Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) R3PGRs **Shortgrass Prairie** General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Gale Green galen green@fws.gov William L. Baker bakerwl@uwyo.edu Wayne Robbie wrobbie@fs.fed.us **Vegetation Type General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **✓** Literature Grassland California Pacific Northwest Local Data Great Basin South Central Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians BOGR LANDFIRE Mapping Zones Northern Plains **✓** Southwest SCHIZ 14 24 N-Cent.Rockies **BUDA** 15 25 23 27

Geographic Range

Occurs in the southern Great Plains, in southern Colorado and eastern New Mexico.

Biophysical Site Description

This type typically occurs on plains and draws, or on gently rolling uplands of the southern Great Plains. Elevations range from 3,500-6,800 ft. Precipitation ranges from 12 to 20 inches, and occurs predominantly during the summer.

Vegetation Description

This type typically occurs on the rolling uplands of the Great Plains. Vegetation is mid and short grass dominated little bluestem, blue gramma, buffalo grass, and needle-and-thread, with intermingled forbs and scattered half-shrubs. This type correlates with Kuchler's (1964) types 65, 66, 67, 68,

Disturbance Description

Fire regime dominated by frequent replacement fires associated with productive grass fuels and cycles of moisture and drought. Patchy fires (causing 25-75% top-kill) were less frequent and were modeled here as mixed severity, although there is some debate about how often this type of patchy fire might actually occur.

Drought can cause a transition from closed to open conditions (class B to class C). Return interval for fire could be extended by ungulate grazing, but is not modeled here. Episodic disturbance caused by insect infestation (grasshoppers, range caterpillars, mormon crickets) is also not modeled here.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Higher elevation sites of this type borders the juniper steppe type.

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVGs R4PRMGs from the Northern Plains model zone and R5PRSG

from the South Central model zone.

Scale	Descri	iption
Ouno	20001	PUI

Sources of Scale Data	Literature	Local Data	Expert Estimate
-----------------------	------------	------------	-----------------

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

This model is based on the original FRCC model PGRA4, but adjusted to conform to Rapid Assessment modeling rules. Final results are different by 10% in classes B and C. This model is also used for the Northern Plains region and replaces the model Great Plains Shortgrass Prairie (R4PRSG).

Peer review suggested that that all plains grassland types be combined (R3PGm, R3PGmst, R3PGRs, R3PGRsws, R3PGRswt), mixed fire eliminated, and replacement fire interval set at 20 years. Because the workshop participants in two different modeling zones identified these separate types, they were not lumped together and fire regimes were left as-is, although descriptions were expanded to clarify use of mixed severity fire.

•							
		Succession Cl	asses*	*			
Succession	classes are the equivalent of "		efined in the	Interag	ency FRCC Gu	idebook (www.frcc.gov).	
Class A	5%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	nd Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Early1 Post	Ren	Bogr2	<i>Min</i>			Max	
Description Dominated by resprouts and seedlings of grasses and post-fire associated forbs. Low to medium height with variable canopy cover. This type typically occurs where fires burn relatively hot in classes B and C		Buda	Cover		0 %	5 %	
			Height no data		no data	no data	
			Tree Size Class no data				
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	rom dominant lifeform. nt lifeform are:				
Class B	30 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	e Data (for upper lay	er lifeform)	
Mid1 Close	d	Bogr2	Min		Min	Max	
Description Greater than 35 percent herb cover.		Buda	Cover		30 %	65 %	
			Height		no data	no data	
	ssociated with more	Schiz4	Tree Size				
productive soils, but can be caused by cumulative high moisture seasons increasing the cover and productivity of class C. Low to medium height.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

Class C	65%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
		Bogr2	Min			Max	
Mid1 Open		Buda	Cover 10 %		10 %	30 %	
Description	11	Duua	Height		no data	no data	
	percent herb cover.		Tree Size	Class	no data		
Generally associated with less productive cobbly and gravelly soils, but can also be caused by cumulative drought shifting class B to this class. Low to medium height.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class D	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
		Odliopy i Osition	Min		Мах		
Late1 Open Description		Cover	0 %		%		
		Height		no data	no data		
		Tree Size	Class	no data			
	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class E	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Closed		Canopy Position			Min	Max	
Description			Cover		0 %	%	
<u>DCCCpc</u>			Height		no data	no data	
			Tree Size	Class	no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
		Disturban	ces				

<u>Disturbances Modeled</u>	Fire Regime Gr	oup: 2					
✓ Fire ☐ Insects/Disease ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Native Grazing ☐ Competition	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
Other: Other Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: no data Min: no data Max: no data	Fire Intervals (FI) Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
Courses of Fire Positive Date		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	12	2	35	0.08333	87	
✓ Literature	Mixed	80			0.0125	13	
☐Local Data	Surface						
Expert Estimate	All Fires	10			0.09584		
Poforonoos							

References

Dick-Peddie, W.A. 1993. New Mexico vegetation, past, present and future. Albuquerque, NM: Univ. New Mexico Press. Xxxii, 244 p.

Ford, P. L. 1999. Response of buffalograss (Buchloe dactyloides) and blue grama (Bouteloua gracilis) to fire. Great Plains Research 9:261-276.

Miller, Greg et al. (1993) Terrestrial Ecosystem Survey of the Santa Fe National Forest USDA Forest Service Southwestern Region.