

# Thermodynamic Properties of the Aqueous Ba<sup>2+</sup> Ion and the Key Compounds of Barium

V. B. Parker

National Institute of Standards and Technology, Chemical Kinetics and Thermodynamics Division, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-0001

Received January 5, 1995; revised manuscript received February 13, 1995

Recommended thermochemical property values,  $\Delta_f H^\circ$ ,  $\Delta_f G^\circ$  and  $S^\circ$  for the aqueous ion of barium, Ba<sup>2+</sup>, are given at 298.15 K in SI units. The values are:  $\Delta_f H^\circ = -534.64 \pm 1.80$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta_f G^\circ = -557.60 \pm 1.81$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $S^\circ = 8.80 \pm 0.50$  J·K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>. They are consistent with the CODATA Key Values for Thermodynamics. The evaluation involves the analysis of the enthalpy changes, Gibbs energy changes, and the entropy measurements for all key substances in the key network. A consistent set of thermochemical property values is given for BaO(cr), BaH<sub>2</sub>(cr), BaCl<sub>2</sub>(cr), BaCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O(cr), Ba(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(cr), and BaCO<sub>3</sub>(cr, witherite), as well as reconstituted recommended process values with uncertainties for reactions involving these substances. ©1995 American Institute of Physics and American Chemical Society.

Key words: aqueous Ba<sup>2+</sup>, barium compounds, CODATA, data evaluation, enthalpy, entropy, Gibbs energy, key compounds, key values, thermochemical measurements.

## Contents

1. Introduction . . . . .	1024	3.4. The Selected $\Delta_f H^\circ$ (BaCl <sub>2</sub> ,cr) . . . . .	1026
1.1. Purpose . . . . .	1024	4. The Enthalpy of Formation of Ba(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (cr) . . . . .	1026
1.2. Conventions and Auxiliary Data . . . . .	1024	5. The Enthalpy of Formation of BaH <sub>2</sub> (cr) . . . . .	1027
1.2.1. Definitions and Symbols . . . . .	1024	5.1. From Measurements of the Enthalpies of Reaction of Ba(cr) and BaH <sub>2</sub> (cr) in Aqueous HCl . . . . .	1027
1.2.2. Reference States . . . . .	1024	5.2. From the Direct Enthalpy of Hydrogenation of Ba(cr) . . . . .	1027
1.2.3. Molar Masses . . . . .	1024	5.3. The Relationship between $\Delta_f H^\circ$ (BaCl <sub>2</sub> ,cr) and $\Delta_f H^\circ$ (BaH <sub>2</sub> ,cr) . . . . .	1027
1.2.4. Units, Fundamental Constants, and Thermochemical Property Values for Auxiliary Data . . . . .	1024	5.4. The Selected Value for $\Delta_f H^\circ$ (BaH <sub>2</sub> ,cr) . . . . .	1027
1.2.5. Description of Bibliographic References . . . . .	1024	6. The Enthalpy of Formation of BaCO <sub>3</sub> (cr) from the Decomposition to BaO(cr) and CO <sub>2</sub> (g) . . . . .	1027
1.2.6. Uncertainties . . . . .	1025	6.1. From Solution Calorimetry . . . . .	1027
1.3. Method of Approach . . . . .	1025	6.2. From the Vapor Pressure Measurements of CO <sub>2</sub> (g) as a Function of <i>T</i> . . . . .	1027
1.3.1. General . . . . .	1025	7. The Thermodynamic Properties of Ba <sup>2+</sup> (ao) . . . . .	1028
1.3.2. The Systematic Analysis . . . . .	1025	7.1. The Enthalpy of Formation . . . . .	1028
2. The Enthalpy of Formation of BaO(cr) . . . . .	1025	7.1.1. The Standard Enthalpy of Solution of BaCl <sub>2</sub> (cr) . . . . .	1028
2.1. From Direct Combustion . . . . .	1025	7.1.2. From the Carbonate System . . . . .	1029
2.2. From Measurements of the Enthalpies of Reaction of Ba(cr) and BaO(cr) in Aqueous HCl Solutions . . . . .	1025	7.1.3. The Standard Enthalpy of Solution of Ba(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (cr) . . . . .	1030
2.3. The Selected $\Delta_f H^\circ$ (BaO,cr) . . . . .	1026	7.2. The $S^\circ$ (Ba <sup>2+</sup> ,ao) . . . . .	1031
3. The Enthalpy of Formation of BaCl <sub>2</sub> (cr) . . . . .	1026	7.2.1. From the Solution Properties of BaCl <sub>2</sub> ·2H <sub>2</sub> O(cr) . . . . .	1031
3.1. From Measurements of the Enthalpies of Reaction of Ba(cr) and BaCl <sub>2</sub> (cr) in Aqueous Solutions . . . . .	1026	7.2.2. The Solution Properties of BaCO <sub>3</sub> (cr) . . . . .	1032
3.2. From Measurements of the Enthalpies of Solution of BaCl <sub>2</sub> (cr) and BaO(cr) in Aqueous HCl Solutions . . . . .	1026	7.2.3. The Solution Properties of Ba(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (cr) . . . . .	1032
3.3. The Relationship Between $\Delta_f H^\circ$ (BaCl <sub>2</sub> ,cr) and $\Delta_f H^\circ$ (BaH <sub>2</sub> ,cr) . . . . .	1026	7.3. Summary of Values Obtained for $S^\circ$ (Ba <sup>2+</sup> , ao) and $\Delta_f H^\circ$ (Ba <sup>2+</sup> , ao) . . . . .	1032
		8. The Recommended Thermodynamic Property Values for Ba <sup>2+</sup> (ao) and the Key Compounds . . . . .	1032
		9. Closing Comments . . . . .	1034
		10. Acknowledgements . . . . .	1034
		11. References . . . . .	1034

## List of Tables

1. The $\Delta_{\text{decomp}}H^\circ(\text{BaCO}_3, \text{cr})$ at 298.15 K from vapor pressure measurements . . . . .	1028
2. The $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ \text{BaCl}_2(\text{cr})$ in $\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$ at 298.15 K . . . . .	1028
3. The measurements of the $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$ in aqueous HCl . . . . .	1029
4. Recommended $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$ in aqueous HCl. . . . .	1029
5. $\text{BaCO}_3(\text{cr}) = \text{BaCO}_3(\text{ai})$ . . . . .	1030
6. The $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ(\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2, \text{cr})$ in $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ at 298.15 K; $\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{cr}) = \text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{ai})$ . . . . .	1030
7. Accepted Entropies of Solid Phases. . . . .	1031
8. $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{cr})$ at 298.15 K . . . . .	1031
9. $\text{BaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{cr}) = \text{BaCl}_2(\text{cr}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$ . . . . .	1032
10. The thermodynamics for $\text{Ba}^{2+}(\text{ao})$ . The calculated values for $\Delta_f H^\circ$ and $S^\circ$ for $\text{Ba}^{2+}(\text{ao})$ from the processes considered. . . . .	1033
11. Recommended thermodynamic property values of key compounds of Barium at 298.15 K and 0.1 MPa (1 bar) . . . . .	1033
12. Recommended process values for some Ba compounds at 298.15 K and 0.1 MPa (1 bar). . . . .	1034
13. The alkaline earth metal ions on the CODATA scale at 298.15 K and 0.1 MPa. . . . .	1034

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Purpose

The present paper is concerned with the evaluation and selection of the thermochemical properties of the aqueous ion  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  and with those key compounds that are in the "key network." The properties studied are  $\Delta_f H^\circ$ ,  $\Delta_f G^\circ$ , and  $S^\circ$  at 298.15 K, all in SI units. All values are consistent with the CODATA Key Values [89COX/WAG].<sup>a</sup>

There has been no complete reevaluation of the key network of Ba compounds that leads to the above mentioned property values of the  $\text{Ba}^{2+}(\text{ao})$  and the key compounds on the CODATA scale that also incorporates the more recent data.

The property values given in the review by 69PAR, 71PAR/WAG (82WAG/EVA) need to be updated in consideration of the more recent experimental measurements which cast doubt on some of the selected values (e.g.  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaO}, \text{cr})$  by 73FIT/HUB differs by more than five  $\text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$  from the selection in the review) and also cannot easily be used with the CODATA Key Values [89COX/WAG]. The 79MED/BER and 79ROB/HEM evaluations are also not compatible with the CODATA selections although they contain the more current  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaO}, \text{cr})$ . Also, as pointed out by 86BUS/PLU, some calculated process values, such as for  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ(\text{BaCO}_3, \text{cr}, \text{siderite})$  do not agree (within the stated uncertainty) with the more recent experimental values. 86BUS/PLU offer preliminary values for  $\Delta_f G^\circ$ ,  $\Delta_f H^\circ$ , and  $S^\circ$  for  $\text{BaCO}_3(\text{cr})$ ,  $\text{BaO}(\text{cr})$ , and  $\text{Ba}^{2+}(\text{ao})$  on the CODATA scale, but base their  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$  and their  $(\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaO}, \text{cr}) - \Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr}))$

<sup>a</sup>This is a reference code used in the text and in computer-based reaction catalogs. It is keyed to the bibliographic references given in Sec. 11. A description of the reference code is given in Sec. 1.2.5.

on 71PAR/WAG. Recently, 90COR/KON present values on the CODATA scale, but only for  $\Delta_f H^\circ$ 's for  $\text{Ba}^{2+}(\text{ao})$ ,  $\text{BaO}(\text{cr})$ , and  $\text{BaCl}_2(\text{cr})$ . A review and analysis are then appropriate.

## 1.2. Conventions and Auxiliary Data

### 1.2.1. Definitions and Symbols

The recommendations of the Division of Physical Chemistry of the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry [82IUP] are followed for thermodynamic conventions, standard states, terminology, nomenclature, symbols, and units. The symbols used here are also given in 89COX/WAG.

For aqueous solutions the following symbols and definitions from 65PAR are used:

- $\phi_L$  = the relative apparent molal enthalpy of the solute or  $-\Delta_{\text{dil}}H(m \rightarrow 0)$ , an integral quantity;  
 $L_1$  = the relative partial molal enthalpy of the solvent in the given solution; and,  
 $L_2$  = the relative partial molal enthalpy of the solute in the given solution.

In addition, in order to maintain the same symbols in the text as in a computer based reaction catalog, the following are used:

- ai = hypothetical standard state,  $m = 1$  for an electrolyte in aqueous solution (the sum of the values for the ions);  
 ao = hypothetical standard state, undissociated;  
 aq = aqueous, unspecified concentration, usually dilute; 250  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , etc. = solution of specified composition; and,  
 D = differential (partial molar property).

### 1.2.2. Reference States

The reference states used are as given in 89COX/WAG.

### 1.2.3. Molar Masses

The molar masses used are consistent with the relative atomic masses recommended by 86IUP and given in 88MIL/CVI.

### 1.2.4. Units, Fundamental Constants, and Thermochemical Property Values for Auxiliary Data

All values in the tables are given in SI units as recommended by 82IUP. Thermochemical property and process values cited in the text are at 298.15 K unless otherwise specified and are given as, e.g.,  $\Delta_f H^\circ$ , rather than  $\Delta_f H^\circ(298.15 \text{ K})$ .

Values for the fundamental constants are taken from 86COH/TAY (see 88MIL/CVI for consolidated IUPAC Physical Chemistry units, etc.).

The primary source for all thermochemical property values is 89COX/WAG which gives the CODATA Key Values for Thermodynamics; those used here will not be repeated. Citation to readily available literature values consistent with CODATA selections will be made. For example, use is made of the compilation 82WAG/EVA for those processes not defined by CODATA where the processes are independent of the selected property values, such as  $\phi_L$  for HCl(aqueous), HNO<sub>3</sub>(aqueous) (see also 65PAR). Those values not readily available will be given here.

### 1.2.5. Description of Bibliographic References

In order to use the same reference citation in the text as in a computer based reaction catalog, a reference code is used. The citation is given as follows:

The final two digits of the year (nineteenth century citations carry four digits for the year) precedes the first three letters of the first two author's last names (separated by a slash) in upper case letters. A number at the end of the code indicated that there is more than one reference having the same first two authors codes and year of publication.

The Bibliography in Sec. 11 is arranged chronologically by this reference code and alphabetically by the first author within each year. A full reference, including all authors, journal volume, page, and year of publication accompanies each reference code. Protocols for the computer format for entering references into the bibliography have been prepared by 83NEU.

### 1.2.6. Uncertainties

The uncertainties in the values for reactions given in the analysis and used in the text are initial uncertainties assumed by the evaluator, as discussed in 87PAR/EVA and may or may not agree with the experimentalist's appraisal. In the course of the evaluation and in rationalizing the property values of a substance from the various measurement paths and from replicate measurements of the same path, this initial judgment may prove to be unrealistic. The uncertainties listed in the tables of recommended property values for the substances are the evaluator's final estimate of the reliability of the predicted value. Use of these uncertainties, however, to calculate the uncertainty of a process value (as the square root as the sum of squares of all the uncertainties in the properties of the substances in the process) may result in too high a value since (1) the assigned uncertainty on the property value incorporates the uncertainties on the process values from which it is derived and (2) the property values of the substances in the process could be highly correlated. In order to avoid this, the recommended reconstituted process values for the processes of interest are tabulated with the evaluator's estimated reliability (assumed to have a level of confidence of 95%).

## 1.3. Method of Approach

### 1.3.1. General

The sequential method, as described by 76GAR/PAR and 77GAR/PAR, is used. In this method all of the data on the

compounds of interest in the network of the elements are assembled and the properties [ $\Delta_f H^\circ$ ,  $\Delta_f G^\circ$ , and  $S^\circ$ ] are calculated and evaluated compound by compound, starting with the compounds whose properties can be determined independently, that is, they depend only on known auxiliary data (in this case, CODATA Key Values [89COX/WAG] and CODATA compatible values) and not on any other compounds of the same element. Then the properties of other compounds dependent on the first selections are set. If several measurement paths involve the same compound, a confirmation of the choice may be obtained. If the confirmation of the choice (within the stated uncertainty) is not obtained, a *reworking* of the previous selections may be made and revised values selected in order to receive a reasonable over-all fit. This manual sequential method is iterative. More than one pass is involved in establishing the final values for the key compounds in the key network. In order to show the evolution to the final recommended values in the evaluation I indicate in each section the initial selection, that is, the *tentative* or *working* value, and its modification as I proceed in the evaluation to test, rationalize or modify, and finalize the recommendations. So that the user will understand this, I also indicate in each section what the final *resolved* recommendations will be.

### 1.3.2. The Systematic Analysis

The major compounds in the key network for the determination of the properties of Ba<sup>2+</sup>(ao) are BaO(cr), BaH<sub>2</sub>(cr), BaCl<sub>2</sub>(cr), BaCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O(cr), Ba(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(cr) and BaCO<sub>3</sub>(cr). The various parameters to be considered are:

1. The  $\Delta_f H^\circ$ (BaO,cr) from direct oxidation of Ba(cr) and also from the  $\Delta_{sol} H^\circ$ (Ba,cr) and  $\Delta_{sol} H^\circ$ (BaO,cr) in aqueous HCl.
2. The  $\Delta_f H^\circ$ (BaCl<sub>2</sub>,cr) from measurements of  $\Delta_{sol} H^\circ$ (Ba,cr) and  $\Delta_{sol} H^\circ$ (BaCl<sub>2</sub>,cr) in HCl, and from  $\Delta_{sol} H^\circ$ (BaO,cr) in aqueous HCl.
3. The  $\Delta_f H^\circ$ (BaH<sub>2</sub>,cr) from measurements of  $\Delta_{sol} H^\circ$ (Ba,cr) and  $\Delta_{sol} H^\circ$ (BaH<sub>2</sub>,cr) in aqueous HCl, and also from the direct enthalpy of hydrogenation of Ba(cr) and the link to  $\Delta_f H^\circ$ (BaCl<sub>2</sub>,cr).
4. The  $\Delta_{sol} H^\circ$ (BaCl<sub>2</sub>,cr) from measurements in H<sub>2</sub>O and from measurements in aqueous HCl as a function of the concentration of HCl.
5. The  $\Delta_f H^\circ$ (BaCO<sub>3</sub>,cr) from (1) the  $\Delta_{sol} H^\circ$ (BaO,cr) and  $\Delta_{sol} H^\circ$ (BaCO<sub>3</sub>,cr) in HCl and (2) the  $\Delta_{decomp} H^\circ$ (BaCO<sub>3</sub>,cr).
6. The  $\Delta_{sol} S^\circ$  of BaCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O(cr), Ba(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(cr), and BaCO<sub>3</sub>(cr) calculated from the  $\Delta_{sol} H^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{sol} G^\circ$  of the above salts. With the measured  $S^\circ$  values of these salts and the CODATA  $S^\circ$  values for Cl<sup>-</sup>(ao), NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>(ao), and CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>(ao) we calculate  $S^\circ$  for Ba<sup>2+</sup>(ao).
7. Values for  $\Delta_f H^\circ$ (Ba<sup>2+</sup>,ao) are obtained from (1) BaCl<sub>2</sub>(cr),  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{sol} H^\circ$  in H<sub>2</sub>O, (2) the same from BaCO<sub>3</sub>(cr), (3) the same from Ba(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(cr).


The various values for  $\Delta_f H^\circ$ (Ba<sup>2+</sup>,ao) and  $S^\circ$ (Ba<sup>2+</sup>,ao) are reconciled and smoothed to obtain final property values for the ion and all substances in the key network.

The recommended values for the various processes are reconstituted from the tabulated property values.

## 2. The Enthalpy of Formation of BaO(cr)

### 2.1. From Direct Combustion

63MAH reported the direct enthalpy of combustion of Ba(cr) to BaO(cr) at 303.15 K to be  $-581.83 \pm 2.93$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>. Corrected to 298.15 K with essentially a negligible  $\Delta C_p = +0.004$  kJ·K<sup>-1</sup>·mol<sup>-1</sup>, we have



### 2.2. From Measurements of the Enthalpies of Reaction of Ba(cr) and BaO(cr) in Aqueous HCl Solutions

66FLI/KOV combined their measurements of  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ(\text{BaO,cr})$  with the measurements of  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ(\text{Ba,cr})$  by 23GUN/BEN<sup>b</sup>, citing the following corrections to the 23GUN/BEN measurements,  $-0.42$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> for the difference in final concentrations of BaCl<sub>2</sub>,  $-0.71$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> for the difference in concentration of HCl, and  $-1.21$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> for the temperature difference, to obtain from the combination of the following two reactions:


1.  $\text{Ba(cr)} + 2\text{HCl(aq)} = \text{BaCl}_2(\text{aq, in HCl}) + \text{H}_2(\text{g}); \Delta H = -539.82 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1};$
2.  $\text{BaO(cr)} + 2\text{HCl(aq)} = \text{BaCl}_2(\text{aq, in HCl}) + \text{H}_2\text{O(l)}; \Delta H = -272.96 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}.$

To yield:

3.  $\text{Ba(cr)} + \text{H}_2\text{O(l)} = \text{BaO(cr)} + \text{H}_2(\text{g}); \Delta_f H^\circ = -266.86 \pm 0.84 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}.$

This results in  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaO,cr}) = -552.69 \pm 2.0$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>.

More recently 73FIT/HUB measured the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ$  of both Ba(cr) ( $-535.34 \pm 1.7$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>) and BaO(cr) ( $-273.17 \pm 0.96$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>) in a 1.002 M HCl solution such that



$$\Delta H = -262.17 \pm 2.0 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}.$$

With  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{H}_2\text{O, aq in 150 HCl+8238H}_2\text{O}) = -285.836 \pm 0.040$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaO,cr}) = -548.01 \pm 2.0$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>.

90COR/KON repeated the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ(\text{BaO,cr})$  ( $-273.08 \pm 0.24$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>) in a 1.0109 M HCl solution. Minor corrections were made to the exact condition used by 73FIT/HUB ( $-0.03$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>) so that  $\Delta H = -273.11 \pm 0.25$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>. Combination of this value with 73FIT/HUB's measurements on Ba(cr) results in  $\Delta_f H^\circ = -262.23 \pm 1.72$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaO,cr}) = -548.07 \pm 1.72$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>, in excellent agreement with 73FIT/HUB.

<sup>b</sup>23GUN/BEN measurements were at 280 K with an aqueous HCl solution of 0.40 mol·kg<sup>-1</sup>.

The measured  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ(\text{Ba,cr})$  in the two studies differ by more than 4 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>, far more than the variation of  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ(\text{BaO,cr})$ . Sample purity of Ba(cr) may be the problem.


### 2.3. The Selected $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaO,cr})$

The above measurements for  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaO,cr})$  are:  $-581.85 \pm 3.0$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> from the direct combustion, and from the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ(\text{Ba,cr})$  and  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ(\text{BaO,cr})$  in HCl,  $-552.69 \pm 2.0$ ,  $-548.01 \pm 2.0$ ,  $-548.07 \pm 1.72$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>. The initial tentative selection is  $-548.04 \pm 1.72$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>. It also becomes the final selection.

## 3. The Enthalpy of Formation of BaCl<sub>2</sub>(cr)

### 3.1. From Measurements of the Enthalpies of Reaction of Ba(cr) and BaCl<sub>2</sub>(cr) in Aqueous HCl Solutions

63EHR/PEI measured  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ(\text{Ba,cr})$  ( $-538.48 \pm 1.5$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>) and  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$  ( $-12.97 \pm 0.08$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>) in 0.1N HCl, such that the final solution contained 2500 mol H<sub>2</sub>O/mol BaCl<sub>2</sub>. For:



Using  $L_2(\text{HCl:555H}_2\text{O}) = 0.812$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> from 65PAR,  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr}) = -858.05 \pm 1.8$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> is obtained.

### 3.2. From Enthalpy Measurements of BaCl<sub>2</sub>(cr) and BaO(cr) in Aqueous HCl

90COR/KON measured the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$  ( $-8.858 \pm 0.084$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>) in a 1.0109 N HCl (HCl:53.8H<sub>2</sub>O) solution as well as  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ(\text{BaO,cr})$  ( $-273.08 \pm 0.24$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>) in a 1.0109 N HCl solution [final concentration (HCl: 54.54 H<sub>2</sub>O)]. Applying a correction of 0.09 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> for the variation of  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$  with concentration of HCl, I obtain BaO(cr) + 2HCl(D:54.2H<sub>2</sub>O)<sup>c</sup> = BaCl<sub>2</sub>(cr) + H<sub>2</sub>O(aq)<sup>c</sup>;  $\Delta H = -264.13 \pm 0.25$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>

and  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr}) - \Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaO,cr}) = -306.96 \pm 0.25$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>. This is consistent with 90COR/KON's  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaO,cr}) = -548.07 \pm 1.72$ ,  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr}) = -855.03 \pm 1.72$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>, and is in fair agreement with 63EHR/PEI, considering the overlapping uncertainty intervals.

### 3.3. The Relationship Between $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$ and $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaH}_2, \text{cr})$

In Sec. 5.3 a value for  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr}) - \Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaH}_2, \text{cr}) = -679.30 \pm 2.1$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> is obtained from 63EHR/PEI's measurements. If the direct determination of  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaH}_2, \text{cr})$  (hydrogenation enthalpy of Ba(cr)) =  $-190.08 \pm 0.4$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> from 68VOR/MON is used (Sec. 5.2.),  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr}) = -869.47 \pm 2.2$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>c</sup> $L_2(\text{HCl:54.2H}_2\text{O}) = 2.75$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $L_1(\text{H}_2\text{O}) = -0.006$  kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> from 65PAR.

### 3.4. The Selected $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$

The three above paths lead to widely different values. The initial tentative selection is  $-855.00 \pm 1.73 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ . It will also become the final recommendation.

## 4. The Enthalpy of Formation of $\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{cr})$

The  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2, \text{cr})$  is dependent upon the  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$  through the measurements of 44YOU on the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$  ( $-8.728 \pm 0.04 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ) and the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H(\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2, \text{cr})$  ( $41.606 \pm 0.07 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ) in aqueous 1 N HCl solutions ( $m=1.022 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ).

1.  $\text{BaCl}_2(\text{cr}) = (\text{BaCl}_2 + 45.5\text{HCl} + 2474\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{soln I}); \Delta H_1 = -8.728 \pm 0.04 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ;
2.  $\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{cr}) + 45.5\text{HCl} + 2474\text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2 + 45.5\text{HCl} + 2474\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (soln II);  $\Delta H_2 = +41.606 \pm 0.07 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ;

He also measured:

3.  $2(\text{HNO}_3 + 26.5\text{H}_2\text{O}) + \text{soln I} = \text{soln III}; \Delta H_3 = +0.481 \pm 0.08 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ; and,
4.  $26.5\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + \text{soln II} = \text{soln III}; \Delta H_4 = -0.473 \pm 0.04 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

where soln III is  $\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2 + 47.5\text{HCl} + 2500.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .

The summation  $\Delta H_1 - \Delta H_2 + \Delta H_3 - \Delta H_4$  results in


5.  $\text{BaCl}_2(\text{cr}) + 2\text{HNO}_3(\text{in } 26.5\text{H}_2\text{O}) = \text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{cr}) + 2\text{HCl}(\text{D:HCl} + 53.2\text{H}_2\text{O}); \Delta H_5 = -49.38 \pm 0.12 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$

The  $\Delta_f H(\text{HNO}_3 \text{ in } 26.5 \text{ H}_2\text{O}) = -205.794 \pm 0.40 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ;  $\Delta_f H(\text{D:HCl} + 53.2\text{H}_2\text{O}) = -164.36 \pm 0.10 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  so that  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr}) - \Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2, \text{cr}) = 132.25 \pm 0.43 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ . With the tentative  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr}) = -855.00 \pm 1.73$ ;  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2, \text{cr}) = -987.25 \pm 1.80 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ . This tentative value will be modified in the smoothing process to the final  $\Delta_f H^\circ = -988.02 \pm 1.80 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .


## 5. The Enthalpy of Formation of $\text{BaH}_2(\text{cr})$

### 5.1. From Measurements of the Enthalpies of Reaction of $\text{Ba}(\text{cr})$ and $\text{BaH}_2(\text{cr})$ in Aqueous HCl


67VOR/MON obtained:



and



so that




Previously 63EHR/PEI had used an aqueous solution of 0.1 N HCl (HCl:555 H<sub>2</sub>O) so that the final BaCl<sub>2</sub> concentration was 2500 molcs H<sub>2</sub>O/mol BaCl<sub>2</sub>, obtaining  $\Delta H = -538.48 \pm 1.5$  and  $-359.82 \pm 1.5 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ , respectively for the above reactions resulting in  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaH}_2, \text{cr}) = -178.66 \pm 2.1 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ , in disagreement with the above.

### 5.2. From the Enthalpy of Hydrogenation of $\text{Ba}(\text{cr})$

68VOR/MON obtained  $\Delta_f H^\circ = -190.08 \pm 0.4 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  from 6 measurements, supporting their (67VOR/MON) value from measurements in HCl. See Sec. 5.1.

### 5.3. The Relationship Between $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$ and $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaH}_2, \text{cr})$

Since 63EHR/PEI measured  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$  ( $-12.97 \pm 0.80 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ) in 0.1N HCl (see Sec. 3.1.) as well as  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H(\text{BaH}_2, \text{cr})$  (see Sec. 5.1.), one can also obtain



### 5.4. The Selected Value for $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaH}_2, \text{cr})$

The results differ widely for  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaH}_2, \text{cr})$ . The selected value for  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaH}_2, \text{cr}) = -177.0 \pm 4.9 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  is based on the 63EHR/PEI measurements, since their measurements on  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$  and  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$  are in better agreement, i.e. more consistent with the values selected here for  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$ ,  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaO}, \text{cr})$ , and  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao})$  than a selection based on 68VOR/MON's measurements would be.

## 6. The Enthalpy of Formation of $\text{BaCO}_3(\text{cr})$ from the Decomposition to $\text{BaO}(\text{cr})$ and $\text{CO}_2(\text{g})$

### 6.1. From Solution Calorimetry

The measurements of 66ADA/CON on  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H$  of  $\text{BaO}(\text{cr})$  ( $-275.73 \pm 0.30 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ) and  $\text{BaCO}_3(\text{cr})$  ( $-6.49 \pm 1.0 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ) at 303.15 K in excess HCl ( $m=4.35 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ) saturated with  $\text{CO}_2(\text{g})$  lead to



Since  $\Delta C_p$  is negligible,  $\Delta H^\circ$  for this decomposition is  $+269.24 \pm 1.1 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

Similarly 66GRE/PAS used  $\text{HNO}_3$ -HF solutions at 323K to obtain  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H(\text{BaO}, \text{cr}) = -265.77 \pm 6.7 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  and

$\Delta_{\text{sol}}H(\text{BaCO}_3, \text{cr}) = -21.25 \pm 0.9 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  at 323 K.  $\Delta H^\circ$  for the decomposition =  $244.5 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  (the  $\Delta C_p$  correction is considered negligible in the temperature range 323–298 K).

## 6.2. From the Vapor Pressure Measurements of $\text{CO}_2(\text{g})$ as a Function of $T$

81GUR/BER and 82CHA/AHM review and tabulate the available decomposition pressure data. Their calculations differ from each other because of the use of slightly different thermal functions for  $\text{BaO}(\text{cr})$ . The thermal functions accepted here for  $\text{BaO}(\text{cr})$  from 85CHA/DAV are in agreement with 81GUR/BER. Hence the values tabulated by 81GUR/BER are reported here; additional sources given by 82CHA/AHM are corrected to the thermal functions used here and are included in Table 1.

Also included in it are the calorimetric measurements from 6.1.

TABLE 1. The  $\Delta_{\text{decomp}}H^\circ(\text{BaCO}_3, \text{cr})$  at 298.15 K from vapor pressure measurements

Investigation	Temp. range, K	$\Delta H^\circ$ ( $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ )	
06FIN	1623	254.5	(3rd law)
51 LAN	1073–1477	287.5	(2nd law)
		$272.5 \pm 2.3$	(3rd law)
69EVS/BUN	1203–1273	260.5	(2nd law)
		271.5	(3rd law)
76BAS/SIR	1031–1099	262.5	(2nd law)
		275.5	(3rd law)
	1160–1211	257.5	(2nd law)
		258.5	(3rd law)
Corrected from 82CHA/AHM			
05POT	1270–1470	$245.3 \pm 8.9$	(3rd law)
16HED	1634	254.8	(3rd law)
27DUT	1375–1529	$262.4 \pm 2.7$	(3rd law)
37HAC/WOL	1673	259.4	(3rd law)
From calorimetric measurements			
66ADA/CON	303.15	$269.24 \pm 1.1$	
66GRE/PAS	323	244.5	

The vapor pressure measurements are discordant so that preference is given to the calorimetric measurement of 66ADA/CON,  $\Delta H^\circ = 269.24 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ , although some weight is given to the 51LAN 3rd law  $\Delta H^\circ = 272.5 \pm 2.3 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  which shows a trend with temperature. The initial selection of  $\Delta H^\circ = 269.24 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  ( $= -1210.79 \pm 2.0 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  for  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCO}_3, \text{cr})$ ) will be modified to the final recommended  $\Delta H^\circ = 271.48 \pm 1.0 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  and  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCO}_3, \text{cr}) = -1213.03 \pm 2.0 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  as a result of the rationalizing process.

## 7. The Thermodynamic Properties of $\text{Ba}^{2+}(\text{ao})$

### 7.1. The Enthalpy of Formation

#### 7.1.1. The Standard Enthalpy of Solution of $\text{BaCl}_2(\text{cr})$

From measurements in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$

Table 2 lists the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ$  (corrected to 298.15 K, where necessary) obtained from the various measurements. A reasonable tentative value for  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr}) = -13.80 \pm 0.10 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ . The  $\phi_L$  values used to correct to infinite dilution are from 71PAR/WAG and 82WAG/EVA. These  $\phi_L$  values are based on the measurements of 31LAN/STR, 29RIC/DOL, 36SCH/COB, 66VOR/MON, 36SHI/TER, and 57TER.

TABLE 2. The  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ$   $\text{BaCl}_2(\text{cr})$  in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$  at 298.15 K

Investigator	Conc. $m, \text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$	$\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ(\text{m})$ $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$	$\Delta H^\circ$ $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$
1875 BER	0.2413	-11.88 <sup>a</sup>	-14.06
1883 BER/ILO	0.2775	-10.95	-13.19
1888 THO	0.1387	-11.15	-13.08 <sup>b</sup>
04 BAU	0.125	-9.87 <sup>c</sup>	-12.76
08 SCH	0.1388	-8.46 <sup>d</sup>	-13.17
36 SCH/COB	0.1811	-11.18	-13.326
	to 1.444	to -10.67	
36 SHI/TER	0.0555	-11.90	-13.426
52 SAM	0.05	-11.88	-13.376
61CRI/COB	0.0018	-12.71	-13.347 $\pm$ 0.1
	to 0.01	to -13.01	
66VOR/MON	0.0185	-12.06	-13.065 $\pm$ 0.20
	to 0.0555	to -11.36	
68SAM/TSV	0.1110	-11.92	-13.753
69GRE/SNE	0.0156	-13.230	-13.393 $\pm$ 0.08
	to 0.0592	to -13.49	
73SMI/BER	0.011	e	-13.64 $\pm$ 0.13
77DAD/TAH	0.005	-12.853	-13.517 $\pm$ 0.10
	to 0.025	to -12.259	
78PER/THO	0.02	-13.01 <sup>f</sup>	-14.23 $\pm$ 0.22
83MON/ALE	0.003	-14.14	-14.234 $\pm$ 0.14
	to 0.019	to -14.29	
85JUI/TIS			-13.5
90COR/KON	0.0066	-13.069	-13.769 $\pm$ 0.06
		$\pm$ 0.060	
90TAN/BAR			-13.50
Selected Value			-13.80 $\pm$ 0.10

<sup>a</sup>from 283 K,  $\Delta C_p = -335 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

<sup>b</sup>from 291 K,  $\Delta C_p = -356 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

<sup>c</sup>from 295 K,  $\Delta C_p = -335 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

<sup>d</sup>from 290 K,  $\Delta C_p = -347 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

<sup>e</sup>cites 71PAR/WAG.

<sup>f</sup>also measured  $\Delta_{\text{dil}}H$ .

From Measurements in Aqueous  $\text{HCl}$

Table 3 shows the measurements used to extrapolate to  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$  from measurements in aqueous  $\text{HCl}$ . A value for  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ = -13.72 \pm 0.50 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  is obtained in excellent agreement with the selected value obtained from measurements in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .  $I$  is the ionic strength.

TABLE 3. The measurements of the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H$  ( $\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr}$ ) in aqueous HCl

BaCl <sub>2</sub> (cr)	$\Delta_{\text{sol}}H$ kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	<i>m</i> BaCl <sub>2</sub> mol·kg <sup>-1</sup>	<i>m</i> HCl mol·kg <sup>-1</sup>	soln × (HCl+nH <sub>2</sub> O) <sup>c</sup>	<i>I</i> mol kg <sup>-1</sup>	kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>			
						$\Delta_{\text{D-H}}H^\circ$	$\Delta H'$	$\Delta H'$ graph	Predicted $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H$
44YOU	-8.728	0.022	1.051	47.5(a+52.8b)	1.117	-2.69	-11.42	-11.35	-8.66
50KAP/SAM	-7.20	0.030	1.90	63.4(a+29.21b)	1.99	-3.01	-10.21	-9.46	-6.45
63EHR/PEI	-12.97	0.022	0.0565	2.545(a+982b)	0.1225	-1.318	-14.29	-13.48	-12.16
52SAM	-8.62	0.045	0.899	19.98(a+61.7b)	1.034	-2.66	-11.28	-11.56	-8.90
	-7.99	0.045	1.24	27.56(a+44.8b)	1.375	-2.81	-10.81	-10.80	-7.99
	-6.49	0.045	1.83	40.67(a+30.3b)	1.965	-3.00	-9.49	-9.50	-6.50
90COR/KON	-8.858	0.0069	1.03	150(a+53.83b)	1.0507	-2.65	-11.51	-11.55	-8.90
	±0.084								
76O'H/BOE	-9.94	0.005	~1.00	184(a+55.57b) <sup>d</sup>	1.037	-2.64	-12.58	-11.56	-8.92
	±0.07 <sub>5</sub>								
Extrapolation this study									
		0	0		0			-13.72	-13.80
								±0.5	

<sup>c</sup>Composition of solution converted to one mole of BaCl<sub>2</sub> in *x* moles of HCl where the H<sub>2</sub>O:HCl ratio is *n*. For convenience, HCl is given as *a* and H<sub>2</sub>O as *b*.

<sup>d</sup>Contains one mole UO<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

Table 4 shows the final recommended  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$  as a function of *I* HCl where the concentration of BaCl<sub>2</sub> is very dilute.

TABLE 4. Recommended  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$  in aqueous HCl



<i>I</i> HCl mol·kg <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta H'$ kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta H_{\text{D-H}}$ kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta_{\text{sol}}H$ kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>
0.0	-13.80	0.0	-13.80
0.1	-13.50	-1.26	-12.24
0.2	-13.29	-1.63	-11.65
0.5	-12.65	-2.18	-10.47
1.0	-11.60	-2.62	-8.98
1.5	-10.52	-2.85	-7.67
2.0	-9.46	-3.01	-6.45

From Sec. 3.4, the initial tentative selection for  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr}) = -855.00 \pm 1.73 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  with the selection for  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ = -13.80 \pm 0.10 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  (from 7.111) results in  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{ai}) = -868.80 \pm 1.74 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  and  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao}) = -534.64 \pm 1.8 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .







### 7.1.2. From the BaCO<sub>3</sub>(cr) System

#### From the Calorimetric Enthalpy of Precipitation


1875BER2 measured the enthalpy of reaction of aqueous BaCl<sub>2</sub> solutions (H<sub>2</sub>O/BaCl<sub>2</sub> = 220) with aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (H<sub>2</sub>O/Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> = 220) and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (H<sub>2</sub>O/K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> = 220) to precipitate BaCO<sub>3</sub>(cr) at 289K, reporting  $\Delta H = -6.02 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  and  $-7.11 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ . Corrected to 298K using  $\Delta C_p = +0.125 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ , the following are obtained:



Making use of  $\phi_L$  from 82WAG/EVA for the following:




Similarly 1886THO measured the enthalpy of precipitation of BaCO<sub>3</sub>(cr), from BaCl<sub>2</sub> (H<sub>2</sub>O/BaCl<sub>2</sub> = 400) and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (H<sub>2</sub>O/Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> = 400H<sub>2</sub>O) at 291 K to be  $-5.64 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ . With  $\Delta C_p = +0.125 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ , correction to 298 K results in





and using reactions analogous to 2–4 above, for species in 400 H<sub>2</sub>O, with  $\Delta H$  for 2c =  $-1.937 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ , for 3c  $-2.20 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  and for 4c  $-0.726 \text{ kJ}$ ,  $\Delta H^\circ(5) = -1.35 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

From the dissolution of  $\text{BaCO}_3(\text{cr})$  in aqueous acid solutions


41ROT reported  $\Delta H = -16.82 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  at 293K which corrected to 298.15K (with  $\Delta C_p = -0.063 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  results in:




Using 82WAG/EVA for the following:




results in the following:




From 89COX/WAG (CODATA Key Values)




so that




Similarly 54KAP/STA using aqueous HCl ( $n\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{HCl} = 55$ ) obtained




Using




and



from Table 4 and



from 65PAR,



From Solubility Measurements as a Function of Temperature

The solubility of  $\text{BaCO}_3(\text{cr})$  has been measured by 86BUS/PLU from 273.15K to 363.15K at 1 atm. They reported, from log K as a function of T, for the solution process at 298.15K  $\Delta H = 2.94 \pm 1.0 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

The Selected  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ(\text{BaCO}_3, \text{cr})$  and  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao})$

Table 5 summarizes the values obtained for  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ$ :

TABLE 5.  $\text{BaCO}_3(\text{cr}) = \text{BaCO}_3(\text{ai})$

Investigator	$\Delta H^\circ, \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$	Method
1875BER2	+3.02	from pptn., $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$
	+3.22	from pptn., $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$
1886THO	+1.35	from pptn., $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$
41ROT	-12.75	soln with $\text{HNO}_3$
54KAP/STA	-12.5	soln with HCl
86BUS/PLU	+2.94	$\log_{\text{sol}}K$
Initial selected value	+2.94	

Using this value, the initial selection for  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCO}_3, \text{cr}) = -1210.79 \pm 2.0 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  and with auxiliary information from 89COX/WAG for  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{CO}_3^{2-}, \text{aq})$ ,  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao}) = -532.62 \pm 2.2 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

### 7.1.3. The Standard Enthalpy of Solution of $\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{cr})$

Table 6 summarizes the available calorimetric determinations and their  $\Delta H^\circ$  values. Values for  $\phi_L(\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2)$  were obtained from 82WAG/EVA from the measurements of 31LAN/STR, 37BIR/LAN, 18PRA and 08BIS.

TABLE 6. The  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ(\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2, \text{cr})$  in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  at 298.15 K;  $\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{cr}) = \text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{ai})$

Investigator	$\Delta H(T)$ $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$	$n\text{H}_2\text{O}$	T/K	$\phi_L$ $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$	$\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ$ $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$
1875BER2	35.02	1000	285.15 <sup>a</sup>	-0.414	35.44
1886THO	39.35	400	293.8 <sup>b</sup>	-1.61	39.74
1898STA	41.98	1000	289.15 <sup>c</sup>	-0.414	39.68
23TAM/KRI	43.51	200	279.15 <sup>d</sup>	-3.573	40.73
37BRO/SMI	38.685	614	298.15	-1.00	39.68
64VAS	39.66	1000 <sup>e</sup>	298.15	-0.414	40.08
Initial Selection					39.68 ± 0.30

<sup>a</sup> $\Delta C_p = -293 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

<sup>b</sup> $\Delta C_p = -264 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

<sup>c</sup> $\Delta C_p = -301 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

<sup>d</sup> $\Delta C_p = -334 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

<sup>e</sup>assumed.



Using  $\Delta H^\circ = 39.68 \pm 0.3 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  and auxiliary data from 89COX/WAG, our selection for  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{cr})) = -987.25 \pm 1.8 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao}) = -533.88 \pm 1.82 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

## 7.2. The $S^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao})$

The values for  $S^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao})$  are derived from the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} S^\circ$  from the solution properties of  $\text{BaCl}_2\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{cr})$ ,  $\text{BaCO}_3(\text{cr})$  and  $\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{cr})$ . The entropies used for these compounds and  $\text{Ba}(\text{cr})$  and for other compounds of interest in this evaluation are tabulated.

TABLE 7. Accepted Entropies of Solid Phases


Compound	$S^\circ(298.15 \text{ K}), \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$	Source
Ba(cr)	$62.475 \pm 0.8$	85CHA/DAV
BaO(cr)	$72.069 \pm 0.38$	85CHA/DAV
BaH <sub>2</sub> (cr)	$63.00 \pm 6$	81GUR/BER
BaCl <sub>2</sub> (cr)	$123.666 \pm 0.13$	85CHA/DAV
BaCl <sub>2</sub> ·2H <sub>2</sub> O(cr)	$202.97 \pm 0.84$	61KEL/KIN
Ba(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (cr)	$213.97 \pm 0.84$	61KEL/KIN
BaCO <sub>3</sub> (cr)	$112.10 \pm 2.0$	81GUR/BER

### 7.2.1. From the Solution Properties of $\text{BaCl}_2\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{cr})$


#### The Enthalpy of Solution and the Enthalpy of Dehydration

Table 8 shows the various calorimetric measurements and the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ$  obtained using  $\phi_L$  from 82WAG/EVA.


For the enthalpy of dehydration to  $\text{BaCl}_2(\text{cr})$ :  
The vapor pressure measurements of *L. Trip* were reported by 29HUT/SLO. For:




and




so that



Using CODATA Key Values [89COX/WAG] for,



the  $\Delta_{\text{dehyd}} H^\circ = 28.8 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  for



The measurements of 65RIG/ING by DTA, using a N<sub>2</sub> carrier gas in the range 309K to 355K yield for (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta H^\circ &= 69.83 \pm 3.0 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \\ \Delta G^\circ &= 14.43 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \\ \Delta S^\circ &= 185.7 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Measurements in the range 351 K to 386 K for (2) result in

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta H^\circ &= 79.9 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \\ \Delta G^\circ &= 18.8 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \\ \Delta S^\circ &= 205.0 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

TABLE 8.  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{cr})$  at 298.15 K

Investigator	$\Delta H$ kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	conc nH <sub>2</sub> O/BaCl <sub>2</sub>	$\phi_L$ kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta H^\circ$ kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>
1875BER	17.76 <sup>a</sup>	280	2.105	15.66
1886THO	18.63 <sup>b</sup>	400	1.933	16.71
08SCH	18.38 <sup>c</sup>	400	1.933	16.31
65LES/AVE	$17.45 \pm 0.10$	1000	1.523	15.92
36BRO/SMI	18.49	402	1.933	16.56
36SHI/TER	18.27	1000	1.523	16.75
29PER	18.06 <sup>d</sup>	430	1.900	16.16
37FED/SIL	19.12 <sup>e</sup>	400	1.933	17.17
	19.80 <sup>e</sup>	200	2.246	17.55
	20.17 <sup>e</sup>	100	2.500	17.67
73SMI/BER				16.29
Initial Selected Value				$16.50 \pm 0.50$

<sup>a</sup>From 283 K,  $\Delta H = 21.84 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta C_p = -272 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

<sup>b</sup>From 291 K,  $\Delta H = 20.63 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta C_p = -276 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

<sup>c</sup>From 290 K,  $\Delta H = 20.585 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta C_p = -276 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

<sup>d</sup>From 285 K,  $\Delta H = 21.76 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta C_p = -285 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

<sup>e</sup>From 292 K,  $\Delta H = 20.87 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta C_p = -289 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  (400 H<sub>2</sub>O).

$\Delta H = 21.28 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta C_p = -247 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  (200 H<sub>2</sub>O).

$\Delta H = 21.55 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta C_p = -230 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  (100 H<sub>2</sub>O).

<sup>d</sup> $\Delta H^\circ = 62.22 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  at a mean  $T = 338\text{K}$ ,  $\Delta C_p = -6.3 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

so that  $\Delta H^\circ(5) = 61.73$  kJ. These measurements are rejected. In addition, since many of the investigators measured the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ$  of both the hydrate and the anhydrous salt, one can obtain  $\Delta_{\text{dehyd}}H^\circ$  from  $\Delta(\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ)$ .

Table 9 shows the values obtained, as well as that from 29HUT/SLO.

TABLE 9.  $\text{BaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{cr}) = \text{BaCl}_2(\text{cr}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$ 

Investigator	$\Delta H$ , $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$
1875BER	29.77
1886THO	29.78
08SCH	29.61
25KOL	31.23
38KHO	27.53
73SMI/BER	29.92
29HUT/SLO	28.6
From initial $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ$ 's	$30.30 \pm 0.31$ .
$\text{BaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{cr}) = 16.5 \pm 0.30$ $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$	
and	
$\text{BaCl}_2(\text{cr}) = -13.80 \pm 0.10$ $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .	

The  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}S^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{cr})$


The saturated solution of aqueous  $\text{BaCl}_2$  contains 1.785 moles  $\text{BaCl}_2/\text{kg}$   $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  as given by 58 LIN. 78GOL/NUT evaluate the activity coefficient and osmotic coefficient measurements and recommend  $\gamma_{\pm}$  and  $a_w$  to be 0.4417 and 0.9028 respectively. These values result in  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ = -1.16 \pm 0.20$   $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  for



Using the initial selection of  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ = 16.5 \pm 0.30$   $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}S^\circ = 59.23 \pm 1.21$   $\text{J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  and  $S^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao}) = 9.10 \pm 1.47$   $\text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$ .

#### 7.2.2. The Solution Properties of $\text{BaCO}_3(\text{cr})$

86BUS/PLU measured the solubility of  $\text{BaCO}_3(\text{cr}, \text{witherite})$  from 273.15K to 363.15K at 1 atm. They represent their results for:



$\log K = 607.642 + 0.121098T - 20011.25/T - 236.4948 \log T$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \log K (298.15\text{K}) &= -8.562 \\ \Delta H^\circ &= 2.94 \pm 1.0 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \\ \Delta G^\circ &= 48.87 \pm 0.08 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \\ \Delta S^\circ &= -154.0 \pm 3.4 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $S^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao}) = 8.10 \pm 3.9$   $\text{J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .


They also review and cite the earlier measurement. Some of those in agreement for  $\Delta G^\circ$  are:

84MIL/MIL	48.86 $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$
11McC/SMI	48.97 $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$


Some others, in fair agreement, are:

39HOG/JOH from (37TOW/WHI) 49.62  $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  (50.23  $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ) and 60GAR/THO 49.5  $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .


In addition 62JAK/JAN from cell measurements obtained  $E^\circ = 0.524$  volts and  $E^\circ = 0.532$  volts for respectively for




and



This results in  $\Delta G^\circ = 1.54$   $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  for



Since



The measurements of 86BUS/PLU are preferred.

#### 7.2.3. The Solution Properties of $\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{cr})$

The  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ = 13.374 \pm 0.20$   $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  is obtained from the solubility from 58LIN ( $m=0.3909$   $\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  and  $\gamma_{\pm}=0.267$  from 69WU/HAM.

The resultant  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}S^\circ$  from  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ$  ( $39.68 \pm 0.30$   $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ) is  $88.23 \pm 1.21$   $\text{J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  and  $S^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao}) = +8.80 \pm 1.47$   $\text{J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ .

#### 7.3. Summary of Values Obtained for $S^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao})$ and $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao})$

The values obtained for  $S^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao})$  and  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao})$  are tabulated.

### 8. The Recommended Thermodynamic Property Values for $\text{Ba}^{2+}(\text{ao})$ and the Key Compounds

Table 10 shows the derived values for  $\text{Ba}^{2+}(\text{ao})$  and the selected values. They are:

$$\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao}) = -534.64 \pm 1.8 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1};$$

$$S^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao}) = 8.80 \pm 0.50 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1};$$

$$\Delta_f G^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao}, 0.1\text{MPa}) = -557.60 \pm 1.81 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

The derived values in Table 10 for  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  and  $S^\circ$  from the three systems are in good agreement with each other, lending support to the selections made for the various components. This is particularly true for the  $S^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao})$ , resulting from

three independent paths. For  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Ba}^{2+}, \text{ao})$ , however, the three values ultimately trace back to the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H(\text{Ba}, \text{cr})$  in aqueous HCl from 73FIT/HUB. All  $\Delta_f H^\circ$ 's hang from this. There is no independent path that confirms the  $\Delta_f H^\circ$ 's for  $\text{BaCl}_2(\text{cr})$  and  $\text{BaO}(\text{cr})$  and the  $\Delta(\Delta_f H^\circ)$ .  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2, \text{cr})$  and  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCO}_3, \text{cr})$  are dependent upon them. As is indicated in Sec. 2.1, the direct combustion value for  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaO}, \text{cr})$  is incompatible with 73FIT/HUB's value from  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H(\text{Ba}, \text{cr})$  and  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H(\text{BaO}, \text{cr})$  in aqueous HCl. The 90COR/KON measurements establish the  $(\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaO}, \text{cr}) - \Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr}))$ . The independent measurements of 63EHR/PEI on  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$  from  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H$ 's of  $\text{Ba}(\text{cr})$  and  $\text{BaCl}_2(\text{cr})$  in HCl are farther off from the 90COR/KON to be considered good confirmation. The only other independent path, the di-

rect  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaH}_2, \text{cr})$  from the enthalpy of hydrogenation from 68VOR/MON, which confirms the indirect  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaH}_2, \text{cr})$  from 67VOR/MON (see Chapter 5), is also incompatible with  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$  (see Chapter 3).

Further work is needed to confirm the above selections. Specifically, the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H(\text{Ba}, \text{cr})$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H(\text{BaO}, \text{cr})$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr})$  and  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H(\text{BaCO}_3, \text{cr})$  in HCl should be remeasured and the direct  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaH}_2, \text{cr})$  redetermined and the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H$  of this well-characterized sample measured.

Table 11 shows the final recommended property values for the key compounds.

Table 12 shows the reconstituted recommended process values and their uncertainties for processes considered here.

TABLE 10. The thermodynamics for  $\text{Ba}^{2+}(\text{ao})$ . The calculated values for  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  and  $S^\circ$  for  $\text{Ba}^{2+}(\text{ao})$  from the processes considered

Process	$\Delta H^\circ$ kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta G^\circ$ kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta S^\circ$ J·K <sup>-1</sup> ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta_f H^\circ$ kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$S^\circ$ J·K <sup>-1</sup> ·mol <sup>-1</sup>
$\text{BaCl}_2(\text{cr}) = \text{BaCl}_2(\text{ai})$ and $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCl}_2, \text{cr}) = -855.00 \pm 1.73 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$	-13.80 ±0.10	-	-	-534.64 ±1.8	
$\text{BaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{cr}) = \text{BaCl}_2(\text{ai}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$	+16.5 ±0.30	-1.16 ±0.20	59.23 ±1.21		9.10 ±1.47
$\text{BaCO}_3(\text{cr}) = \text{BaCO}_3(\text{ai})$ and $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{BaCO}_3, \text{cr}) = -1210.79 \pm 2.0 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$	2.94 ±1.0	48.87 ±0.08	-154.0 ±3.4	-532.62 ±2.2	8.10 ±3.9
$\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{cr}) = \text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{ai})$ and $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2, \text{cr}) = -987.25 \pm 1.8 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$	39.68 ±0.30	13.37 ±0.20	88.23 ±1.21	-533.88 ±1.82	8.80 ±1.47
Selected Values				-534.64 ±1.8	8.80 ±0.50

TABLE 11. Recommended thermodynamic property values of key compounds of Barium at 298.15 K and 0.1MPa (1 bar)

	$\Delta_f H^\circ(0)$ kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta_f H^\circ$ (298.15 K) kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta_f G^\circ$ (298.15 K) kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$H-H(0)$ kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$S^\circ$ J·K <sup>-1</sup> ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$C_p^\circ$ J·K <sup>-1</sup> ·mol <sup>-1</sup>
Ba(cr)	0	0	0	6.912	62.47 ±0.8	28.096
$\text{Ba}^{2+}(\text{ao})$	-	-534.64 ±1.8	-557.60 ±1.81	-	8.80 ±0.50	-
BaO(cr)	-546.771	-548.04 ±1.72	-520.32 ±1.73	9.983	72.069 ±0.38	47.279
BaH <sub>2</sub> (cr)	-170.72	-177.0 ±4.0	-138.2 ±4.4	9.10 ±0.90	63.0 ±6.0	46.0 ±2.0
BaCl <sub>2</sub> (cr)	-855.614	-855.00 ±1.73	-806.73 ±1.73	16.707 ±0.03	123.666 ±0.13	75.140 ±0.13
BaCl <sub>2</sub> (ai)	-	-868.80 ±1.74	-820.04 ±1.74	-	122.00	-
$\text{BaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{cr})$	-	-1456.86 ±1.8	-1293.16	-	202.97 ±0.84	-
Ba(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (cr)	-	-988.02 ±1.8	-792.562	-	213.97 ±0.84	-
Ba(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (ai)	-	-948.34	-779.188	-	302.20	-
BaCO <sub>3</sub> (cr)	-1208.558	-1213.03 ±2.0	-1134.37	16.510 ±0.13	112.10 ±2.0	85.983 ±0.6
BaCO <sub>3</sub> (ai)	-	-1209.87	-1085.50	-41.20	-	-

TABLE 12. Recommended process values for some Ba compounds at 298.15 K and 0.1 MPa (1 bar)

Reaction	$\Delta H^\circ$ kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta G^\circ$ kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta S^\circ$ J·K <sup>-1</sup> ·mol <sup>-1</sup>
1. Ba(cr) + 2H <sup>+</sup> (ao) = Ba <sup>2+</sup> (ao) + H <sub>2</sub> (g)	-534.64 ±1.80	-557.60 ±1.81	77.01 ±0.94
2. BaO(cr) + 2H <sup>+</sup> (ao) = Ba <sup>2+</sup> (ao) + H <sub>2</sub> O(l)	-272.43 ±0.28	-274.42 ±0.34	6.68 ±0.63
3. BaH <sub>2</sub> (cr) + 2H <sup>+</sup> (ao) = Ba <sup>2+</sup> (ao) + 2H <sub>2</sub> (g)	-357.64 ±4.0	-419.4 ±4.5	207.2 ±6.1
4. BaO(cr) + 2HCl(ai) = BaCl <sub>2</sub> (cr) + H <sub>2</sub> O(l)	-258.63 ±0.30	-261.11 <sub>4</sub> ±0.40	8.35 ±0.57
5. BaCl <sub>2</sub> (cr) = BaCl <sub>2</sub> (ai)	-13.80 ±0.10	-13.31 ±0.22	-1.67 ±0.65
6. BaCl <sub>2</sub> ·2H <sub>2</sub> O(cr) = BaCl <sub>2</sub> (ai) + 2H <sub>2</sub> O(l)	16.40 ±0.30	-1.16 ±0.20	58.90 ±1.1
7. BaCl <sub>2</sub> (cr) + 2HNO <sub>3</sub> (ai) = Ba(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (cr) + 2HCl(ai)	167.48 ±0.50		
8. Ba(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (cr) = Ba(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (ai)	39.68 ±0.30	13.37 ±0.20	88.2 ±1.2
9. BaCO <sub>3</sub> (cr) = BaO(cr) + CO <sub>2</sub> (g)	271.48 ±1.0	219.68 ±1.2	173.75 ±2.1
10. BaCO <sub>3</sub> (cr) = Ba <sup>2+</sup> (ao) + CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (ao)	3.16 ±0.7	38.87 ±0.08	-153.30 ±2.3

## 9. Closing Comments

Table 12 shows the thermochemical property values for the alkaline earth metal ions on the CODATA scale, including the values presented here for Ba<sup>2+</sup>(ao), those of 86BUS/PLU on Sr<sup>2+</sup>(ao), and the others from the recommendations of 89COX/WAG. It is interesting to note that the values for the  $\Delta_f G^\circ$ 's for the alkaline earth ions become more negative with increasing atomic number, as is the case for the comparable alkali metal ions<sup>e</sup>, except for Ba<sup>2+</sup>(ao) which reverses directions and is more positive than Sr<sup>2+</sup>(ao), in keeping with the trends of other aqueous ions within a group of the periodic chart. An estimate for  $\Delta_f G^\circ$  (Ra<sup>2+</sup>,ao) based on this would be  $-550 \pm 5 \text{ K}\cdot\text{J mol}^{-1}$ .

TABLE 13. The alkaline earth metal ions on the CODATA scale at 298.15 K and 0.1 MPa

	$\Delta_f H^\circ$ , kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta_f G^\circ$ , kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>	$S^\circ$ , J·K <sup>-1</sup> ·mol <sup>-1</sup>
Mg <sup>2+</sup> (ao)	-467.0 ± 0.6	-455.38 ± 1.4	-137 ± 4
Ca <sup>2+</sup> (ao)	-543.0 ± 1.0	-552.81 ± 1.1	-56.2 ± 1.0
Sr <sup>2+</sup> (ao)	-550.90 ± 0.5	-563.86 ± 0.8	-31.5 ± 2.0
Ba <sup>2+</sup> (ao)	-534.64 ± 1.8	-557.60 ± 1.81	8.80 ± 0.5

<sup>e</sup>The values for  $\Delta_f G^\circ$  (M<sup>+</sup>,ao) at 298.15 K and 0.1 MPa are -261.98, -282.53, -284.03, and -291.48 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> respectively where M = Na, K, Rb, and Cs. These were calculated from the values for  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  and  $S^\circ$  given by 89COX/WAG and converted to 0.1 MPa.

## 10. Acknowledgements

I wish to thank the Chemical Kinetics and Thermodynamics Division for their financial support and M. W. Chase and his staff in the Standard Reference Data Program for their editorial assistance.

### Note added in proof:

After the network solution was completed the results of Cordefunke *et al.*, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **55**, 77 (1994) on the  $S^\circ$ (BaO,cr) became available. The  $S^\circ = 70.01 \pm 0.10 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  differs from that recommended here by 2.06 J·K<sup>-1</sup>·mol<sup>-1</sup>. This would lower all third law  $\Delta_{\text{decomp}} H^\circ$  (BaCO<sub>3</sub>,cr) from vapor pressure measurements listed in Table 1 by ~2 kJ. It would not appreciably affect the final recommendation within the assigned uncertainties.

## 11. References

- 1875BER M. Berthelot, Ann. chim. et phys. **5** 4, 74 (1875).  
 1875BER2 M. Berthelot, Ann. chim. et phys. **5** 4, 160 (1875).  
 1875BER3 M. Berthelot, Ann. chim. et phys. **5** 4, 209 (1875).  
 1875BER4 M. Berthelot, Ann. chim. et phys. **5** 4, 531 (1875).  
 1880BER M. Berthelot, Ann. chim. et phys. **5** 21, 153 (1880).  
 1883BER/ILO M. Berthelot and L. Ilosvay, Ann. chim. et phys. **5** 29, 295 (1883).  
 1886THO J. Thomsen, 'Thermochemische untersuchungen,' Vols.I-IV (J. A. Barth. Leipzig. 1882-1886).  
 1896TAS E. Tassily, Compt. rend. **122**, 812 (1896).

- 1898STA E. von Stackelberg, *Z. Physik. Chem.* **26**, 533 (1898).  
01STE H. von Steinwehr, *Z. Physik. Chem.* **38**, 185 (1901).  
04BAU E. Baud, *Ann. chim. phys.* **8** 1, 8 (1904).  
05POT P. Pott, Dissertation, Freiburg (1905).  
06FIN A. Finkelstein, *Ber. Deut. Chem. Ges.* **39**, 1585 (1906).  
08BIS F. L. Bishop, *Phys. Rev.* **1** 26, 169 (1908).  
08FOR K. de Forcrand, *Compt. Rend.* **147**, 165 (1908).  
08FOR2 R. de Forcrand, *Compt. Rend.* **146**, 217 (1908).  
08FOR3 R. de Forcrand, *Ann. chim. et phys.* **8** 15, 433 (1908).  
08SCH W. F. Schott, *Z. Physik. Chem.* **64**, 415 (1908).  
11McC/SMI H. N. McCoy and H. J. Smith, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **33**, 468 (1911).  
16HED J. A. Hedvall, *Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem.* **98**, 47 (1916).  
18PRA F. R. Pratt, *J. Franklin Inst.* **185**, 663 (1918).  
23GUN/BEN A. Guntz and F. Benoit, *Ann. Chim. (Paris)* **19** 20, 5 (1923).  
23TAM/KRI G. Tammann and W. Krings, *Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem.* **130**, 229 (1923).  
25KOL N. Kolosovskii, *Zhur. Russ. Fiz.-Khim. Obshchestva, Chast' Khim.* **57**, 22 (1952).  
27DUT W. Dutoit, *J. Chim. Phys.* **24**, 110 (1927).  
29HUT/SLO G. H. Hüttig and C. Stomlin, *Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem.* **181**, 65 (1929).  
29PER J. Perreu, *Compt. Rend.* **189**, 285 (1929).  
29RIC/DOL T. W. Richards and M. Dole, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **51**, 794 (1929).  
31LAN/SIR E. Lange and H. Streeck, *Z. Physik. Chem.* **A152**, 1 (1931).  
35KEL/AND K. K. Kelley, C. T. Anderson, 'Contributions to the data on theoretical metallurgy. IV. Metal Carbonates,' U. S. Bur. Mines, Bull. **384**, 73pp (1935).  
36BRO/SMI O. L. Brown, W. V. Smith, and W. M. Latimer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **58**, 1758 (1936).  
36SCH/COB E. Schwartz and H. Coblans, *Z. Physik. Chem.* **A176**, 430 (1936).  
36SHI/TER Z. Shibata and Y. Terasaki, *Nippon Kagaku Zasshi* **57**, 1212 (1936).  
37BIR/LAN W. Birnthal, and E. Lange, *Z. Elektrochem.* **43**, 643 (1937).  
37BRO/SMI O. L. Brown, W. V. Smith, and W. M. Latimer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **59**, 921 (1937).  
37FED/SIL A. S. Fedorov, G. F. Sil'chenko, *Ukrain. Khim. Zhur.* **12**, 53 (1937).  
37HAC/WOL L. Hackspill and G. Wolf, *Compt. Rend.* **204**, 1820 (1937).  
37TOW/WHI R. W. Townley, W. B. Whitney, and W. A. Felsing, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **59**, 631 (1937).  
38KHO K. G. Khomjakov, *Zhur. Fiz. Khim.* **11**, 805 (1938).  
39HOG/JOH E. Hogge and H. L. Johnston, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **61**, 2154 (1939).  
41ROT W. A. Roth, *J. Pract. Chem.* **2** 158, 117 (1941).  
44YOU F. E. Young, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **66**, 773 (1944).  
50KAP/SAM A. F. Kapustinskii and O. Ya. Samilov, *Izvest. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Otdel. Khim. Nauk* **337** (1950).  
51LAN J. J. Lander, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **73**, 5794 (1951).  
51SIE H. Stemonsen, *Z. Elektrochem.* **55**, 327 (1951).  
52SAM O. Ya. Samilov, *Izvest. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Otdel. Khim. Nauk* **627** (1952).  
52VED/KAZ A. V. Vedenev, L. I. Kazarnovskaya, and I. A. Kazarnovskii, *Zhur. Fiz. Khim.* **26**, 1808 (1952).  
54KAP/STA A. F. Kapustinskii and M. S. Stakhanova, *Izvest. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Otdel. Khim. Nauk* **587** (1954).  
55SCH/LIL S. A. Schukarev, L. S. Lilich, and V. A. Latysheva, *Zhur. Obshchei Khim.* **25**, 1444 (1955).  
57TER Y. Terasaki, *Nippon Kagaku Zasshi* **78**, 1774 (1957).  
58LIN W. F. Linke, *Solubilities of inorganic and metal organic compounds*, Vol. I, 4th edition, (D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc. New York 1958).  
59ROB/STO R. A. Robinson and R. H. Stokes, *Electrolyte Solutions*, 2nd edition, 559 pp, (Academic Press, Inc. New York, (1959)).  
60GAR/THO R. M. Garrels, M. E. Thompson, and R. Siever, *Am. J. Sci.* **258**, 402 (1960).  
61CRI/COB C. M. Criss and J. W. Cobble, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **83**, 3223 (1961).  
61KEL/KIN K. K. Kelley and E. G. King, *Contributions to the data on theoretical metallurgy XIV. Entropies of the elements and inorganic compounds*, U. S. Bur. Mines, Bull. **592**, 149pp (1961).  
62JAK/TAN B. Jakuszewski and S. Taniewska-Osinka, *Roczniki Chem.* **36**, 329 (1962).  
63DWO/BRE A. S. Dworkin and M. A. Bredig, *J. Phys. Chem.* **67**, 697 (1963).  
63EHR/PEI P. Ehrlich, K. Peik, and E. Koch, *Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem.* **324**, 113 (1963).  
63JAN/KEL G. J. Janz, F. J. Kelly, and J. L. Perano, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **59**, 2718 (1963).  
63MAH A. D. Mah, U. S. Bur. Mines, Rept. Invest. **6171**, 8pp (1963).  
64SIL/MAR L. G. Sillen and A. E. Martell, 'Stability Constants of Metal-Ion Complexes,' Chem. Soc. London, SPec. Publ. No. 17, 754 pp (London), 1964).  
64VAS V. P. Vasil'ev, *Zhur. Neorg. Khim.* **9**, 641 (1964).  
65FUK/KEI G. T. Furukawa and M. L. Reilly, *NBS Rept.* **8628** (Jan. 1, (1965)).  
65LES/AVE Z. L. Leshchinskaya, M. V. Averbukh, and N. M. Selivanova, *Zhur. Fiz. Khim.* **39**, 2036 (1965).  
65PAR V. B. Parker, 'Thermal Properties of Aqueous Uni-univalent electrolytes,' NSRDS-NBS-2, 66 pp.(1965).  
65RIG/ING M. Rigaud, T. R. Ingraham, *Can. Met. Quart.* **4**, 237 (1965).  
66ADA/CON L. H. Adami, and K. C. Conway, U. S. Bur. Mines, Rept. Invest. **6822**, 7 pp (1966).  
66FLI/KOV G. V. Filidier, P. V. Kovtunencko, and A. Bundel, *Zhur. Fiz. Khim.* **40**, 2168 (1966).  
66GOO/WES R. M. Goodman and E. F. Westrum, Jr., *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **11**, 294 (1966).  
66GRE/PAS R. G. Grebenschikov and R. A. Pasechnova, *Zhur. Neorg. Khim.* **11**, 486 (1966).  
66VOR/MON A. F. Vorob'ev, A. S. Monaenkova, T. A. Kapitonova, and S. M. Skuratov, *Zhur. Neorg. Khim.* **11**, 738 (1966).  
67VOR/MON A. F. Vorob'ev, A. S. Monaenkova, and S. M. Skuratov, *Vestnik Moskov Univ. Ser. Khim.* **22**(6), 3 (1967).  
68SAM/TSV O. Ya. Samoilov, V. G. Tsvetkov, *J. Struct. Chem.* **9**, 142 (1968).  
68VOR/MON A. F. Vorob'ev, A. S. Monaenkova, and S. M. Skuratov, *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR* **179**, 1129 (1968).  
69EVS/BUN M. M. Evstigneeva, A. A. Bundel, B. V. Kondakov, *Zhur. Fiz. Khim.* **43**, 2613 (1969).  
69GRE/SNE J. Greyson, J. Snell, *J. Phys. Chem.* **73**, 3208 (1969).  
69PAR V. B. Parker, *NBS Rept.* 10074 Chap. 9 (July 1, 1969).  
69TSV/RAB V. G. Tsvetkov, I. B. Rabinovich, *Zhur. Fiz. Khim.* **43**, 1213 (1969).  
69WU/HAM Y. Ch. Wu, W. J. Hamer, *NBS Rept* 10052 (July 2, 1969).  
71RAB/TSV I. B. Rabinovich, V. G. Tsvetkov, *Zhur. Fiz. Khim.* **45**, 2675 (1971).  
71PAR/WAG V. B. Parker, D. D. Wagman, W. H. Evans, *NBS Tech. Note (U. S.)* **270** (1971).  
73FIT/HUB G. C. Fitzgibbon, E. J. Huber Jr., C.E. Holley Jr., *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **5**, 577 (1973).  
73SMI/BER L. E. Smith, G. L. Bertrand, *Thermochim. Acta* **5**, 451 (1973).  
76BAS/SIR T. K. Basu, A. W. Searcy, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. I* **72**, 1889 (1976).  
76GAR/PAR D. Garvin, V. B. Parker, D. D. Wagman, and W. H. Evans, *Natl. Bur. Stand. (U.S.) Interim Report NBSIR, #76-1147* (1976).  
76O'H/BOE P. A. G. O'Hare, J. Boerio, H. R. Hoekstra, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **8**, 845 (1976).  
77DAD/TAH A. Dadgar, M. R. Taherian, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **9**, 711 (1977).

- 77GAR/PAR D. Garvin, V. B. Parker, D. D. Wagman, and W. H. Evans, Proc. 5th Intl. CODATA Conf., Pergamon Press, pp 567 (1977).
- 78GOL/NUT R. N. Goldberg, R. L. Nuttall, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 7, 263 (1978).
- 78PER/THO G. Perachon, J. Thourey, Thermochim. Acta 35, 23(1980).
- 79MED/BER V. A. Medvedev, G. A. Bergman, *et al.*, V. P. Glushko, gen. ed., "Thermal Constants of Substances," VINITI, Moscow, Vol. 9 (1979).
- 79ROB/HEM R. A. Robie, B. C. Hemingway, and J. R. Fisher, "Thermodynamic Properties of Minerals and Related Substances at 298.15 K and 1 bar ( $10^5$  Pascals) Pressure and at High Temperatures. U. S. Geol. Sur. Bull. 1452, 456 pp (1979).
- 81GUR/BER L. V. Gurvich, G. A. Bergman, *et al.*, V. P. Glushko gen ed., "Thermodynamic Properties of Individual Substances," Nauk, Moscow, Vol. 3 (1981).
- 82CHA/AHM Y. A. Chang, N. Ahmad, "Thermodynamic Data on Metal Carbonates and Related Oxides," The Metallurgical Society of AIME, New York, pp. 27 (1982).
- 82IUP IUPAC Commission Report 1.2 on Thermodynamics, 'Appendix No. IV to Manual of Symbols and Terminology for Physicochemical Quantities and Units,' Pure Appl. Chem. 54, 1239 (1982).
- 82WAG/EVA D. D. Wagman, W. H. Evans, V. B. Parker, R. H. Schuman, I. Halow, S. M. Bailey, K. L. Churney, R.L. Nuttall, 'The NBS Tables of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties,' J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 11, Suppl. No. 2 (1982).
- 83MON/ALE A. S. Monaenkova, G. I. Alekseev, A. F. Vorob'ev, Zhur. Fiz. Khim. 57, 2173 (1983).
- 83NEU D. B. Nuemann, 'Databases,' Unpublished, NBS (Feb., 1987).
- 84MIL/MIL F. J. Miller, P. J. Milne, and V. L. Thurmond, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 48, 1141 (1984).
- 85CHA/DAV M. W. Chase Jr., C.A. Davies, J. R. Downey Jr., D. J. Frurip, R. A. MacDonald, A. N. Syverud, 'JANAF Thermochemical Tables. Third Edition,' J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 14, Suppl. No. 1, 1856 pp. (1985).
- 85JUI/TIS J. Juillard, C. Tissier, J. Barczynska, J. Mokrzan, S. Taniewska-Osinska, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Soc. I. 81, 3081 (1985).
- 86BUS/PLU E. Busenberg, L. N. Plummer, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 50, 2225 (1986).
- 86COH/TAY E. R. Cohen and B. N. Taylor, 'The 1986 Adjustments of the Fundamental Constants,' CODATA Bulletin 63 (1986).
- 86IUP IUPAC Commission on Atomic Weights, 'Atomic Weights of the Elements, 1985,' Pure Appl. Chem. 58, 1677 (1986).
- 87GAR/PAR D. Garvin, V. B. Parker, and H. J. White, Jr., editors, 'CODATA Thermodynamic Tables. Selections for Some Compounds of Calcium and Related Mixtures: A Prototype Set of Tables,' Hemisphere Publ. Corp. (1987).
- 87PAR/EVA V. B. Parker, W. H. Evans, and R. L. Nuttall, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 16, 7 (1987).
- 88MIL/CVI I. Mills, T. Cvitas, K. Humann, N. Kallay, and K. Kuchitsu, IUPAC Division of Physical Chemistry, Commission on Physicochemical Symbols, Terminology and Units, 'Quantities, Units, and Symbols in Physical Chemistry,' Blackwell Scientific Publications (1988).
- 89COX/WAG J. D. Cox, D. D. Wagman, V. A. Medvedev, editors, 'CODATA Key Values for Thermodynamics,' Hemisphere Publ. Corp. (1989).
- 90COR/KON E. H. P. Cordfunke, R. J. M. Konings, W. Ouweltjes, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 22, 991 (1990).
- 90TAN/BAR S. Taniewska-Osinska, J. Barczynska, B. Palecz, Thermochim. Acta 160, 303 (1990).