

CONFERENCE REPORT

CBRSS Experimental Social Science Conference

Small Interventions with Large Effects: The Psychological Foundations of Effective Policies

November 14, 2003

Gutman Conference Center, Harvard University

Part I: Conference Proceedings

This one-day conference, sponsored by the Center for Basic Research in the Social Sciences (CBRSS) at Harvard University and the National Institute on Aging (NIA), convened a multidisciplinary group of social scientists to explore the potential for small, inexpensive and non-coercive psychological and sociological interventions to influence human behavior in a range of policy settings. Changing human behavior, even for someone's own good, can be a huge undertaking. Many behavioral interventions in areas like nutrition, exercise, education, safety, medical compliance, saving for retirement, poverty abatement, and crime reduction cost a great deal and produce disappointing results. Sometimes policymakers resort to coercive interventions, such as forced personal savings in the Social Security system. The purpose of this interdisciplinary conference, featuring research from the fields of economics, social psychology, and public health, was to shed light on the particular mechanisms and conditions under which simple non-coercive psychologically-styled means of changing behavior can provide easier and possibly more effective ways of aligning good intentions with actions.

The conference highlighted six particular interventions that used psychological mechanisms to influence behavior related to sexual health, retirement savings, marketing, and sustainable public health in developing countries. Researchers presented papers and took questions from the audience. After they presented their work, the conference featured a round-table discussion, led by conference

organizer David Laibson, in which participants addressed the potential impact of their research on individual and group behavior, as well as public policy.

The first speaker was Rochelle Shain, professor of obstetrics and gynecology at the University of Texas, who discussed a paper entitled “A Randomized, Controlled Trial of a Behavioral Intervention to Prevent Sexually Transmitted Disease among Minority Women,” written jointly with Jeanna Piper, Edward Newton, Sondra Perdue, Reyes Ramos, Jane Dimmitt Champion, and Fernando Guerra. Shain presented results from a sexually transmitted disease (STD)-prevention study which revealed how sexual behavior can be influenced with interventions that are carefully designed to be relevant to the socio-cultural background of participants. In the study, African-American and Hispanic women with non-viral STDs were enrolled in a randomized trial of a sex- and culture-specific behavioral intervention. The principal outcome variable was subsequent STD infection. The design of the intervention was based on the AIDS Risk Reduction Model and ethnographic data on the study populations, and targeted three stages of risk reduction: recognizing one’s risk, making a commitment to reduce risk, and following through on that commitment. The intervention consisted of three small-group sessions of three to four hours each designed to help women recognize personal susceptibility, commit to changing their behavior, and acquire necessary skills. The control group received standard counseling about sexually transmitted diseases.

The researchers concluded that a risk-reduction intervention consisting of small-group sessions specifically tailored to the cultural backgrounds of participants significantly decreased the rates of chlamydial and gonorrheal infection among Mexican-American and African-American women at high risk for sexually transmitted disease. Rates of subsequent infection were’s key lesson for public policy interventions was the importance of understanding the cultural significantly lower in the intervention group than in the control group during the first 6 months, and over the entire 12-month study period. Shain emphasized that the study dynamics of the target population. To achieve this, Shain and her colleagues spent 18 months gathering ethnographic data from subjects before designing the prevention program. From this work, she was able to incorporate such culturally-relevant details as emphasizing the value of protecting one's family among Hispanic women, and emphasizing the importance of cleanliness and survival by one's wits among African Americans.

Shain and her colleagues concluded that tailoring the interventions in this manner to address participants’ belief systems and cultural values made the lessons of the program more salient and helped motivate change among women in the treatment group.

The next two conference speakers discussed altering the framing of choices in order to influence retirement savings decisions. First, James Choi presented a paper about eliminating passivity from the choice set to raise savings rates, entitled "Active Decisions: A Natural Experiment in Savings," co-written with David Laibson, Brigitte Madrian, and Andrew Metrick. The paper studied a company where employees were required to either affirmatively elect to enroll in the 401(k) plan or affirmatively elect not to enroll in the 401(k) plan. This "active decision" mechanism stands in contrast to the standard enrollment mechanism where employees have the option of being passive, which results in a default of non-enrollment.

The researchers found that the active decision mechanism yields participation rates that are up to 25 percentage points higher than those under the standard enrollment mechanism. In addition, the active decision mechanism increased average savings rates and asset accumulation with no increase in the rate of attrition from the 401(k) plan. Choi contrasted these results with those of automatic enrollment, where passivity is an option that results in enrollment rather than non-enrollment. Automatic enrollment results in near-universal participation at the cost of a high degree of homogeneity in plan elections. Active decision does not raise participation as much as automatic enrollment but preserves choice heterogeneity. Choi emphasized to the audience that these results provided important evidence that the design of choice sets matters substantially for behavior. He concluded by presenting a theoretical framework for determining which choice mechanism (active decision or automatic enrollment) is optimal for a given setting.

The third speaker was Sheena Iyengar of Columbia Business School, who presented research related to another aspect of the role of framing of choices in decision-making – the number of options presented to the decision-maker. Iyengar began her presentation by discussing results from an experimental study of the “choice overload phenomenon”, in which more choice leads to decreased probability that individuals choose to choose. In experimental studies using inexpensive

consumer products, she and her colleagues found that introducing a greater number of choices to consumers lowered the likelihood that they participated in a voluntary food tasting promotion. Iyengar proceeded to discuss whether the choice overload phenomenon also exists in a non-experimental setting of defined-contribution retirement savings, based on research from a paper entitled, “How more Choices are Demotivating: Impact of more Options on 401(K) Investment,” co-written with Wei Jiang. Using records of 401(k) participation and contribution allocation for nearly 800,000 eligible employees from Vanguard, she and her co-author found that for every ten funds added to the choice menu, the average employee’s participation probability is lowered by about 2%, the contribution allocation to safe funds is 5.4 percentage points higher, and the contribution allocation to stock funds is 7-9 percentage points lower. Iyengar concluded that her evidence supported predictions of the choice overload hypothesis that more choices can de-motivate choosing and that under such conditions individuals may resort to simplifying decision-making heuristics.

The first afternoon speaker was Sendhil Mullainathan of Massachusetts Institute of Technology, discussing the paper “How Much Does Marketing Matter in Major Decisions? Preliminary Evidence from South Africa.” Mullainathan discussed results from an experimental intervention conducted by himself and colleagues Dean Karlan, Marianne Bertrand, Eldar Shafir, and Jonathan Zinman, which measured the importance of a number of different psychological influences on people’s decisions to apply for a loan. The particular decision-making “biases” explored included framing of decisions in losses or gains, increasing the choice set, and the role of subtle identity cues such as pictures or phrases. Mullainathan and his colleagues were primarily interested in testing whether these psychological phenomena observed in laboratories translate into real-world contexts, and if so, how large are these phenomena in choices relative to traditional factors considered by researchers, such as prices.

To answer these questions, they performed a field experiment in South Africa in which bank clients were sent letters inviting them to apply for a loan. The letter varied the interest rate offered to the individual in addition to numerous psychologically relevant factors such as gain/loss framing and identity manipulations. The authors used interest rate variation as a bench-mark in order to measure the importance of the various psychological factors in a market setting. Preliminary findings from

the experiment provided mixed evidence that the psychological letter characteristics influenced take up of the loan. Mullainathan and some participants from the audience suggested that psychological influences may be more important barriers to entry among those that are presently excluded from the banking sector, whereas their study was confined to a population of bank clients.

The following presenter was Edward Miguel of the University of California at Berkeley, presenting a paper co-written with Michael Kremer on “The Illusion of Sustainability.” The researchers studied a de-worming program in schools in a poor, rural area of Kenya, where intestinal worms constitute a serious and widespread public health problem. They offered subjects free drugs and compared this treatment with three “sustainable” approaches to combating intestinal worms: health education, a cost-sharing model that shifted only a small percentage of the cost of drugs onto families, and improving water and latrine facilities. In addition, they investigated whether requesting a verbal commitment from subjects beforehand would increase take-up of the drug. They found that worm prevention education did not decrease infection rates and a commitment intervention based on ideas from social psychology was ineffective in increasing de-worming drug take-up. However, take-up was highly sensitive to drug cost: a small absolute increase in cost led to an 80 percent reduction in take-up relative to free treatment.

Miguel framed his results largely in the context of the “sustainability” movement in public health and economic development policy. The sustainability movement stresses the importance of pushing local communities toward independence and self-reliance in order to perpetuate relief after foreign aid withdraws. The sustainability movement emphasizes community mobilization, education, and cost-recovery. However, in the case of de-worming, due to the high cost of education and water and latrine improvements and the low effectiveness of the cost-sharing and verbal commitments, Miguel concluded that sustainability was not a worthy alternative to the donor-funded drug treatment.

The final conference presenter was Richard Thaler, of the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business, who spoke about "Experimental Evidence for Libertarian Paternalism." Thaler stressed in his presentation that it is both possible and legitimate for private

and public institutions to affect behavior while also respecting freedom of choice as long as people's choices are distorted by psychological influences such as default rules, framing effects, and starting points. According to Thaler, in these circumstances, a form of paternalism cannot be avoided. Hence, the idea of libertarian paternalism is to attempt to steer people's choices in welfare promoting directions without eliminating freedom of choice based on an understanding of behavioral findings of bounded rationality and bounded self-control. To back up these claims, Thaler presented a wide range of empirical evidence indicating that in many settings people's preferences are indeed ill-formed and seemingly heavily swayed by framing effects and other psychological influences. For instance, Thaler presented results from two experiments in different work settings in which employees were asked to rank the investment portfolio they had constructed themselves when compared to the average or median portfolio of their co-workers. In both cases, he concluded that most participants do not gain much by being able to choose their own portfolio since many find the median portfolio more attractive than their own portfolios, and most prefer the portfolio selected for them by an expert. He presented further evidence based on participation in an employee savings plan designed by Thaler and Schlomo Benartzi, called Save More Tomorrow (SMarT). In their empirical evaluation of the program's influence on savings behavior, the authors found that the average savings rate of employees at a company that implemented the SMarT program jumped from 3.5% to 13.6% in 40 months.

Thaler emphasized several key psychological lessons from the SMarT results. First, the SMarT program was successful because it increased an employee's contribution rate both gradually and automatically, so that myopic decision-makers felt more comfortable with larger commitments and passive decision-makers were more likely to stay with the automatic contribution rate. Similarly, employees were informed about the SMarT plan months before their savings rates were increased, which likely increased participation since it is easier for people to delay *future* consumption than it is for people to reduce their disposable income immediately. Finally, the savings rates were automatically increased one to three percentage points each year at the same time the company hands out raises. Thus, even though more money was diverted to the retirement account, the nominal paycheck might still have been larger, especially when the tax benefits were factored in. This forestalled the shock of less take-home pay. Thaler concluded

Conference Report
“Small Interventions with Large Effects”
November 14, 2003

Center for Basic Research in the Social Sciences
Harvard University

by emphasizing the importance of designing interventions that take these basic psychological factors into account.

Roundtable on Psychological Policy Interventions

Panel members:

- James Choi
- David Laibson
- Ted Miguel
- Sendhil Mullainathan
- Sheena Iyengar
- Rochelle Shain
- Richard Suzman
- Richard Thaler

After the presentations concluded, conference participants, joined by conference organizer David Laibson and Richard Suzman of the NIH, convened for a round-table discussion of the role of psychological interventions in policy-making. Audience members posed questions to the panel of speakers, while panel members also had the opportunity to pose questions to each other regarding where the future research agenda lies.

The roundtable began with a discussion of the inherent risks of manipulation in place of coercion involved in using psychological mechanisms to influence behavior. Laibson raised the question of how to design policies to ensure positive outcomes and prevent government or private interests from using these approaches in a malevolent way. Mullainathan pointed out that much of the research about decision-making and how to use psychology to improve policy could actually have a net negative consequence in the hands of profit-maximizing firms who can use knowledge of psychological responses to “gouge” consumers.

The roundtable then moved on to the broader topic of how generalizable are the results of the studies presented in the conference. The presenters were asked to comment on whether their research can provide more general insights into appropriate and effective behavioral modification strategies. In response, Thaler emphasized the benefits of increasing immediate relative to long-term

gains in order to address dynamic inconsistency. Laibson suggested the importance of distinguishing between high frequency and low frequency decisions, and how the efficacy of various self-control devices may differ in low frequency versus high frequency situations. Choi raised the point that there may be a great deal of cases for policies in which you can make use of people’s inertia and tendency to choose the path of least resistance.

The next topic of the roundtable discussion centered on how to bring these psychological insights into the design of public health policy. Suzman raised concern over the “very narrow spectrum” of approaches among public health initiatives aimed at particular topics of behavior including alcohol abuse and smoking. He discussed the large research costs invested in health interventions that have frequently not proven to be successful, and asked the conference participants how best to introduce more innovative strategies into the arena of public health and health behavior. Suzman stressed that, while there are many large and expensive interventions, most large interventions fail. Instead of throwing large amounts of money at interventions that have not proven to be effective, he suggested that policy-makers in the area of public health should focus on identifying habits that can be changed with small interventions. To do so, he asked the panel how well they thought that these economic applications such as savings plans transfer to issues of health, which seem to be more intractable.

Thaler that it is often the case that if you correctly identify the reasons people are not doing what is in their interest, it is for reasons that have nothing to do with incentives.

Mullainathan then stated that one important message from the conference was that the factors that convince people to change their behavior are often very different from those emphasized in common sense or economic models. He stressed the importance of remembering that we have a broader set of tools to work with than the standard set, including many important psychological tools that can influence the efficacy of existing interventions. To illustrate this point, Mullainathan pointed out that it is the salience of information and not just its availability that determines behavior, so it is critical what one does to make information salient. He criticized existing interventions for placing too much emphasis on providing more information, without paying attention to whether or not this

information is salient.

The discussion then moved on to address whether interventions should be designed only to be effective, or to also reveal something about human behavior. An audience member raised the point that many of the interventions presented in the conference along with other existing studies implement several changes at once, such that it is difficult to disentangle effective from ineffective strategies. In response, Choi counseled restraint in studying interventions in order to gain a better understanding of why successful strategies work. Thaler added that appropriate policy for good academic research, in which the goal is finding the smallest possible manipulation that will produce statistically significant results, is very unlikely to alter behavior. On the other hand, if many interventions are implemented simultaneously in order to produce an effect, it is impossible to extrapolate to other settings. Thaler suggested that we “intervene and investigate with different hands” in order to identify basic principals that might be used in multiple instances.

The discussion then moved on to the issue of why the market does not facilitate the emergence of psychologically-styled interventions. A conference participant asked in particular whether a company that designed a smart savings plan would be able to pay lower wages. Thaler responded that, while people will hopefully recognize the benefits of these plans over time, the trick to designing successful interventions in the short run is to remain aware of the fact that people are myopic and loss averse, and generally susceptible to a range of psychological influences. Awareness of these psychological effects can then be incorporated into designing interventions like Smart Plan that will be both sustainable and profitable for companies in the long run. An audience member also raised the point that interventions designed to address social ills such as crime, violence, and pollution, may have more of a market given that people are adversely affected by these behaviors.

In his closing comments, David Laibson raised a final point that, while all interventions are built around incentives, the default is to try to appeal to the same basic hedonic motive, while in reality people may have a lot of other less obvious motives. He cited as a motivating example that people are often as heavily invested in their identities as they are in their retirement portfolios, so very small interventions can be successfully built around identity effects. Iyengar brought up the

importance of other features of small interventions such as cognitive dissonance manipulation, a strategy that has proved effective in influencing criminal behavior. She also brought up as success stories interventions designed to change people’s incentives to pollute, which revealed the importance of making social norms salient to people in order to influence their behavior.

Part II: Psychological Lessons from Small Interventions - A Meta Analysis

The goal of this meta-analysis of experimental interventions was to identify studies that demonstrate effective methods of inducing behavioral change through psychological influence in a wide spectrum of program areas, including preventative health behaviors, addiction, technology adoption and reproductive health. The objective was to generate a guide for researchers and policy-makers in all areas of behavioral intervention that would help incorporate psychological principals learned from past studies of behavior change in the design of future interventions. The studies included in the meta-analysis were gathered from extensive literature searches on each behavior, using a variety of academic and professional databases including MEDLINE, EconLit and the Cochrane Evidence-based Central Register of Controlled Trials. Studies were included in the meta-analysis if they: involved a behavioral modification intervention, used a randomized controlled trial design or a pretest/post-test comparison, and their results demonstrated a statistically significant effect of the stated intervention on behavior. Particular attention was given to studies that did not include economic incentives or coercive means to achieve behavioral modification. However, a few studies with creative designs that did not meet the inclusion criteria are briefly discussed because they suggest future research directions.

In addition, a great deal of emphasis was placed on the psychological factors influencing high frequency decisions. For instance, behavioral challenges discussed in this paper in the area of health include preventative and promotive health behaviors such as physical activity, obesity, sunscreen use, oral hygiene, and mammography utilization/breast self-examination as opposed to relatively isolated events that do not require daily decision-making such as vaccine utilization. This was done for two central reasons: First, the most interesting behaviors from an interventionist’s perspective may be those that require daily decision-making processes to be affected, simply because they are often the most important and generally the most challenging behaviors to address with small policy interventions. As opposed to the paradigmatic small interventions for encouraging 401(k) plan savings (for example, changing the default to “active enrollment”), in which target actors need make only one decision and the plan managers can then

implement a wide range of savings programs completely beyond the day-to-day attention of the actor, researchers face a more daunting problem in treating high frequency behaviors such as addiction and health care that occur at the very center of the actor’s life.

High frequency behaviors are the most challenging to address with small policy interventions because they typically require several stages of intervention, to completely disrupt habit formation and re-emerging sets of disincentives to comply. In many contexts of human decision-making, including addiction, powerful social and biochemical forces are constantly working to undermine permanent change. Furthermore, and in contradiction to simple reinforcement models of addiction, actors who choose to abstain for even several months will generally relapse at some point over the next few years. As a result, practitioners of behavioral interventions in some of the most critical areas will need to choose their levers very carefully to create even a small effect. As more knowledge is gained about how to make use of psychological constructs to encourage behavioral change, researchers may be able to identify lower-cost, reliable alternatives for influencing behavior.

The following report outlines five broad categories of psychological phenomena gleaned from the results of past experimental research that have direct implications for policy design.

1. Intervention Timing

Most successful interventions involve influencing individual behavior over some range of time and hence addressing the dynamic nature of decision-making. Because of psychological factors, overcoming dynamic inconsistency becomes particularly important in determining the level of effectiveness of a particular intervention. For instance, myopic behavior makes it difficult for people to commit to permanent changes in high frequency decisions over the long-run. As described by Epstein (1998), “A ... general principle is that choice depends in part on the delay between choosing and receiving the alternatives. In many choice situations, the outcomes are delayed from the responses. When human subjects are provided a choice of two reinforcers immediately available, subjects reliably choose the more valuable reinforcer. But as

the more valuable reinforcer is delayed, subjects may switch from the more valuable delayed reinforcer to the less valuable reinforcer that is immediately available.” On account of such psychological phenomenon, small differences in the timing and dynamic design of interventions can have a large impact on their effectiveness in changing behaviors. Key lessons related to the timing of interventions are outlined below.

1.1 Point of Decision Prompts

One key lesson from past experimental interventions is that it is far more effective to prompt people at the precise moment when they are making a decision, or to identify similar “teachable” moments for behavioral change. For instance, a Task Force on Community Preventive Services review (2002) indicates that there is strong evidence to support the use of point-of-decision prompts that encourage physical activity in place of all other standard intervention types, including mass media campaigns, family-based social support, and classroom-based interventions focused on solely on information provision. Similarly, an observational study at a Midwest regional airport examining the effect of positive (“Keep Heart Healthy, use stairs”) and negative (“Please limit use of the escalator to those who need it”) prompts to encourage people to use stairs over escalators found that a significantly higher fraction of people utilized stairs when either prompt was present. A related study by Brownell (1980) observed 45,000 point-of-choice decisions in various public places and found that use of stairs doubled when a heart-healthy prompt was mounted, and declined to baseline after removal of the prompt.

Past studies also reveal that interventions can easily fail if they do not take into account study subjects’ imperfect recall of commitments or desires, possibly stemming from the same psychological influences that drive responses to point of entry prompts. In particular, one study (Schapira 1992) found that giving women a plastic reminder card was highly successful at getting them to return for subsequent mammograms. Seventy-two percent of women who had a reminder card returned, versus only 39.8% of women who received traditional reminders. In contrast, Personal Health Record Booklets, developed using behavioral change theories and

including latest evidence-based guidelines for various screening tests, were unsuccessful at increasing the use of screening tests (Newell 2002). Further evidence of the importance of targeting interventions either at the point of decision or with built-in reminders comes from experimental approaches to cancer screening. Ferris (1996) randomized 907 women to receive oral contraceptive pill packs with a breast self-exam prompt or not. Those who received the prompt were more compliant with self examinations at three months.

These findings suggest a wide range of intervention areas in which people already consider behavior change to be important, but act accordingly only when prompted. This phenomenon indicates that simple, well-positioned reminders may be more effective than providing more information about adverse consequences of current behaviors or additional incentives to change.

1.2 Stage of Readiness

The effectiveness of an intervention at a given moment is not only a function of the ease of commitment to change, but is also a function of one’s psychological state of mind. Hence, past experimental research suggests that interventions are more likely to succeed if they tailor not only the timing of interventions but also the content of intervention materials according to participants’ level of “readiness for change.” For instance, decision prompts on staircases are more likely to succeed among those who have already made preliminary efforts to increase their level of exercise.

The existence of differences across individuals in psychological stage of readiness for change also implies that even in interventions involving only the distribution of information psychological lessons can be incorporated to increase the salience of new information. In particular, one approach that has been shown to raise the effectiveness of standard information-based methods of intervention is to tailor materials to participants’ overall stage of psychological readiness for change. To do so, several recent studies have focused on the transtheoretical model of behavior change (TTM), which involves an assessment of one’s readiness for change, often

using a decisional balance. Subjects are asked questions to identify their stage of readiness to change as either precontemplation, contemplation, preparation, maintenance, action, or relapse, and intervention materials are then tailored in terms of both content and timing to an individual's particular stage. For example, working with a sample of recent callers to a smoking quitline, Borland et al. (2004) randomly sent one group tailored advice timed strategically while the control group received standardized printed self-help materials at the beginning of smoking cessation. In this case, the intervention group received both tailored content and tailored timing. The intervention led to a 20% rate of sustained abstinence at 6 months among the treatment group compared to a 12% rate for the control group.

As with point of entry interventions, more direct use of behavioral change theory has been minimally invoked in mammography utilization studies. Rakowski (1998) showed that stage-matched materials were more effective than standard materials or no materials at increasing use of mammography. Similarly, Champion (1995) uses a version of TTM, comparing stage-matched individually-tailored counseling to standardized information and to a combination of the two. The combination group was more than twice as likely to have obtained a mammogram one year post-intervention. In the area of dental hygiene, one study incorporated TTM by comparing the effects of a general oral hygiene promotion intervention with an intervention matched to stage of readiness for change. Both groups received four 40-minute sessions of new information related to dental care. The stage-matched intervention group showed significantly greater flossing self-efficacy when compared to control or educational groups (Stewart, 1996).

Prochaska et al. also work with a TTM model, in which a key component of their smoking cessation treatment is to evaluate each client's readiness to quit before matching them with 2-3 pages of self-help materials geared to various stages. The reports described the subject's stage of change, reviewed and critiqued their self-reported pros and cons of quitting, provided feedback on their use of up to six change processes, and compared the subject both to comparable subjects and to the same subject at a previous assessment. The self-help materials also offered advice for resisting smoking in tempting situations and taking small steps, such as

delaying the first cigarette in the morning for an extra 30 minutes. New materials were distributed to participants to match their new stage of readiness at three and six months post-evaluation. Both treatment subjects and controls were assessed at 0, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months. At 24 months, the TTM system had attained a 25% point prevalence abstinence rate (12% prolonged abstinence, compared to 7.7% in a randomized control group). Moreover, the difference between the treatment and control groups was increasing over time, the opposite of the usual pattern for more intensive treatments.

Finally, as a general rule, past studies reveal that interventions targeted before behavior begins are generally more effective in influencing change than are strategies that target behavior after it begins. Furthermore, a low cost way to improve the absorption of new information is to identify “teachable moments” that coincide either with other changes in a person’s life that ease transition, or with other moments in an individual’s life at which they are particularly open to change of a particular nature. For instance, individuals may be more responsive to smoking cessation interventions that coincide with a residential move or change of jobs. Likewise, individuals are more likely to successfully change their diet immediately after a family member suffers a heart attack. Hence, targeting a nutrition intervention program soon after such an incident is likely to be more effective than invoking this memory several years after the event.

1.3 Short-run Emphasis

A related psychological lesson can be drawn from past interventions that introduce information designed to discourage behaviors with negative consequences. Here the lesson from experimental studies is that such interventions are far more effective if they emphasize short-run costs instead of long-run consequences. Presumably, myopic individuals more readily internalize short-term costs into behavioral decisions. This lesson has been found to be particularly important for younger people, for whom the time horizon of consequences is shorter.

Evidence of this comes from several research studies that have examined media campaigns, a common form of intervention aimed at young people. For instance, both Pechmann

et al. (2003) and Rust (1999) present experimental evidence on appropriate content for youth-targeted antismoking ads, emphasizing short-run social cost ads over ads that emphasize the long-run health costs of smoking, which can actually be counterproductive. Sowden and Arblaster (2003) find mixed results in a review of six controlled studies of media campaigns. Similarly, Pechmann (1997) reviews the history of media campaigns in the US and Canada from 1970-1996; such campaigns have primarily occurred in 5 states, with mixed results. While results are mixed, the most successful campaigns are those aimed at youth markets, those in which there are links to school-based antismoking programs, and those in which social status and popularity are emphasized over health effects.

In the area of addiction, past studies reveal that more complicated interventions can be successfully designed to ease tentative quitters through early contemplation stages of quitting. These so-called “don’t scare them off” strategies emphasize immediate benefits of quitting instead of focusing on the most important gains. Such strategies, which appear to be an important aspect of intervention, might be considered a way around the pessimism effect plaguing sophisticates in inter-temporal self-control problems, a major issue in the underlying behavioral economic theories of addiction. Other programs that emphasize a short-term view of abstinence include Alcoholics Anonymous and the Community Reinforcement Approach (CRA). One particular small framing tool that CRA emphasizes is “sampling.” Alcohol patients are urged to “sample” sobriety for a brief period, and, having done so, are asked to sample for “an additional limited period,” and target dates for these samples are set individually for each client (see Smith and Meyers 2001).

2. Self Control

In situations in which preferences are time varying, interventions that facilitate self-control may be dramatically more effective. Once again, in the area of addictive behaviors, self-control is likely to be a particularly important determinant of behavioral change since

physiological responses to chemical substances produce temporary shifts in preferences at key decision-making moments.

2.1 Verbal Commitments

Past research has shown that simple psychological commitment mechanisms can be surprisingly effective in encouraging people with imperfect self-control to follow through with long-term behavioral change. For instance, even simple verbal commitments, such as reporting goals and progress to others, can influence the likelihood of following through with initial goals. One study by Noland (1989) about an 18-week exercise intervention investigated whether there is a difference between recording one’s own exercise and reporting it to another person. The study found that subjects who had to report to another person had slightly better VO₂ max, exercise heart rate, and self-reported frequency of exercise per week.

2.2 Default Decision Rules

A central lesson from the meta-analysis is that interventions that provide precise decision rules that can be set as individual “defaults” are more effective than those that provide only information or encouragement. This is also known as skills-based as opposed to information-based training. The relative effectiveness of skills-based training may operate through greater understanding of information provided in the form of concrete skills, or may operate through the additional value of providing people with permanent decision strategies to follow through with behavioral goals when preferences are time variant.

A good example of this comes from a 1999 study by Leermakers, comparing the effects of an “exercise-focused” with a “weight-focused” maintenance intervention. All subjects, 67 obese adults, had participated in a 6-month behavioral group weight loss program (Fuller, 1998) and were asked to participate in a maintenance study for an additional twelve months. All subjects were instructed to follow a low-calorie diet (1200 kcal/d for women, 1500 kcal/d for men) and to walk 30 minutes per day at least five days per week. The “exercise-focused” group

attended biweekly supervised group exercise sessions and had intergroup competitions and prizes. They were given comprehensive information about relapse triggers but no training in implementing relapse prevention strategies. They were also given minimal monetary contingencies: \$1 for attendance, \$2 for completion of a self-exercise record. In contrast, the “weight-focused” group received no contingencies or direct exercise supervision, but simply attended therapist-led sessions on how to implement problem-solving strategies. During months 7-18, the weight focused group performed significantly better in maintaining weight loss; they maintained 90.8% of their initial weight loss, an average of 8.8 kg, whereas the exercise-focused group with contingencies maintained only 54.2% of their initial losses, regaining 4.4 kg on average. This study suggests that therapist-led problem-solving-oriented interventions, in which individuals learn precise methods in how to overcome self-control problems, are more effective than supervised exercise at helping people maintain weight loss, even when supervised exercise is accompanied by monetary incentives.

A closer look at the role of default rules in weight-loss maintenance may be found in a study by Perri *et al.* (2001). This study compared relapse prevention training (RPT) to problem solving therapy (PST), each provided in biweekly sessions for one year. RPT included identifying high-risk situations for lapsing, actually practicing at restaurants and at a party, using problem-solving techniques, training in cognitive-coping strategies, and planning for long-term prevention. All sessions included RPT handouts and written behavioral homework assignments. PST included developing problem-solving techniques including identifying problems, generating alternatives, decision-making, considering consequences, and implementation. While the differences in initial weight reduction were insignificant, both groups were far more successful than “standard behavioral therapy” (SBT) controls at maintaining weight loss. In particular, 35% of the PST group lost more than 10% of their body weight, while only 6% of people in standard behavioral therapy lost greater than 10% of body weight. These results indicate that some form of problem-solving instruction is critical to helping people achieve long-term change. A related study by Sevick *et al.* (2000) compares a lifestyle intervention with problem-solving and self-management skills to a structured supervised exercise intervention at a fitness center. Though in this case the lifestyle intervention was approximately as effective as the supervised exercise

intervention based on seven-day physical activity recall, peak oxygen consumption, lipid profile, blood pressure, and body composition, the lifestyle intervention was far more cost-effective.

In another study involving default rules but no problem solving techniques, Wing *et al.* (1996) compared four distinct types of weight-loss interventions: (1) standard behavioral treatment (SBT), (2) SBT plus structured meal plans and grocery lists, (3) SBT plus meal plans and grocery lists, plus food provision with subjects sharing cost, and (4) SBT plus meal plans and grocery lists, plus free food provision. Groups 2-4 lost significantly more weight than the SBT group and maintained significantly more weight loss one year later, while groups 2-4 experienced and maintained roughly the same amount of weight loss. Hence, the authors concluded that the most important intervention was structured meal plans and grocery lists, not actual food provision or monetary incentives.

With respect to altering reproductive health behaviors, skills-based interventions have proven to be overwhelmingly more successful than information campaigns. For instance, Weisse *et al.* (1995) report that skills-based interventions to reduce the spread of sexually-transmitted diseases (STDs) yield longer-term and more consistent change than education-only interventions. Fisher *et al.* (1996) report successfully inducing change in both reported behavior and rates of HIV incidence from skills-based intervention relative to standard health education. Wilson *et al.* (1992) report an intervention in Zimbabwe in which again skills-based interventions were more successful than education-only interventions for changing sexual behaviors and reducing rates of STD transmission.

2.3 Cue Management

Another lesson from research on addictive behavior that can shed light on effective intervention strategies comes from Cue Management methods, which may be of particular interest to social scientists. According to the underlying cue theory, external cues trigger conditioned physiological responses, such as drug cravings. For example, for an abstinent client, seeing a vial of crack cocaine or returning to the site of previous drug use can create a strong

temptation to relapse. Cue Management therapists attempt to de-condition their patients, typically by simulating relevant cues within a treatment clinic, and training the patient to dis-associate that cue from substance use. Unfortunately, there is little robust evidence of the effectiveness of standard cue management interventions in clinical settings, and strong evidence that the results are rarely effective in the long run. In existing studies, patients’ responses to stimuli show a disturbing ability to spontaneously re-emerge within the months following therapy. External cues appear to be extremely context-dependent such that breaking cues in a clinical setting may not carry over well into the client’s daily life.

However, a few studies indicate that cue management methods may be incorporated more broadly into behavioral interventions. Pollack et al (2002) suggest targeting emotions as cues instead of external stimuli, with encouraging early results. Robbins et al (2000) also provide evidence of the role of emotions in cue-conditioned behavior, and the effectiveness of emotion-centered cue management therapy. There is also some preliminary evidence that one small intervention, “retrieval cues,” such as a specific article of clothing worn during cue exposure sessions (and then worn when the client goes into different contexts), might help reduce cue re-emergence (see Havermans and Jansen, 2003) and increase the effectiveness of standard cue management therapy.

2.4 Self-Assessment

Another style of psychological intervention that has proven to be effective in certain areas of behavioral change is teaching people to reason their way around self-control problems with self-assessment and self-justification skills. A good example comes from Dilley et al (2002), who conducted a randomized controlled trial (single-session intervention) among gay male repeat HIV testers utilizing a novel intervention strategy focused on self-justifications. The authors report a significant decrease in the proportion of men reporting unsafe sexual behaviors. In the area of addiction, socio-behavioral therapies attempt to increase the client’s motivation to quit through Motivational Interviewing to help clients sort through ambiguity (see Miller and Rollnick 2002).

Unfortunately, self-justification and assessment skills are often hampered by changing reference points that inhibit individuals' ability to accurately self-diagnose problems and recognize behaviors. These effects exacerbate commitment problem, and further lower the impact of many interventions over time. Interventions aimed at promoting weight loss face this problem more than others, as there is a great deal of psychological evidence that perceptions of weight loss or gain are highly dependent on speed of change (individuals systematically underestimate slow relative to rapid weight gain). Hence, unique interventions are necessary to treat obesity and related problems in which slow change, while generally desirable for long-term effectiveness, lowers the patients' ability to correctly assess their progress.

One lesson from past research is that interventions can mitigate dynamic inconsistency from time inconsistent self-diagnoses by designing interventions that fix reference points over time by reminding participants of past progress or future goals. For example, one study of 1396 children by Chomitz (2003) investigated the impact of “personalized report cards” that updated parents on their children's weight and fitness (PI), as compared to general health information (GI) and a non-intervention control group (CG). While parents in all groups had the same initial response to the intervention, parents who received report cards were more likely to plan weight-control measures and preventive behaviors such as obtaining medical help (PI 25%, GI 7%, CG 9%), changing diet (PI 19%, GI and CG < 5 cases), and exercise (PI 42%, GI 27%, CG 13%) throughout the course of the study. Furthermore, ninety-one percent of parents said that they would like to continue to receive personalized weight report cards.

3. Social Reinforcement

Much attention has been paid to the social structure of behavioral interventions, and the possible importance of language and culture in motivating behavioral change. Incorporating group reinforcement or cultural specificity into standard interventions can help achieve behavioral change in situations in which either communication barriers or psychological

responses to outsider intervention reduce the transmission of new information or otherwise inhibit individuals' ability to learn new behaviors.

3.1 Cultural Specificity

In areas related to reproductive health and family planning, cultural specificity appears to be particularly important in influencing the effectiveness of interventions. In a recent study in China, Wang et al (1998) report the results of an experimental intervention comparing the role of husbands in family planning behavior. They document that husband involvement improves results, backing up the lesson that couples are the best target for interventions related to sexual practice. Similarly, Guthrie et al (1984) describe the results of four small field trials on family planning in the Philippines between 1976 and 1981. They document the psychological factors contributing to contraceptive resistance, and demonstrate that an intervention aimed at those factors can be successful in increasing family planning acceptance. Finally, Seth (1987) studies the process by which nonliterate women process and assimilates new information in indigenous villages in India, and documents the importance of “women’s talk” and the underlying principles of small-group: synergy, self-help and community participation. While this is not itself an intervention, the documentation supports the thesis that behavioral interventions could be successful in indigenous communities precisely by employing principles already common to village life.

3.2 Group Intervention

Group intervention as an intervention tool in and of itself may also be important, as interventions in group settings may reinforce people’s belief in their ability to change by observation of change among others in their reference group. For instance, in a study by Renjilan (2001), 75 obese adults were first asked whether they preferred group or individual settings, and then were randomized either to their preferred option or not. Surprisingly, people randomized to the “group” intervention lost significantly more weight regardless of their initial preference for group or individual.

Past research has also found that it is potentially important to consider cultural differences between various racial/ethnic groups that may predispose certain individuals to performing better in a group or individual setting. For example, Cousins (1992) randomized 168 Mexican-American obese women to receive bilingual printed materials and (1) a low-fat Mexican cookbook, (2) classes with bilingual dieticians or (3) family-oriented classes which the entire family could attend. Although the results did not reach statistical significance, all groups reduced BMI and weight, with greatest reductions in the family group, followed by the individual group, and last the comparison group. As evidenced by Humphreys (1999) and Stead and Lancaster (2003), a relatively effective type of addiction intervention provides social reinforcement for abstinence in the form of group therapy (most notably Alcoholics Anonymous).

4. Emotional Responses

Emotional responses to interventions, and materials designed to manipulate such responses, constitute another important category of psychological tools that can potentially be used to influence behavioral change or increase the effectiveness of existing interventions.

4.1 Language

For instance, past studies show that the tone of promotional materials can influence their impact on behavior. Two interventions included in the database incorporate “tone of message” to effect behavior or attitude change. Richard *et al.* (1999) used three types of leaflets explaining melanoma: 1) humoristic 2) alarmist 3) neutral and had a no-leaflet control group. Fifteen days after mailing, subjects were interviewed by phone about awareness of melanoma. The authors conclude that fewer people read the alarmist leaflet. Meanwhile, the impact of the message in the humorist leaflet was decreased, but differences among groups were not significant. Another study investigated the effects of different language intensities on the persuasiveness of a

campaign to increase family sun protection behavior, and found that messages with intense language were more persuasive when the arguments were formatted in a deductive style, while low language intensity was more persuasive in inductively styled messages.

4.2 “Fear Tactics”

Disseminating new information about the dangers of certain behaviors by spreading fear may have counter-productive results on behavioral change. For example, one important lesson from past research on cancer prevention is that old-fashioned “fear tactics” designed to influence people away from risky or damaging behaviors can actually inhibit information-seeking and preventive health measures. In a study by Schwartz *et al.* (1999), individualized risk factor counseling of 508 women led to decreased mammography among less educated women. While much work remains to be done in helping people overcome the unique psychological barriers that may exist to obtaining screening examinations, overcoming the fear of discovering a problem during a screening test is one barrier that may be important and relatively simple to remove.

4.3 Competition

Another intervention type that has not been extensively investigated is competition. As opposed to fear, interventions that incorporate elements of competition – even without monetary reward - appear to stimulate change. An innovative study by Blake *et al.* (1996) used a worksite competition to motivate employees to spend more time in daily aerobic activity. Non-monetary awards (plaques) were given to companies with the highest rates of employee participation. Smaller companies had the highest participation rates, and women were more likely to participate. Similar in logic to contingency management, but with much less structure, are quitting competitions, generally used only for smokers. These competitions are only moderately effective in demonstration projects, though they are notably inexpensive and potentially reach a broad pool of smokers (for an example, see Altman *et al.* 1987; for a discussion of a review-in-progress see Hey and Perera, 2003). Currently these programs are vulnerable to deception – both

in participation, when non-smokers sign up, and in outcome, when non-quitters lie about quitting status – however the latter can in theory be remedied with biological verification such as cotinine or CO levels.

The motivation effect of competition-based interventions may also be achieved through more broadly defined efforts to boost participation morale. In one study of forty adolescents with poor oral hygiene, Feil et al. (2002) examined the influence of the “Hawthorne effect” in improving an at-home dental care campaign. Intervention group subjects were led to believe that they were participating in a study, were given toothpaste labeled "experimental," and were told to brush their teeth twice a day for two minutes using a timer, and to return unused toothpaste. Compared to controls who were given unlabeled materials and the same instructions, tooth surface with plaque at baseline was equal (71%, 74%), but at three months intervention group subjects had significantly decreased tooth surface covered with plaque (54% intervention, 78%control) and the effect persisted at 6 months (52% and 79%). Hence, it seems that participants’ desire to produce positive results was alone responsible for increasing the effectiveness of a simple intervention.

4.4 Positive Reinforcement

Existing studies of competition-based interventions leave open the age-old question of whether positive or negative incentives are more effective for stimulating change. Kidorf and Stitzer (1999) report one study that randomizes patients to positive or negative methadone dosing reinforcement. One group had their methadone doses reduced for every consecutive positive drug test, while another group had their methadone doses increased for every consecutive positive drug test. While patients in both conditions showed similar improvement (measured by drug tests), patients in the negative incentive group had a lower retention rate. Another study randomized clients between a take-home privileges reinforcer only and a take-home privileges plus negative dosing reinforcer. Again, both groups had similar improvements on drug use but there was less retention in the group that faced the possibility of having its dose lowered.

5. Pro-active recruitment

The first step for any treatment program is recruitment. One of the most powerful small interventions, which parallels 401(k) interventions, applies to program recruitment. Deciding to use a treatment resource bears considerable resemblance to the decision to use a 401(k) program: The actor might know that she or he is engaged in suboptimal behavioral (not saving for retirement, abusing a dangerous substance), but may be ambivalent about taking action, or may simply be procrastinating. Alternatively, the actor might not be attending to the negative consequences of her or his actions. Either way, the small change from “reactive” to “proactive” recruitment methods has the potential to dramatically increase the salience of initiating action, even if maintaining that action proves considerably more difficult than remaining enrolled in a 401(k) plan.

In their study of recruitment to a smoking cessation intervention, Prochaska et al (2001) discuss the difference between “reactive” and “proactive” methods. In a “reactive” recruitment, potential clients receive information about smoking cessation programs and must initiate contact to enroll. In a “proactive” recruitment, the smoking cessation program actively calls potential clients, asks them to participate in a brief (20 minute) phone survey on smoking habits, and after the survey asks smokers if they would like to enroll in a low-commitment smoking cessation program. The parallel to “active decision” programs is obvious.

In their study, Prochaska et al (2001) call 32,456 households, identifying 14,266 eligible subjects for their study, with 4,296 smokers. Of these, 4,144 agree to participate in the program, a yield of 80%, compared with the 1% typical of a community-based quit smoking program (Hey and Perera, 2003). Velicher and Prochaska (1999) note that free cessation clinics offered by HMOs typically yield 1% participation rates, and that pro-active methods are used by only 1-3%. Reactively recruited samples generally reach 5% of the available population, who are more likely to be female, highly educated, and readier to quit than the general smoking population.

Similarly, pro-active follow-up is utilized to change the default behavior of recent quitters in danger of falling off the wagon. Among smokers with a desire to quit, researchers have found that proactive telephone calls can serve an important role in follow-up care following smoking cessation interventions. Stead et al (2003) review studies of proactive and reactive telephone follow-up. Proactive calls involve counselors calling clients to provide support. Reactive calls involve telephone quit-lines that clients can choose to call. Stead et al. find clear evidence from randomized studies that adding proactive calling to a minimal intervention can substantially increase quit rates by two to four percentage points, that reactive counseling has not been thoroughly investigated but seems to increase quit rates, and that telephone counseling as a follow-up to face-to-face interventions has only weak evidence for effectiveness. Zhu et al (2002) directly compare proactive calling following the provision of requested self-help materials to a reactive-calling control group. Telephone counseling was provided to 72.1% of the treatment group and 31.6% of the control group. Abstinence rates at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months were 23.7% versus 16.5%, 17.9% versus 12.1%, 12.8% versus 8.6%, and 9.1% versus 6.9% ($p < .001$) respectively. For treatment group subjects who made at least one quit attempt, 12-month abstinence rates were 23.3% versus 18.4% for the control group ($p < .001$). The proactive/reactive distinction is less effective among smokers who have already initiated contact, but remains a useful intervention.

Conclusion

The studies described in this report suggest many areas in which interventions can be better designed to incorporate psychological lessons related to behavior modification. Examples from this meta-analysis of psychological tools that are likely to be low-cost and relatively effective in increasing the impact of existing policies include: identifying “teachable moments,” tailoring interventions to stage of readiness for change, incorporating well-positioned reminders, designing interventions around predicted emotional responses, and incorporating social reinforcement into interventions.

The existing literature also provides evidence that in many settings information and monetary incentives are substantially less effective than overcoming or mitigating psychological influences. For instance, Jeffrey *et al.* (1993) compared the effects of food provision to monetary incentives on weight loss and found that food provision enhanced weight loss, while monetary incentives did not. Similarly, in addiction programs, money has frequently been utilized as a flexible and broadly applicable reinforcer for behavioral change (Higgins et al 1994). However, while very expensive monetary intervention can achieve minimal results in terms of motivating behavioral change (Silverman, 1999), there are generally only weak results of vouchers on addictive behaviors when payouts are low (Iguchi et al, 1997). As a result, to increase the cost-effectiveness of existing behavioral modification programs, more attention should be placed on tailoring interventions to incorporate psychological features of decision-making.

Suggested Directions for Future Research

While the existing literature suggests many broad psychological tools that could benefit the design of behavioral interventions, more research is needed to identify the precise instruments that are likely to be most effective. For instance, what are the most important teachable moments and what is the window of opportunity in these instances? What are the social factors that contribute to the added value of group interventions, and could these mechanisms be transposed into individually-styled interventions? With respect to incorporating emotion into program design, future work could help identify which populations are most easily influenced by emotional manipulation. For instance, among which populations does fear inhibit rather than incentivize behavioral change? Similarly, are there populations for whom competition actually de-motivates as opposed to facilitates change? While lessons from psychology suggest that emotional responses vary widely across the population, and provide some information as to how they vary systematically across types of individuals, more research is needed to most effectively sort individuals across intervention types. Similarly, to most effectively tailor interventions such as stage-matched programs, it would be useful to develop

standardized methods of identifying or predicting type differences among individuals who are observably equivalent. Since many of the theoretical foundations for the observed psychological patterns are still undeveloped, it is possible that future advances in behavioral theory will shed light on such predictions. Finally, more research is needed to learn which of these psychological tools are best at achieving long-term and sustainable behavioral changes.

Part III: Bibliography

Category 1: Preventing Adolescent Pregnancy/Sexual Behavior

1. Eisen M, Zellman GL, McAlister AL (1992). A Health belief model-social learning theory approach to adolescents' fertility control: Findings from a controlled field trial. *Health Education Quarterly*. Summer, 19(2):249-62.
2. Postrado LT, Nicholson HJ (1992). Effectiveness in delaying the initiation of sexual intercourse of girls aged 12-14: Two components of the Girls Incorporated Preventing Adolescent Pregnancy Program. *Youth Sociology*. March, 23(3):356-79.
3. Lieberman LD, Gray H, Wier M, Fiorentino R, Maloney P (2000). Long-term outcomes of an abstinence-based, small-group pregnancy prevention program in New York City schools. *Family Planning Perspectives*. Sep-Oct, 32(5):237-45.
4. Paine-Andrews A, Harris KJ, Fisher JL, Lewis RK, Williams EL, Fawcett SB, Vincent ML (1999). Effects of a replication of a multicomponent model for preventing adolescent pregnancy in three Kansas communities. *Family Planning Perspectives*. Jul-Aug, 31(4):182-9.
5. Mbizvo MT, Kasule J, Gupta V, Rusakaniko S, Kinoti SN, Mpanju-Shumbushu W, Sebina-Zziwa A.J, Mwateba R, Padayachy J (1997). Effects of a randomized health education intervention on aspects of reproductive health knowledge and reported behaviour among adolescents in Zimbabwe. *Social Science and Medicine*. 44(5):573-7.

Category 2: Reducing STD/HIV Risk

0. Meekers, Dominique (1997). Going underground and going after women: combating sexual risk behavior among gold miners in South Africa. PSI Research Division Working Paper, No. 13, 18 pp. Population Services International, Research Division: Washington, D.C.
1. Hobfoll SE, Jackson AP, Lavin J, Johnson RJ, Schroder KE (2002). Effects and generalizability of communally oriented HIV-AIDS prevention versus general health promotion groups for single, inner-city women in urban clinics. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. Aug, 70(4):950-60.
2. Piper JM, Shain RN, Korte JE, Holden AE (2003). Behavioral interventions for prevention of sexually transmitted diseases in women: a physician's perspective. *Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics of North America*. Dec, 30(4):659-69.
3. Patterson TL, Shaw WS, Semple SJ (2003). Reducing the sexual risk behaviors of HIV+ individuals: outcome of a randomized controlled trial. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*. Spring, 25(2):137-45.
4. Shain RN, Perdue ST, Piper JM, Holden AE, Champion JD, Newton ER, Korte JE (2002). Behaviors changed by intervention are associated with reduced STD recurrence: the importance of context in measurement. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*. Sep, 29(9):520-9.
5. Semaan S, Kay L, Strouse D, Sogolow E, Mullen PD, Neumann MS, Flores SA, Peersman G, Johnson WD, Lipman PD, Eke A, Des Jarlais DC (2002). A profile of U.S.-based trials of

- behavioral and social interventions for HIV risk reduction. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome*. Jul 1, 30(3):S30-50.
6. Dilley JW, Woods WJ, Sabatino J, Lihatsch T, Adler B, Casey S, Rinaldi J, Brand R, McFarland W (2002). Changing sexual behavior among gay male repeat testers for HIV: a randomized, controlled trial of a single-session intervention. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome*. Jun 1, 30(2):177-86.
 7. St Lawrence JS, Wilson TE, Eldridge GD, Brasfield TL, O'Bannon RE III (2001). Community-based interventions to reduce low income, African American women's risk of sexually transmitted diseases: a randomized controlled trial of three theoretical models. *American Journal of Community Psychology*. Dec, 29(6):937-64.
 8. Aids Alert (1998). Intervention can help reduce risky sexual behavior. Sep, 13(9):S1-2.
 9. Peterman TA, Lin LS, Newman DR, Kamb ML, Bolan G, Zenilman J, Douglas JM Jr, Rogers J, Malotte CK (2000). Does measured behavior reflect STD risk? An analysis of data from a randomized controlled behavioral intervention study, Project RESPECT Study Group. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*. Sep, 27(8):446-51.
 10. Jemmott JB III, Jemmott LS, Fong GT, McCaffree K (1999). Reducing HIV risk-associated sexual behavior among African American adolescents: testing the generality of intervention effects. *American Journal of Community Psychology*. Apr, 27(2):161-87.
 11. Kalichman SC, Williams E, Nachimson D (1999). Brief behavioural skills building intervention for female controlled methods of STD-HIV prevention: outcomes of a randomized clinical field trial. *International Journal of STD and AIDS*. Mar, 10(3):174-81
 12. Shain RN, Piper JM, Newton ER, Perdue ST, Ramos R, Champion JD, Guerra FA (1999). A randomized, controlled trial of a behavioral intervention to prevent sexually transmitted disease among minority women. *New England Journal of Medicine*. Jan 14, 340(2):93-100.
 13. Branson BM, Peterman TA, Cannon RO, Ransom R, Zaidi AA (1998). Group counseling to prevent sexually transmitted disease and HIV: A randomized controlled trial. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*. Nov, 25(10):553-60.
 14. Belcher L, Kalichman S, Topping M, Smith S, Emshoff J, Norris F, Nurss J (1998). A randomized trial of a brief HIV risk reduction counseling intervention for women. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. Oct, 66(5):856-61.
 15. Jemmott JB III, Jemmott LS, Fong GT (1998). Abstinence and safer sex HIV risk-reduction interventions for African American adolescents: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. May 20, 279(19):1529-36.
 16. Boyer CB, Barrett DC, Peterman TA, Bolan G (1997). Sexually transmitted disease (STD) and HIV risk in heterosexual adults attending a public STD clinic: Evaluation of a randomized controlled behavioral risk-reduction intervention trial. *AIDS*. Mar, 11(3):359-67.
 17. Orr DP, Langefeld CD, Katz BP, Caine VA (1996). Behavioral intervention to increase condom use among high-risk female adolescents. *Journal of Pediatrics*. Feb, 128(2):288-95.
 18. Hoffman S, Exner TM, Leu CS, Ehrhardt AA, Stein Z (2003). Female-condom use in a gender-specific family planning clinic trial. *American Journal of Public Health*. Nov, 93(11):1897-903.
 19. Halpern J, Finger WR (1992). Prevention of STDs - The challenge of changing behaviors. *Network*. Apr, 12(4):16-8.
 20. Ford NJ, Koetsawang S (1999). Narrative explorations and self-esteem: Research, intervention and policy for HIV prevention in the sex industry in Thailand. *International Journal of Population Geography*. May-Jun, 5(3):213-33.
 21. Fisher JD, Fisher WA, Misovich SJ, Kimble DL, Malloy TE (1996). Changing AIDS risk behavior: Effects of an intervention emphasizing AIDS risk reduction information, motivation, and behavioral skills in a college student population. *Health Psychology*. Mar, 15(2):114-23.

22. Weisse CS, Turbiasz AA, Whitney DJ (1995). Behavioral training and AIDS risk reduction: overcoming barriers to condom use. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. Feb, 7(1):50-9.
23. Rhodes F, Wolitski RJ, Thornton-Johnson S (1992). An experiential program to reduce AIDS risk among female sex partners of injection-drug users. *Health and Social Work*. Nov, 17(4):261-72.
5. Wilson D, Mparadzi A, Lavelle S (1992). An experimental comparison of two AIDS prevention interventions among young Zimbabweans. *Journal of Social Psychology*. Jun, 132(3):415-7.

Category 3: Family Planning and Birth-spacing

0. Bertrand, Jane, de Salazar, Sandra G., Mazariegos, Lidia, Salanic, Ventura, Rice, Janet, Sow, Christine K (1999). Promoting birthspacing among the Maya-Quiché of Guatemala. *International Family Planning Perspectives*. Dec, 25(4):160-7.
0. Wang, CC, Vittinghoff E, Lu SH, Wang HY, Zhou MR (1998). Reducing pregnancy and induced abortion rates in China: Family planning with husband participation. *American Journal of Public Health*. Apr, 88(4):646-8.
0. Namerow PB, Weatherby N, Williams-Kaye J (1989). The effectiveness of contingency-planning counseling. *Family Planning Perspectives*. May-Jun, 21(3):115-9.
0. Guthrie GM, Fernandez TL, Estrera NO (1984). Small-scale studies and field experiments in family planning in the Philippines. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*. 8(4):391-412.
0. Seth, Niti (1987). Baira ni vato--women's talk: a psychological context for exploring fertility options in traditional societies. Pub. Order No. DA8711671. 406 pp. University Microfilms International: Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Category 4: Other Reproductive Health Topics

0. Steele F, Amin S, Naved RT (1998). The impact of an integrated micro-credit program on women's empowerment and fertility behavior in rural Bangladesh. Population Council Policy Research Division Working Paper, No. 115, 1998. 39 pp. Population Council, Policy Research Division: New York, New York.
0. Becker, Stan (1996). Couples and reproductive health: a review of couple studies. *Studies in Family Planning*. Nov-Dec, 27(6):291-306.
0. Rodriguez-Garcia R, Aumack KJ, Ramos A (1990). A community-based approach to the promotion of breastfeeding in Mexico. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, & Neonatal Nursing*. Sep-Oct, 19(5):431-8.

Category 5: Physical Activity

NOTE: THREE ENTIRE SUPPLEMENTS FROM AM J PREV MED ARE DEVOTED TO PHYSICAL ACTIVITY:

- Volume 25, Issue 3, Supplement 2, Pages 107-217 (October 2003)
Physical Activity: Preventing Physical Disablement in Older Adults
 - Volume 25, Issue 3, Supplement 1, Pages 1-105 (October 2003)
Physical Activity in Women from Diverse Racial/Ethnic Groups: Environmental, Policy, and Cultural Factors
 - Volume 23, Issue 2, Supplement 1, Pages 1-108 (August 2002)
0. Napolitano MA, Fotheringham M, Tate D, Sciamanna C, Leslie E, Owen N, Bauman A, Marcus B (2003). Evaluation of an internet-based physical activity intervention: a preliminary investigation. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*. Spring; 25(2):92-9.
 1. Wylie-Rosett J, Swencionis C, Ginsberg M, Cimino C, Wassertheil-Smoller S, Caban A, Segal-Isaacson CJ, Martin T, Lewis J (2001). Computerized weight loss intervention optimizes staff time: the clinical and cost results of a controlled clinical trial conducted in a managed care setting. *Journal of the American Dietary Association*. Oct, 101(10):1155-62.
 2. Coleman KJ, Gonzalez EC, Cooley T (2000). An objective measure of reinforcement and its implications for exercise promotion in sedentary Hispanic and Anglo women. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*. Summer; 22(3):229-36.
 3. Marcus BH, Emmons KM, Simkin-Silverman LR, Linnan LA, Taylor ER, Bock BC, Roberts MB, Rossi JS, Abrams DB (1998). Evaluation of motivationally tailored vs. standard self-help physical activity interventions at the workplace. *American Journal of Health Promotion*. Mar-Apr, 12(4):246-53.
 4. Bock BC, Marcus BH, Pinto BM, Forsyth LH (2001). Maintenance of physical activity following an individualized motivationally tailored intervention. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*. Spring, 23(2):79-87.
 5. Blake SM, Caspersen CJ, Finnegan J, Crow RA, Mittlemark MB, Ringhofer KR (1996). The shape up challenge: a community-based worksite exercise competition. *Am J Health Promot*. Sep-Oct, 11(1):23-34
 6. Miller YD, Trost SG, Brown WJ (2002). Mediators of physical activity behavior change among women with young children. *American Journal of Preventative Medicine*. Aug, 23(2):98-103.
 7. Sevick MA, Dunn AL, Morrow MS, Marcus BH, Chen GJ, Blair SN (2000). Cost-effectiveness of lifestyle and structured exercise interventions in sedentary adults: results of project ACTIVE. *American Journal of Preventative Medicine*. Jul, 19(1):1-8.
 8. Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, Garcia ME, Kohl HW III, Blair SN (1997). Reduction in cardiovascular disease risk factors: 6-month results from Project Active. *Preventative Medicine*. Nov-Dec, 26(6):883-92.
 9. Marcus BH, Bock BC, Pinto BM, Forsyth LH, Roberts MB, Traficante RM (1998). Efficacy of an individualized, motivationally-tailored physical activity intervention. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*. Summer; 20(3):174-80.
 10. Noland MP (1989). The effects of self-monitoring and reinforcement on exercise adherence. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. Sep, 60(3): 216-24.
 11. Kirk A, Mutrie N, MacIntyre P, Fisher M (2003). Increasing physical activity in people with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. Apr, 26(4):1186-92.

12. Proper KI, Hildebrandt VH, Van der Beek AJ, Twisk JW, Van Mechelen W (2003). Effect of individual counseling on physical activity fitness and health: a randomized controlled trial in a workplace setting. *American Journal of Preventative Medicine*. Apr, 24(3):218-26.
13. Russell WD, Hutchinson J (2000). Comparison of health promotion and deterrent prompts in increasing use of stairs over escalators. *Percept Mot Skills*. Aug, 91(1):55-61.
14. Steptoe A, Rink E, Kerry S. Psychosocial predictors of changes in physical activity in overweight sedentary adults following counseling in primary care. *Preventative Medicine*. 2000 Aug; 31(2 Pt 1):183-94.
15. Resnick B (2002). Testing the effect of the WALC intervention on exercise adherence in older adults. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*. Jun, 28(6):40-9.
16. Bauman AE, Bellew B, Owen N, Vita P (2001). Impact of an Australian mass media campaign targeting physical activity in 1998. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. Jul, 21(1):41-7.
17. Goldfield GS, Kalakanis LE, Ernst MM, Epstein LH (2000). Open-loop feedback to increase physical activity in obese children. *International Journal of Obesity & Related Metabolic Disorders: Journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity*. July, 24(7):888-92.
18. Brassington GS, Atienza AA, Perczek RE, DiLorenzo TM, King AC (2002). Intervention-related cognitive versus social mediators of exercise adherence in the elderly. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. Aug, 23(2):80-6.
19. Kumanyika SK, Obarzanek E, Robinson TN, Beech BM (2003). Phase 1 of the Girls health Enrichment Multi-site Studies (GEMS): conclusion. *Ethn Dis*. Winter; 13(1 Suppl 1):S88-91.
20. Rejeski WJ, Brawley LR, Ambrosius WT, Brubaker PH, Focht BC, Foy CG, Fox LD. Older adults with chronic disease: benefits of group mediated counseling in the promotion of physically active lifestyles. *Health Psychology*. 2003 Jul;22(4):414-23.
21. Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, Garcia ME, Kohl HW III, Blair SN (1999). Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. Jan 27; 281(4):327-34.
22. Epstein LH, Smith JA, Vara LS, Rodefer JS (1991). Behavioral economic analysis of activity choice in obese children. *Health Psychology*. 10(5):311-6.
23. Saelens BE, Epstein LH. Behavioral engineering of activity choice in obese children. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1998 Mar;22(3):275-7.
24. Epstein LH, Saelens BE, Myers MD, Vito D (1997). Effects of decreasing sedentary behaviors on activity choice in obese children. *Health Psychology*. Mar,16(2):107-13.
25. K.D. Brownell, A.J Stunkard and JM Albaum (1980). Evaluation and modification of exercise patterns in the natural environment. *American Journal of Psychiatry*.137:1540–1545.
26. King AC, Haskell WL, Taylor CB, Kraemer HC, DeBusk RF (1991). Group- vs home-based exercise training in healthy older men and women. A community-based clinical trial. *JAMA*. Sep 18, 266(11):1535-42.
27. King AC, Taylor CB, Haskell WL, Debusk RF (1988). Strategies for increasing early adherence to and long-term maintenance of home-based exercise training in healthy middle-aged men and women. *American Journal of Cardiology*. Mar 1, 61(8):628-32.
28. [No authors listed] Increasing physical activity. A report on recommendations of the Task Force on Community Preventive Services. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2001 Oct 26; 50(RR-18): 1-14.
29. Woods C, Mutrie N, Scott M (2002). Physical activity intervention: A transtheoretical model-based intervention designed to help sedentary young adults become active. *Health Education Resource*. Aug, 17(4): 451-60.
30. Calfas KJ, Sallis JF, Nichols JF, Sarkin JA, Johnson MF, Caparosa S, Thompson S, Gehrman CA, Alcaraz JE (2000). Project GRAD: Two-year outcomes of a randomized controlled physical

- activity intervention among young adults. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. Jan,18(1):28-37.
31. Lewis BA, Marcus BH, Pate RR, Dunn AL (2002). Psychosocial mediators of physical activity behavior among adults and children. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. Aug, 23(2 Suppl):26-35.
 32. Lovibond SH, Birrell PC, Langeluddecke P (1986). Changing coronary heart disease risk-factor status: the effects of three behavioral programs. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. Oct, 9(5):415-37.
 33. Goldfield GS, Epstein LH, Kilanowski CK, Paluch RA, Kogut-Bossler B (2001). Cost-effectiveness of group and mixed family-based treatment for childhood obesity. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*. Dec, 25(12):1843-9.
 34. The Writing Group for the Activity Counseling Trial Research Group Effects of physical activity counseling in primary care: the Activity Counseling Trial: a randomized controlled trial [comment]. *JAMA*. 286(6):677-87, 2001 Aug 8.
 35. Worcester MU, Stojcevski Z, Murphy B, Goble AJ (2003). Long-term behavioral outcomes after attendance at a secondary prevention clinic for cardiac patients. *Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation*. Nov-Dec, 23(6):415-22
 36. Segar M, Jayaratne T, Hanlon J, Richardson CR (2002). Fitting fitness into women's lives: effects of a gender-tailored physical activity intervention. *Women's Health Issues*. Nov-Dec, 12(6):338-47.
 37. Yanek LR, Becker DM, Moy TF, Gittelsohn J, Koffman DM (2001). Project Joy: faith based cardiovascular health promotion for African American women. *Public Health Report*. 116(Suppl 1): 68-81.
 38. Kerner MS, Grossman AH (2001). Scale construction for measuring attitude, beliefs, perception of control, and intention to exercise. *Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*. Mar, 41(1):124-31
 39. Jakicic JM, Winters C, Lang W, Wing RR (1999). Effects of intermittent exercise and use of home exercise equipment on adherence, weight loss, and fitness in overweight women: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. Oct 27; 282(16):1554-60.
 40. Sarraf-Zadegan N, Sadri G, Malek Afzali H, Baghaei M, Mohammadi Fard N, Shahrokhi S, Toloie H, Poormoghaddas M, Sadeghi M, Tavassoli A, Rafiei M, Kelishadi R, Rabiei K, Bashardoost N, Boshtam M, Asgary S, Naderi G, Changiz T, Yousefie A. Isfahan (2003). Healthy Heart Programme: a comprehensive integrated community-based programme for cardiovascular disease prevention and control. Design, methods and initial experience. *Acta Cardiol*. Aug; 58(4):309-20.
 41. Grembowski D, Patrick D, Diehr P, Durham M, Beresford S, Kay E, Hecht J (1993). Self-efficacy and health behavior among older adults. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. Jun, 34(2):89-104.
 42. Rowley KG, Daniel M, Skinner K, Skinner M, White GA, O'Dea K (2000). Effectiveness of a community-directed 'healthy lifestyle' program in a remote Australian aboriginal community. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Public Health*. Apr, 24(2):136-44.
 43. Stone EJ, Osganian SK, McKinlay SM, Wu MC, Webber LS, Luepker RV, Perry CL, Parcel GS, Elder JP (1996). Operational design and quality control in the CATCH multicenter Trial. *Preventive Medicine*. Jul-Aug, 25(4):384-99.
 44. Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M, Hannan PJ, Tharp T, Rex J (2003). Factors associated with changes in physical activity: A cohort study of inactive adolescent girls. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. Aug, 157(8):803-10.

45. Blanchard CM, Courneya KS, Rodgers WM, Fraser SN, Murray TC, Daub B, Black B (2003). Is the theory of planned behavior a useful framework for understanding exercise adherence during phase II cardiac rehabilitation? *Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation*. Jan-Feb, 23(1):29-39.
 46. Toobert DJ, Glasgow RE, Radcliffe JL (2000). Physiologic and related behavioral outcomes from the Women's Lifestyle Heart Trial. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*. Winter, 22(1):1-9.
 47. Schultz SJ (1993). Educational and behavioral strategies related to knowledge of and participation in an exercise program after cardiac positron emission tomography. *Patient Educ Couns*. Nov, 22(1):47-57.
 48. Nichols JF, Wellman E, Caparosa S, Sallis JF, Calfas KJ, Rowe R (2000). Impact of a worksite behavioral skills intervention. *American Journal of Health Promotion*. Mar-Apr, 14(4):218-21, ii.
 49. Brawley LR, Rejeski WJ, King AC (2003). Promoting physical activity for older adults: the challenges for changing behavior. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. Oct, 25(3 Suppl 2):172-83.
 50. Conn VS, Minor MA, Burks KJ, Rantz MJ, Pomeroy SH (2003). Integrative review of physical activity intervention research with aging adults. *Journal of American Geriatric Society*. Aug, 51(8):1159-68.
 51. Dubbert PM (1992). Exercise in behavioral medicine. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. Aug, 60(4):613-8.
 52. Epstein LH (1998). Integrating theoretical approaches to promote physical activity. *American Journal Preventive Medicine*. Nov, 15(4): 257-65.
 53. Marcus BH, Nigg CR, Riebe D, Forsyth LH (2000). Interactive communication strategies: implications for population-based physical-activity promotion. *American Journal Preventive Medicine*. Aug, 19(2):121-6.
 54. Marcus BH, Dubbert PM, Forsyth LH, McKenzie TL, Stone EJ, Dunn AL, Blair SN (2000). Physical activity behavior change: issues in adoption and maintenance. *Health Psychology*. Jan,19(1 Suppl):32-41.
 55. Ory MG, Jordan PJ, Bazzarre T (2002). The Behavior Change Consortium: setting the stage for a new century of health behavior-change research. *Health Educ Res*. Oct, 17(5):500-11.
 56. Prochaska,JO, DiClemente CC (1982). Transtheoretical therapy toward a more integrative model of change. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice*. 19(3): 276-287.
 57. Revere D, Dunbar PJ (2001). Review of computer-generated outpatient health behavior interventions: clinical encounters "in absentia". *Journal of American Medical Information Association*. Jan-Feb, 8(1):62-79.
 58. Sherwood NE, Jeffery RW (2000). The behavioral determinants of exercise: implications for physical activity interventions. *Annual Review of Nutrition*. 20:21-44.
 59. Simons-Morton DG, Calfas KJ, Oldenburg B, Burton NW (1998). Effects of interventions in health care settings on physical activity or cardiorespiratory fitness. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. Nov, 15(4):413-30.
 60. [No authors listed] (2001). Increasing physical activity. A report on recommendations of the Task Force on Community Preventive Services. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. Oct 26, 50(RR-18):1-14.
 61. Eden KB, Orleans CT, Mulrow CD, Pender NJ, Teutsch SM (2002). Does counseling by clinicians improve physical activity? A summary of the evidence for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. Aug 6, 137(3):208-15.
-

Category 6: Obesity

0. Baum JG, Clark HB, Sandler J (1991). Preventing relapse in obesity through posttreatment maintenance systems: comparing the relative efficacy of two levels of therapist support. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. Jun, 14(3): 287-302.
1. Burke V, Giangiulio N, Gillam HF, Beilin LJ, Houghton S (2003). Physical activity and nutrition programs for couples: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. May, 56(5):421-32.
2. Chomitz VR, Collins J, Kim J, Kramer E, McGowan R (2003). Promoting healthy weight among elementary school children via a health report card approach. *Archives of Pediatric Adolescent Medicine*. Aug, 157(8):765-72.
3. Cousins JH, Rubovits DS, Dunn JK, Reeves RS, Ramirez AG, Foreyt JP (1992). Family versus individually oriented intervention for weight loss in Mexican American women. *Public Health Report*. Sep-Oct, 107(5): 549-55.
4. Dennis KE, Pane KW, Adams BK, Qi BB (1999). The impact of a shipboard weight control program. *Obesity Research*. Jan, 7(1): 60-7.
5. Dornelas EA, Wylie-Rosett J, Swencionis C (1998). The DIET study: long-term outcomes of a cognitive-behavioral weight-control intervention in independent-living elders. Dietary Intervention: Evaluation of Technology. *Journal of the American Dietary Association*. Nov, 98(11): 1276-81.
6. Epstein LH, McKenzie SJ, Valoski A, Klein KR, Wing RR (1994). Effects of mastery criteria and contingent reinforcement for family-based child weight control. *Addictive Behavior*. Mar-Apr, 19(2): 135-45.
7. Epstein LH, Valoski A, Wing RR, McCurley J (1990). Ten-year follow-up of behavioral, family-based treatment for obese children. *JAMA*. Nov 21, 264(19): 2519-23
8. Fuller PR, Perri MG, Leermakers EA, Guyer LK (1998). Effects of a personalized system of skill acquisition and an educational program in the treatment of obesity. *Addictive Behavior*. Jan-Feb, 23(1):97-100.
9. Jeffery RW, Wing RR, Thorson C, Burton LR, Raether C, Harvey J, Mullen M (1993). Strengthening behavioral interventions for weight loss: a randomized trial of food provision and monetary incentives. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. Dec, 61(6): 1038-45.
10. Kuller LH, Simkin-Silverman LR, Wing RR, Meilahn EN, Ives DG (2001). Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project: A randomized clinical trial: results at 54 months. *Circulation*. Jan,103(1):32-7.
11. Leermakers EA, Perri MG, Shigaki CL, Fuller PR (1999). Effects of exercise-focused versus weight-focused maintenance programs on the management of obesity. *Addictive Behavior*. Mar-Apr, 24(2): 219-27.
12. Perri MG, Nezu AM, McKelvey WF, Shermer RL, Renjilian DA, Viegner BJ (2001). Relapse prevention training and problem-solving therapy in the long-term management of obesity. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. Aug, 69(4):722-6.
13. Perri MG, Martin AD, Leermakers EA, Sears SF, Notelovitz M (1997). Effects of group- versus home-based exercise in the treatment of obesity. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. Apr, 65(2): 278-85.
14. Robinson TN, Killen JD, Kraemer HC, Wilson DM, Matheson DM, Haskell WL, Pruitt LA, Powell TM, Owens AS, Thompson NS, Flint-Moore NM, Davis GJ, Emig KA, Brown RT, Rochon J, Green S, Varady A (2003). Dance and reducing television viewing to prevent weight

- gain in African-American girls: the Stanford GEMS pilot study. *Ethn Dis.* Winter, 13(1 Suppl 1):S65-77.
15. Robinson TN (1999). Reducing children's television viewing to prevent obesity: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* Oct 27, 282(16):1561-7.
 16. Sbrocco T, Nedegaard RC, Stone JM, Lewis EL (1999). Behavioral choice treatment promotes continuing weight loss: preliminary results of a cognitive-behavioral decision-based treatment for obesity. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology.* Apr, 67(2): 260-6.
 17. Tate DF, Jackvony EH, Wing RR (2003). Effects of Internet behavioral counseling on weight loss in adults at risk for type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial. *JAMA.* Apr 9, 289(14): 1833-6. 24(2): 219-27.
 18. Tate DF, Wing RR, Winett RA (2001). Using Internet technology to deliver a behavioral weight loss program. *JAMA.* Mar 7, 285(9): 1172-7
 19. Wylie-Rosett J, Swencionis C, Ginsberg M, Cimino C, Wassertheil-Smoller S, Caban A, Segal-Isaacson CJ, Martin T, Lewis J (2001). Computerized weight loss intervention optimizes staff time: the clinical and cost results of a controlled clinical trial conducted in a managed care setting.
 20. Brownell KD, Kramer FM (1989). Behavioral management of obesity. *Med Clin North Am.* Jan, 73(1):185-201.
 21. Campbell K, Waters E, O'Meara S, Kelly S, Summerbell C (2002). Interventions for preventing obesity in children. *Cochrane Database System Review.* (2):CD001871.
 22. Epstein LH, Myers MD, Raynor HA, Saelens BE (1998). Treatment of pediatric obesity. *Pediatrics.* Mar, 101(3 Pt 2):554-70.
 23. Foreyt JP, Goodrick GK (1993). Evidence for success of behavior modification in weight loss and control. *Annals of Internal Medicine.* Oct 1, 119(7 Pt 2):698-701.
 24. Foreyt JP, Poston WS (1998). What is the role of cognitive-behavior therapy in patient management? *Obesity Research.* Apr, 6 Suppl 1:18S-22S..
 25. Glenny AM, O'Meara S, Melville A, Sheldon TA, Wilson C (1997). The treatment and prevention of obesity: a systematic review of the literature. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders.* Sep, 21(9):715-37.
 26. Hardeman W, Griffin S, Johnston M, Kinmonth AL, Wareham NJ (2000). Interventions to prevent weight gain: a systematic review of psychological models and behaviour change methods. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders.* Feb, 24(2):131-43.
 27. McLean N, Griffin S, Toney K, Hardeman W (2003). Family involvement in weight control, weight maintenance and weight-loss interventions: a systematic review of randomised trials. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders.* Sep, 27(9):987-1005.
 28. McTiernan A (2003). Behavioral risk factors in breast cancer: can risk be modified? *Oncologist.* 8(4):326-34.
 29. Parsons TJ, Power C, Logan S, Summerbell CD (1999). Childhood predictors of adult obesity: a systematic review. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders.* Nov, 23 Suppl 8:S1-107.
 30. Swinburn B, Egger G (2002). Preventive strategies against weight gain and obesity. *Obesity Research.* Nov, 3(4):289-301.

0. Allen JD, Stoddard AM, Mays J, Sorensen G (2001). Promoting breast and cervical cancer screening at the workplace: results from the Woman to Woman Study. *American Journal of Public Health*. Apr, 91(4):584-90.
1. Audrain J, Rimer B, Cella D, Stefanek M, Garber J, Pennanen M, Helzlsouer K, Vogel V, Lin TH, Lerman C (1999). The impact of a brief coping skills intervention on adherence to breast self-examination among first-degree relatives of newly diagnosed breast cancer patients. *Psychooncology*. May-Jun, 8(3):220-9.
2. Champion V, Huster G (1995). Effect of interventions on stage of mammography adoption. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. Apr, 18(2):169-87.
3. Champion VL, Skinner CS, Foster JL (2000). The effects of standard care counseling or telephone/in-person counseling on beliefs, knowledge, and behavior related to mammography screening. *Oncology Nursing Forum*. Nov-Dec, 27(10):1565-71.
4. Champion VL, Skinner CS (2003). Differences in perceptions of risk, benefits, and barriers by stage of mammography adoption. *Journal of Women's Health (Larchmt)*. Apr, 12(3):277-86.
5. Duan N, Fox SA, Derose KP, Carson S (2000). Maintaining mammography adherence through telephone counseling in a church-based trial. *American Journal of Public Health*. Sep, 90(9):1468-71.
6. Ferris DG, Golden NH, Petry LJ, Litaker MS, Nackenson M, Woodward LD (1996). Effectiveness of breast self-examination prompts on oral contraceptive packaging. *Journal of Family Practice*. Jan, 42(1):43-8.
7. Giles JT, Kennedy DT, Dunn EC, Wallace WL, Meadows SL, Cafiero AC (2001). Results of a community pharmacy-based breast cancer risk-assessment and education program. *Pharmacotherapy*. Feb, 21(2):243-53.
8. Grady KE, Goodenow C, Borkin JR (1988). The effect of reward on compliance with breast self-examination. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. Feb, 11(1):43-57.
9. Hiatt RA, Pasick RJ, Stewart S, Bloom J, Davis P, Gardiner P, Johnston M, Luce J, Schorr K, Brunner W, Stroud F (2001). Community-based cancer screening for underserved women: design and baseline findings from the Breast and Cervical Cancer Intervention Study. *Preventive Medicine*. Sep, 33(3):190-203.
10. Jones AR, Thompson CJ, Oster RA, Samadi A, Davis MK, Mayberry RM, Caplan LS (2003). Breast cancer knowledge, beliefs, and screening behaviors among low-income, elderly black women. *Journal of the National Medical Association*. Sep, 95(9):791-7, 802-5.
11. Lauver DR, Henriques JB, Settersten L, Bumann MC (2003). Psychosocial variables, external barriers, and stage of mammography adoption. *Health Psychology*. Nov, 22(6):649-53.
12. Mayer JA, Beach DL, Hillman E, Kellogg MC, Carter M (1991). The effects of co-worker-delivered prompts on breast self-examination frequency. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. Jan-Feb, 7(1):9-11.
13. Newell SA, Sanson-Fisher RW, Girgis A, Davey HM (2002). Can personal health record booklets improve cancer screening behaviors? *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. Jan, 22(1):15-22.
14. Rakowski W, Ehrich B, Goldstein MG, Rimer BK, Pearlman DN, Clark MA, Velicer WF, Woolverton H III (1998). Increasing mammography among women aged 40-74 by use of a stage-matched, tailored intervention. *Preventive Medicine*. Sep-Oct, 27(5 Pt 1):748-56.
15. Schapira DV, Kumar NB, Clark RA, Yag C (1992). Mammography screening credit card and compliance. *Cancer*. Jul 15, 70(2):509-12.
16. Schootman M, Jeffe DB, Reschke AH, Aft RL (2003). Disparities related to socioeconomic status and access to medical care remain in the United States among women who never had a mammogram. *Cancer Causes Control*. Jun, 14(5):419-25.

17. Schwartz MD, Rimer BK, Daly M, Sands C, Lerman C (1999). A randomized trial of breast cancer risk counseling: the impact on self-reported mammography use. *American Journal of Public Health*. Jun, 89(6):924-6.
18. Zhu K, Hunter S, Bernard LJ, Payne-Wilks K, Roland CL, Elam LC, Feng Z, Levine RS (2002). An intervention study on screening for breast cancer among single African-American women aged 65 and older. *Preventive Medicine*. May, 34(5):536-45.

Category 8: Sun Protection

0. Azizi E, Flint P, Sadetzki S, Solomon A, Lerman Y, Harari G, Pavlotsky F, Kushelevsky A, Glesinger R, Shani E, Rosenberg L A graded work site intervention program to improve sun protection and skin cancer awareness in outdoor workers in Israel. *Cancer Causes & Control*. 11(6):513-21, 2000 Jul.
1. Buller DB, Burgoon M, Hall JR, Levine N, Taylor AM, Beach BH, Melcher C, Buller MK, Bowen SL, Hunsaker FG, Bergen A. Using language intensity to increase the success of a family intervention to protect children from ultraviolet radiation: predictions from language expectancy theory. *Preventive Medicine*. 30(2):103-13, 2000 Feb.
2. Buller MK, Goldberg G, Buller DB Sun Smart Day: a pilot program for photoprotection education. *Pediatric Dermatology*. 14(4):257-63, 1997.
3. Crane LA, Schneider LS, Yohn JJ, Morelli JG, Plomer KD "Block the sun, not the fun": evaluation of a skin cancer prevention program for child care centers. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 17(1):31-7, 1999 Jul.
4. Detweiler JB, Bedell BT, Salovey P, Pronin E, Rothman AJ Message framing and sunscreen use: gain-framed messages motivate beach-goers. *Health Psychology*. 18(2):189-96, 1999 Mar.
5. Dietrich AJ, Olson AL, Sox CH, Tosteson TD, Grant-Petersson J. Persistent increase in children's sun protection in a randomized controlled community trial. *Preventive Medicine*. 31(5):569-74, 2000 Nov.
6. Gerbert B, Wolff M, Tschann JM, McPhee SJ, Caspers NM, Martin MJ, Saulovich A Activating patients to practice skin cancer prevention: Response to mailed materials from physicians versus HMOs. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 13(3):214-220, 1997.
7. Gillespie AM, Lowe JB, O'Connor Fleming ML, Stanton WR, Balanda KP, Del Mar CB, Wilson A The development of a school-based teaching resource package for adolescent skin cancer prevention *Health Promot J Aust* 8(2):151-6, 1998.
8. Glanz K, Geller AC, Shigaki D, Maddock JE, Isnec MR. A randomized trial of skin cancer prevention in aquatics settings: the Pool Cool program. *Health Psychology*. 21(6):579-87, 2002 Nov.
9. Hewitt M, Denman S, Hayes L, Pearson J, Wallbanks C Evaluation of 'Sun-safe': A health education resource for primary schools. *Health Education Research*. 16(5):623-633, 2001.
10. Hughes BR, Altman DG, Newton JA Melanoma and skin cancer: evaluation of a health education programme for secondary schools *British Journal of Dermatology*. 128(4):412-7, 1993.
11. Mermelstein R, Weeks K, Turner L, Cobb J When tailored feedback backfires: A skin cancer prevention intervention for adolescents. *Cancer Research Therapy & Control*. 8(1-2):69-79, 1999.

12. Milne E, English DR, Johnston R, Cross D, Borland R, Costa C, Giles-Corti B. Improved sun protection behaviour in children after two years of the Kidskin intervention. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Public Health*. 24(5):481-487, 2000.
13. Mitchell J, Palmer S, Booth M, Davies GP A randomised trial of an intervention to develop health promoting schools in Australia: The south western Sydney study. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Public Health*. 24(3):242-246, 2000.
14. Neale R, Williams G, Green A (2002). Application patterns among participants randomized to daily sunscreen use in a skin cancer prevention trial. *Archives of Dermatology*. Oct, 138(10):1319-25.
15. Novick M (1997). To burn or not to burn: use of computer-enhanced stimuli to encourage application of sunscreens. *Cutis*. 60(2):105-8.
16. Richard MA, Martin S, Gouvernet J, Folchetti G, Bonerandi JJ, Grob JJ (1999). Humour and alarmism in melanoma prevention: a randomized controlled study of three types of information leaflet. *British Journal of Dermatology*. May, 140(5):909-14.
17. Rodrigue JR Promoting healthier behaviors, attitudes, and beliefs toward sun exposure in parents of young children. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology*. 64(6):1431-1436, 1996.
18. Weinstock MA, Rossi JS, Redding CA, Maddock JE (2002). Randomized controlled community trial of the efficacy of a multicomponent stage-matched intervention to increase sun protection among beachgoers. *Preventive Medicine*. Dec, 35(6):584-92c.

Category 9: Oral hygiene

0. Baab D, Weinstein P (1986). Longitudinal evaluation of a self-inspection plaque index in periodontal recall patients. [Clinical Trial. Journal Article. Randomized Controlled Trial] *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*. Apr, 13(4):313-8.
1. Buischi YA, Axelsson P, Oliveira LB, Mayer MP, Gjermo P (1994). Effect of two preventive programs on oral health knowledge and habits among Brazilian schoolchildren. *Community Dentistry & Oral Epidemiology*. 22(1):41-6.
2. Feil PH, Grauer JS, Gadbury-Amyot CC, Kula K, McCuniff MD (2002). Intentional use of the Hawthorne effect to improve oral hygiene compliance in orthodontic patients. *Journal of Dental Education*. Oct, 66(10):1129-35.
3. Godin MC (1976). The effect of visual feedback and self-scaling on plaque control behavior. *Journal of Periodontology*. 47(1):34-7.
4. Horowitz LG, Dillenberg J, Rattray J (1987). Self-care motivation: a model for primary preventive oral health behavior change. *Journal of School Health*. Mar, 57(3):114-8.
5. Julien MG (1994). The effect of behaviour modification techniques on oral hygiene and gingival health of 10-year-old Canadian children. *International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry*. Mar, 4(1):3-11.
6. Little SJ, Hollis JF, Stevens VJ, Mount K, Mullooly JP, Johnson BD (1997). Effective group behavioral intervention for older periodontal patients. *Journal of Periodontal Research*. April, 32(3):315-25.
7. Pine CM, McGoldrick PM, Burnside G, Curnow MM, Chesters RK, Nicholson J, Huntington E (2000). An intervention programme to establish regular toothbrushing: understanding parents'

- beliefs and motivating children. *International Dentistry Journal*. Suppl Creating A Successful:312-23.
8. Redmond CA, Blinkhorn FA, Kay EJ, Davies RM, Worthington HV, Blinkhorn AS (1999). A cluster randomized controlled trial testing the effectiveness of a school-based dental health education program for adolescents. *Journal of Public Health Dentistry*. Winter, 59(1):12-7.
 9. Richter DD, Nanda RS, Sinha PK, Smith DW (1998). Effect of behavior modification on patient compliance in orthodontics. *Angle Orthodontist*. 68(2):123-32.
 10. Stewart JE, Wolfe GR, Maeder L, Hartz GW. Changes in dental knowledge and self-efficacy scores following interventions to change oral hygiene behavior.
 11. Tedesco LA, Keffer MA, Davis EL, Christersson LA (1992). Effect of a social cognitive intervention on oral health status, behavior reports, and cognitions. *Journal of Periodontology*. 63(7):567-75.
 12. Walsh MM (1985). Effects of school-based dental health education on knowledge, attitudes and behavior of adolescents in San Francisco. *Community Dentistry & Oral Epidemiology*. June,13(3):143-7.
 13. Weinstein R, Tosolin F, Ghilardi L, Zanardelli E (1996). Psychological intervention in patients with poor compliance. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*. Mar, 23(3 Pt 2):283-8.
 14. Wolfe GR, Stewart JM, Maeder LA, Hartz GW (1996). Use of dental coping beliefs scale to measure cognitive changes following oral hygiene interventions. *Community Dentistry & Oral Epidemiology*. 24(1):37-41.

Category 10: Addiction

0. Altman DG, Flora JA, Fortmann SP, Farquhar JW (1987). The cost-effectiveness of three smoking cessation programs. *American Journal of Public Health*. 77(2):162-165.
1. Bains N, Pickett W, Hoey J (1998). The Use and Impact of Incentives in Population-based Smoking Cessation Programs: a Review. *American Journal of Health Promotion*. 12(5):307-320.
2. Bickel WK, Amass L, Higgins ST, Badger GJ, Esch RA (1997). Effects of adding behavioral treatment to opioid detoxification with buprenorphine. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 65(5):803-810.
3. Bigelow GE, Silverman K, *Theoretical and Empirical Foundations of Contingency Management Treatments for Drug Abuse*, in *Motivating Behavior Change Among Illicit-Drug Abusers*, S.T. Higgins and K. Silverman, Editors. 1999, American Psychological Association: Washington, DC. p. 15-31.
4. Bolman C, Vries Hd, Breukelen Gv (2002). Evaluation of a nurse-managed minimal-contact smoking cessation intervention for cardiac inpatients. *Health Education Research*. 17(1):99-116.
5. Borland R, Balmford J, Hunt D (2004). The Effectiveness of Personally Tailored Computer-Generated Advice Letters for Smoking Cessation. *Addiction*. 99(3):369-377.

6. Budney AJ, Moore BA, Rocha H (2001). Abstinence-based vouchers delivered without psychotherapy increase abstinence during treatment for marijuana dependence. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 63(suppl 1):S21.
7. Coffey SF, Saladin ME, Brady KT, Greenwald MK (2001). Measurement of Risk-Taking in Cocaine Dependence Using a Novel Operant Task. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 63(suppl 1):S29.
8. Corbin WR, McNair LD, Carter JA (2001). Evaluation of a treatment-appropriate cognitive intervention for challenging alcohol outcome expectancies. *Addictive Behaviors*. 26(4):475-488.
9. Correia CJ, Carey KB, Simons J, Borsari BE (2003). Relationships between binge drinking and substance-free reinforcement in a sample of college students. *Addictive Behaviors*. 28(2):361-368.
10. Correia CJ, Stitzer ML (2002). A Comparison of Two Voucher Delivery Schedules for the Initiation of Cocaine Abstinence. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 66(suppl):S37.
11. Cox LS, Clark MM, Jett JR, Patten CA, Schroeder DR, Nirelli LM, Swensen SJ, Hurt RD (2003). Change in Smoking Status after Spiral Chest Computed Tomography Scan Screening. *Cancer*. 98(11):2495-2501.
12. Digiusto E, Bird KD (1995). Matching Smokers to Treatment: Self-control versus Social Support. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 63(2):290-295.
13. Epstein DH, Hawkins W, Umbricht A, Preston KL (2001). Contingency Management and Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy to Reduce Cocaine Use: Emergent Effect 1 Year Later? *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 63(suppl 1):S43-S44.
14. Feil EG, Noell J, Lichtenstein E, Boles SM, McKay HG (2003). Evaluation of an Internet-based Smoking Cessation Program: Lessons Learned from a Pilot Study. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 5(2):189-194.
15. France E, Glasgow R, Marcus A (2001). Smoking Cessation Interventions among Hospitalized Patients: what have we learned? *Preventive Medicine*. 32(4):376-388.
16. Fuller RK, Hiller-Sturmhofel S (1999). Alcoholism Treatment in the United States: an Overview. *Alcohol Research and Health*. 23(2):69-77.
17. Garbutt JC, West SL, Carey TS, Lohr KN, Crews FT (1999). Pharmacological Treatment of Alcohol Dependence: a Review of the Evidence. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 281(14):1318-1325.
18. Giuffrida A, Torgerson D (1997). Should we pay the patient? Review of financial incentives to enhance patient compliance. *BMJ*. 315:703-707.
19. Hancock L, Sanson-Fisher R, Perkins J, Girgis A, Howley P, Schofield M (2001). Smoking Rates in Rural Australian Towns, the CART Project. *Preventive Medicine*. 32:332-340.
20. Hartmann K, Thorp J, Pahel-Short L, Koch M (1996). A randomized controlled trial of smoking cessation intervention in pregnancy in an academic clinic. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 87(4):621-626.
21. Havermans RC, Jansen ATM (2003). Increasing the Efficacy of Cue Exposure Treatment in Preventing Relapse of Addictive Behavior. *Addictive Behaviors*. 28(5):989-994.
22. Hey K, Perera R (2003). Competitions and Incentives for Smoking Cessation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 1.

23. Higgins S, Sigmon S, Wong C, Heil S, Badger G, Donham R, Dantona R, Anthony S (2003). Community reinforcement therapy for cocaine-dependent outpatients. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 60(10):1043-1052.
24. Higgins ST (2004). *timing of vouchers in treatment of addiction (personal communication)*, S. Weinberg, Editor. Burlington.e-mail in response to question about voucher timing.
25. Higgins ST, Budney AJ, Bickel WK, Foerg FE, Donham R, Badger GJ (1994). Incentives Improve Outcome in Outpatient Behavioral Treatment of Cocaine Dependence. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 51(7):568-576.
26. Higgins ST, Silverman K, eds. *Motivating Behavior Change Among Illicit-Drug Abusers*. 2001, American Psychological Association: Washington, DC.
27. Humphreys K (1999). Professional Interventions that Facilitate 12-Step Self-Help Group Involvement. *Alcohol Research and Health*. 23(2):93-98.
28. Iguchi MY, Belding MA, Morral AR, Lamb RJ, et al (1997). Reinforcing operants other than abstinence in drug abuse treatment: an effective alternative for reducing drug use. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 65(3):421-428.
29. Iguchi MY, Lamb RJ, Belding MA, Platt JJ, Husband SD, Morral AR (1996). Contingent reinforcement of group participation versus abstinence in a methadone maintenance program. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology*. 4(3):315-321.
30. Jones H, Haug N, Silverman K, Stitzer M, Svikis D (2001). The effectiveness of incentives in enhancing treatment attendance and drug abstinence in methadone-maintained pregnant women. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 61:297-306.
31. Kadden RM (2001). Behavioral and cognitive-behavioral treatments for alcoholism. *Behavioral Addictions*. 26(4):489-507.
32. Kidorf M, Brooner RK, King VL (1997). Motivating methadone patients to include drug-free significant others in treatment: a behavioral intervention. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 14(1):23-28.
33. Kidorf M, Hollander JR, King VL, Brooner RK (1998). Increasing employment of opioid dependent outpatients: an intensive behavioral intervention. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 50(1):73-80.
34. Kidorf M, Stitzer ML, *Contingent Access to Clinic Privileges Reduces Drug Abuse in Methadone Maintenance Patients*, in *Motivating Behavior Change Among Illicit-Drug Abusers*, S.T. Higgins and K. Silverman, Editors. 1999, American Psychological Association: Washington, DC. p. 221-241.
35. Kirby KC, Marlowe DB, Festinger DS, Lamb RJ, Platt JJ (1998). Schedule of Voucher Delivery Influences Initiation of Cocaine Abstinence. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 66(5):761-767.
36. Lancaster T, Stead LF (2003). Self-help interventions for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 1.
37. Lash SJ, Burden JL, Monteleone BR, Lehmann LP (2004). Social reinforcement of substance abuse treatment aftercare participation: impact on outcome. *Addictive Behaviors*. 29(2):337-342.
38. Lash SJ, Petersen GE, Jr EAOC, Lehmann LP (2001). Social Reinforcement of Substance Abuse Aftercare Group Therapy Attendance. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 20(1):3-8.

39. Mattick R, Breen C, Kimber J, Davoli M (2003). Methadone Maintenance Therapy Versus No Opioid Replacement Therapy for Opioid Dependence. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 1.
40. Mattick R, Kimber J, Breen C, Davoli M (2003). Buprenorphine maintenance versus placebo or methadone maintenance for opioid dependence. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 1.
41. McBride CM, Emmons KM, Lipkus IM (2003). Understanding the Potential of Teachable Moments: the case of smoking cessation. *Health Education Research*. 18(2):156-170.
42. McRae AL, Budney AJ, Brady KT (2003). Treatment of Marijuana Dependence: a review of the literature. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 24(4):369-376.
43. Miller WR, Meyers RJ, Tonigan JS, Grant KA, *Community Reinforcement and Traditional Approaches: Findings of a Controlled Trial*, in *A Community Reinforcement Approach to Addiction Treatment*, R.J. Meyers and W.R. Miller, Editors. 2001, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge. p. 79-101.
44. Miller WR, Rollnick S, *Motivational Interviewing: Preparing People for Change*. 2002, New York: The Guilford Press.
45. Miller WR, Wilbourne PL (2002). Mesa Grande: a methodological analysis of clinical trials of treatments for alcohol use disorders. *Addiction*. 97(3):265-277.
46. Moher M, Hey K, Lancaster T (2003). Workplace Interventions for Smoking Cessation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 1.
47. Moos RH (2003). Addictive Disorders in Context: Principles and Puzzles of Effective Treatment and Recovery. *Psychology of Addictive Disorders*. 17(1):3-12.
48. Morral AR, Iguchi MY, Belding MA, *Reducing Drug Use by Encouraging Alternative Behaviors*, in *Motivating Behavior Change Among Illicit-Drug Abusers*, S. Higgins and K. Silverman, Editors. 1999, American Psychological Association: Washington, DC. p. 203-220.
49. Pechmann C, *Does antismoking advertising combat underage smoking? A review of past practices and research*, in *Social Marketing: Theoretical and Practical Perspective*, M.E. Goldberg, M. Fishbein, and S. Middlestadt, Editors. 1997, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates: Hillsdale, NJ. p. 189-216.
50. Pechmann C, Zhao G, Goldberg ME, Reibling ET (2003). What to Convey in Antismoking Advertisements for Adolescents? The Use of Protection Motivation Theory to Identify Effective Message Themes. *Journal of Marketing*. 67:1-18.
51. Petry N, Martin B (2002). Low-Cost Contingency Management for Treating Cocaine- and Opioid- Abusing Methadone Patients. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 70(2):398-405.
52. Petry NM, Martin B, Cooney JL, Kranzier HR (2000). Give them prizes, and they will come: contingency management for treatment of alcohol dependence. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 68(2):250-257.
53. Petry NM, Tedford J, Ausin M, Nich C, Carroll KM, Rounsaville BJ (2004). Prize Reinforcement Contingency Management for Treating Cocaine Users: How Low Can We Go, and with Whom? *Addiction*. 99(3).

54. Pollack MH, Penava SA, Bolton E, Worthington JJ, Allen GL, Francisco J Farach J, Otto MW (2002). A novel cognitive-behavioral approach for treatment-resistant drug dependence. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 23(4):335-342.
55. Prochaska JO (1994). Strong and weak principles for progressing from precontemplation to action on the basis of twelve problem behaviors. *Health Psychology*. 12(1):47-51.
56. Prochaska JO, DiClemente CC, Velicer WF, Rossi JS (1993). Standardized, Individualized, Interactive, and Personalized Self-Help Programs for Smoking Cessation. *Health Psychology*. 12(5):399-405.
57. Prochaska JO, Velicer WF, Fava JL, Rossi JS, Tsoh JY (2001). Evaluating a population-based recruitment approach and a stage-based expert system for smoking cessation. *Addictive Behaviors*. 26(4):583-602.
58. Rand C, Stitzer M, Bigelow G, Mead A (1989). The Effects of Contingent Payment and Frequent Workplace Monitoring on Smoking Abstinence. *Addictive Behaviors*. 14:121-128.
59. Rigotti NA, Munafo MR, Murphy MFG, Stead LF (2003). Interventions for smoking cessation in hospitalised patients. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 1.
60. Riley W, Jerome A, Behar A, Weil J (2002). Computer and Manual Self-Help Behavioral Strategies for Smoking Reduction: Initial Feasibility and One-Year Follow-Up. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 4(suppl 2):S183-S188.
61. Robbins SJ, Ehrman RN, Childress AR, Cornish JW, O'Brien CP (2000). Mood state and recent cocaine use are not associated with levels of cocaine cue reactivity. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 59(1):33-42.
62. Rodriguez-Artalejo F, Urdinguio PL, Guallar-Castillon P, Dublang PG, Martinez OS, Azcarate JID, Aleman MF, Banegas JR (2002). One year effectiveness of an individualised smoking cessation intervention at the workplace: a randomized controlled trial. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 60:358-363.
63. Roll JM, Higgins ST (2000). A within-subject comparison of three different schedules of reinforcement of drug abstinence using cigarette smoking as an exemplar. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 58(1-2):103-109.
64. Rust L (1999). Tobacco Prevention Advertising: Lessons from the commercial world. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 1:S81-S89.
65. Secker-Walker RH, Gnich W, Platt S, Lancaster T (2003). Community interventions for reducing smoking among adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 1.
66. Shoptaw S, Dow S, Frosch DL, Ling W, Madsen DC, Jarvik ME, *Reducing Cigarette Smoking in Methadone Maintenance Patients*, in *Motivating Behavior Change Among Illicit-Drug Abusers*, S.T. Higgins and K. Silverman, Editors. 1999, American Psychological Association: Washington, DC. p. 163-181.
67. Silagy C, Lancaster T, Stead L, Mant D, Fowler G (2003). Nicotine Replacement Therapy for Smoking Cessation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 1.
68. Silverman K, Preston KL, Stitzer ML, Schuster CR, *Efficacy and Versatility of Voucher-Based Reinforcement in Drug Abuse Treatment*, in *Motivating Behavior Change Among Illicit-Drug Abusers*, S.T. Higgins and K. Silverman, Editors. 1999, American Psychological Association: Washington, DC. p. 163-181.

69. Silverman K, Wong CJ, Umbricht-Schneiter A, Montoya ID, Schuster CR, Preston KL (1998). Broad Beneficial Effects of Cocaine Abstinence Reinforcement Among Methadone Patients. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 66(5):811-824.
70. Smith AJ, Hodgson RJ, Bridgeman K, Shepherd JP (2003). A randomized controlled trial of a brief intervention after alcohol-related facial injury. *Addiction*. 98(1).
71. Smith JE, Meyers RJ, *The Treatment*, in *A Community Reinforcement Approach to Addiction Treatment*, R.J. Meyers and W.R. Miller, Editors. 2001, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge. p. 28-61.
72. Sorensen JL, Masson CL, Copeland AL, *Coupons-Vouchers as a Strategy for Increasing Treatment Entry for Opiate-Dependent Injection Drug Users*, in *Motivating Behavior Change Among Illicit-Drug Abusers*, S.T. Higgins and K. Silverman, Editors. 1999, American Psychological Association: Washington, DC. p. 147-161.
73. Sowden AJ, Arblaster L (2003). Mass media interventions for preventing smoking in young people. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 1.
74. Srisurapanont M, Jarusuraisin N (2003). Opioid antagonists for alcohol dependence. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 1.
75. Stead LF, Lancaster T (2003). Group behaviour therapy programmes for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 1.
76. Stead LF, Lancaster T, Perera R (2003). Telephone Counselling for Smoking Cessation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 1.
77. Stoffelmary B, Wadland WC, Pan W (2003). An examination of the process of relapse prevention therapy designed to aid smoking cessation. *Addictive Behaviors*. 28(7):1351-1358.
78. Thomas R (2003). School-based programmes for preventing smoking. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*.
79. Velicer WF, Prochaska JO (1999). An expert system intervention for smoking cessation. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 36(2):119-129.
80. Winickoff JP, Hillis VJ, Palfrey JS, Perrin JM, Rigotti NA (2003). A Smoking Cessation Intervention for Parents of Children who are Hospitalized for Respiratory Illness: the Stop Tobacco Outreach Program. *Pediatrics*. 111(1).
81. Zhu S-H, Anderson CM, Tedeschi GJ, Rosbrook B, Johnson CE, Byrd M, Gutierrez-Terrell E (2002). Evidence of Real-World Effectiveness of a Telephone Quitline for Smokers. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 347(14):1087-1093.

Category 10: Technology Adoption

0. Ajayi OC, Kwesiga F (2003). Implications of local policies and institutions on the adoption of improved fallows in eastern Zambia. *Agroforestry Systems*. 59:327–336.
1. Altieri MA (2001). Socio-Cultural Aspects of Native Maize Diversity. Paper submitted to the Secretariat of the Commission for Environmental Cooperation of North America, as part of the Article 13 initiative on Maize and Biodiversity: the Effects of Transgenic Maize in Mexico.

2. Anderson JR, Hazell PBR (1994). Risk Considerations in the Design and Transfer of Agricultural Technology. *Agricultural Technology: Policy Issues for the International Community*. Wallingford, UK: CAB International (in association with World Bank):321-339.
3. Bandiera O, Rasul I (2002). Social Networks and Technology Adoption in Northern Mozambique. Suntory and Toyota International Centres for Economics and Related Disciplines, London School of Economics.
4. Barton T (1991). A Development Dialogue: Rainwater harvesting in Turkana. London, UK: Intermediate Technology (IT) Publications.
5. Bayes A, von Braun J, Akhter R (1999). Village Pay Phones and Poverty Reduction: Insights from a Grameen Bank Initiative in Bangladesh (Discussion Papers on Development Policy, No. 8). Bonn, Germany: Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), June 1999.
6. Bentley JW (1992). Promoting Farmer Experiments in Non-Chemical Pest Control. Paper for joint International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED)/Institute of Development Studies (IDS) Conference (Sussex, UK, 27-29 October 1992). London, UK: International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED), 1992.
7. Bentley JW (1994). Stimulating Farmer Experiments in Non-Chemical Pest Control in Central America. *Beyond Farmer First: Rural People's Knowledge, Agricultural Research and Extension*. London, UK: Intermediate Technology (IT) Publications.
8. Melara W (1991). Experimenting with Honduran Farmer-Experimenters. Overseas Development Institute (ODI) Agricultural Administration (Research and Extension) Network Paper 24. London, UK: Overseas Development Institute (ODI):31-48.
9. Bentley JW, Rodríguez G, González A (1993). Science and the People: Honduran Campesinos and Natural Pest Control Inventions. *Gorras y Sombreros: Caminos Hacia la Colaboración entre Técnicos y Campesinos*. El Zamarano, Honduras: Department of Crop Protection.
10. Berrueta-Soriano VM, Limón-Aguirre F, Fernández-Zayas JL, Soto-Pinto ML (2003). Peasants' Participation in the Design and Construction of a Solar Coffee Dryer (in English and Spanish). *Agrociencia*. 37:95-106.
11. Bhatt N, Tang SY (2001). Making Microcredit Work in the United States: Social, Financial, and Administrative Dimensions of Intermediation. *Economic Development Quarterly*. 15:229-241.
12. Bunch R (1987). Case Study of the Guinope Integrated Development Program, Guinope, Honduras. Paper presented at International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED) Conference on Sustainable Development (London, 28-30 April 1987). London, UK: International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED).
13. Bunch R (1989). Low Input Soil Restoration in Honduras: The Cantarranas Farmer-to-Farmer Extension Programme (Sustainable Agriculture Programme Gatekeeper Series SA23). London, UK: International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED).
14. Buttel FH, Gillespie GW, Power A (1990). Sociological Aspects of Agricultural Sustainability in the United States: A New York Case Study. *Sustainable Agricultural Systems*. Ankeny, IA: Soil and Water Conservation Society, 1990:515-532.
15. Castillo H, Aida R, Nigh R (1998). Global Processes and Local Identity among Mayan Coffee Growers in Chiapas, Mexico. *American Anthropologist*. v.100, 1998:136-147.

16. Centro de Educacion y Tecnologia (CET) Chile (1983). . La Huerta Campesino Organico. Valparaiso, Chile: Institucion Estudios y Publicaciones Juan Gynacio Molina, 1983.
17. Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT) (1989). Farmer Organisations in Technology Adaptation and Transfer. CIAT Report, 1989. Calí, Colombia: Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT), 1989:11-14.
18. Chepaitis EV (2002). Soft Barriers to ICT Application in Development: Trust and Information Quality in Russia. *Journal of International Development*. 14:51-50.
19. Clark L (2001). Microfinance and Organic Conversion amongst Smallholders in Chiapas, Mexico (M.A. Area Studies (Latin America) Dissertation). London, UK: University of London: Institute of Latin American Studies, 2001.
20. Clark N, Sulaiman R, Hall A, Naik G (2003). Research as Capacity Building: The Case of an NGO Facilitated Post-Harvest Innovation System for the Himalayan Hills. *World Development*. 31:1845–1863.
21. Cohen N (1998). What Works: Grameen Telecom's Village Phones (A Digital Dividend Study by The World Resources Institute). Washington, DC: World Resources Institute: Digital Dividend.
22. Community Biodiversity Development and Conservation (CBDC) Network- Bohol Project SEARICE Philippines (2001). . A Study on the Credit and Marketing Support Systems in Rice farming of CBDC-Bohol Project in the Philippines. Community Biodiversity Development and Conservation (CBDC) Network, 2001.
23. Community Biodiversity Development and Conservation (CBDC) Network- Bohol Project SEARICE Philippines (2001). Organic Soil Fertility Management of Lowland Rice Farmers in Bilar and Dagohoy, Bohol, Philippines: A Case Study. Community Biodiversity Development and Conservation (CBDC) Network.
24. Community Biodiversity Development and Conservation (CBDC) Network- Sierra Leone Project Group- Kambia District (1999). Results and Lessons Learned from Community Participation Activities in the Sierra Leone Project- Kambia District. Terminal report on the First Phase of the CBDC-SL Project. Community Biodiversity Development and Conservation (CBDC) Network.
25. Cox M, Preston C, Cox K (1999). What Motivates Teachers to Use ICT?. paper presented at the British Educational Research Association Annual Conference, University of Sussex at Brighton, September 2-5.
26. Cromwell G (1992). What Makes Technology Transfer? Small-Scale Hydropower in Nepal's Public and Private Sectors. *World Development*. 20:979-989.
27. Dhar SK, Gupta JR, Sarin M (1991). Participatory Management in the Shivalik Hills: Experience of the Haryana Forest Dept. (Sustainable Forest Management Working Paper No. 5). New Delhi, IN: Ford Foundation.
28. Erickson CL, Chandler KL (1989). Raised Fields and Sustainable Agriculture in the Lake Titicaca Basin of Peru. *Fragile Lands of Latin America*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 1989.
29. Estrin L, Foreman JT, Garcia S (2003). Overcoming Barriers to Technology Adoption in Small Manufacturing Enterprises (SMEs) (Technical Report CMU/SEI-2003-TR-012 ESC-TR-2003-012). Pittsburgh, PA: Carnegie-Mellon Software Engineering Institute, June 2003.

30. Flemming N (2001). Why Do Farmers Innovate and Why Don't They Innovate More? Insights from a Study in East Africa. *Farmer Innovation in Africa: A Source of Inspiration for Agricultural Development*. London, UK: Earthscan Publications, Ltd., 2001:92-103.
31. Foster AD, Rosenzweig MR (1995). Learning by Doing and Learning from Others: Human Capital and Technical Change in Agriculture. *The Journal of Political Economy*. 103:1176-1209.
32. Franzel S, Wambugu C, Tuwei P (2003). Adoption and Dissemination of Fodder Shrubs in Central Kenya (ODI Extension Network Paper No. 131). London, UK: Overseas Development Institute (ODI), July.
33. Fujisaka S (1989). Participation by Farmers, Researchers and Extension Workers in Soil Conservation (Sustainable Agriculture Gatekeeper Series SA16). London, UK: International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED).
34. Fujisaka S (1992). Thirteen Reasons Why Farmers Do Not Adopt Innovations Intended to Improve the Sustainability of Upland Agriculture . Proceedings of an International Workshop on Evaluation for Sustainable Land Management in the Developing World, v.2: Technical Papers (IBSRAM Proceedings nr.12). Bangkok, Thailand: International Board for Soil Research and Management (IBSRAM):509-522.
35. Fujisaka S (1992). Farmer Knowledge and Sustainability in Rice-Farming Systems: Blending Science and Indigenous Innovation. *Diversity, Farmer Knowledge, and Sustainability*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press:69-83.
36. Gebre MY (2001). Community Assessment of Local Innovators in Northern Ethiopia. *Farmer Innovation in Africa: A Source of Inspiration for Agricultural Development*. London, UK: Earthscan Publications, Ltd.:171-177.
37. Gibson D (2000). New Insights on Technology Adoption in Schools. *T.H.E. Journal*. Feb. 2000.
38. Gubbels P (1993). Peasant Farmer Organization in Farmer-First Agricultural Development in West Africa: New Opportunities and Continuing Constraints (Overseas Development Institute (ODI) Agricultural Administration (Research and Extension) Network Paper 40). London, UK: Overseas Development Institute (ODI).
39. Gubbels P (1994). Populist Pipedream or Practical Paradigm? Farmer-Driven Research and the Project Agro-Forestier in Burkina Faso. *Beyond Farmer First: Rural People's Knowledge, Agricultural Research and Extension*. London, UK: Intermediate Technology (IT) Publications.
40. Hafkin N, Taggart N (1998). . Gender, Information Technology, and Developing Countries: An Analytic Study. Academy for Educational Development (AED), Office of Women in Development, Bureau for Global Programs, Field Support and Research, US Agency for International Development (USAID).
41. Haile M, Abay F, Waters-Bayer A (2001). Joining Forces to Discover and Celebrate Local Innovation in Land Husbandry in Tigray, Ethiopia. *Farmer Innovation in Africa: A Source of Inspiration for Agricultural Development*. London, UK: Earthscan Publications, Ltd.:58-73.
42. Hamilton NA (1995). Learning to Learn with Farmers: A Case Study of an Adult Education Extension Project Conducted in Queensland, Australia (Ph.D. thesis). Wageningen, Netherlands: Wageningen Agricultural University.

43. Hamilton NA (1998). Co-learning Tools: Powerful Instruments of Change in Southern Queensland, Australia. Facilitating Sustainable Agriculture: Participatory Learning and Adaptive Management in Times of Environmental Uncertainty. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press:172-190.
44. Hashem SR (1999). Technology Access Community Centers in Egypt: A Mission for Community Empowerment (Revised). Paper presented at the Internet Society (INET) Conference, Stockholm, Sweden 1999. Egypt's Information Highway Project, Cabinet Information and Decision Support Center, Government of Egypt, 1999.
45. Hassane A, Martin P, Reij C (2000). Water Harvesting, Land Rehabilitation, and Household Food Security in Niger: IFAD's Soil and Water Conservation Project in Illéla District. Rome, IT: International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD); Amsterdam, NL: Centre for Development Cooperation Services (CDCS), Vrije Universiteit.
46. Hien F, Ouedraogo A (2001). Joint analysis of the Sustainability of a local SWC [Soil and Water Conservation] Technique on Burkina Faso. Farmer Innovation in Africa: A Source of Inspiration for Agricultural Development. London, UK: Earthscan Publications, Ltd., 2001:256-266.
47. Hill SB (2001). Working With Processes Of Change, Particularly Psychological Processes, When Implementing Organic Farming. Proceedings of the Organics2020 Conference (Unitec, Auckland, NZ, 2001). .
48. Kibwana OT (2001). Forging Partnerships Between Farmers, Extension and Research in Tanzania. Farmer Innovation in Africa: A Source of Inspiration for Agricultural Development. London, UK: Earthscan Publications, Ltd.:49-57.
49. King AB (2000). Tools for Participatory Research on Crop and Tree Diversity. Participatory Approaches to the Conservation and Use of Plant Genetic Resources. Rome, IT: International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (IPGRI).
50. Kremer M, Miguel E (2004). . The Illusion of Sustainability (NBER Working Paper W10324). National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER), Feb. 2004:.
51. Lendall A (1997). Field Report: Traditional Technology Emphasized in a Model for Andean Rural Development. *Journal of International Development*. 9:739-752.
52. Mayanja M (2002). Uganda School-Based Telecenters: An Approach to Rural Access to ICTs. TechKnowLogia. World Bank Institute's World Links for Development Program, July - September 2002.
53. Michiels SI, Crowde L (2001). Discovering the Magic Box: Local Appropriation of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs). *SD-Dimensions*. June 2001.
54. Miiro D, Critchley W, van der Wal A, Lwakuba A (2001). Innovation and Impact: A Preliminary Assessment in Kabale, Uganda. Farmer Innovation in Africa: A Source of Inspiration for Agricultural Development. London, UK: Earthscan Publications, Ltd., 2001:198-217.
55. Nasr N, Chahbani B, Reij C (2001). Innovators in Land Husbandry in Arid Areas of Tunisia. Farmer Innovation in Africa: A Source of Inspiration for Agricultural Development. London, UK: Earthscan Publications, Ltd.:122-131.
56. Nigh R (1997). Organic Agriculture and Globalization: A Maya Associative Corporation. *Human Organization*. 56:427-436.

57. Ouedraogo A, Sawadogo H (2001). Three Models of Extension by Farmer Innovators in Burkina Faso. *Farmer Innovation in Africa: A Source of Inspiration for Agricultural Development*. London, UK: Earthscan Publications, Ltd.:198-217.
58. Owens S (1993). Catholic Relief Services in The Gambia. *Non-Governmental Organizations and the State in Africa: Rethinking Roles in Sustainable Agricultural Development*. London, UK: Routledge:239-250.
59. Palis FG, Morin S, Hossain M (2002). Social Capital and Diffusion of Integrated Pest Management Technology: A Case Study in Central Luzon, Philippines. Paper presented at the Social Research Conference, CIAT (Cali, Columbia, September 11-14, 2002). Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT).
60. Perz SG (2003). Social Determinants and Land Use Correlates of Agricultural Technology Adoption in a Forest Frontier: A Case Study in the Brazilian Amazon. *Human Ecology*. 31:133-165.
61. Pretty J, Hine R (2001). SAFE- World Research: Portraits of Sustainable Agriculture Projects and Initiatives (from Appendix D of Pretty, Hine, *Reducing Food Poverty with Sustainable Agriculture: A Summary of New Evidence*). Centre for Environment and Society (CES), University of Essex, UK.
62. Pretty J, Hine R (2001). *Reducing Food Poverty with Sustainable Agriculture: A Summary of New Evidence* (CES Occasional Paper 2001-2). University of Essex, UK: Centre for Environment and Society (CES), 2001:.
63. Pretty JN (1998). Supportive Policies and Practice for Scaling Up Sustainable Agriculture. *Facilitating Sustainable Agriculture: Participatory Learning and Adaptive Management in Times of Environmental Uncertainty*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press:23-45.
64. Pretty JN, Scoones I (1994). Institutionalizing Adaptive Planning and Local Level Concerns: Looking to the Future. *Power and Participatory Development: Theory and Practice*. London, UK: Intermediate Technology (IT) Publications.
65. Rauniyar GP, Goode FM (1992). Technology Adoption on Small Farms. *World Development*. 20:275-282.
66. Reij C, Waters-Bayer A (2001). An Initial Analysis of Farmer Innovators and Their Innovations. *Farmer Innovation in Africa: A Source of Inspiration for Agricultural Development*. London, UK: Earthscan Publications, Ltd:77-91.
67. Rhoads RE, Booth R (1982). Farmer-Back-to-Farmer: A Model for Generating Acceptable Agricultural Technology. *Agricultural Administration*. 11:127-137.
68. Richardson D, Ramirez R, Haq M (2000). Grameen Telecom's Village Phone Programme in Rural Bangladesh: a Multi-Media Case Study Final Report. Guelph Ontario, Canada: Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA), March 17, 2000.
69. Rohitratana K (1996). The role of Thai values in managing information systems: A case study of implementing an MRP system. International Federation for Information Processing (IFIP).
70. Röling NG, van de Fliert E (1998). Introducing Integrated Pest Management in Rice in Indonesia: A Pioneering Attempt to Facilitate Large-Scale Change. *Facilitating Sustainable Agriculture: Participatory Learning and Adaptive Management in Times of Environmental Uncertainty*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press:153-171.

71. Servon LJ, Wallace A (2003). Online Banking and the Poor: FleetBank's CommunityLink Program. Paper presented at the Twenty-Fifth Annual Association for Public Policy Analysis and Management (APPAM) Research Conference, Washington DC, 6-8 November, 2003.
 0. Sherry L, Billig S, Tavalin F, Gibson D (1998). New Insights on Technology Adoption in Communities of Learners. RMC Research Corporation.
 0. Soil and Water Conservation Branch (SWCB) Ministry of Agriculture Kenya (1994). . The Impact of the Catchment Approach to Soil and Water Conservation: A Study of Six Catchments in Western, Rift Valley, and Central Provinces, Kenya. Nairobi, Kenya: Livestock Development and Marketing Branch, Ministry of Agriculture.
 0. Sperling L (1992). Farmer Participation and the Development of Bean Varieties in Rwanda. Diversity, Farmer Knowledge, and Sustainability. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press:96-112.
 0. Tchawa P, Kamga P, Ndi C, Vitsuh C, Toh S, Zé AM (2001). Participatory Development of Soil Fertility Improvement in Cameroon. Farmer Innovation in Africa: A Source of Inspiration for Agricultural Development. London, UK: Earthscan Publications:221-233.
 0. Toner A (2003). Exploring Sustainable Livelihoods Approaches in Relation to Two Interventions in Tanzania. *Journal of International Development*. 15:771–781.
 0. Uphoff N (1994). Local Organisations for Supporting People-Based Agricultural Research and Extension: Lessons from Gal Oya, Sri Lanka. Beyond Farmer First: Rural People's Knowledge, Agricultural Research and Extension. London, UK: Intermediate Technology (IT) Publications.
 0. Van der Stichele P (2001). Participatory Rural Communication Appraisal (PRCA): A New Approach for Research and the Design of Communication for Development Strategies and Programmes. *SD-Dimensions*. June.
-