



# Juvenile Justice Information System

## Data & Evaluation Reports Detention Admission Reasons and Length of Stay (2007)

JJIS Steering Committee  
JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

*JJIS – A Shared Information System*

Oregon Youth Authority  
Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association





# Juvenile Justice Information System Vision & Goals

## VISION

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development of a statewide juvenile justice information system that:

- Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies;
- Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the juvenile justice process;
- Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
- Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.

**GOALS** To accomplish the vision, JJIS will strive to accomplish the following goals.

Vision Statement	Goals in Support of Vision Statement
Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies.	JJIS will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Be a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports a partnership of the OYA, 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners;</li> <li>• Be the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about juvenile offenders in their agency; and</li> <li>• Support statewide reporting.</li> </ul>
Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process.	JJIS will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support the assessment of risks and needs of juvenile offenders;</li> <li>• Support individual case plans; and</li> <li>• Track juveniles through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined.</li> </ul>
Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime.	JJIS will provide data and information to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a knowledge base about outcomes relevant to functions and programs of the juvenile justice system;</li> <li>• Evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing juvenile crime.</li> </ul>
Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.	JJIS will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Be developed in a cost-efficient and incremental manner;</li> <li>• Be operated in an efficient manner;</li> <li>• Eliminate duplicate data entry within the JJIS partnership agencies;</li> <li>• Develop common data elements while maintaining integrity of information and adhering to existing state justice information system standards;</li> <li>• Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into the system;</li> <li>• Ensure consistent training of JJIS users;</li> <li>• Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS;</li> <li>• Be designed using the cooperative input of the JJIS partnership; and</li> <li>• Be guided by the decisions and policies of the JJIS Steering Committee.</li> </ul>

# JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

**George Dunford, Assistant Director**  
Oregon Youth Authority Business Services

**Dave Koch, Assistant Director**  
Multnomah County Juvenile Community Justice Department

**Charles Logan-Belford, Director**  
Umatilla County Juvenile Department

**Tim Loewen, Director**  
Yamhill County Juvenile Department

**Trish Reding, Director**  
Polk County Juvenile Department

**Lisa Smith, Director**  
Lane County Juvenile Department

**Jill Petersen, Manager**  
Oregon Youth Authority Information Systems

**Ed Schmidt, Manager**  
JJIS Implementation & Training

**Cherie Lingelbach, Coordinator**  
JJIS Policy & Standards

**Jann Brown**  
Multnomah County Juvenile Justice Department

**Karen Andall, Assistant Director**  
Oregon Youth Authority Field Operations

**Phil Cox, Assistant Director**  
Oregon Youth Authority Program Office

**Brian Florip, Assistant Director**  
Oregon Youth Authority Facility Operations

**Mary Hunt, Community Corrections Coordinator**  
Department of Corrections

## JJIS Data & Evaluation Subcommittee

-- serving as a standing subcommittee of the JJIS Steering Committee to:

- guide the development of routine publications/statistics; specific research initiatives; design, reporting, and analysis of JJIS data in order to provide quality program and system evaluations and forecasting for system resources and prevention and intervention strategies; and
- function as an analytical Users Group that assesses development, implementation, and interpretation of reports for consistency, accuracy, and appropriateness in the areas of program evaluation, forecasting, policy analysis, impact analysis, and trend analysis.

**Joe Christy**  
Data & Evaluation Subcommittee Chair  
and Washington County  
Juvenile Department Director

---

*THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK  
FOR PURPOSES OF 2-SIDED PRINTING*

---

---

## Introduction

This document contains statewide and county specific reports describing admissions to and releases from juvenile detention facilities. There are three reports for each county and statewide for each year. The reports are:

### **Detention Admissions Reason by Demographics**

- A unique count of admissions to a detention facility during the year, by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility and demographic characteristic (sex, age, and race/ethnicity) of the youth being admitted.

### **Detention Length of Stay by Demographics**

- For each detention release during the year, the length of time spent in the facility (length of stay) by demographic characteristic (sex, age, and race/ethnicity) of the youth. (Note: The associated “admission” may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

### **Detention Length of Stay by Admission Reason**

- For each detention release during the year, the number of days the youth spent in the facility (length of stay) by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility. (Note: The associated “admission” may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

Each report divides Admission Reasons and Length of Stay into Pre-Adjudicatory, Post Adjudicatory, and Warrant and Other. Percentages, sub-totals and grand-totals are provided for each category.

## Notes about the information

Admissions: An Admission is an entry into a detention facility during the reporting year. It is possible for one youth to have more than one admission in the reporting year.

Length of Stay: Length of Stay is computed by subtracting the admission date from the release date. If a youth is admitted and released on the same day, the Length of Stay is one day. Length of Stay is only computed for youth who have been released from a detention facility. The Admission may have occurred in a prior reporting year.

Comparing the three reports: It is not possible to compare the Admission reports to the Length of Stay reports. Admission reports are based on Admissions during the reporting year. Length of Stay reports are based on Releases during the year. Youth on the Length of Stay reports may have been admitted in a prior reporting year.

Reporting Year: The calendar year is used for the reporting period.

---

---

County: Youth are reported in the county that had jurisdiction over the youth at the time the report was produced.

Race and Ethnicity: The JJIS Steering Committee defined Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on best practices and available data. Race and ethnicity may be as recorded by the juvenile department based on police reports and youths' self-reporting. These reports categorize youth by the race that is recorded in JJIS unless the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic. When the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic, the youth is categorized as Hispanic, regardless of recorded race. There are several efforts underway in the state to standardize reporting of race and ethnicity. The categories of Race/Ethnicity used in this report may change in the future when state standards are defined.

Note: Many county juvenile detention facilities operate Detention Based Youth Care Centers within their detention facilities. Admission statistics for Detention Based Youth Care Centers are not included with these reports.

March 2008

---

Admission Reason	Total	%	Sex			Age at Admission				Race/Ethnicity					
			Female	Male	Unknown	12 and Younger	13 - 15	16 - 17	18 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/Unknown	White
<b>2007 Detention Admission Reasons by Demographics</b>															
<b><u>Clatsop County - Current Jurisdiction</u></b>															
<b>Pre Adjudicatory</b>															
New Law Violation	35	25.4%	5	30	0	2	14	19	0	0	0	5	0	1	29
Parole Violation	8	5.8%	0	8	0	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Probation Violation	91	65.9%	15	76	0	5	35	44	7	0	0	3	0	0	88
Violation of Conditional Release	4	2.9%	2	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
<b>Total Pre Adjudicatory</b>	<b>138</b>		<b>22</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>15.9%</b>	<b>84.1</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>52.2</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>93.5</b>
<b>Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total</b>	<b>75.8%</b>														
<b>Post Adjudicatory</b>															
Court Ordered (1-8 Day) Sanction	5	41.7%	1	4	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	5
Court Ordered Extended Detention Program	7	58.3%	2	5	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
<b>Total Post Adjudicatory</b>	<b>12</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>25.0%</b>	<b>75.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>16.7</b>	<b>75.0</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Post Adjudicatory % of County Total</b>	<b>6.6%</b>														
<b>Warrant and Other</b>															
Warrant	29	90.6%	8	21	0	0	10	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	29
Other	3	9.4%	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
<b>Total Warrant and Other</b>	<b>32</b>		<b>8</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>25.0%</b>	<b>75.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>34.4</b>	<b>59.4</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>93.8</b>
<b>Warrant and Other % of County Total</b>	<b>17.6%</b>														
<b>Total Admissions for Clatsop</b>	<b>182</b>		<b>33</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>171</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>18.1%</b>	<b>81.9</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>35.2</b>	<b>54.9</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>94.0</b>

Admission Reason	Total	%	Length of Stay		Sex			Age at Admission				Race/Ethnicity					
	Total Admits	% of Admits	Days of Custody	Average LOS	Female	Male	Unknown	12 and Younger	13 - 15	16 - 17	18 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/Unknown	White
<b>2007 Detention Length of Stay by Demographics</b>																	
<b>Clatsop County - Current Jurisdiction</b>																	
<b>Pre Adjudicatory</b>																	
1 - 3 Days	72	52.9%	136	1.9	10	62	0	5	31	33	3	0	0	3	0	0	69
4 - 8 Days	31	22.8%	178	5.7	2	29	0	3	11	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	31
9 - 30 Days	20	14.7%	269	13.5	5	15	0	0	6	11	3	0	0	5	0	0	15
31 - 59 Days	10	7.4%	449	44.9	2	8	0	0	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
60 - 89 Days	2	1.5%	173	86.5	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
90+ Days	1	0.7%	125	125.0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Total Pre Adjudicatory</b>	<b>136</b>		<b>1330</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>%</b>					<b>14.0%</b>	<b>86.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>37.5</b>	<b>51.5</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>93.4</b>
<b>Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total</b>		<b>75.1%</b>															
<b>Post Adjudicatory</b>																	
1 - 3 Days	3	25.0%	5	1.7	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
4 - 8 Days	3	25.0%	17	5.7	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
9 - 30 Days	5	41.7%	134	26.8	2	3	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
31 - 59 Days	1	8.3%	31	31.0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Total Post Adjudicatory</b>	<b>12</b>		<b>187</b>	<b>15.6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>%</b>					<b>25.0%</b>	<b>75.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>16.7</b>	<b>75.0</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Post Adjudicatory % of County Total</b>		<b>6.6%</b>															
<b>Warrant and Other</b>																	
1 - 3 Days	10	30.3%	17	1.7	4	6	0	0	3	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	10
4 - 8 Days	10	30.3%	53	5.3	1	9	0	0	5	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	9
9 - 30 Days	9	27.3%	155	17.2	2	7	0	0	1	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	8
31 - 59 Days	2	6.1%	76	38.0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
60 - 89 Days	2	6.1%	128	64.0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
<b>Total Warrant and Other</b>	<b>33</b>		<b>429</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>%</b>					<b>21.2%</b>	<b>78.8</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>39.4</b>	<b>54.5</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>93.9</b>
<b>Warrant and Other % of County Total</b>		<b>18.2%</b>															
<b>Total Admissions for Clatsop</b>	<b>181</b>		<b>1946</b>	<b>10.8</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>170</b>
<b>%</b>					<b>16.0%</b>	<b>84.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>36.5</b>	<b>53.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>93.9</b>



Admission Reason	Total	%	Length of Stay					
			1 - 3 Days	4 - 8 Days	9 - 30 Days	31 - 59 Days	60 - 89 Days	90 + Days
<b>2007 Detention Length of Stay by Admission Reason</b>								
<b><u>Clatsop County - Current Jurisdiction</u></b>								
<b>Pre Adjudicatory</b>								
New Law Violation	36	26.5%	16	5	8	4	2	1
Parole Violation	8	5.9%	3	4	1	0	0	0
Probation Violation	88	64.7%	51	21	10	6	0	0
Violation of Conditional Release	4	2.9%	2	1	1	0	0	0
<b>Total Pre Adjudicatory</b>	<b>136</b>		<b>72</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>52.9%</b>	<b>22.8</b>	<b>14.7</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>0.7</b>
<b>Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total</b>	<b>75.1%</b>							
<b>Post Adjudicatory</b>								
Court Ordered (1-8 Day) Sanction	5	41.7%	2	3	0	0	0	0
Court Ordered Extended Detention Program	7	58.3%	1	0	5	1	0	0
<b>Total Post Adjudicatory</b>	<b>12</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>25.0%</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>41.7</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Post Adjudicatory % of County Total</b>	<b>6.6%</b>							
<b>Warrant and Other</b>								
Warrant	30	90.9%	10	8	8	2	2	0
Other	3	9.1%	0	2	1	0	0	0
<b>Total Warrant and Other</b>	<b>33</b>		<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>30.3%</b>	<b>30.3</b>	<b>27.3</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Warrant and Other % of County Total</b>	<b>18.2%</b>							
<b>Total Admissions for Clatsop</b>	<b>181</b>		<b>85</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>47.0%</b>	<b>24.3</b>	<b>18.8</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>0.6</b>