

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|------------|--------------|
| Alabama | Baldwin |
| Alabama | Clay |
| Alabama | Elmore |
| Alabama | Etowah |
| Alabama | Jefferson |
| Alabama | Lawrence |
| Alabama | Madison |
| Alabama | Mobile |
| Alabama | Montgomery |
| Alabama | Morgan |
| Alabama | Shelby |
| Alabama | Sumter |
| Alabama | Tuscaloosa |
| Arizona | Cochise |
| Arizona | Coconino |
| Arizona | Gila |
| Arizona | Maricopa |
| Arizona | Navajo |
| Arizona | Pima |
| Arizona | Pinal |
| Arizona | Yavapai |
| Arkansas | Crittenden |
| Arkansas | Montgomery |
| Arkansas | Newton |
| Arkansas | Pulaski |
| California | Alameda |
| California | Amador |
| California | Butte |
| California | Calaveras |
| California | Colusa |
| California | Contra Costa |
| California | El Dorado |
| California | Fresno |
| California | Glenn |
| California | Imperial |
| California | Inyo |
| California | Kern |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|------------|-----------------|
| California | Kings |
| California | Lake |
| California | Los Angeles |
| California | Madera |
| California | Marin |
| California | Mariposa |
| California | Mendocino |
| California | Merced |
| California | Mono |
| California | Monterey |
| California | Napa |
| California | Nevada |
| California | Orange |
| California | Placer |
| California | Plumas |
| California | Riverside |
| California | Sacramento |
| California | San Benito |
| California | San Bernardino |
| California | San Diego |
| California | San Francisco |
| California | San Joaquin |
| California | San Luis Obispo |
| California | San Mateo |
| California | Santa Barbara |
| California | Santa Clara |
| California | Santa Cruz |
| California | Shasta |
| California | Siskiyou |
| California | Solano |
| California | Sonoma |
| California | Stanislaus |
| California | Sutter |
| California | Tehama |
| California | Tulare |
| California | Tuolumne |
| California | Ventura |
| California | Yolo |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|----------------------|--------------|
| Colorado | Adams |
| Colorado | Arapahoe |
| Colorado | Boulder |
| Colorado | Denver |
| Colorado | Douglas |
| Colorado | El Paso |
| Colorado | Jefferson |
| Colorado | La Plata |
| Colorado | Larimer |
| Colorado | Montezuma |
| Colorado | Weld |
| Connecticut | Fairfield |
| Connecticut | Hartford |
| Connecticut | Litchfield |
| Connecticut | Middlesex |
| Connecticut | New Haven |
| Connecticut | New London |
| Connecticut | Tolland |
| Delaware | Kent |
| Delaware | New Castle |
| Delaware | Sussex |
| District of Columbia | Washington |
| Florida | Alachua |
| Florida | Baker |
| Florida | Bay |
| Florida | Brevard |
| Florida | Broward |
| Florida | Collier |
| Florida | Columbia |
| Florida | Duval |
| Florida | Escambia |
| Florida | Highlands |
| Florida | Hillsborough |
| Florida | Holmes |
| Florida | Lake |
| Florida | Lee |
| Florida | Leon |
| Florida | Manatee |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|---------|------------|
| Florida | Marion |
| Florida | Miami-Dade |
| Florida | Orange |
| Florida | Osceola |
| Florida | Palm Beach |
| Florida | Pasco |
| Florida | Pinellas |
| Florida | Polk |
| Florida | Santa Rosa |
| Florida | Sarasota |
| Florida | Seminole |
| Florida | St Lucie |
| Florida | Volusia |
| Florida | Wakulla |
| Georgia | Bibb |
| Georgia | Chatham |
| Georgia | Cherokee |
| Georgia | Clarke |
| Georgia | Cobb |
| Georgia | Coweta |
| Georgia | Dawson |
| Georgia | De Kalb |
| Georgia | Douglas |
| Georgia | Fayette |
| Georgia | Fulton |
| Georgia | Glynn |
| Georgia | Gwinnett |
| Georgia | Henry |
| Georgia | Murray |
| Georgia | Muscogee |
| Georgia | Paulding |
| Georgia | Richmond |
| Georgia | Rockdale |
| Georgia | Sumter |
| Idaho | Ada |
| Idaho | Butte |
| Idaho | Canyon |
| Idaho | Elmore |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|----------|-------------|
| Illinois | Adams |
| Illinois | Champaign |
| Illinois | Clark |
| Illinois | Cook |
| Illinois | Du Page |
| Illinois | Effingham |
| Illinois | Hamilton |
| Illinois | Jersey |
| Illinois | Kane |
| Illinois | Lake |
| Illinois | Macon |
| Illinois | Macoupin |
| Illinois | Madison |
| Illinois | McHenry |
| Illinois | McLean |
| Illinois | Peoria |
| Illinois | Randolph |
| Illinois | Rock Island |
| Illinois | Sangamon |
| Illinois | St Clair |
| Illinois | Will |
| Illinois | Winnebago |
| Indiana | Allen |
| Indiana | Boone |
| Indiana | Carroll |
| Indiana | Clark |
| Indiana | Delaware |
| Indiana | Elkhart |
| Indiana | Floyd |
| Indiana | Gibson |
| Indiana | Greene |
| Indiana | Hamilton |
| Indiana | Hancock |
| Indiana | Hendricks |
| Indiana | Huntington |
| Indiana | Jackson |
| Indiana | Johnson |
| Indiana | La Porte |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|----------|-------------|
| Indiana | Lake |
| Indiana | Madison |
| Indiana | Marion |
| Indiana | Morgan |
| Indiana | Porter |
| Indiana | Posey |
| Indiana | Shelby |
| Indiana | St Joseph |
| Indiana | Vanderburgh |
| Indiana | Vigo |
| Indiana | Warrick |
| Iowa | Bremer |
| Iowa | Clinton |
| Iowa | Harrison |
| Iowa | Linn |
| Iowa | Montgomery |
| Iowa | Palo Alto |
| Iowa | Polk |
| Iowa | Scott |
| Iowa | Story |
| Iowa | Van Buren |
| Iowa | Warren |
| Kansas | Linn |
| Kansas | Sedgwick |
| Kansas | Sumner |
| Kansas | Trego |
| Kansas | Wyandotte |
| Kentucky | Bell |
| Kentucky | Boone |
| Kentucky | Boyd |
| Kentucky | Bullitt |
| Kentucky | Campbell |
| Kentucky | Carter |
| Kentucky | Christian |
| Kentucky | Daviess |
| Kentucky | Edmonson |
| Kentucky | Fayette |
| Kentucky | Graves |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020
(Model projections for 2020)
(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|--------------|---------------------|
| Kentucky | Greenup |
| Kentucky | Hancock |
| Kentucky | Hardin |
| Kentucky | Henderson |
| Kentucky | Jefferson |
| Kentucky | Jessamine |
| Kentucky | Kenton |
| Kentucky | Livingston |
| Kentucky | McCracken |
| Kentucky | McLean |
| Kentucky | Oldham |
| Kentucky | Perry |
| Kentucky | Pike |
| Kentucky | Pulaski |
| Kentucky | Scott |
| Kentucky | Simpson |
| Kentucky | Trigg |
| Kentucky | Warren |
| Louisiana | Ascension |
| Louisiana | Beauregard |
| Louisiana | Bossier |
| Louisiana | Caddo |
| Louisiana | Calcasieu |
| Louisiana | East Baton Rouge |
| Louisiana | Grant |
| Louisiana | Iberville |
| Louisiana | Jefferson |
| Louisiana | Lafayette |
| Louisiana | Lafourche |
| Louisiana | Livingston |
| Louisiana | Orleans |
| Louisiana | Ouachita |
| Louisiana | Pointe Coupee |
| Louisiana | St Bernard |
| Louisiana | St Charles |
| Louisiana | St James |
| Louisiana | St John The Baptist |
| Louisiana | St Mary |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|---------------|------------------|
| Louisiana | West Baton Rouge |
| Maine | Cumberland |
| Maine | Hancock |
| Maine | Kennebec |
| Maine | Knox |
| Maine | Oxford |
| Maine | Penobscot |
| Maine | Sagadahoc |
| Maine | York |
| Maryland | Anne Arundel |
| Maryland | Baltimore |
| Maryland | Carroll |
| Maryland | Cecil |
| Maryland | Charles |
| Maryland | Frederick |
| Maryland | Harford |
| Maryland | Kent |
| Maryland | Montgomery |
| Maryland | Prince Georges |
| Maryland | Washington |
| Massachusetts | Barnstable |
| Massachusetts | Berkshire |
| Massachusetts | Bristol |
| Massachusetts | Essex |
| Massachusetts | Hampden |
| Massachusetts | Hampshire |
| Massachusetts | Middlesex |
| Massachusetts | Norfolk |
| Massachusetts | Suffolk |
| Massachusetts | Worcester |
| Michigan | Allegan |
| Michigan | Benzie |
| Michigan | Berrien |
| Michigan | Cass |
| Michigan | Clinton |
| Michigan | Genesee |
| Michigan | Huron |
| Michigan | Ingham |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|-------------|-------------|
| Michigan | Kalamazoo |
| Michigan | Kent |
| Michigan | Lenawee |
| Michigan | Macomb |
| Michigan | Mason |
| Michigan | Missaukee |
| Michigan | Muskegon |
| Michigan | Oakland |
| Michigan | Ottawa |
| Michigan | Schoolcraft |
| Michigan | St Clair |
| Michigan | Washtenaw |
| Michigan | Wayne |
| Minnesota | Anoka |
| Minnesota | Carlton |
| Minnesota | Dakota |
| Minnesota | Lake |
| Minnesota | Mille Lacs |
| Minnesota | Scott |
| Minnesota | St Louis |
| Minnesota | Washington |
| Mississippi | Adams |
| Mississippi | Bolivar |
| Mississippi | De Soto |
| Mississippi | Hancock |
| Mississippi | Harrison |
| Mississippi | Hinds |
| Mississippi | Jackson |
| Mississippi | Lauderdale |
| Mississippi | Lee |
| Mississippi | Madison |
| Mississippi | Warren |
| Missouri | Cass |
| Missouri | Cedar |
| Missouri | Clay |
| Missouri | Greene |
| Missouri | Jefferson |
| Missouri | Monroe |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|---------------|---------------|
| Missouri | Platte |
| Missouri | St Charles |
| Missouri | St Louis |
| Missouri | St Louis City |
| Missouri | Ste Genevieve |
| Montana | Flathead |
| Nebraska | Douglas |
| Nebraska | Lancaster |
| Nevada | Carson City |
| Nevada | Clark |
| Nevada | Douglas |
| Nevada | Washoe |
| Nevada | White Pine |
| New Hampshire | Belknap |
| New Hampshire | Carroll |
| New Hampshire | Cheshire |
| New Hampshire | Coos |
| New Hampshire | Grafton |
| New Hampshire | Hillsborough |
| New Hampshire | Merrimack |
| New Hampshire | Rockingham |
| New Hampshire | Strafford |
| New Hampshire | Sullivan |
| New Jersey | Atlantic |
| New Jersey | Bergen |
| New Jersey | Camden |
| New Jersey | Cumberland |
| New Jersey | Essex |
| New Jersey | Gloucester |
| New Jersey | Hudson |
| New Jersey | Hunterdon |
| New Jersey | Mercer |
| New Jersey | Middlesex |
| New Jersey | Monmouth |
| New Jersey | Morris |
| New Jersey | Ocean |
| New Jersey | Passaic |
| New Mexico | Bernalillo |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|----------------|-------------|
| New Mexico | Dona Ana |
| New Mexico | Eddy |
| New Mexico | San Juan |
| New Mexico | Sandoval |
| New Mexico | Valencia |
| New York | Albany |
| New York | Bronx |
| New York | Chautauqua |
| New York | Chemung |
| New York | Dutchess |
| New York | Erie |
| New York | Essex |
| New York | Hamilton |
| New York | Herkimer |
| New York | Jefferson |
| New York | Madison |
| New York | Monroe |
| New York | Niagara |
| New York | Oneida |
| New York | Onondaga |
| New York | Orange |
| New York | Oswego |
| New York | Putnam |
| New York | Queens |
| New York | Rensselaer |
| New York | Richmond |
| New York | Saratoga |
| New York | Schenectady |
| New York | Suffolk |
| New York | Ulster |
| New York | Wayne |
| New York | Westchester |
| North Carolina | Alexander |
| North Carolina | Avery |
| North Carolina | Buncombe |
| North Carolina | Caldwell |
| North Carolina | Caswell |
| North Carolina | Chatham |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|----------------|-------------|
| North Carolina | Cumberland |
| North Carolina | Davie |
| North Carolina | Duplin |
| North Carolina | Durham |
| North Carolina | Edgecombe |
| North Carolina | Forsyth |
| North Carolina | Franklin |
| North Carolina | Granville |
| North Carolina | Guilford |
| North Carolina | Haywood |
| North Carolina | Jackson |
| North Carolina | Johnston |
| North Carolina | Lenoir |
| North Carolina | Lincoln |
| North Carolina | Martin |
| North Carolina | Mecklenburg |
| North Carolina | New Hanover |
| North Carolina | Northampton |
| North Carolina | Person |
| North Carolina | Pitt |
| North Carolina | Randolph |
| North Carolina | Rockingham |
| North Carolina | Rowan |
| North Carolina | Swain |
| North Carolina | Union |
| North Carolina | Wake |
| North Carolina | Yancey |
| North Dakota | Billings |
| North Dakota | Cass |
| North Dakota | Dunn |
| North Dakota | McKenzie |
| North Dakota | Mercer |
| North Dakota | Oliver |
| Ohio | Allen |
| Ohio | Ashtabula |
| Ohio | Butler |
| Ohio | Clark |
| Ohio | Clermont |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|----------|------------|
| Ohio | Clinton |
| Ohio | Cuyahoga |
| Ohio | Delaware |
| Ohio | Franklin |
| Ohio | Geauga |
| Ohio | Greene |
| Ohio | Hamilton |
| Ohio | Jefferson |
| Ohio | Knox |
| Ohio | Lake |
| Ohio | Lawrence |
| Ohio | Licking |
| Ohio | Lorain |
| Ohio | Lucas |
| Ohio | Madison |
| Ohio | Mahoning |
| Ohio | Medina |
| Ohio | Miami |
| Ohio | Montgomery |
| Ohio | Portage |
| Ohio | Preble |
| Ohio | Stark |
| Ohio | Summit |
| Ohio | Trumbull |
| Ohio | Warren |
| Ohio | Washington |
| Ohio | Wood |
| Oklahoma | Adair |
| Oklahoma | Canadian |
| Oklahoma | Cherokee |
| Oklahoma | Cleveland |
| Oklahoma | Comanche |
| Oklahoma | Dewey |
| Oklahoma | Kay |
| Oklahoma | Mc Clain |
| Oklahoma | Oklahoma |
| Oklahoma | Ottawa |
| Oklahoma | Pittsburg |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|--------------|--------------|
| Oklahoma | Tulsa |
| Oregon | Clackamas |
| Oregon | Columbia |
| Oregon | Jackson |
| Oregon | Lane |
| Oregon | Marion |
| Pennsylvania | Adams |
| Pennsylvania | Allegheny |
| Pennsylvania | Armstrong |
| Pennsylvania | Beaver |
| Pennsylvania | Berks |
| Pennsylvania | Blair |
| Pennsylvania | Bucks |
| Pennsylvania | Cambria |
| Pennsylvania | Centre |
| Pennsylvania | Chester |
| Pennsylvania | Clearfield |
| Pennsylvania | Dauphin |
| Pennsylvania | Delaware |
| Pennsylvania | Erie |
| Pennsylvania | Franklin |
| Pennsylvania | Greene |
| Pennsylvania | Lackawanna |
| Pennsylvania | Lancaster |
| Pennsylvania | Lawrence |
| Pennsylvania | Lehigh |
| Pennsylvania | Luzerne |
| Pennsylvania | Lycoming |
| Pennsylvania | Mercer |
| Pennsylvania | Montgomery |
| Pennsylvania | Northampton |
| Pennsylvania | Perry |
| Pennsylvania | Philadelphia |
| Pennsylvania | Tioga |
| Pennsylvania | Washington |
| Pennsylvania | Westmoreland |
| Pennsylvania | York |
| Rhode Island | Kent |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|----------------|---------------|
| Rhode Island | Providence |
| Rhode Island | Washington |
| South Carolina | Abbeville |
| South Carolina | Aiken |
| South Carolina | Anderson |
| South Carolina | Barnwell |
| South Carolina | Berkeley |
| South Carolina | Charleston |
| South Carolina | Cherokee |
| South Carolina | Chester |
| South Carolina | Chesterfield |
| South Carolina | Colleton |
| South Carolina | Darlington |
| South Carolina | Edgefield |
| South Carolina | Oconee |
| South Carolina | Pickens |
| South Carolina | Richland |
| South Carolina | Spartanburg |
| South Carolina | Union |
| South Carolina | Williamsburg |
| South Carolina | York |
| South Dakota | Pennington |
| Tennessee | Anderson |
| Tennessee | Blount |
| Tennessee | Davidson |
| Tennessee | Hamilton |
| Tennessee | Haywood |
| Tennessee | Jefferson |
| Tennessee | Knox |
| Tennessee | Lawrence |
| Tennessee | Meigs |
| Tennessee | Putnam |
| Tennessee | Rutherford |
| Tennessee | Sevier |
| Tennessee | Shelby |
| Tennessee | Sullivan |
| Tennessee | Sumner |
| Tennessee | Williamson |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|-----------|------------|
| Tennessee | Wilson |
| Texas | Bexar |
| Texas | Brazoria |
| Texas | Brewster |
| Texas | Cameron |
| Texas | Collin |
| Texas | Dallas |
| Texas | Denton |
| Texas | El Paso |
| Texas | Ellis |
| Texas | Galveston |
| Texas | Gregg |
| Texas | Harris |
| Texas | Harrison |
| Texas | Hidalgo |
| Texas | Hood |
| Texas | Jefferson |
| Texas | Johnson |
| Texas | Kaufman |
| Texas | Montgomery |
| Texas | Nueces |
| Texas | Orange |
| Texas | Parker |
| Texas | Rockwall |
| Texas | Smith |
| Texas | Tarrant |
| Texas | Travis |
| Texas | Victoria |
| Texas | Webb |
| Utah | Box Elder |
| Utah | Cache |
| Utah | Davis |
| Utah | Salt Lake |
| Utah | San Juan |
| Utah | Utah |
| Utah | Weber |
| Vermont | Bennington |
| Vermont | Chittenden |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020
(Model projections for 2020)
(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|---------------|-----------------|
| Virginia | Alexandria City |
| Virginia | Arlington |
| Virginia | Caroline |
| Virginia | Charles City |
| Virginia | Chesterfield |
| Virginia | Fairfax |
| Virginia | Fauquier |
| Virginia | Frederick |
| Virginia | Hampton City |
| Virginia | Hanover |
| Virginia | Henrico |
| Virginia | Loudoun |
| Virginia | Madison |
| Virginia | Page |
| Virginia | Prince William |
| Virginia | Roanoke |
| Virginia | Rockbridge |
| Virginia | Stafford |
| Virginia | Suffolk City |
| Virginia | Wythe |
| Washington | Clallam |
| Washington | Clark |
| Washington | King |
| Washington | Klickitat |
| Washington | Mason |
| Washington | Pierce |
| Washington | Skagit |
| Washington | Spokane |
| Washington | Thurston |
| Washington | Whatcom |
| West Virginia | Berkeley |
| West Virginia | Cabell |
| West Virginia | Greenbrier |
| West Virginia | Hancock |
| West Virginia | Kanawha |
| West Virginia | Monongalia |
| West Virginia | Ohio |
| West Virginia | Wood |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.

Counties Projected to Violate 2008 Ozone Standard in 2020

(Model projections for 2020)

(Only includes counties with monitors)

| | |
|--|--|
| | Not projected to violate 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |
| | Projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 parts per million |

| STATE | COUNTY |
|-----------|-------------|
| Wisconsin | Brown |
| Wisconsin | Columbia |
| Wisconsin | Dane |
| Wisconsin | Dodge |
| Wisconsin | Door |
| Wisconsin | Florence |
| Wisconsin | Fond Du Lac |
| Wisconsin | Green |
| Wisconsin | Jefferson |
| Wisconsin | Kenosha |
| Wisconsin | Kewaunee |
| Wisconsin | Manitowoc |
| Wisconsin | Marathon |
| Wisconsin | Milwaukee |
| Wisconsin | Oneida |
| Wisconsin | Outagamie |
| Wisconsin | Ozaukee |
| Wisconsin | Racine |
| Wisconsin | Rock |
| Wisconsin | Sauk |
| Wisconsin | Sheboygan |
| Wisconsin | St Croix |
| Wisconsin | Vernon |
| Wisconsin | Vilas |
| Wisconsin | Walworth |
| Wisconsin | Washington |
| Wisconsin | Waukesha |
| Wisconsin | Winnebago |
| Wyoming | Campbell |
| Wyoming | Teton |

NOTES:

1. Twenty-eight counties are projected to violate the 2008 8-hour ozone standard of 0.075 ppm.
2. Future ozone levels were projected only for counties with monitoring data and within the contiguous 48 states.
3. Modeled emissions reflect the expected reductions from federal programs including the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines; as well as illustrative state and local level mobile and stationary source controls identified for the purpose of attaining the 1997 ozone and 2006 PM2.5 standards. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.