Oregon Health Fund Board Delivery System Committee Charter Approved by OHFB on December 12, 2007

I. Objective

The Delivery System Committee ("Committee") is chartered to provide the Board with policy recommendations to create high-performing health systems in Oregon that produce optimal value through the provision of high quality, timely, efficient, effective, and safe health care.

The Committee's recommendation will serve as a cornerstone to the success of the Board's final report. The work of the Committee is framed by several principles and goals outlined in SB 329:

- Efficiency. The administration and delivery of health services must use the fewest resources necessary to produce the most effective health outcomes.
- Economic sustainability. Health service expenditures must be managed to ensure longterm sustainability....
- Use proven models of health care benefits, service delivery and payments that control costs and overutilization....
- Fund a high quality and transparent health care delivery system that will be held to high standards of transparency and accountability and allows users and purchasers to know what they are receiving for their money.
- Ensure, to the greatest extent possible, that annual inflation in the cost of providing access to essential health care services does not exceed the increase in the cost of living for the previous calendar year....

The Board seeks, through the work of the Committee, more effective and efficient models of health care delivery that will address the health needs of all Oregonians through accountable health plans and other entities.

Bold and creative thinking is encouraged!

II. Scope

A. Assumptions:

In addition to the Board's "Design Principles & Assumptions" (attached), the Committee's work should be framed by the following assumptions:

1. While new revenue will be needed in the intermediate term to provide coverage to the currently uninsured, improving the performance of Oregon's delivery systems should provide opportunity to recapture or redeploy resources with consequent reduction in the annual rates of increase in health care costs.

- 2. The Committee's recommendations on system changes and cost containing strategies should apply to Oregon's delivery systems broadly, not solely to programs for the uninsured.
- 3. Proposed strategies for containing the rate of health care cost increases should include estimates of "savings" over a defined time period. Such projections will be used by the Finance Committee in the development of overall revenue requirements.
- 4. The following concepts are of priority interest to the Board:

• Primary Care

Revitalizing primary care models to improve the capacity for and outcomes from preventive and chronic care services.

• Managing Chronic Disease

Strategies for comprehensive, coordinated and sustained clinical management of the chronic diseases that significantly impact overall health care expenditures.

New Reimbursement Models

Strategies that move from fee-for-encounter (service) to financial incentives/rewards for providers who produce clinical outcomes that meet or exceed widely accepted standards of care.

• Health Information Technology

Public policies and public-private collaborations that will increase the rate of diffusion and use health information technologies (e.g. electronic health records, registries, etc.) and ensure the interoperability of such technologies.

• Information Transparency

Recommendations for a model Oregon Quality Institute that collects, measures and reports information on the performance of health care delivery systems including, but not limited to clinical quality and efficiency indicators. (See Oregon Quality Institute Work Group, below)

• New Clinical Technologies

Recommendations to assure that the "added value" of new clinical technologies is broadly understood and that avoid inappropriate diffusion and utilization.

Public Health & Prevention

Strategies to develop, implement, sustain, evaluate and finance public health and public-private programs that target critical population health issues such as the obesity in Oregon's population.

• End-of-Life Care

Recommendations to improve end-of-life care that promote information about care options and advance directives, improve provider awareness of patient preferences and assure services for dignified care.

Note: The preceding list is not intended to limit the Committee's scope of investigation or recommendations.

B. Criteria:

The Committee should utilize the following criteria to evaluate proposed recommendations:

- 1. Does the recommendation improve the "value equation"? [Cost / Quality]
- 2. Does the recommendation contain the rate of growth of health care costs? Can the impact be measured objectively over time?
- 3. What is the anticipated timeframe for implementation?
 - Short term? (1 to 2 years)
 - Intermediate term? (3 to 5 years)
 - Long term? (5+ years)
- 4. Does the recommendation require public policy action (statutory or regulatory)? Are the "politics" for such action: Favorable? Mixed? Unfavorable? Unknown?
- 5. Is voluntary collaboration among purchasers, providers, payers or consumers required to implement the recommendation? What is the "readiness" of key stakeholder groups to support such an effort?

C. Deliverables:

The Board anticipates receiving 5 to 10 recommendations from the Committee that address, in a strategic manner, the development of high-performing, value-producing health care systems. The recommendations may be prioritized.

Each recommendation should include, at minimum:

- A complete description of the recommended strategy and its intended objective(s).
- The method(s) for measuring the impact of the strategy over time.
- Estimates of "savings" achieved over a defined period of time through containing the rate of cost increases.
- The estimated timeframe for implementation with key milestones and risks.
- The impact of the strategy on key stakeholders.
- Reference citations to clinical or health services research relied upon in developing the recommendation.

III. Timing

The Committee will deliver its recommendations to the Board for review and public comment no later than April 30, 2008.

IV. Committee Membership

Name	Affiliation	City
Dick Stenson, Chair	Tuality Healthcare	Hillsboro
Maribeth Healey, Vice-Chair	Advocate	Clackamas
Doug Walta, MD, Vice-Chair	Physician	Portland
Vanetta Abdellatif	Multnomah Co. Health Department,	Portland
	Health Policy Commission (HPC)	
Mitch Anderson	Benton County Mental Health	Corvallis
Tina Castanares, MD	Physician, Safety Net Clinic	Hood River
David Ford	CareOregon	Portland
Vickie Gates	Consultant, HPC	Lake Oswego
William Humbert	Retired Firefighter	Gresham
Dale Johnson	Blount International, Inc.	Portland
Carolyn Kohn	Community Advocate	Grants Pass
Diane Lovell	AFSCME, PEBB Chair	Canby
Bart McMullan, MD	Regence BlueCross BlueShield of OR	Portland
Stefan Ostrach	Teamsters, Local 206	Eugene
Ken Provencher	PacificSource Health Plans	Eugene
Lillian Shirley, RN	Multnomah Co. Health Department	Portland
Mike Shirtcliff, DMD	Advantage Dental Plan, Inc.	Redmond
Charlie Tragesser	Polar Systems, Inc.	Lake Oswego
Rick Wopat, MD	Samaritan Health Services, HPC	Corvallis

V. Staff Resources

- Jeanene Smith, Administrator, Office for Oregon Health Policy and Research (OHPR) Jeanene.Smith@state.or.us; 503-373-1625 (Lead staff)
- Tina Edlund, Deputy Administrator, OHPR <u>Tina.D.Edlund@state.or.us</u>; 503-373-1848
- Ilana Weinbaum, Policy Analyst, OHPR <u>Ilana.Weinbaum@state.or.us</u>; 503-373-2176
- Zarie Haverkate, Communications Coordinator, OHPR Zarie.Haverkate@state.or.us; 503-373-1574

Oregon Quality Institute Work Group

Scope

In order to achieve a high-performing health care delivery system and contain cost increases, the State must work with providers, purchasers, payers and individuals to improve quality and transparency. The Oregon Quality Institute ("Institute") work group will make recommendations on the State's role in building on existing efforts to develop a public-private entity to coordinate the creation, collection and reporting of cost and quality information to improve health care purchasing and delivery. The work group's recommendations will address:

- How should an Institute be organized and governed? How will it coordinate with individual stakeholder efforts and support collaboration?
- How should an Institute be funded in the short and long term?
- How should cost and quality data be collected and stored in a central location?
- What state regulations should be examined for opportunities to increase efficiency and reduce administrative cost?
- How can an Institute foster provider capacity to collect data and use it for improvement?
- What dissemination formats will make information useful to a broad range of audiences?
- How should an Institute address issues of legal discovery and liability?
- What role can an Institute play in engaging Oregonians to use available data when making health care decisions?
- How can the State encourage more effective and coordinated value-based purchasing? How can the State strengthen its own efforts to use value-based purchasing to improve delivery of care for state employees and those served by the Oregon Health Plan?

Timing

The work group will deliver its analysis and findings to the Delivery Committee for review by February 2008.

Work Group Membership

The Institute work group will be comprised of select members of the Delivery Committee with expertise and interest in this topic. The Chair of the Committee may appoint additional members to the work group.