,000

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

(Shipper)	the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the HM. The HM was prohibited aboard a passenger-carrying aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description on the shipping papers. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees as to the applicable HMR.	
MALL FRONTS (Shipper)	[171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.316(a), 173.1(b)] Case No. 90GL730139 Offered for transportation by air a shipment of hazardous materials when the shipment was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, and in the condition for shipment required by the HMR. Failed to instruct each of its employees, agents, and officers having responsibilities for the shipment of hazardous material as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.204(c)(3), 172.300, 172.312, 172.400, 172.402, 173.1(b), 173.3(a), 173.128, 173.6(b)(4), 173.24(a)(1)] Case No. 89GL730012	\$10,000
MET TECH, INC. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, identified, certified, and packaged. There was significant release of the hazardous material to the environment. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.204(d), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.6(b)(1), 173.6(b)(4), 173.24(a)(1), 173.24(a)(2), 173.1(b)] Case No. 87GL730105	\$1,000
MIDWEST GRAIN PRODUCTS OF ILLINOIS (Shipper)	Offered for transportation by air a shipment of hazardous materials when the shipping papers did not include the proper shipping name, classification, identification, certification, and the total quantity of the hazardous material. The shipment was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, and in the condition for shipment required by the HMR. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.204(d), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 173.1(b)] Case No. 87GL740062	\$9,000
MIL-COM ASSO. INC. (Shipper)	Offered dangerous goods for transportation by air when the goods were not properly classified, packaged, marked and labeled, as required by Section 171.11 of the HMR, International Civil	\$7,000

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

Aviation Organization Technical Instructions. [ICAO, Part 3, Ch. 1, Para. 1.1.1; Part 3, Ch. 1., Para. 1.1.1.4; Part 3, Ch. 10, Packing Instruction 818; Part 4, Ch. 1, Para. 1.1(a)]  
Case No. 88NM710240

MM SYSTEMS (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, identified, certified, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material covered by the description. The HM was prohibited aboard a passenger-carrying aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description on the shipping papers. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. The HM exceeded the quantity limitations prescribed for the material in accordance with the HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1) 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300 and 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.1(b), 173.25(a)(3)-(4), 173.27(a)] Case No. 87WP750202	\$12,500
NESTLE FOODS CORPORATION (Shipper)	Offered for transportation by air, a shipment of hazardous material under the HMR. The shipment was not properly classed, described, named, identified, certified, packaged, marked, labeled, and affixed with the words "CARGO AIRCRAFT ONLY," as required by the HMR. The shipment was not cushioned to prevent breakage or leakage, and the labels were obscured by markings or attachments. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a), 172.204(c)(1)-(3), 172.304(a)(3), 172.312(a)(2), 172.402(b), 172.406(f), 173.117] Case No. 87GL740161	\$15,000
NIGEL OLGILVIE (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, identified, certified, named, cushioned, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. Shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the HM covered by the description. The HM was prohibited aboard a passenger aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description on the shipping papers. There was a significant release of the HM to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.402(b), 173.6(b)(1), 173.24(a)(1), 173.117] Case No. 90WP730009	\$2,500
NORTHERN	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within	\$15,000

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

ENGRAVING, CORP. (Shipper)	the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, identified, certified, named, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the HM covered by the description. The HM was prohibited aboard a passenger-carrying aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description on the shipping papers. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.1(b), 173.6(b)(4), 173.117(a)] Case No. 90GL730101	
OCEAN SPORTS PHOTO HAWAII (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. The HM exceeded the quantity limitations prescribed for the material by the HMR. Packaged more than one HM in the same package. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.203(c)(1), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300 and 172.301(a), 172.304(a)(3), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 172.312(a)(1), 172.402(a), 172.406(a), 173.3(a), 173.22(a) and 173.266(c)(8), 173.21(a), 173.27(a), 173.242(a)] Case No. 86WP760022	\$1,000
ONE GRAND PRODUCTS (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, identified, certified, secured, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material covered by the description. The hazardous material was prohibited aboard a passenger-carrying aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description on the shipping papers. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. There was a significant release of the hazardous material to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.1(b), 173.6(b)(4), 173.24(a)(1), 173.243] Case No. 89NE700004	\$1,000
OLIN CORPORATION (Shipper)	Offered for transportation by air a shipment of hazardous material which caused a fire forcing the pilot to make a emergency landing. The shipment was not properly described, labeled, packaged, secured, and it exceeded the maximum quantity of HM allowed	\$90,000

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

under the HMR. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(4), 172.204, 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 172.406(c), 172.312(a)(1), 173.3(a), 173.268(i)(2)-(4), 173.27(a), 173.6(b)(1), 173.24(a)(1)-(2), 173.1(b)] Case No. 86S0730400

PALMEROS FORWARDING AGENCY (Shipper)	Offered for transportation by air dangerous goods, when the dangerous goods were not properly marked, labeled, and in the condition for shipment as required by the ICAO Technical Instructions. [Part 1, Ch. 1, Para. 1.2; Part 4, Ch. 1, Para. 1.1(b); Part 1, Ch. 1, Para. 1.2; Part 4, Ch. 2, Para. 2.4.1; Part 4, Ch. 3, Para. 3.1.1; Part 2, Ch. 11, Table 2-14] Case No. 89SW720170.	\$4,000
QANTAS AIRWAYS LIMITED (Carrier)	Accepted for transportation aboard an aircraft a shipment of hazardous materials not prepared for shipment in accordance with the HMR. Failed to instruct its employees, and to give the pilot the required information and location of the hazardous material in the aircraft. [175.3, 175.20, 175.33] Case No. 89WP760179	\$20,000
RANDALL CONTRACT (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, identified, and certified, as required by the HMR. The total quantity of the material covered did not appear before or after the description of the HM. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(3), 172.300, 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a), 172.400(a), 173.1(b)] Case No. 88SW710266	\$15,000
RECO REFRIGERATION EQUIPMENT CO., INC. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, identified, and certified, for shipment as required by the HMR. Shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the HM covered by the description. The HM was prohibited aboard a passenger aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description on the shipping papers. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. There was a significant release of HM to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.1(b), 173.24(a)] Case No. 89NM720143	\$6,600
RICCA CHEMICAL (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly marked as	\$1,000



*Federal Aviation Administration - 1992*

required by HMR. [171.2(a), 172.300, 172.301(a)]  
Case No. 88SW710175

RITZ CAMERA CENTERS (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the HM. There was a significant release of the hazardous to the environment. Failed to instruct its officers, agents and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.1(b), 173.6(b)(4), 173.24(a)(1), 173.117] Case No. 87SO730267	\$10,000
ROCKWELL INTERNATIONAL (Shipper)	Offered for transportation by air dangerous goods in receptacles with friction-type closures that were not securely, tightly, and effectively held in place by positive means. The upright position of the package was not indicated on it by the "Package Orientation" label shown in the ICAO Technical Instructions. [ICAO Part 3, Ch.1, Para. 1.1.4; Part 3, Ch.1, Para. 1.1.13; Part 4, Ch. 3, Para. 3.2.8] Case No. 88CE710049	\$20,000
RON HOVELN (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, cushioned, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the HM. The HM was prohibited aboard a passenger aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description on the shipping papers. Failed to instruct officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. There was a significant release of the hazardous material to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1) and (3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.1(b), 173.24(a)(1), 173.27(a), 173.260, 173.243(a)] Case No. 89GL740060	\$1,100
RUGBY DENTAL MANUFACTURING, INC. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The hazardous material was prohibited on board passenger-carrying aircraft and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered on the shipping papers. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(3), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204,	\$11,250

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 173.312(a)(2), 172.402(b), 173.1(b), 173.6(b), 173.117] Case No. 88GL740258

RUSSELL PLASTICS (Shipper)	Offered for transportation by air commerce a shipment containing a hazardous material under the HMR. The hazardous material is forbidden aboard a passenger-carrying aircraft. The shipping papers did not contain the proper shipping name, class, description, certification and the identification number. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a), 172.204(c)(1), 172.204(c)(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 173.27(a), 173.1(b)] Case No. 88EA710088	\$20,000
SAFT ADVANCED (Shipper)	Offered dangerous goods for transportation by air when the goods were not properly described, packaged, marked, labeled, and in the condition for shipment as required by the ICAO Technical Instructions. [ICAO Part 1, Ch. 1, Para. 1.2; Part 4, Ch. 1, Para. 1.1(b); Part 4, Ch. 3, Para. 3.1.1; Part 4, Ch. 3, Para. 3.2.8(b); Part 4, Ch. 4, Para. 4.1.3(b); Part 6, Ch. 1, Para. 1.1(a); Attachment 3, Ch. 1, US 25] Case No. 88EA720102	\$30,000
SAMUEL JOHNSTON (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The HM was prohibited aboard a passenger aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered on the shipping papers. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2), 172.204(c)(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 173.108(a)-(b)] Case No. 88SO740101	\$1,000
SGS ARGENTINA (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, identified, certified, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. Shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the HM covered by the description. The HM was prohibited aboard a passenger aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description on the shipping papers. There was a significant release of the HM to the environment. The HM exceeded the quantity limitations prescribed for the material in accordance with the HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.204(d), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2),	\$20,000

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.3(a), 173.22(a), 173.119, 173.6(b)(1),  
173.24(a)(1)-(2), 173.27(a) Case No. 89SW720158

SGS CONTROL SERVICE, INC. (Shipper)	Offered for shipment by air commerce a shipment of hazardous material when the material was not properly labeled, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. [171.2(a), 172.400(a), 172.401(a)(2)] Case No. 895W720342	\$2,250
SINGER-LINK, CORP. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. Shipping paper description did not include the total quantity of the HM. Failed to provide the necessary number of copies of the shipper's certification. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a)] Case No. 87GL740223	\$7,000
SMOOT COMPANY CORPORATION (Shipper)	Offered for transportation by air a shipment of hazardous materials when the material was not properly classed, described, named, identified, packaged, and the total quantity of the hazardous material was not included on the shipping papers. There was a significant release of the hazardous material to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(3), 173.24(a)(1)] Case No. 88S0730361	\$3,500
SPRING AG, LTD. (Shipper)	Offered for transportation by air hazardous material when the material was not properly classed, described, marked, labeled, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the proper shipping name, the assigned identification number, the hazard class, and the total quantity of the hazardous material. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for preparing hazardous materials for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.204, 172.204(a), or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.204(d), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 173.1(b)] Case No. 90EA730019	\$16,000
SUPERENVASES ENVALIC (Shipper)	Offered for transportation by air hazardous materials that was not properly named, described, packaged, and in condition for shipment, as required by the HMR, and the International Civil Aviation Organization(ICAO) Technical Instructions. [(HMR 171.11) (ICAO Part 1, Ch.1, Para. 1.2; Part 4, Ch.4, Para.4.1.2; Part 4, Ch.4, Para. 4.1.3 (c); Part 3, Ch.1, Para.1.1.2)] Case No. 84S0740005	\$3,000
SUPER SKY PRODUCTS, INC.	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed,	\$11,000

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

(Shipper)	described, packaged, marked, labeled, identified, certified, named, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material covered by the description. There was a significant release of the hazardous material to the environment. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.1(b), 173.6(b)(1), 173.24(a)(1), 173.25(a)(3)] Case No. 87GL740124	
TALL PAUL, INC. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The description on the shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the hazardous material. Did not provide required number of copies of the shipper's certification. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a) and 172.202, 172.202(a)(1), (2), and (4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 173.1(b), 173.108(b)] Case No. 90CE710020	\$2,000
TAMPA AIRLINES (Carrier)	Accepted hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, certified, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 175.3, 175.20, 175.30(a)(2)-(3)] Case No. 86SO740196	\$8,000
TEMSCO HELICOP. INC. (Carrier)	Accepted hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, marked, labeled, handled, certified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. Failed to give the pilot the information required by the HMR. Carried a shipment of hazardous materials aboard an aircraft without the accompanying shipping papers. The HM exceeded the quantity limitations prescribed for the material in accordance with the HMR. [171.2(a), 171.2(b), 175.30(a)(2)-(3), 175.33, 175.35(a), 175.81(a), 175.30(a)(1), 175.310] Case No. 88AL700036	\$20,000
3M COMPANY (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. Did not	\$7,000

*Federal Aviation Administration - 1992*

provide the required number of copies of the shippers's certification. There was a significant release of hazardous material to the environment. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a) and 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(3), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 173.1(b), 173.6(b)(6), 173.24(a)(1), 173.117] Case No. 88EA720463

TOKOYO OHKA KOGYO CO., LTD (Shipper)	Offered dangerous goods for transportation by air when the goods were not properly classified, documented, certified, described, labeled, and in the condition for shipment as required by the ICAO Technical Instructions. [HMR 171.11; ICAO Part 1, Ch.1, Para. 1.2; Part 4, Ch.1, Para. 1.1(c); Part 4, Ch.4, Para. 4.1.1; Part 4, Ch.4, Para.4.1.2; Part 4, Ch. 4, Para. 4.1.2; Part 4, Ch. 4, Para. 4.1.3; Part 4, Ch.3, Para. 4.3.1; Part 4, Ch. 3, Para. 3.1.1] Case No. 87WP750024	\$10,000
TOSOH CORP. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. Shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the HM. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. The HM package exceeded the quantity limitations prescribed for the material. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1)-(3), 172.304(a)(4), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.1(b), 173.27(a)] Case No. 89SW720356	\$75,000
TURNER SUPPLY (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, certified, identified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The HM was prohibited on board passenger aircraft and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered on the shipping papers. There was a significant release of the HM to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(3), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.24(a)(1), 173.117] Case No. 87SO730387	\$11,000
TURTLE MOUNTAIN MANUFACTURING CO. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, identified, certified, secured, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the	\$5,000

***Federal Aviation Administration - 1992***

hazardous material covered by the description. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. There was a significant release of hazardous material to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 172.312(a)(2), 173.1(b), 173.117(a), 173.21(a), 173.24(a)(1)-(2)] Case No. 90GL730155

UNIVERSAL  
TRANSCONTINENTAL  
CORPORATION  
(Shipper)

Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, identified, certified, named, cushioned, secured, and in the condition for shipment as required by the HMR. Shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the HM covered by the description. The HM was prohibited aboard a passenger aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description on the shipping papers. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. There was a significant release of the hazardous material to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b) 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) 172.204(c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.1(b), 173.6(b)(4), 173.24(a)(1), 173.117(a)] Case No. 89SO740060

\$13,500

UNOCAL CHEMICAL  
(Shipper)

Offered for transportation by air, a package containing hazardous materials that was not properly marked, labeled, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. Failed to instruct each officer agent and employee having responsibility for this shipment as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.300, 172.301(a)(2), 172.400(a), 173.1(b), 173.24(a)(1), 173.25(a)(3)] Case No. 88S0750005

\$12,500

VINCE KACZANSKI  
(Shipper)

Offered for transportation by air hazardous material when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, certified, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. Shipping papers did not contain the proper shipping name, the hazard class, the identification number, and the total quantity of the HM. The shipment was prohibited aboard a passenger aircraft and the words "CARGO AIRCRAFT ONLY" were not affixed. There was a significant release of the HM to the environment. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a) or (c)(1), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.312(a)(2), 172.316(a), 173.3(a), 173.22(a), 173.266(c)(8), 173.6(b)(1)-(2), 173.24(a)(1)] Case No. 89WP730080

\$2,000

*Federal Aviation Administration - 1992*

VOLLRATH REFRIGERATION, INC. (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, marked, labeled, named, certified, and identified. Shipping papers did not include the total quantity of the HM. The HM was prohibited aboard passenger aircraft, and the words "Cargo Aircraft Only" were not entered after the basic description as required by the HMR. Failed to instruct each of its officers, agents, and employees as to the applicable HMR. [171.2(a), 172.200(a), 172.202, 172.202(a)(1)-(4), 172.202(b), 172.203(f), 172.204, 172.204(a), 172.204(c)(2)-(3), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.1(b)] Case No. 89GL730044	\$12,500
WCI COMMERCIAL REFRIGERATION (Shipper)	Offered hazardous material for transportation in commerce within the United States when the material was not properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, named, and in condition for shipment as required by the HMR. The HM was prohibited aboard a passenger aircraft, and "Cargo Aircraft Only" labels were not affixed to the package. Failed to instruct its officers, agents, and employees having any responsibility for shipment as to the applicable HMR. [(171.2(a), 172.202(a)(2), 172.300, 172.301(a), 172.400(a), 172.402(b), 173.1(b)] Case No. 87GL730063	\$5,000