Small Investor Demand and Allocation in Commercial Bank Managed IPOs

Manju Puri Jörg Rocholl

CFR Fall Workshop, Washington D.C.
October 2006

Motivation

Scope of bank activities

- Glass-Steagall (1933)
- Gramm-Leach-Biley (1999)

Allocation of IPOs

- High first-day IPO return ~ High institutional allocation
- Do retail investors get the "lemons"?

<u>Analysis</u>

- Allocation and demand in German IPOs
- Cross-selling data from bank balance sheets

Outline

Do retail investors get the "lemons"?

- Not if they are with the lead underwriters
- Lead underwriters' retail clients have
 - Higher demand for underpriced IPOs
 - Higher allocation of underpriced IPOs

Why not allocate "lemons" to retail investors?

- Cross-selling potential
- High IPO underwriting activity leads to increased
 - New brokerage accounts
 - Retail consumer loans

Research on IPO Allocations

Theoretical

- Benveniste and Spindt (1989)
- Fulghieri and Spiegel (1993)

Empirical

- Hanley and Wilhelm (1995)
- Cornelli and Goldreich (2001, 2003)
- Ljungqvist and Wilhelm (2002)
- Aggarwal, Prabhala, and Puri (2002)

IPO Data

Description

- 72 German IPOs between 1997 and 2004
- Underwritten by leading five German commercial banks
- Own Retail for 72 IPOs
- Own and Other Retail for 44 IPOs

Framework

- Existence of when-issued market
- Retail demand as substantial share of overall demand (~30%)

Table 1
Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	Median
LN Proceeds (in € million)	19.93	18.53
Shares offered (in million)	29.38	4.08
Subscription level	21.43	11.35
Syndicate size	5.58	4
UPDATE (in %)	2.23	5.33
Underpricing (in %)	25.73	4.76

Table 2
Demand shares of retail investors
(in percent of sum of shares demanded)

	All	UP ≤ 0%	$0\% < UP \le 25\%$	25% < UP	
Lead	44	13	15	16	
	12.64	10.73	11.59	15.18	1.85*
Non-Lead	72	21	27	24	
	10.59	13.17	10.02	8.98	1.88*
z-stats	1.23	1.03	0.18	2.38**	

Table 3
Allocation shares of retail investors (in percent of sum of shares sold)

	All	UP ≤ 0%	$0\% < UP \le 25\%$	25% < UP	
Lead	44	13	15	16	
	12.41	10.22	14.59	12.16	0.54
Non-Lead	72	21	27	24	
	10.60	13.07	10.73	8.30	1.92*
z-stats	1.18	0.62	0.88	2.54**	

Table 4
Demand OLS Regression for IPOs

	N	on-Lead Ret	tail		Lead Retail		
Constant	0.414	0.429	0.443	0.200	0.258	0.244	
	(2.40)**	(2.27)**	(2.13)**	(1.16)	(1.53)	(1.32)	
LNPROCEEDS	-0.011	-0.013	-0.013	-0.001	-0.004	-0.003	
	(1.27)	(1.40)	(1.36)	(0.12)	(0.41)	(0.25)	
LN Syndicate Size	-0.022	-0.022	-0.022	-0.071	-0.071	-0.065	
·	(1.10)	(1.29)	(1.22)	(2.90)***	(2.83)***	(2.42)***	
=1 if high-tech industry	0.004	-0.007	-0.008	-0.040	-0.041	-0.041	
į	(0.14)	(0.23)	(0.27)	(1.53)	(1.51)	(1.44)	
Underpricing	-0.031	-0.031	-0.031	0.030	0.030	0.033	
1	(1.81)*	(1.78)*	(1.74)*	(1.97)*	(1.95)*	(2.13)**	
= 1 if issue in 1999/2000	,	0.031	0.032	,	-0.011	-0.0152	
,		(1.19)	(1.23)		(0.44)	(0.59)	
Price Update		,	-0.004		,	-0.013	
1			(0.24)			(0.76)	
Number of Observations	72	72	72	44	44	44	
R^2	0.09	0.12	0.13	0.30	0.32	0.32	

Table 5 Allocation OLS Regression for IPOs

8	Non-Lead Retail			Lead Retail			
Constant	0.210	0.226	0.004	0.218	0.401	0.015	
	(1.30)	(1.35)	(0.98)	(1.14)	(1.84)*	(0.10)	
LNPROCEEDS	-0.002	-0.004	0.004	-0.001	-0.009	0.003	
	(0.28)	(0.46)	(0.55)	(0.03)	(0.74)	(0.43)	
LN Syndicate Size	-0.004	-0.007	-0.014	-0.078	-0.074	-0.030	
	(0.21)	(0.36)	(0.58)	(2.84)***	(2.25)**	(1.36)	
=1 if high-tech industry	-0.015	-0.0267	-0.014	-0.039	-0.043	0.006	
	(0.54)	(0.97)	(0.58)	(1.32)	(1.26)	(0.25)	
Underpricing	-0.025	-0.029	-0.035	0.014	0.016	-0.007	
	(1.76)*	(1.81)*	(1.68)*	(0.86)	(0.85)	(0.54)	
= 1 if issue in 1999/2000		0.052	0.031		-0.031	-0.036	
		(2.11)*	(1.38)		(1.01)	(1.82)*	
Price Update		-0.001	0.007		-0.005	0.010	
		(0.01)	(0.49)		(0.23)	(0.80)	
Demand (in %)			0.516			0.783	
			(4.96)***			(6.16)***	
Number of Observations	72	72	72	44	44	44	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.10	0.11	0.50	0.25	0.28	0.67	

Deutsche Bundesbank Data

<u>Description</u>

- Balance sheets
- Brokerage accounts
- Borrowers
- Interest Rates

<u>Framework</u>

- Largest Continental European market for equity issues
- German banks traditionally as universal banks
- IPO activity concentrated in a few banks

Table 6
Growth rate in number of retail brokerage accounts by IPO
Lead underwriters

	Big players (4)	Newcomers (5)	Non-underwriters (6)
1993	2.87%	-7.86%	-5.52%
1994	6.65%	7.61%	3.46%
1995	-3.21%	-3.88%	-4.14%
1996	1.93%	1.97%	-2.92%
1997	4.22%	4.31%	-2.32%
1998	5.57%	11.34%	1.79%
1999	19.85%	10.15%	1.14%
2000	16.65%	12.64%	9.41%
2001	-2.54%	0.91%	-0.11%
2002	0.93%	-1.66%	2.81%
2003	0.58%	-2.64%	-2.35%
Sub-periods			
1993-1996	2.00%	-0.71%	-2.34%
1997-2000	11.37%	9.56%	2.42%
2001-2003	-0.36%	-1.14%	0.09%

Table 7
Multivariate analysis – Growth in number of brokerage accounts

		OLS estimation		IV estimation			
	Growth in	brokerage acco	unts (in %)	Number of IPOs	Growth in broke	erage accounts (in %)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Constant	0.082	0.040	0.034	-3.477	0.091	0.058	
	(0.87)	(0.45)	(0.38)	(1.52)	(0.91)	(0.59)	
LNASSETS	-0.004	-0.002	-0.001	0.211	-0.005	-0.003	
	(0.84)	(0.35)	(0.28)	(1.65)	(0.91)	(0.51)	
Growth in assets	0.085	0.040	0.035	2.188	0.078	0.032	
	(1.22)	(0.59)	(0.50)	(1.05)	(0.91)	(0.47)	
Loan rate	-0.096	-0.108	-0.108	-1.393	-0.094	-0.103	
	(2.23)**	(3.09)**	(3.03)**	(1.19)	(1.96)*	(2.46)**	
Deposit rate	0.480	0.419	0.431	-4.709	0.482	0.430	
_	(1.65)	(1.22)	(1.30)	(1.17)	(1.67)	(1.25)	
Brokerage fees	-0.035	-0.036	-0.030	0.003	-0.037	-0.039	
_	(0.33)	(0.36)	(0.31)	(0.11)	(0.33)	(0.37)	
Number of IPOs	0.017	0.008			0.018	0.011	
	(9.75)***	(2.56)**			(3.51)***	(1.76)*	
Number of IPOs (t-1)	,	,		0.306	,	, ,	
,				(4.02)***			
Growth in shareholder		0.197	0.196	5.048		0.183	
base		(4.65)***	(4.92)***	(2.14)**		(4.72)***	
=1 if 1999 or 2000		0.039	0.046	2.135		0.031	
		(3.46)***	(4.55)***	(3.52)***		(1.82)*	
Number of underpriced		,	0.009	, ,		, ,	
IPOs			(3.75)***				
N	122	122	122	122	122	122	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.31	0.39	0.39	0.49	0.31	0.39	

Table 8 Cross-selling impact of brokerage accounts

Product combination	Number of new customers	Share	Number of products
Brokerage Account only	9.143	15.65%	1.00
Brokerage Account and Savings Account	18.034	30.87%	2.00
Brokerage Account and Savings Plan	6.730	11.52%	2.00
Brokerage Account and Current Account	6.479	11.09%	2.00
Brokerage Account, Savings Account, and Savings Plan	2.541	4.35%	3.00
Brokerage Account, Current Account, and Savings Plan	2.162	3.70%	3.00
Brokerage Account and Other Products	13.331	22.82%	2.49
Total	58.420	100.00%	2.04

Economic significance

Transaction fees

- Transaction volume per year and account: €30,000
- Fees: 1% of transaction volume → €300

Fixed fees

- €20 to €100
- Minimum: 0.15% of account value

Summary for four big players in Germany

- Less than 1 million brokerage accounts in 1993
- Increase of 450,000 brokerage accounts until 2003

Table 9
Multivariate analysis – Growth in amount of retail consumer loans

	OLS estimation				IV estimation			
	Growth	in consumer loa	ns (in %)	Number of IPOs	Growth in cons	sumer loans (in %)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
Constant	4.141	4.045	3.995	-3.477	4.454	4.764		
	(2.35)**	(2.31)**	(2.11)**	(1.52)	(2.22)**	(2.32)**		
LNASSETS	-0.197	-0.188	-0.186	0.211	-0.220	-0.232		
	(2.20)**	(2.11)**	(1.89)*	(1.65)	(2.01)*	(2.15)**		
Growth in assets	-1.675	-2.56	-2.732	2.188	-1.936	-2.865		
	(0.66)	(1.04)	(1.12)	(1.05)	(0.72)	(1.03)		
Loan rate	-0.146	-0.209	-0.246	-1.393	-0.229	-0.419		
	(0.24)	(0.34)	(0.41)	(1.19)	(0.42)	(0.73)		
Deposit rate	2.673	2.388	2.782	-4.709	2.772	2.837		
_	(0.83)	(0.76)	(0.74)	(1.17)	(0.85)	(0.80)		
Brokerage fees	-0.023	-0.022	-0.208	0.003	-0.023	-0.023		
_	(1.53)	(1.51)	(1.36)	(0.11)	(1.63)	(1.57)		
Number of IPOs	0.218	0.211			0.263	0.328		
	(2.33)**	(2.33)**			(2.31)**	(2.40)**		
Number of IPOs (t-1)				0.306	, ,			
				(4.02)***				
Growth in shareholder		3.604	3.516	5.048		3.061		
base		(2.59)**	(2.67)**	(2.14)**		(2.17)**		
=1 if 1999 or 2000		-0.706	-0.573	2.135		-1.026		
		(4.23)***	(2.97)**	(3.52)***		(3.94)***		
Number of underpriced		, ,	0.250	, ,		,		
IPOs			(1.76)*					
N	122	122	122	122	122	122		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.18	0.22	0.22	0.49	0.18	0.19		

Table 10 Multivariate analysis – Growth in amount of corporate loans

	OLS estimation				IV estimation			
	Growth	in corporate loa	ns (in %)	Number of IPOs	Growth in corp	orate loans (in %)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
Constant	0.295	0.386	0.361	-3.477	0.355	0.445		
	(0.77)	(1.08)	(0.98)	(1.52)	(0.75)	(0.90)		
LNASSETS	-0.016	-0.021	-0.020	0.211	-0.020	-0.025		
	(0.60)	(0.89)	(0.80)	(1.65)	(0.68)	(0.82)		
Growth in assets	0.659	0.799	0.809	2.188	0.609	0.774		
	(1.86)*	(2.58)**	(2.63)**	(1.05)	(1.22)	(2.30)**		
Loan rate	-0.189	-0.167	-0.175	-1.393	-0.173	-0.150		
	(0.55)	(0.48)	(0.49)	(1.19)	(0.57)	(0.48)		
Deposit rate	-0.910	-0.849	-0.864	-4.709	-0.967	-0.811		
-	(0.20)	(1.03)	(1.06)	(1.17)	(1.31)	(1.00)		
Brokerage fees	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.001		
	(0.20)	(0.19)	(0.20)	(0.11)	(0.15)	(0.16)		
Number of IPOs	-0.013	0.004	, ,	,	-0.004	0.014		
	(0.97)	(0.83)			(0.10)	(0.29)		
Number of IPOs (t-1)	, ,	,		0.306	, ,	, ,		
,				(4.02)***				
Growth in shareholder		-0.598	-0.579	5.048		-0.642		
base		(0.83)	(0.79)	(2.14)**		(0.82)		
=1 if 1999 or 2000		-0.039	-0.028	2.135		-0.065		
		(0.29)	(0.22)	(3.52)***		(0.42)		
Number of underpriced		,	0.001	,		,		
IPOs			(0.01)					
N	122	122	122	122	122	122		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.49	0.03	0.04		

Conclusion

Empirical results

- Suggest that banks encourage customers to demand "hot" IPOs
- Growth in brokerage accounts and consumer loans linked to number of (underpriced) IPOs

Implications

- Underwriters care about their retail customers
- Cross-selling as important consideration
- Underpricing as a potential consequence