



DHS Exhibit 300 Public Release BY08 (Form) / SEI - United States Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology (US-VISIT) (2008) (Item)

Form Report, printed by: Administrator, System, Feb 12, 2007

OVERVIEW

General Information

1. Date of Submission:	Jan 31, 2007
2. Agency:	Department of Homeland Security
3. Bureau:	Security, Enforcement and Investigations (SEI)
4. Name of this Capital Asset:	SEI - United States Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology (US-VISIT) (2008)
Investment Portfolio:	SEI Home Portfolio 2008
5. Unique ID:	024-50-01-03-01-5001-00

(For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)

All investments

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2008?

(Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2008, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2008 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

Acquisition

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2003

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap.

DHS's United States Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology (US-VISIT) program is to collect, maintain, and share information, including biometric identifiers, on foreign nationals to determine whether an individual (1) should be prohibited from entering the United States; (2) can receive, extend, change, or adjust immigration status; (3) has overstayed or otherwise violated the terms of admission; (4) should be apprehended or detained for law enforcement action; or (5) needs special protection/attention (e.g., refugees). The vision of the US-VISIT Program is to deploy end-to-end management of data on foreign nationals covering their interactions with U.S. immigration and border management officials before they enter, when they enter, while they are in the U.S., and when they exit. Implementation of the vision will also provide the necessary information to perform better analyses of our immigration system, inform policy development, and support proactive border management.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

Yes

9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?

Nov 1, 2003

10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

Yes

12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.

Yes

12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

No

12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

No

12.b.1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

12.b.2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

12.b.3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?

No

If "yes," select the initiatives that apply:

Human Capital

Budget Performance Integration	
Financial Performance	
Expanded E-Government	
Competitive Sourcing	
Faith Based and Community	
Real Property Asset Management	
Eliminating Improper Payments	
Privatization of Military Housing	
R and D Investment Criteria	
Housing and Urban Development Management and Performance	
Broadening Health Insurance Coverage through State Initiatives	
Right Sized Overseas Presence	
Coordination of VA and DoD Programs and Systems	

13.a. Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)?

Not Applicable.

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

Yes

14.a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?

No

14.b. If "yes," what is the name of the PART program assessed by OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool?

14.c. If "yes," what PART rating did it receive?

Moderately Effective

15. Is this investment for information technology (See section 53 for definition)?

Yes

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 1

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)

(2) Project manager qualification is under review for this investment

18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's "high risk" memo)?

Yes

19. Is this a financial management system?

No

19.a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFIA compliance area?


19.a.1. If "yes," which compliance area:

19.a.2. If "no," what does it address?

The need for the United States to effectively manage immigration and its borders has never been greater. The United States Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology (US-VISIT) Program, established in May 2003, was charged with incrementally deploying a re-engineered immigration and border management process, leading to integration and efficiencies across the sub-processes of pre-entry, entry, status management, and exit.

19.b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52.

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Area	Percentage	
Hardware	18.72	
Software	10.00	
Services	71.28	
Other	0.00	
Total	100.00	

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

Yes

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

No

SUMMARY OF FUNDING

SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (In Millions)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

All amounts represent Budget Authority

	PY-1 & Earlier	PY	CY	BY
	-2005	2006	2007	2008
Planning:				
Budgetary Resources	145.230	34.660	21.761	36.206
Acquisition:				
Budgetary Resources	777.030	182.400	187.794	269.803
Subtotal:				
Budgetary Resources	922.260	217.060	209.555	306.009
Maintenance:				
Budgetary Resources	116.102	104.200	136.800	139.400
TOTAL, All Stages				
Budgetary Resources	1,038.362	321.260	346.355	445.409
Government FTE Cost	27.883	15.440	16.139	16.591
# of FTEs	204.00	102.00	102.00	102.00
Total, BR + FTE Cost	1,066.245	336.700	362.494	462.000

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies).

Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

No

2.a. If "yes," how many and in what year?

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2007 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.