

2006 State High Risk Health Insurance Pool Funding Grant Awards

Seed Grant Awards:

States that did not have a qualified high risk pool on the date of the enactment (February 10, 2006) could apply for a seed grant of up to \$1 million for the creation and initial operation of a qualified high risk pool. The following five states were awarded seed grants:

Seed Grant Award	Seed Grant Award	Purpose
California	\$150,000	Feasibility Study
New York	\$150,000	Pool Funding Methodology Study
North Carolina	\$150,000	Feasibility Study
Tennessee	\$1,000,000	Creation & Implementation
Vermont	\$1,000,000	Creation & Implementation
TOTAL	\$2,450,000	

Operational Losses & Bonus Grants for Supplemental Consumer Benefits Awards:

Grants for 2005 operational losses and seed grants were award September 30, 2006 to thirty-one (31) States to offset losses incurred in the operation of qualified high risk pools and bonus grants were awarded to twenty five (25) of those States that currently operate High Risk Pools. The following existing qualified State high risk pools received funding to help offset operational losses and for bonus grants for supplemental consumer benefits:

State	Operational Losses	Bonus Grant	Total	Bonus Grant Statutory Category
Alabama	\$1,442,972	-	\$1,442,972	n/a
Alaska	\$1,253,047	\$895,640	\$1,308,947	Increased Benefits
Arkansas	\$1,253,047	\$55,900	\$1,308,947	Disease Management
Colorado	\$1,658,396	\$1,478,373	\$3,136,769	Disease Management
Connecticut	\$1,147,452	\$700,000	\$1,847,452	Premium Reduction
Idaho	\$960,424	-	\$960,424	n/a
Illinois	\$2,939,767	\$1,250,000	\$4,189,767	Premium Reduction
Indiana	\$1,926,155	\$942,000	\$2,868,155	Disease Management and Low Income Subsidy
Iowa	\$994,341	-	\$994,341	n/a
Kansas	\$1,031,608	\$295,000	\$1,326,608	Disease Management
Kentucky	\$1,406,506	\$975,000	\$2,381,506	Disease Management
Louisiana	\$1,354,951	\$992,713	\$2,347,664	Disease Management and Pool Expansion
Maryland	\$1,797,813	\$1,200,000	\$2,997,813	Low Income Premium Subsidy
Massachusetts	\$414,569	-	\$414,569	n/a
Minnesota	\$3,664,879	\$2,000,000	\$5,664,879	Low Income Premium Subsidy
Mississippi	\$1,392,593	\$449,202	\$1,841,795	Disease Management
Missouri	\$1,409,440	\$1,000,000	\$2,409,440	Low Income Premium Subsidy
Montana	\$1,074,800	\$729,875	\$1,804,675	Premium Subsidy and Disease Management
Nebraska	\$1,273,440	\$934,097	\$2,207,537	Disease Management
New Hampshire	\$826,355	\$782,644	\$1,608,999	Pool Expansion, Disease Management and Premium Reduction
New Mexico	\$1,121,553	\$950,000	\$2,071,553	Pool Expansion
North Dakota	\$867,573	-	\$867,573	n/a
Oklahoma	\$1,388,788	\$1,000,000	\$2,388,788	Disease Management and Premium Subsidy
Oregon	\$2,375,581	\$1,500,000	\$3,875,581	Reduction in Cost Sharing
South Carolina	\$1,278,624	\$700,000	\$1,978,624	Premium Reduction

South Dakota	\$785,577	\$312,851	\$1,098,428	Expansion of Pool
Texas	\$7,237,175	\$2,000,000	\$9,237,175	Premium Reduction
Utah	\$1,162,603	\$1,250,000	\$2,412,603	Low Income Premium Subsidy
Washington	\$1,575,759	\$856,705	\$2,432,464	Premium Reduction
Wisconsin	\$2,672,935	\$1,750,000	\$4,422,935	Low Income Premium Subsidy
Wyoming	\$773,843	-	\$773,843	n/a
TOTAL	\$50,000,000	\$25,000,000	\$75,000,000	

-The calculation for 2005 Operational Losses was adjusted to ensure that the formula did not allow funding that exceeded 100 percent of any one State's losses. Massachusetts was the only State affected by this rule. The balance of funds was redistributed among all States.