

EVALUATION OF MEETING
OMHAS – Children’s System Advisory Committee

DATE: January 27, 2006

Family Member 7/10 Professional 4/11 Guest 1

Please rate 1-5 with 5 best – 1 worst

1. Please rate the meeting was it beneficial or useful: 1-1(f); 2-0; 3-1(f), 2(p);
4-2(f), 1(p); 5-3(f), 2(p), 1(g)

Comments:

- I need to gain more skill facilitating and more sleep
- As usual, not enough time – glad planning on longer Feb meeting
- The State does things last minute and we often don’t have time for input
- Speaking and information was very, very accurate, but too much at one time
- We continue to move in the right direction; families are more and more comfortable with advocating in the meeting (p)

2. I will be able to use this information for my local or regional community.
Yes-7 (f), 4(p) No-0

Comments:

- At our Family Advisory Committee

3. I thought the meeting provided opportunity for participation Yes-7 (f), 4(p),
1(g) No-0

Comments:

- too much info with little time
- with time constraints
- nice job of keeping track of people who wanted to speak
- not enough time for in-depth discussions
- total time needs to be longer

4. The information received prior to the meeting helps me participate in a
meaningful way. Yes-5 (f), 2(p), 1(g) No-2 (p)

Comments:

- The sooner the better
- Sometimes it is too much – but I understand
- Some stuff is too big to print out
- Didn’t receive any information prior to the meeting

5. How clear was the data information. 1-0; 2-1(f), 1(p), 1(g); 3-1(p); 4-2(f), 2(p); 5-3(f)

Comments:

- not enough time to understand
- except for one item
- too much at one time

6. How was the pre-family meeting? 1-0; 2-1(f); 3-1(f); 4-0; 5-1(f); NA _____

- facilitator abuse
- got there late
- too small space

7. Suggestions for future topics for discussion.

- Good information
- Extra time is needed/ please be more focused on a major topic
- As scheduled – barriers/issues related to implementation of CSCI

8. Do you have a suggestion for managing the meeting differently?

- More time
- Less items on the agenda
- Too much on the agenda – better priority would help/ example- the performance indicator for family satisfaction should have been early on since we needed to make the recommendation to PAMAC at this meeting
- More time/ less issues at the last minute
- Encourage more family input
- Limiting the agenda – trying to cover too much in too little time
- Longer (g)

Thanks for your input. If you have other information or ideas than listed here that you like to share, please feel free to contact us or write something below.

- Information came out at this meeting that DOE has more money than DHS. Is this true? Can we have someone from ODE address this so we have facts and not second-hand information that may or may not be accurate?