



# Juvenile Justice Information System

## Detention Reports Detention Admission Reasons and Length of Stay (2002)

JJIS Steering Committee  
JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

*JJIS – A Shared Information System*

Oregon Youth Authority  
Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association





# Juvenile Justice Information System

---

The JJIS Vision is to promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development of a statewide juvenile justice information system that:

- Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies;
  - Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the juvenile justice process;
  - Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
  - Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.
-

---

## JJIS Steering Committee (2004)

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

**Karen Olson, Assistant Director**

Oregon Youth Authority Business Services

**Dave Koch, Assistant Director, Juvenile Services Division**

Multnomah County Department of Community Justice

**Trish Reding, Director**

Polk County Juvenile Department

**Tim Loewen, Director**

Yamhill County Juvenile Department

**Charles Logan-Belford, Director**

Umatilla County Juvenile Department

**Lisa Smith, Director**

Lane County Juvenile Department

**Brian Florip, Assistant Director**

Oregon Youth Authority Facilities Operations

**Debbie Rios, Assistant Director**

Oregon Youth Authority Program Office

**Robyn Cole, Assistant Director**

Oregon Youth Authority Field Operations

**Jann Brown, Information Technology Manager**

Multnomah County Department of Community Justice

**Jill Petersen, Information Systems Manager**

Oregon Youth Authority Information Systems

**Ed Schmidt**

Juvenile Justice Coordinator

**Cherie Lingelbach**

JJIS Policy & Standards Coordinator

### JJIS Data & Evaluation Subcommittee

-- serving as a standing subcommittee of the JJIS Steering Committee to:

- guide the development of routine publications/statistics; specific research initiatives; design, reporting, and analysis of JJIS data in order to provide quality program and system evaluations and forecasting for system resources and prevention and intervention strategies; and
- function as an analytical Users Group that assesses development, implementation, and interpretation of reports for consistency, accuracy, and appropriateness in the areas of program evaluation, forecasting, policy analysis, impact analysis, and trend analysis.

**Joe Christy**

Data & Evaluation Subcommittee Chair  
and Washington County  
Juvenile Department Director

---

---

# Introduction

## Purpose of this report

In 1995 the Oregon Legislature became increasingly concerned about public safety, and the increase in the frequency and severity of juvenile crime. In response to these concerns, the Legislature created the Oregon Youth Authority as a separate state agency and acted to strengthen the juvenile justice system through the passage of Senate Bill 1, and Ballot Measure 11. This legislation mandated that juveniles committing serious crimes be treated as adults. It further required an unprecedented level of cooperation among agencies involved in the juvenile justice process.

The Legislature envisioned the juvenile justice system as a partnership among local, county, and state agencies with much of the custodial and rehabilitation effort occurring at the local and county level. The successful operation of an effective, coordinated, and integrated juvenile justice system required an infrastructure of comprehensive and timely information shared among these agencies.

This “information infrastructure” did not exist at the time the legislation was passed. It was difficult, if not impossible, for any agency to determine a youth’s history with another jurisdiction within the state. Spurred by these legislative requirements the Oregon Youth Authority (OYA) and the Oregon Juvenile Department Directors Association (OJDDA) formed a partnership to address the information systems needs of the juvenile justice community in Oregon. The partnership created the JJIS Steering Committee as the guiding body to oversee the planning, development, and implementation of JJIS. Over the last seven years this partnership jointly created the statewide Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS).

The JJIS Steering Committee’s vision was that JJIS would promote public safety and youth accountability, and offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, by:

- Providing a single comprehensive view of information about juveniles across state, county and local agencies;
- Aiding in the overall planning, development and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
- Supporting comprehensive case management, planning and evaluation of juveniles involved in the justice process in support of each agency's mission and mandate.

This report is one in a series of reports published by the JJIS Steering Committee designed specifically to address the second statement in this vision: Aid in the overall planning, development and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime. The report is intended to communicate information not only to evaluators but also to any party interested in Oregon’s juvenile justice system.

---

## Contents of this report

This document contains statewide and county specific reports describing admissions to and releases from Juvenile detention facilities. There are three reports for each county and statewide for the reporting year 2002. The reports are:

### **Detention Admission Reasons by Demographics**

- A unique count of admissions to a detention facility during the year, by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility and demographic characteristic (gender, age and race/ethnicity) of the youth being admitted.

### **Detention Length of Stay by Demographics**

- For each detention release during the year, the length of time spent in the facility (length of stay) by demographic characteristic (gender, age and race/ethnicity) of the youth. (Note: The associated "admission" may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

### **Detention Length of Stay by Admission Reason**

- For each detention release during the year, the number of days spent in the facility (length of stay) by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility. (Note: The associated "admission" may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

Each report divides Admission Reasons and Length of Stay into Pre-Adjudicatory, Post Adjudicatory and Status Unclear (it is not always possible to determine the adjudicatory status of the youth based on Admission Reason). Percentages, sub-totals and grand-totals are provided for each category.

## Notes about the information

Admissions: An Admission is an entry into a detention facility during the reporting year. It is possible for one youth to have more than one admission in the reporting year.

Length of Stay: Length of Stay is computed by subtracting the admission date from the release date. If a youth is admitted and released on the same day, the Length of Stay is one day. Length of Stay is only computed for youth that have been released from the detention facility. The Admission may have occurred in a prior reporting year..

Comparing the Three Reports: It is not possible to compare the Admission reports to the Length of Stay reports. Admission reports are based on Admissions during the reporting year. Length of Stay reports are based on Releases during the year. Youth on the Length of Stay reports may have been admitted in a prior reporting year.

---

Reporting Year: The calendar year is used for the reporting period.

County: Youth are reported in the county that had jurisdiction over the youth at the time the report was produced.

Race and Ethnicity: The JJIS Steering Committee defined Age and Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on best practices and available data. Race is recorded as reported by the youth or law enforcement unless the reported ethnicity was Hispanic – in which case the youth is categorized as Hispanic. Note, there are several efforts underway in the state to standardize reporting of race and ethnicity. The categories of Race/Ethnicity used in this report may change in the future when state standards are defined.

Note: Many county juvenile detention facilities operated Detention Based Youth Care Centers within their detention facilities. Admission statistics for Detention Based Youth Care Centers are not included with these reports.

July 2003

(June 2004 -- The Data and Evaluation Committee made decisions that changed the reporting criteria for 2003 data. The 2002 reports have been re-published for consistency.)

**Statewide**

Detention Admission Reasons by Demographics

Admission Reason	Total	%	Gender			Age			Race/Eth.					
			Male	Female	Unknown	12 and Younger	13-15	16 and Older	Native American	Asian	African American	White	Hispanic	Other/Unknown
<b>Pre Adjudicatory</b>														
Temporary Hold for Release Planning (36 Hour Hold)	138	1.8%	84	54	0	3	60	75	3	4	38	77	14	2
Mandatory Minimum Sentence Law Violation (BM 11)	165	2.2%	148	17	0	0	66	99	2	3	31	99	24	6
New Law Violation	2736	36.2%	2143	591	2	174	1310	1252	86	33	137	1963	321	196
Runaway Out of State	115	1.5%	43	71	1	4	40	71	1	1	4	78	9	22
Parole Violation	573	7.6%	459	114	0	10	228	335	31	4	44	435	49	10
Probation Violation	3433	45.4%	2634	799	0	104	1700	1629	130	30	95	2677	374	127
Traffic Court\Blanket Waiver	2	0.0%	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0
Violation of Conditional Release	405	5.4%	302	103	0	30	199	176	12	8	28	308	42	7
%			76.8%	23.1%	0.0%	4.3%	47.6%	48.1%	3.5%	1.1%	5.0%	74.5%	11.0%	4.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7567</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>5814</b>	<b>1750</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>3603</b>	<b>3639</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>5638</b>	<b>834</b>	<b>370</b>
<b>Post Adjudicatory</b>														
Court Ordered Sanction (1-8 Day)	1852	83.9%	1485	367	0	55	820	977	61	24	163	1339	216	49
Court Ordered Authorized Extended Detention Program	314	14.2%	230	84	0	6	143	165	13	6	49	198	44	4
Pending Placement	37	1.7%	27	10	0	0	15	22	0	0	2	31	4	0
Unauthorized Absence from OYA Close Custody	5	0.2%	3	2	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	5	0	0
%			79.0%	21.0%	0.0%	2.8%	44.4%	52.8%	3.4%	1.4%	9.7%	71.2%	12.0%	2.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2208</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1745</b>	<b>463</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>981</b>	<b>1165</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>1573</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>Pre or Post (Status Unclear)</b>														
Waived	82	4.0%	56	26	0	1	35	46	2	0	1	68	4	7
Warrant	1430	69.6%	984	446	0	26	518	886	78	16	94	1016	205	21
Other	542	26.4%	418	123	1	21	209	312	34	8	14	387	70	29
%			71.0%	29.0%	0.0%	2.3%	37.1%	60.6%	5.6%	1.2%	5.3%	71.6%	13.6%	2.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2054</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1458</b>	<b>595</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>762</b>	<b>1244</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>1471</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>11829</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>9017</b>	<b>2808</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>435</b>	<b>5346</b>	<b>6048</b>	<b>453</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>8682</b>	<b>1377</b>	<b>480</b>

# Statewide

## Detention Length of Stay by Demographics 2002

Admission Reason	Total	%	Length of Stay		Sex			Age at Admission				Race/Ethnicity					
	Total Admits	% of Admits	Days of Custody	Average LOS	Female	Male	Unknown	12 and Younger	13 - 15	16 - 17	18 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/Unknown	White
<b>Pre Adjudicatory</b>																	
1 - 3 Days	3891	51.3%	6667	1.7	992	2898	1	189	1855	1816	31	198	51	417	119	201	2905
4 - 8 Days	1835	24.2%	10461	5.7	430	1404	1	62	869	891	13	74	16	193	84	64	1404
9 - 30 Days	1444	19.0%	23130	16.0	288	1155	1	55	682	687	20	77	15	168	60	42	1082
31 - 59 Days	277	3.6%	11482	41.5	36	241	0	15	135	126	1	17	3	38	8	11	200
60 - 89 Days	72	0.9%	5145	71.5	9	63	0	7	42	23	0	6	2	8	3	1	52
90+ Days	73	1.0%	11242	154.0	8	65	0	5	34	34	0	14	0	10	2	3	44
<b>Total Pre Adjudicatory</b>	<b>7592</b>		<b>68127</b>	<b>9.0</b>	<b>1763</b>	<b>5826</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>333</b>	<b>3617</b>	<b>3577</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>386</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>834</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>5687</b>
<b>%</b>					<b>23.2%</b>	<b>76.7</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>47.6</b>	<b>47.1</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>11.0</b>	<b>3.60</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>74.9</b>
<b>Pre Adjudicatory % of Grand Total</b>		<b>64.0%</b>															
<b>Post Adjudicatory</b>																	
1 - 3 Days	1180	53.5%	2283	1.9	239	941	0	41	552	548	39	93	11	158	36	34	848
4 - 8 Days	687	31.1%	3894	5.7	130	557	0	17	274	376	20	59	11	64	31	7	515
9 - 30 Days	299	13.6%	6446	21.6	79	220	0	2	135	158	4	66	9	36	9	4	175
31 - 59 Days	31	1.4%	1185	38.2	11	20	0	1	15	15	0	2	0	2	4	3	20
60 - 89 Days	5	0.2%	338	67.6	3	2	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
90+ Days	4	0.2%	463	115.8	1	3	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
<b>Total Post Adjudicatory</b>	<b>2206</b>		<b>14609</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>463</b>	<b>1743</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>981</b>	<b>1100</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>1565</b>
<b>%</b>					<b>21.0%</b>	<b>79.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>44.5</b>	<b>49.9</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>11.8</b>	<b>3.70</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>70.9</b>
<b>Post Adjudicatory % of Grand Total</b>		<b>18.6%</b>															
<b>Pre or Post (Status Unclear)</b>																	
1 - 3 Days	878	42.4%	1386	1.6	249	628	1	25	337	486	30	40	9	115	55	28	631
4 - 8 Days	451	21.8%	2618	5.8	144	307	0	7	158	272	14	32	4	56	28	11	320
9 - 30 Days	584	28.2%	9682	16.6	166	418	0	9	226	341	8	33	10	89	28	11	413
31 - 59 Days	126	6.1%	5048	40.1	29	97	0	4	44	78	0	5	0	15	6	4	96
60 - 89 Days	24	1.2%	1674	69.8	7	17	0	0	10	14	0	2	1	3	0	1	17
90+ Days	7	0.3%	1108	158.3	0	7	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	5
<b>Total Pre or Post (Status Unclear)</b>	<b>2070</b>		<b>21516</b>	<b>10.4</b>	<b>595</b>	<b>1474</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>778</b>	<b>1195</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>1482</b>
<b>%</b>					<b>28.7%</b>	<b>71.2</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>37.6</b>	<b>57.7</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>5.70</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>71.6</b>
<b>Pre or Post (Status Unclear) % of Grand Total</b>		<b>17.4%</b>															
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>11868</b>		<b>104252</b>	<b>8.8</b>	<b>2821</b>	<b>9043</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>5376</b>	<b>5872</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>719</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>1374</b>	<b>474</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>8734</b>
<b>%</b>					<b>23.8%</b>	<b>76.2</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>45.3</b>	<b>49.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>11.6</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>73.6</b>



# Statewide

## Detention Length of Stay by Admission Reason 2002

	Total	%	Length of Stay					
			1 - 3 Days	4 - 8 Days	9 - 30 Days	31 - 59 Days	60 - 89 Days	90 + Days
<b>Pre Adjudicatory</b>								
Mandatory Minimum Sentence Law Violation	168	2.2%	57	13	37	14	12	35
New Law Violation	2758	36.3%	1551	491	555	108	29	24
Parole Violation	584	7.7%	277	184	101	15	4	3
Probation Violation	3425	45.1%	1677	982	611	122	23	10
Runaway from Another State	114	1.5%	89	14	10	1	0	0
Temporary Hold for Release Planning (36 Hour)	137	1.8%	81	30	24	2	0	0
Traffic Court or Other Blanket Waiver	3	0%	2	0	0	0	0	1
Violation of Conditional Release	403	5.3%	157	121	106	15	4	0
<b>Total Pre Adjudicatory</b>	<b>7592</b>		<b>3891</b>	<b>1835</b>	<b>1444</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>51.3%</b>	<b>24.2</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.0</b>
<b>Pre Adjudicatory % of Grand Total</b>	<b>64.0%</b>							
<b>Post Adjudicatory</b>								
Court Ordered (1-8 Day) Sanction	1856	84.1%	1136	642	70	7	1	0
Court Ordered Extended Detention Program	308	14.0%	23	39	219	21	2	4
Pending Placement	38	1.7%	20	5	8	3	2	0
Unauthorized Absence from OYA Close Custody	4	0.2%	1	1	2	0	0	0
<b>Total Post Adjudicatory</b>	<b>2206</b>		<b>1180</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>53.5%</b>	<b>31.1</b>	<b>13.6</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0.2</b>
<b>Post Adjudicatory % of Grand Total</b>	<b>18.6%</b>							
<b>Pre or Post (Status Unclear)</b>								
Waived	81	3.9%	49	31	1	0	0	0
Warrant	1441	69.6%	511	314	479	109	22	6
Other	548	26.5%	318	106	104	17	2	1
<b>Total Pre or Post (Status Unclear)</b>	<b>2070</b>		<b>878</b>	<b>451</b>	<b>584</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>42.4%</b>	<b>21.8</b>	<b>28.2</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>0.3</b>
<b>Pre or Post (Status Unclear) % of Grand Total</b>	<b>17.4%</b>							
<b>Grand Total</b>								
	<b>11868</b>		<b>5949</b>	<b>2973</b>	<b>2327</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>50.1%</b>	<b>25.1</b>	<b>19.6</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>0.7</b>