

2005 Development Review Guidelines

Staff Contact

Nancy.E.Murphy@odot.state.or.us

Development Review Committee

Anna Russo
Marah Daniels
Sonya Kazen
Valerie Griggdevis
Tom Boyatt
Tom Guevara
Peter Russell
Cheryl Jarvis-Smith

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1: Introduction

1.1.00	Purpose of Development Review Guidelines	1-1
1.1.01	How to Use the Guidelines	1-1
1.1.02	Guideline Updates	1-2
1.1.03	ODOT Development Review Organization	1-2
1.1.04	Why ODOT Participates in Local Land Use Review	1-2
1.1.05	ODOT Development Review Program Objectives	1-3
1.1.06	ODOT Development Review Authority	1-3
	▪ Statewide Planning Goals and Guidelines	1-3
	▪ Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) – OAR Chapter 660, Division 012	1-4
	▪ State Agency Coordination Agreement	1-4
	▪ Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP)	1-4
	▪ Oregon Highway Plan (OHP)	1-5
	▪ Department of Transportation, Control of Access – (ORS Chapter 374) and Access Management Rule (OAR Chapter 734, Division 051)	1-5
	▪ ODOT NPDES Permit	1-5
	▪ Railroad-Highway Crossing Safety Rules and Regulations of the Rail Division	1-6
1.1.07	Geographic Information Systems (GIS): Creating Efficiencies in Development Review	1-6
1.1.08	ODOT Traffic Manual	1-8
1.1.09	Land Use Reference Guide	1-8

CHAPTER 2: Coordinating Review and Response

2.1.00	Local Land Use Process	2-1
2.1.01	Role of Long Range Planning in the Development Review Process	2-1
2.1.02	Periodic Review	2-2
2.1.03	Planning Resources for Specific Highway Sections	2-3
2.1.04	ODOT Guidance on Long Range Plans	2-4
2.1.05	Linking Plans, Projects and Funding	2-4
2.1.06	Local Decision-Making Authority	2-5
2.1.07	Types of Local Land Use Applications/Actions	2-5
2.1.08	ODOT's Role in Local Development Review	2-6
2.1.09	Notice of Proposal	2-6
2.1.10	Determine Whether ODOT Has an Interest in the Proposal	2-7

Table of Contents – Continued

2.1.11	Evaluating a Local Land Use/Development Proposal	2-8
	▪ Development Application Without Access to a State Highway	2-8
	▪ Development Application with Access to a State Highway	2-9
2.1.12	Types of ODOT Responses	2-10
2.1.13	ODOT Response Letters	2-11
2.1.14	Findings: What Are They and Why Are They Important?	2-13
2.1.15	Preparing Findings	2-14
2.1.16	Mitigation in the Form of Conditions of Approval	2-15
2.1.17	Ways to Work Effectively with Local Partners	2-16
2.1.18	Ways to Participate Effectively in the Hearings Process	2-17
2.1.19	Burden of Proof	2-19
2.1.20	Substantial Evidence	2-19
2.1.21	Internal Coordination	2-20
2.1.22	Coordination with ODOT Managers	2-20
2.1.23	Coordination with Other ODOT Units	2-21
2.1.24	Coordination with Other State Agencies	2-22
2.1.25	Coordination with Other Groups	2-22
2.1.26	Coordinating Local Development Approval with State Highway Approach Permit Approval	2-23

CHAPTER 3 – Section 1: Land Use Reviews

3.1.00	Overview	3-1-1
3.1.01	ODOT Review Authority	3-1-1
	▪ On-System Projects	3-1-3
	▪ Off-System Projects	3-1-3
3.1.02	Apply Local Review Criteria	3-1-3
3.1.03	Applicable Criteria	3-1-3
3.1.04	General Review Factors for Land Use Applications	3-1-4
3.1.05	Basics of Site Plan Review	3-1-8
3.1.06	Subdivisions and Land Partitions	3-1-9
3.1.07	Coordination Options for Sites on State Highways	3-1-9
	▪ Coordination Methods Vary	3-1-12
3.1.08	Benefits of Coordination	3-1-12

Table of Contents – Continued

CHAPTER 3 – Section 2: Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) Reviews

3.2.00	Overview	3-2-1
3.2.01	Determining Significant Affect	3-2-6
3.2.02	Planned Improvements All Amendments Can Rely Upon Regardless of Location Inside or Outside of an Interstate Interchange Area	3-2-7
3.2.03	Additional Planned Improvements That Amendments Located Outside of an Interstate Interchange Area Can Rely Upon	3-2-9
3.2.04	Additional Planned Improvements That Amendments Located Inside of an Interstate Interchange Area Can Rely Upon	3-2-9
3.2.05	Assessing whether mitigation measures are sufficient to avoid an adverse impact on the Interstate System	3-2-11
3.2.06	Reasonably Likely Determination	3-2-12
3.2.07	Factors to Consider in Reasonably Likely Determination	3-2-13
3.2.08	Reasonably Likely Determination – ODOT Written Statement	3-2-14
3.2.09	Precedential Effect of a Written Statement	3-2-14
3.2.10	Determination of the Applicable Planning Period	3-2-15
3.2.11	Transportation Facilities Currently Operating Below Performance Standards	3-2-16
3.2.12	Determination of Failure to Meet a Performance Standard	3-2-17
3.2.13	ODOT Written Statement on Adequacy of Mitigation Measures	3-2-18
3.2.14	Analysis for Zone Changes in Conformance with Comprehensive Plan Amendments	3-2-19
3.2.15	Need for a Traffic Study	3-2-20
3.2.16	Delegation of Signature for Reasonably Likely Determination	3-2-21
3.2.17	Mitigation to Avoid a Significant Effect	3-2-22
3.2.18	When Should ODOT provide a Reasonably Likely Determination and who is Responsible for Requesting it?	3-2-22
3.2.19	Can ODOT Rescind a Reasonably Likely Determination?	3-2-23
3.2.20	Implementation of the Town Centers, Regional Centers and Station Areas in the Portland Metropolitan Area	3-2-23
3.2.21	State Facilities Included in Systems Development Charge	3-2-24
3.2.22	Sample Letter for Reasonably Likely Determination.....	3-2-25

CHAPTER 3 – Section 3: Traffic Impact Studies

3.3.00	Overview	3-3-1
3.3.01	Purpose of Traffic Impact Studies	3-3-1

Table of Contents – Continued

3.3.02	Authority to Require a Traffic Impact Study	3-3-2
3.3.03	Traffic Impact Study Review and Oversight	3-3-3
3.3.04	Scope of Work	3-3-4
3.3.05	Components of Traffic Impact Studies	3-3-6
3.3.06	Executive Summary	3-3-7
3.3.07	Introduction	3-3-7
3.3.08	Existing Area Conditions	3-3-7
3.3.09	Traffic Volumes – Year of Opening Without the Development	3-3-11
3.3.10	Traffic Operations – Year of Opening Without the Development	3-3-12
3.3.11	Site Trip Generation, Distribution and Assignment	3-3-13
3.3.12	Trip Generation	3-3-13
3.3.13	Trip Distribution	3-3-16
3.3.14	Trip Assignment	3-3-17
3.3.15	Traffic Volumes – Year of Opening with the Development	3-3-17
3.3.16	Traffic Operations – Year of Opening with the Development	3-3-18
3.3.17	Traffic Volumes – Future Year Without the Development	3-3-18
3.3.18	Traffic Operations – Future Year Without the Development	3-3-19
3.3.19	Traffic Volumes – Future Year with the Development	3-3-19
3.3.20	Traffic Operations – Future Year with the Development	3-3-20
3.3.21	Mitigation Alternatives	3-3-20
3.3.22	Conclusions and Recommendations	3-3-21
3.3.23	TIS Appendix	3-3-21
3.3.24	Technical Analysis	3-3-21
3.3.25	Capacity Analysis	3-3-22
3.3.26	Signalized Intersections	3-3-23
3.3.27	Unsignalized Intersections	3-3-24
3.3.28	Roundabouts	3-3-24
3.3.29	Capacity Analysis Documentation Requirements	3-3-25
3.3.30	Queue Length Analysis	3-3-26
3.3.31	Intersection Sight Distance	3-3-27
3.3.32	Right/Left Turn Lane Warrants	3-3-27
3.3.33	Traffic Signal Installations/Modifications	3-3-28
3.3.34	Transportation Demand Management	3-3-30
3.3.35	Turning Conflict Analysis	3-3-31
3.3.36	Access Management	3-3-31
3.3.37	Mitigation Approval	3-3-31
3.3.38	Conclusions and Recommendations	3-3-32

Table of Contents – Continued

CHAPTER 4: Land Use Appeals

4.1.00	Purpose of Appeals Chapter	4-1
4.1.01	Reaching a Successful Decision	4-1
4.1.02	ODOT's Guidelines on Land Use Appeals	4-2
4.1.03	ODOT Factors for Deciding Appeal	4-2
4.1.04	Participating in the Local Land Use Process	4-3
4.1.05	Strategies: Avoid Appeals and Prepare for Successful Ones	4-4
4.1.06	Land Use Appeals Process	4-7
4.1.07	Outline of LUBA Appeals Process	4-8
4.1.08	ODOT's Role in Land Use Appeals	4-9
4.1.09	Standing to Appeal	4-9
4.1.10	Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies	4-10
4.1.11	The Record – What is the record and why is it important?	4-10
4.1.12	Building a Good Record	4-10
4.1.13	Important Tips for Building a Record	4-12
4.1.14	Internal ODOT Coordination Process (PBLT, TDD, OTC)	4-13
4.1.15	Detailed Steps and Considerations for Filing an Appeal	4-14

Table of Tables

3.1.1	Common Land Use Applications Types	3-1-4
3.3.1	Future Year Analysis: Suggested Time Lines	3-3-6
3.3.2	Example: 2001 Existing Intersection Capacity Analysis	3-3-10
3.3.3	Example: 2003 Background Traffic Study Intersection Capacity Analysis	3-3-12
3.3.4	Site Trip Generation	3-3-14
3.3.5	Example: 2003 Total Traffic Study Intersection Capacity Analysis	3-3-18
3.3.6	Example: Background Traffic Study Intersection Capacity Analysis	3-3-19
3.3.7	Example: 2020 Total Traffic Study Intersection Capacity Analysis	3-3-20
3.3.8	ODOT Default Parameters for Use with Signalized Intersection Analysis Methodologies	3-3-25

Table of Figures

1.1.1	Example: GIS Map Showing Data Table	1-7
1.1.2	Example: GIS Map Railroad Layer	1-7
3.1.1	Development Review Process	3-1-2
3.1.2	Site Plan Example – Poorly Designed Access	3-1-10
3.1.3	Site Plan Example – Improved Access	3-1-11
3.2.1	Determination of Significant Effect Outside Interstate Interchange Areas	3-2-4
3.2.2	Determination of Significant Effect Inside Interstate Interchange Areas	3-2-5
3.3.1	Vicinity Map	3-1-14
3.3.2	Existing Lane Configurations and Traffic Control Devices	3-1-15
3.3.3	2001 Existing Traffic Volumes 30 th Highest Hourly Volume	3-1-16
3.3.4	2003 Background Traffic Volumes 30 th Highest Hourly Volume	3-1-17
3.3.5	Estimated Trip Distribution	3-1-18
3.3.6	Site Generated Traffic Volumes 30 th Highest Hourly Volume	3-1-19
3.3.7	2003 Total Traffic Volumes 30 th Highest Hourly Volume	3-1-20
3.3.8	2020 Background Traffic Volumes 30 th Highest Hourly Volume	3-1-21
3.3.9	2020 Total Traffic Volumes 30 th Highest Hourly Volume	3-1-22

Appendices

1	Detention Basin Design Criteria and Review <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Submittal Guidelines for DevelopersAppendix 1-1▪ Detention Basin Review Submittal ChecklistAppendix 1-5▪ Water Quality Facility Design Criteria (Draft)Appendix 1-6
2	TSP Status WorksheetAppendix 2-1
3	Example Letters <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Urban Multiple CriteriaAppendix 3-1▪ Review Will Require TISAppendix 3-13▪ Response to Code AmendmentAppendix 3-15▪ Notice RequiredAppendix 3-20▪ No Significant EffectAppendix 3-20▪ Form Letter Example: ODOT Response to Local Land Use NotificationAppendix 3-22
4	Findings WorkshopAppendix 4-1
5	Draft Letters - Reasonably Likely LetterAppendix 5-1
6	Sullivan Takings Paper.....Appendix 6-1
7	Traffic Impact Analysis <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ TIS – Scope R2.....Appendix 7-1▪ TIS-TIA Guidance R1Appendix 7-8
8	Mobility Standards <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Mobility White Paper 04Appendix 8-1▪ Mobility Paper 99Appendix 8-14
9	Case LawAppendix 9-1
10	Resource LinksAppendix 10-1 NOTE: All text links lead to this appendix, where all web links are listed alphabetically, divided by external and internal users.