



NEWS

News media Information 202 / 418-0500
TTY 202 / 418-2555
Fax-On-Demand 202 / 418-2830
Internet: <http://www.fcc.gov>
<ftp.fcc.gov>

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D. C. 20554

This is an unofficial announcement of Commission action. Release of the full text of a Commission order constitutes official action. See MCI v. FCC, 515 F 2d 385 (D.C. Circ 1974).

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
May 5, 2003
Corrected Version

NEWS MEDIA CONTACT:

Michael Balmoris 202-418-0253
Email: mbalmori@fcc.gov

FCC RELEASES NEW STUDY ON TELEPHONE SUBSCRIBERSHIP ON AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATIONS AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LANDS

Washington, D.C. – As part of its Indian Telecommunications Initiatives and its ongoing dialogue with the National Congress of American Indians Telecommunications Subcommittee, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) today released a study on telephone subscribership on American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands, presenting subscribership statistics based on the 2000 Decennial Census.

Statistics from the 2000 Decennial Census estimated that 67.9% of all American Indian households living on American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands: Federal had telephone service. Comparable data from the 1990 Decennial Census estimated 46.6% of such American Indian households had telephone service. The study also shows subscribership levels for Federal and State American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands, and State and Tribal Designated Statistical Areas, as well as each tribe's penetration rates in individual states.

An important goal of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 is to preserve and advance universal service. The 1996 Act provides that “[c]onsumers in all regions of the Nation, including low-income consumers and those in rural, insular, and high[-]cost areas, should have access to telecommunications and information services . . .” Through its regulations and policy initiatives, the FCC will continue its endeavors to work with federally-recognized American Indian tribes and Alaska Native villages to ensure that tribes and persons residing on tribal lands have access to telecommunications and information services.

This study is available in the FCC's Reference Information Center, Courtyard Level, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Call Qualex International at (202) 863-2893 to purchase a copy. This study can also be downloaded from the FCC-State Link Internet site at www.fcc.gov/wcb/stats.

Wireline Competition Bureau contacts: James Eisner at (202) 418-7302 or Alexander Belinfante at (202) 418-0944; TTY (202) 418-0484; Consumer & Governmental Affairs contact: Sue McNeil (202) 418-7619.

TELEPHONE SUBSCRIBERSHIP ON AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATIONS AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LANDS

(Data from 2000 Decennial Census)

Industry Analysis and Technology Division
Wireline Competition Bureau
Federal Communications Commission

Released: May 2003



This report is available for reference in the FCC's Reference Information Center, Courtyard Level, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Call Qualex International at (202) 863-2893 to purchase a copy. The report can also be downloaded from the **FCC-State Link** Internet site at <<http://www.fcc.gov/wcb/iatd/stats.html>>.

Telephone Subscribership on American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands

This study, on telephone subscribership on American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands, presents subscribership statistics based on the 2000 Decennial Census.¹ Statistics from the 2000 Decennial Census estimated that 67.9% of all American Indian households living on American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands: Federal had telephone service. Comparable data from the 1990 Decennial Census estimated 46.6% of such American Indian households had telephone service.² The study also shows subscribership levels for Federal and State American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands, and State and Tribal Designated Statistical Areas, as well as each tribe's penetration rates in individual states.

Data on telephone penetration came from Summary File 3 of the 2000 Decennial Census. Data in Summary File 3 are based on a sample of one-in-six households that filled out the Census Bureau's long form. The telephone question is neutral as to what type of service (wireline or wireless) the household has. The pertinent question asked of every household given the long form is: "Is there telephone service in this house, apartment, or mobile home from which you can both make and receive calls?" Responses to this question are matched with the head of the household response to the question: "What is this person's race?" The choice of answers for this question include: American Indian or Alaska Native – print name of enrolled or principal tribe. The data contained in the attached tables were retrieved from the Census Bureau's website using American FactFinder (<http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/BasicFactsServlet>).

The geographic type selected using American FactFinder was American Indian/Alaska Native Areas/Hawaiian Homelands. Data from Alaska and Hawaii are excluded from these tables with the exception of the Annette Island Reserve in Alaska. Indian reservations, trust lands and other tribal statistical areas are also excluded if no housing units were reported.

Data were retrieved on six categories. The number of occupied housing units is the sum of the following four responses: 1) occupied housing units: owner occupied, with telephone service available (H043003); 2) occupied housing units: owner occupied, with no telephone service available (H043011); 3) occupied housing units: renter occupied, with telephone service available (H043020); and 4) occupied housing units: renter occupied, with no telephone service available (H043028). The number of American Indian occupied housing units is the sum of the following two responses: 1) occupied housing units with householder that is American Indian or Alaska Native alone: with telephone service (HCT032C002), and 2) occupied housing units with householder that is American Indian or Alaska Native alone: with no telephone service available (HCT032C003).

¹ Although this summary is a one-time study based on the Decennial Census, we understand that the Bureau of the Census expects to institute a new annual survey, the American Community Survey (ACS), with about one-tenth of the long form's sample size, which will contain questions very similar to the ones on the Census long form.

² *Housing of American Indians on Reservations – Equipment and Fuels*, Bureau of the Census Statistical Brief, SB/95-11, issued April 1995, U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, Bureau of the Census.

Telephone penetration for all occupied housing units is the ratio of occupied housing units with telephone service available for both owner-occupied and renter-occupied divided by occupied housing units. Analogously, telephone penetration for American Indian-occupied housing units is the ratio of American Indian-occupied housing units with telephone service available divided by American Indian-occupied housing units.

The state-by-state tables (Tables 1 and 2) aggregate the reservation level data in Table 3. Some of the reservations are in multiple states. For these reservations, occupied housing units with and without telephone service are allocated to states based on the number of occupied housing units that are in each state. Census table GCT-H8, available from American FactFinder, has data on the number of occupied housing units by reservation in each state.

Table 1 shows telephone penetration by state for all housing units and housing units with an American Indian householder living on American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservations Trust Lands: Federal. The list of reservations included in this summary are listed in Table 3 with an asterisk before the reservation name.³ Table 2 shows telephone penetration by state for all housing units and housing units with an American Indian householder living on American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands: Federal and State, and State and Tribal Designated Statistical Areas. This summary includes all reservation and statistical areas listed in Table 3.

³ Census table GCT-H8 at American FactFinder lists American Indian Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Lands: Federal. This table also lists American Indian Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Lands: State, Oklahoma Tribal Statistical Areas, Tribal Designated Statistical Areas and State Designated Statistical Areas.

Table 1
Telephone Subscribership on American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands:
Federal (2000 Census)

State	All Housing Units		American Indian	
	Penetration ¹	Occupied Housing Units	Penetration ¹	Occupied Housing Units ¹
Alabama	84.8%	92	88.1%	59
Alaska	96.5%	491	95.9%	416
Arizona	55.3%	47,412	49.9%	41,307
California	92.8%	21,001	83.2%	5,189
Colorado	93.5%	4,588	82.7%	893
Connecticut	100.0%	90	100.0%	88
Florida	95.8%	1,277	91.2%	408
Idaho	95.1%	11,370	89.3%	2,206
Iowa	90.3%	237	88.5%	174
Kansas	95.1%	2,122	89.7%	380
Louisiana	91.9%	209	91.5%	177
Maine	95.0%	762	94.5%	652
Massachusetts	100.0%	27	100.0%	19
Michigan	97.4%	12,537	92.9%	1,596
Minnesota	93.0%	12,292	86.4%	5,007
Mississippi	62.6%	1,326	56.5%	1,051
Montana	92.1%	20,772	87.1%	9,899
Nebraska	91.0%	2,838	79.8%	1,041
Nevada	90.3%	3,023	89.6%	2,608
New Mexico	65.2%	40,635	52.5%	27,821
New York	94.7%	5,271	94.8%	2,444
North Carolina	91.0%	2,619	89.9%	2,079
North Dakota	89.1%	6,799	85.6%	4,869
Oklahoma	95.2%	16,617	90.8%	1,976
Oregon	93.3%	2,069	90.7%	1,362
Rhode Island	100.0%	19	100.0%	3
South Carolina	97.7%	172	97.3%	148
South Dakota	84.6%	17,082	75.7%	10,095
Texas	75.3%	384	72.6%	336
Utah	82.6%	7,807	52.7%	2,502
Washington	95.9%	39,502	89.3%	7,495
Wisconsin	96.0%	12,394	90.1%	4,491
Wyoming	91.7%	8,373	75.0%	1,663
Total	83.1%	302,208	67.9%	140,454

¹ Some of the reservations are in multiple states. For these reservations, occupied housing units with and without telephone service are allocated to the states based on the number of occupied housing units that are in each state. Census document GCT-H8 has data on the number of occupied housing units in each state by reservation.

Table 2

**Telephone Subscribership on American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands:
Federal and State, and State and Tribal Designated Statistical Areas (2000 Census)**

State	All Housing Units		American Indian	
	Penetration ¹	Occupied Housing Units	Penetration ¹	Occupied Housing Units ¹
Alabama	95.7%	88,139	92.1%	931
Alaska	96.5%	491	95.9%	416
Arizona	55.3%	47,412	49.9%	41,307
California	93.1%	22,088	83.3%	5,222
Colorado	93.5%	4,588	82.7%	893
Connecticut	100.0%	106	100.0%	104
Delaware	98.8%	10,056	90.6%	159
Florida	95.8%	1,277	91.2%	408
Georgia	19.0%	21	19.0%	21
Idaho	95.1%	11,370	89.3%	2,206
Iowa	90.3%	237	88.5%	174
Kansas	95.1%	2,122	89.7%	380
Louisiana	96.7%	388,125	93.6%	4,616
Maine	97.9%	5,019	93.2%	733
Massachusetts	100.0%	29	100.0%	21
Michigan	96.9%	26,485	93.1%	1,727
Minnesota	93.0%	12,292	86.4%	5,007
Mississippi	62.6%	1,326	56.5%	1,051
Montana	92.1%	20,772	87.1%	9,899
Nebraska	91.0%	2,838	79.8%	1,041
Nevada	90.3%	3,023	89.6%	2,608
New Jersey	94.8%	3,004	100.0%	191
New Mexico	65.2%	40,635	52.5%	27,821
New York	96.0%	9,521	94.8%	2,636
North Carolina	95.5%	225,446	91.2%	23,222
North Dakota	89.1%	6,799	85.6%	4,869
Oklahoma	94.8%	919,149	89.1%	74,473
Oregon	93.3%	2,069	90.7%	1,362
Rhode Island	100.0%	19	100.0%	3
South Carolina	97.7%	172	97.3%	148
South Dakota	84.6%	17,082	75.7%	10,095
Texas	75.3%	384	72.6%	336
Utah	82.6%	7,807	52.7%	2,502
Virginia	98.7%	1,334	98.8%	241
Washington	96.7%	53,951	89.3%	7,643
Wisconsin	96.0%	12,394	90.1%	4,491
Wyoming	91.7%	8,373	75.0%	1,663
Total	93.6%	1,955,954	77.0%	240,620

¹ Some of the reservations are in multiple states. For these reservations, occupied housing units with and without telephone service are allocated to the states based on the number of occupied housing units that are in each state. Census document GCT-H8 has data on the number of occupied housing units in each state by reservation.

Table 3

Telephone Subscribership on American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands: Federal and State, and State and Tribal Designated Statistical Areas (2000 Census)

		All Housing Units		American Indian	
		Penetration	Housing Units	Penetration	Housing Units
Alabama	Cherokee Tribe of Northeast Alabama SDAISA, AL	100.0%	61	NA	0
Alabama	Cherokees of Southeast Alabama SDAISA, AL	95.8%	50,307	94.9%	215
Alabama	Echota Cherokee SDAISA, AL	96.5%	25,039	91.2%	511
Alabama	MaChis Lower Creek SDAISA, AL	94.4%	9,675	90.8%	76
Alabama	MOWA Choctaw (state) Reservation, AL	84.6%	26	84.6%	26
Alabama	* Poarch Creek Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AL--FL	84.8%	92	88.1%	59
Alabama	Star Muskogee Creek SDAISA, AL	91.5%	2,939	100.0%	44
Alaska	* Annette Island Reserve, AK	96.5%	491	95.9%	416
Arizona	* Cocopah Reservation, AZ	88.5%	419	77.9%	172
Arizona	* Colorado River Reservation, AZ--CA	92.3%	3,273	85.3%	735
Arizona	* Fort Apache Reservation, AZ	57.2%	3,041	54.6%	2,762
Arizona	* Fort McDowell Reservation, AZ	85.9%	234	87.0%	216
Arizona	* Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV	93.9%	326	91.7%	144
Arizona	* Gila River Reservation, AZ	72.0%	2,689	71.4%	2,503
Arizona	* Havasupai Reservation, AZ	45.2%	126	43.0%	114
Arizona	* Hopi Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ	68.3%	1,919	66.1%	1,774
Arizona	* Hualapai Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ	72.1%	348	70.1%	324
Arizona	* Kaibab Reservation, AZ	82.9%	82	74.5%	55
Arizona	* Maricopa (Ak Chin) Reservation, AZ	78.7%	216	75.0%	184
Arizona	* Navajo Nation Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--NM--UT	39.9%	47,824	37.4%	45,623
Arizona	* Pascua Yaqui Reservation, AZ	89.9%	745	89.6%	683
Arizona	* Salt River Reservation, AZ	89.2%	1,961	84.2%	811
Arizona	* San Carlos Reservation, AZ	79.1%	2,229	78.0%	2,007
Arizona	* Tohono O'odham Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ	75.9%	2,876	73.0%	2,539
Arizona	* Tonto Apache Reservation, AZ	82.2%	45	82.2%	45
Arizona	* Yavapai-Apache Nation Reservation, AZ	91.1%	202	91.4%	174
Arizona	* Yavapai-Prescott Reservation, AZ	94.5%	55	92.7%	41
California	* Agua Caliente Reservation, CA	99.1%	11,830	93.2%	73
California	* Alturas Rancheria, CA	100.0%	2	100.0%	2
California	* Barona Reservation, CA	95.4%	151	95.5%	112
California	* Benton Paiute Reservation, CA	53.3%	15	53.3%	15
California	* Berry Creek Rancheria and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CA	100.0%	28	100.0%	28
California	* Big Lagoon Rancheria, CA	55.6%	9	55.6%	9
California	* Big Pine Reservation, CA	88.9%	153	89.4%	104
California	* Big Sandy Rancheria, CA	85.4%	48	83.3%	42
California	* Big Valley Rancheria, CA	81.8%	55	80.4%	51
California	* Bishop Reservation, CA	84.5%	491	81.6%	337
California	* Blue Lake Rancheria, CA	94.6%	37	100.0%	14
California	* Bridgeport Reservation, CA	70.6%	17	70.6%	17
California	* Cabazon Reservation, CA	98.9%	179	NA	0
California	* Cahuilla Reservation, CA	66.0%	50	50.0%	26
California	* Campo Reservation, CA	89.9%	109	87.1%	85
California	* Cedarville Rancheria, CA	75.0%	8	75.0%	8
California	* Chemehuevi Reservation, CA	87.7%	155	85.5%	69
California	* Chicken Ranch Rancheria, CA	100.0%	7	NA	0
California	* Cold Springs Rancheria, CA	71.2%	52	75.6%	45
California	* Colusa Rancheria, CA	100.0%	28	100.0%	24
California	* Cortina Rancheria, CA	0.0%	2	0.0%	2
California	* Coyote Valley Reservation, CA	66.7%	24	55.6%	18
California	* Dry Creek Rancheria, CA	73.3%	15	55.6%	9
California	* Elk Valley Rancheria, CA	100.0%	32	100.0%	13
California	* Enterprise Rancheria, CA	100.0%	3	100.0%	3
California	* Fort Bidwell Reservation, CA	68.9%	45	68.9%	45
California	* Fort Independence Reservation, CA	100.0%	32	100.0%	19
California	* Fort Yuma Reservation, CA--AZ	75.5%	793	77.4%	390
California	* Greenville Rancheria, CA	100.0%	9	100.0%	5
California	* Grindstone Rancheria, CA	100.0%	42	100.0%	40
California	* Hoopa Valley Reservation, CA	83.8%	829	83.4%	657
California	* Hopland Rancheria and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CA	100.0%	7	100.0%	7
California	Ione Band of Miwok TDSA, CA	100.0%	10	100.0%	6
California	* Karuk Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CA	90.0%	90	89.4%	66
California	* La Jolla Reservation, CA	82.9%	123	77.7%	94
California	* La Posta Reservation, CA	100.0%	10	100.0%	10

Table 3

Telephone Subscribership on American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands: Federal and State, and State and Tribal Designated Statistical Areas (2000 Census)

		All Housing Units		American Indian	
		Penetration	Housing Units	Penetration	Housing Units
California	* Laytonville Rancheria, CA	85.0%	60	85.0%	60
California	* Lone Pine Reservation, CA	88.1%	84	91.9%	62
California	* Lookout Rancheria, CA	100.0%	2	100.0%	2
California	* Los Coyotes Reservation, CA	77.3%	22	81.3%	16
California	* Manchester-Point Arena Rancheria, CA	96.4%	56	95.8%	48
California	* Manzanita Reservation, CA	85.7%	21	78.6%	14
California	Mechoopda TDSA, CA	99.4%	1,077	100.0%	27
California	* Mesa Grande Reservation, CA	94.1%	17	93.3%	15
California	* Middletown Rancheria, CA	83.3%	18	100.0%	15
California	* Montgomery Creek Rancheria, CA	0.0%	3	0.0%	3
California	* Mooretown Rancheria, CA	75.9%	54	75.9%	54
California	* Morongo Reservation, CA	94.6%	295	95.4%	196
California	* North Fork Rancheria, CA	100.0%	2	100.0%	2
California	* Pala Reservation, CA	86.6%	387	88.2%	211
California	* Pauma and Yuima Reservation, CA	100.0%	43	100.0%	31
California	* Pechanga Reservation, CA	89.4%	160	91.1%	135
California	* Picayune Rancheria, CA	100.0%	4	100.0%	4
California	* Pinoleville Rancheria, CA	100.0%	43	100.0%	29
California	* Pit River Trust Land, CA	0.0%	6	0.0%	6
California	* Quartz Valley Reservation, CA	92.7%	41	82.4%	17
California	* Redding Rancheria, CA	61.5%	13	100.0%	8
California	* Redwood Valley Rancheria Reservation, CA	97.9%	95	93.1%	29
California	* Resighini Rancheria, CA	100.0%	5	100.0%	5
California	* Rincon Reservation, CA	91.5%	377	90.8%	152
California	* Roaring Creek Rancheria, CA	0.0%	2	0.0%	2
California	* Robinson Rancheria and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CA	92.7%	41	90.3%	31
California	* Rohnerville Rancheria, CA	100.0%	27	100.0%	15
California	* Round Valley Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CA	75.3%	73	79.6%	49
California	* Rumsey Rancheria, CA	80.0%	10	80.0%	10
California	* San Manuel Reservation, CA	100.0%	25	100.0%	10
California	* San Pasqual Reservation, CA	91.8%	220	86.8%	91
California	* Santa Rosa Rancheria, CA	88.0%	125	92.0%	112
California	* Santa Rosa Reservation, CA	23.5%	17	0.0%	12
California	* Santa Ynez Reservation, CA	100.0%	38	100.0%	25
California	* Santa Ysabel Reservation, CA	67.3%	98	65.6%	93
California	* Sherwood Valley Rancheria, CA	78.6%	42	81.1%	37
California	* Shingle Springs Rancheria, CA	100.0%	10	100.0%	5
California	* Smith River Rancheria, CA	82.4%	17	66.7%	9
California	* Soboba Reservation, CA	88.1%	160	88.1%	135
California	* Stewarts Point Rancheria, CA	62.5%	16	62.5%	16
California	* Sulphur Bank Rancheria, CA	100.0%	15	100.0%	15
California	* Susanville Rancheria, CA	97.3%	111	97.1%	102
California	* Sycuan Reservation, CA	73.7%	19	68.8%	16
California	* Table Bluff Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CA	92.0%	25	90.5%	21
California	* Table Mountain Rancheria, CA	100.0%	3	100.0%	3
California	* Torres-Martinez Reservation, CA	81.0%	859	81.2%	69
California	* Trinidad Rancheria and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CA	100.0%	20	100.0%	18
California	* Tule River Reservation, CA	70.8%	154	68.3%	142
California	* Tuolumne Rancheria and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CA	71.4%	63	71.4%	56
California	* Upper Lake Rancheria, CA	100.0%	28	100.0%	19
California	* Viejas Reservation, CA	95.1%	144	97.6%	83
California	* Woodfords Community, CA	95.0%	60	94.7%	57
California	* XL Ranch, CA	100.0%	5	100.0%	3
California	* Yurok Reservation, CA	56.1%	442	39.1%	192
Colorado	* Southern Ute Reservation, CO	94.9%	4,159	84.7%	471
Colorado	* Ute Mountain Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CO--NM--UT	80.5%	508	80.4%	500
Connecticut	* Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CT	100.0%	90	100.0%	88
Connecticut	Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	100.0%	16	100.0%	16
Delaware	Nanticoke Indian Tribe SDAISA, DE	98.8%	10,056	90.6%	159
Florida	* Big Cypress Reservation, FL	66.7%	42	77.8%	36
Florida	* Brighton Reservation, FL	91.4%	152	89.7%	126
Florida	* Hollywood Reservation, FL	97.8%	1,014	94.0%	184
Florida	* Immokalee Reservation, FL	94.2%	69	93.5%	62

Table 3

Telephone Subscribership on American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands: Federal and State, and State and Tribal Designated Statistical Areas (2000 Census)

		All Housing Units		American Indian	
		Penetration	Housing Units	Penetration	Housing Units
Georgia	Tama (state) Reservation, GA	19.0%	21	19.0%	21
Idaho	* Coeur d'Alene Reservation, ID	96.1%	2,486	89.7%	379
Idaho	* Fort Hall Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, ID	91.3%	1,778	86.8%	1,064
Idaho	* Kootenai Reservation, ID	90.5%	21	90.0%	20
Idaho	* Nez Perce Reservation, ID	95.9%	7,007	93.3%	674
Indiana	Pokagon Band of Potawatomi TDSA, IN--MI	96.4%	13,948	96.2%	131
Iowa	* Sac and Fox/Meskaki Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, IA	90.3%	237	88.5%	174
Kansas	* Iowa Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, KS--NE	100.0%	64	100.0%	36
Kansas	* Kickapoo (KS) Reservation, KS	95.1%	1,680	91.4%	209
Kansas	* Prairie Band Potawatomi Reservation, KS	94.2%	363	84.6%	136
Louisiana	Adais Caddo SDAISA, LA	94.6%	14,263	87.1%	139
Louisiana	Apache Choctaw SDAISA, LA	93.7%	9,221	94.2%	635
Louisiana	* Chitimacha Reservation, LA	95.7%	161	96.2%	131
Louisiana	Clifton Choctaw SDAISA, LA	93.7%	191	100.0%	79
Louisiana	* Coushatta Reservation, LA	53.3%	15	53.3%	15
Louisiana	Four Winds Cherokee SDAISA, LA	93.7%	29,844	94.2%	309
Louisiana	Jena Band of Choctaw TDSA, LA	95.6%	22,093	81.6%	147
Louisiana	* Tunica-Biloxi Reservation, LA	90.9%	33	90.3%	31
Louisiana	United Houma Nation SDAISA, LA	97.3%	312,304	94.2%	3,130
Maine	Aroostook Band of Micmac TDSA, ME	98.4%	4,257	82.7%	81
Maine	* Houlton Maliseet Trust Land, ME	100.0%	63	100.0%	58
Maine	* Indian Township Reservation, ME	93.9%	244	93.6%	204
Maine	* Penobscot Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, ME	98.7%	223	98.4%	186
Maine	* Pleasant Point Reservation, ME	91.4%	232	90.2%	204
Massachusetts	Hassanamisco (state) Reservation, MA	100.0%	2	100.0%	2
Massachusetts	* Wampanoag-Aquinnah Trust Land, MA	100.0%	27	100.0%	19
Michigan	* Bay Mills Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MI	95.2%	313	94.9%	256
Michigan	* Grand Traverse Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MI	92.4%	172	90.8%	141
Michigan	* Hannahville Community and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MI	75.5%	106	72.9%	96
Michigan	* Huron Potawatomi Reservation, MI	50.0%	4	50.0%	4
Michigan	* Isabella Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MI	98.1%	9,915	97.9%	435
Michigan	* Lac Vieux Desert Reservation, MI	85.4%	48	82.5%	40
Michigan	* L'Anse Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MI	97.9%	1,455	95.0%	319
Michigan	* Sault Ste. Marie Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MI	92.6%	524	90.8%	305
Minnesota	* Bois Forte Reservation, MN	88.1%	235	84.3%	166
Minnesota	* Fond du Lac Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MN--WI	97.0%	1,317	93.4%	412
Minnesota	* Grand Portage Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MN	87.3%	236	83.3%	150
Minnesota	* Leech Lake Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MN	92.9%	3,586	85.3%	1,356
Minnesota	* Lower Sioux Reservation, MN	96.1%	103	95.7%	94
Minnesota	* Mille Lacs Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MN	95.2%	1,862	80.6%	299
Minnesota	* Minnesota Chippewa Trust Land, MN	100.0%	21	100.0%	14
Minnesota	* Prairie Island Indian Community and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MN	100.0%	73	100.0%	62
Minnesota	* Red Lake Reservation, MN	87.6%	1,357	87.1%	1,302
Minnesota	* Sandy Lake Reservation, MN	100.0%	21	100.0%	21
Minnesota	* Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MN	100.0%	135	100.0%	111
Minnesota	* Upper Sioux Reservation, MN	75.9%	29	74.1%	27
Minnesota	* White Earth Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MN	92.8%	3,317	83.2%	993
Mississippi	* Mississippi Choctaw Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MS	62.6%	1,326	56.5%	1,051
Montana	* Blackfeet Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MT	89.5%	2,940	90.2%	2,330
Montana	* Crow Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MT	87.4%	1,851	84.1%	1,224
Montana	* Flathead Reservation, MT	95.9%	10,017	91.5%	2,309
Montana	* Fort Belknap Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MT	89.3%	823	89.2%	767
Montana	* Fort Peck Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MT	92.3%	3,336	85.9%	1,699
Montana	* Northern Cheyenne Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MT--SD	75.4%	1,191	71.7%	980
Montana	* Rocky Boy's Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MT	90.1%	606	89.7%	583
Montana	* Turtle Mountain Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, MT--ND--SD	94.3%	2,491	93.9%	2,323
Nebraska	* Omaha Reservation, NE--IA	92.8%	1,671	81.0%	484
Nebraska	* Sac and Fox Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NE--KS	100.0%	69	100.0%	11
Nebraska	* Santee Reservation, NE	83.7%	294	70.9%	165
Nebraska	* Winnebago Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NE--IA	89.6%	811	81.5%	373
Nevada	* Battle Mountain Reservation, NV	94.5%	55	94.3%	53
Nevada	* Campbell Ranch, NV	100.0%	74	100.0%	65
Nevada	* Carson Colony, NV	87.5%	88	88.5%	78

Table 3

Telephone Subscribership on American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands: Federal and State, and State and Tribal Designated Statistical Areas (2000 Census)

		All Housing Units		American Indian	
		Penetration	Housing Units	Penetration	Housing Units
Nevada	* Dresslerville Colony, NV	91.2%	114	90.8%	109
Nevada	* Duck Valley Reservation, NV--ID	86.3%	417	85.4%	371
Nevada	* Duckwater Reservation, NV	78.0%	59	77.8%	45
Nevada	* Elko Colony, NV	91.5%	258	91.2%	250
Nevada	* Ely Reservation, NV	100.0%	51	100.0%	48
Nevada	* Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Colony, NV	82.5%	40	81.6%	38
Nevada	* Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV	94.1%	220	93.8%	208
Nevada	* Fort McDermitt Reservation, NV--OR	77.1%	105	75.8%	99
Nevada	* Goshute Reservation, NV--UT	9.4%	32	9.4%	32
Nevada	* Las Vegas Colony, NV	87.9%	33	87.1%	31
Nevada	* Lovelock Colony, NV	71.4%	35	78.1%	32
Nevada	* Moapa River Reservation, NV	79.2%	77	78.0%	59
Nevada	* Pyramid Lake Reservation, NV	96.8%	625	95.9%	418
Nevada	* Reno-Sparks Colony, NV	94.1%	273	93.9%	264
Nevada	* South Fork Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NV	86.5%	52	86.5%	52
Nevada	* Stewart Community, NV	100.0%	67	100.0%	67
Nevada	* Summit Lake Reservation, NV	0.0%	8	0.0%	8
Nevada	* Walker River Reservation, NV	88.2%	304	86.2%	261
Nevada	* Wells Colony, NV	87.0%	23	87.0%	23
Nevada	* Winnemucca Colony, NV	87.5%	24	80.0%	15
Nevada	* Yerington Colony, NV	77.8%	54	84.0%	50
Nevada	* Yomba Reservation, NV	83.3%	30	80.0%	25
New Jersey	Nanticoke Lenni Lenape SDAISA, NJ	94.6%	2,723	100.0%	100
New Jersey	Ramapough SDAISA, NJ	96.8%	281	100.0%	91
New Mexico	* Acoma Pueblo and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM	81.6%	691	80.8%	661
New Mexico	* Cochiti Pueblo, NM	92.0%	536	84.7%	202
New Mexico	* Isleta Pueblo, NM	92.0%	1,054	91.3%	968
New Mexico	* Jemez Pueblo, NM	68.0%	469	67.3%	459
New Mexico	* Jicarilla Apache Reservation, NM	72.3%	817	69.4%	705
New Mexico	* Laguna Pueblo and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM	88.6%	1,071	88.3%	1,047
New Mexico	* Mescalero Reservation, NM	72.6%	842	71.1%	769
New Mexico	* Nambe Pueblo and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM	91.4%	697	80.7%	187
New Mexico	* Picuris Pueblo, NM	88.2%	705	79.4%	63
New Mexico	* Pojoaque Pueblo, NM	96.6%	1,071	91.2%	114
New Mexico	* San Felipe Pueblo, NM	77.0%	682	67.2%	436
New Mexico	* San Ildefonso Pueblo, NM	91.4%	558	81.8%	187
New Mexico	* San Juan Pueblo, NM	86.6%	2,288	78.5%	423
New Mexico	* Sandia Pueblo, NM	95.1%	1,490	94.6%	167
New Mexico	* Santa Ana Pueblo, NM	87.3%	118	87.1%	116
New Mexico	* Santa Clara Pueblo, NM	89.6%	4,062	77.7%	461
New Mexico	* Santo Domingo Pueblo, NM	85.4%	555	85.2%	548
New Mexico	* Taos Pueblo and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM	89.6%	1,918	76.1%	469
New Mexico	* Tesuque Pueblo and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM	92.5%	293	87.2%	141
New Mexico	* Zia Pueblo and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM	79.5%	132	79.5%	132
New Mexico	* Zuni Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM--AZ	79.0%	1,848	77.9%	1,691
New York	* Allegany Reservation, NY	95.5%	2,717	93.5%	449
New York	* Cattaraugus Reservation, NY	93.1%	842	91.9%	714
New York	Cayuga Nation TDSA, NY	97.7%	3,980	100.0%	6
New York	* Oil Springs Reservation, NY	100.0%	3	NA	0
New York	* Oneida (NY) Reservation, NY	100.0%	19	100.0%	19
New York	* Onondaga Reservation, NY	100.0%	304	100.0%	144
New York	Poospatuck (state) Reservation, NY	90.3%	93	84.2%	57
New York	Shinnecock (state) Reservation, NY	100.0%	177	100.0%	129
New York	* St. Regis Mohawk Reservation, NY	100.0%	904	100.0%	872
New York	* Tonawanda Reservation, NY	86.7%	135	83.3%	108
New York	* Tuscarora Reservation, NY	76.9%	347	83.3%	138
North Carolina	Coharie SDAISA, NC	95.2%	47,694	97.6%	547
North Carolina	* Eastern Cherokee Reservation, NC	91.0%	2,619	89.9%	2,079
North Carolina	Haliwa-Saponi SDAISA, NC	89.2%	2,932	90.4%	825
North Carolina	Indians of Person County SDAISA, NC	100.0%	719	100.0%	44
North Carolina	Lumbee SDAISA, NC	95.8%	167,524	91.2%	19,150
North Carolina	Meherrin SDAISA, NC	94.2%	3,160	92.2%	103
North Carolina	Waccamaw Siouan SDAISA, NC	88.0%	798	87.1%	474

Table 3

Telephone Subscribership on American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands: Federal and State, and State and Tribal Designated Statistical Areas (2000 Census)

		All Housing Units		American Indian	
		Penetration	Housing Units	Penetration	Housing Units
North Dakota	* Fort Berthold Reservation, ND	93.3%	1,894	89.3%	1,103
North Dakota	* Spirit Lake Reservation, ND	80.0%	1,253	69.6%	783
Oklahoma	Caddo-Wichita-Delaware OTSA, OK	94.4%	5,251	83.3%	378
Oklahoma	Cherokee OTSA, OK	94.0%	175,814	88.2%	24,151
Oklahoma	Cheyenne-Arapaho OTSA, OK	96.1%	58,780	86.1%	1,971
Oklahoma	Chickasaw OTSA, OK	93.7%	109,197	88.1%	7,289
Oklahoma	Choctaw OTSA, OK	91.4%	86,395	87.4%	9,496
Oklahoma	Citizen Potawatomi Nation-Absentee Shawnee OTSA, OK	96.9%	37,753	96.0%	1,961
Oklahoma	Creek OTSA, OK	96.6%	280,760	93.2%	16,587
Oklahoma	Creek-Seminole joint use area OTSA, OK	89.0%	773	76.9%	143
Oklahoma	Eastern Shawnee OTSA, OK	94.0%	234	100.0%	40
Oklahoma	Iowa OTSA, OK	91.9%	2,283	74.2%	120
Oklahoma	Kaw OTSA, OK	96.0%	2,404	91.1%	202
Oklahoma	Kaw-Ponca joint use area OTSA, OK	95.3%	11,352	85.7%	547
Oklahoma	Kickapoo OTSA, OK	95.7%	6,481	93.9%	459
Oklahoma	Kiowa-Comanche-Apache-Fort Sill Apache OTSA, OK	94.7%	69,729	86.9%	2,795
Oklahoma	Kiowa-Comanche-Apache-Ft Sill Apache-Caddo-Wichita-Delaware OTSA, OK	90.0%	4,317	87.9%	1,133
Oklahoma	Miami OTSA, OK	93.3%	89	0.0%	6
Oklahoma	Miami-Peoria joint use area OTSA, OK	93.7%	1,849	95.9%	242
Oklahoma	Modoc OTSA, OK	100.0%	60	100.0%	8
Oklahoma	* Osage Reservation, OK	95.2%	16,617	90.8%	1,976
Oklahoma	Otoe-Missouria OTSA, OK	86.7%	271	77.5%	111
Oklahoma	Ottawa OTSA, OK	92.5%	2,386	94.5%	403
Oklahoma	Pawnee OTSA, OK	94.7%	6,332	86.2%	603
Oklahoma	Peoria OTSA, OK	97.4%	1,957	95.1%	204
Oklahoma	Ponca OTSA, OK	89.2%	788	81.8%	220
Oklahoma	Quapaw OTSA, OK	89.9%	2,757	88.0%	366
Oklahoma	Sac and Fox OTSA, OK	94.8%	21,788	87.8%	1,571
Oklahoma	Seminole OTSA, OK	90.0%	8,802	73.2%	1,134
Oklahoma	Seneca-Cayuga OTSA, OK	95.5%	1,719	92.6%	149
Oklahoma	Tonkawa OTSA, OK	94.8%	1,504	77.9%	104
Oklahoma	Wyandotte OTSA, OK	92.8%	707	81.7%	104
Oregon	* Burns Paiute Colony and Off-Reservation Trust Land, OR	84.0%	50	83.0%	47
Oregon	* Celilo Village, OR	88.9%	18	87.5%	16
Oregon	* Coos, Lower Umpqua, & Siuslaw Reservation & Off-Reservation Trust Land, OR	100.0%	1	100.0%	1
Oregon	* Coquille Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, OR	79.5%	73	65.1%	43
Oregon	* Grand Ronde Community and Off-Reservation Trust Land, OR	100.0%	9	100.0%	9
Oregon	* Klamath Reservation, OR	100.0%	2	100.0%	2
Oregon	* Siletz Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, OR	100.0%	96	100.0%	58
Oregon	* Umatilla Reservation, OR	96.7%	1,013	94.5%	454
Oregon	* Warm Springs Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, OR	90.1%	807	89.5%	732
Rhode Island	* Narragansett Reservation, RI	100.0%	19	100.0%	3
South Carolina	* Catawba Reservation, SC	97.7%	172	97.3%	148
South Dakota	* Cheyenne River Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, SD	92.1%	2,598	88.1%	1,648
South Dakota	* Crow Creek Reservation, SD	75.5%	547	70.9%	429
South Dakota	* Flandreau Reservation, SD	88.8%	125	88.8%	107
South Dakota	* Lake Traverse Reservation, SD--ND	92.3%	3,759	76.9%	921
South Dakota	* Lower Brule Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, SD	78.4%	356	74.6%	303
South Dakota	* Pine Ridge Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, SD--NE	77.8%	3,504	75.2%	3,093
South Dakota	* Rosebud Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, SD	75.4%	2,784	69.6%	2,169
South Dakota	* Standing Rock Reservation, SD--ND	79.9%	2,372	68.9%	1,406
South Dakota	* Yankton Reservation, SD	90.8%	2,214	75.2%	693
Texas	* Alabama-Coushatta Reservation, TX	87.9%	165	88.5%	156
Texas	* Kickapoo (TX) Reservation, TX	35.2%	108	33.0%	103
Texas	* Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo and Off-Reservation Trust Land, TX	95.5%	111	93.5%	77
Utah	* Paiute (UT) Reservation, UT	85.3%	75	84.3%	70
Utah	* Skull Valley Reservation, UT	100.0%	7	100.0%	7
Utah	* Uintah and Ouray Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, UT	94.3%	6,012	78.1%	787
Virginia	Chickahominy SDAISA, VA	98.8%	1,241	100.0%	179
Virginia	Eastern Chickahominy SDAISA, VA	100.0%	38	100.0%	15
Virginia	Mattaponi (state) Reservation, VA	88.5%	26	88.5%	26
Virginia	Pamunkey (state) Reservation, VA	100.0%	29	100.0%	21
Washington	* Chehalis Reservation, WA	92.1%	190	87.5%	104

Table 3

Telephone Subscribership on American Indian Reservations and Off-Reservation Trust Lands: Federal and State, and State and Tribal Designated Statistical Areas (2000 Census)

		All Housing Units		American Indian	
		Penetration	Housing Units	Penetration	Housing Units
Washington	* Colville Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WA	92.5%	2,696	89.9%	1,480
Washington	* Hoh Reservation, WA	75.9%	29	72.0%	25
Washington	* Jamestown S'Klallam Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WA	100.0%	7	100.0%	2
Washington	* Kalispel Reservation, WA	100.0%	48	100.0%	48
Washington	* Lower Elwha Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WA	93.7%	95	92.0%	75
Washington	* Lummi Reservation, WA	96.0%	1,455	92.9%	535
Washington	* Makah Reservation, WA	87.5%	471	87.8%	385
Washington	* Muckleshoot Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WA	96.2%	1,275	86.5%	267
Washington	* Nisqually Reservation, WA	93.7%	175	90.7%	97
Washington	* Nooksack Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WA	92.5%	147	90.0%	110
Washington	* Port Gamble Reservation, WA	95.3%	211	95.1%	142
Washington	* Port Madison Reservation, WA	98.8%	2,600	93.4%	167
Washington	* Puyallup Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WA	98.6%	15,456	96.2%	345
Washington	* Quileute Reservation, WA	77.6%	116	75.7%	107
Washington	* Quinault Reservation, WA	89.6%	403	89.3%	307
Washington	Samish TDSA, WA	99.0%	14,449	92.6%	148
Washington	* Sauk-Suiattle Reservation, WA	100.0%	14	100.0%	9
Washington	* Shoalwater Bay Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WA	100.0%	23	100.0%	19
Washington	* Skokomish Reservation, WA	93.6%	235	94.6%	166
Washington	* Spokane Reservation, WA	92.0%	641	91.3%	497
Washington	* Squaxin Island Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WA	94.4%	126	93.0%	100
Washington	* Stillaguamish Reservation, WA	100.0%	31	100.0%	26
Washington	* Swinomish Reservation, WA	96.4%	1,112	82.1%	168
Washington	* Tulalip Reservation, WA	91.9%	3,314	88.2%	499
Washington	* Upper Skagit Reservation, WA	84.5%	71	80.0%	55
Washington	* Yakama Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WA	94.3%	8,561	86.5%	1,760
Wisconsin	* Bad River Reservation, WI	94.5%	456	93.6%	360
Wisconsin	* Forest County Potawatomi Community and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WI	89.9%	158	90.7%	140
Wisconsin	* Ho-Chunk Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WI--MN	89.1%	266	87.8%	222
Wisconsin	* Lac Courte Oreilles Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WI	89.9%	987	85.6%	613
Wisconsin	* Lac du Flambeau Reservation, WI	93.3%	1,077	87.4%	500
Wisconsin	* Menominee Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WI	86.6%	853	85.4%	748
Wisconsin	* Menominee/Stockbridge-Munsee joint use area, WI	69.6%	46	67.4%	43
Wisconsin	* Oneida (WI) Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WI	99.6%	7,365	98.2%	1,050
Wisconsin	* Red Cliff Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WI	93.4%	351	93.4%	303
Wisconsin	* Sokaogon Chippewa Community and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WI	78.5%	144	73.5%	117
Wisconsin	* St. Croix Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WI	88.7%	159	87.5%	144
Wisconsin	* Stockbridge-Munsee Community, WI	94.4%	532	91.6%	251
Wyoming	* Wind River Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, WY	91.7%	8,373	75.0%	1,663
Total		93.6%	1,955,954	77.0%	240,620

* Indicates American Indian Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land -- Federal