

Worldwide Distribution and Abundance of Killer Whales

Karin A. Forney

Southwest Fisheries Science Center, NMFS
110 Shaffer Road, Santa Cruz, CA 95060
e-mail: Karin.Forney@noaa.gov

and

Paul Wade

Alaska Fisheries Science Center, NMFS
7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115
e-mail: Paul.Wade@noaa.gov

INTRODUCTION

Killer whales have long been recognized as a formidable predator in virtually all of the world's oceans (Leatherwood and Dahlheim 1978; Heyning and Dahlheim 1988). They are known to be common in many coastal areas, particularly at high latitudes, but they also occur in offshore and tropical waters (Leatherwood and Dahlheim 1978). Seasonal movement patterns, often associated with increased availability of prey species (Dahlheim 1981), have been documented or suggested in the eastern North Pacific (Braham and Dahlheim 1982, Baird and Dill 1995), the coast of Japan (Kasuya 1971), the North Atlantic and Norwegian waters (Sigurjónsson and Leatherwood 1988; Similä et al. 1996), the South Atlantic (Iñíguez 2001) and the southern hemisphere (Mikhalev et al. 1981, Kasamatsu and Joyce 1995). In a few coastal regions, most notably off Washington, U.S.A, and British Columbia, Canada, regularly occurring populations have been studied for decades, and much has been learned about their ecology, population structure, demography, social behavior and genetics (see Bigg et al. 1990, Olesiuk et al. 1990, Ford et al. 1994, 1998; Baird and Dill 1995, 1996; Hoelzel et al. 1998, Barrett-Lennard 2000). In contrast, very little is known about killer whales in most other regions of the world. Several studies have suggested important functional roles for killer whale predation in the North Pacific and Southern Ocean, and killer whale predation has been implicated in various recent marine mammal declines in the North Pacific and Southern Ocean (Barrat and Mougín 1978; Estes et al. 1998, Springer et al. 2003). These processes are, however, controversial and not well substantiated. A first step towards gaining a better understanding of relevant processes is to evaluate patterns of killer whale abundance, distribution, and foraging habits.

In this paper, we provide a review of the published literature on world-wide killer whale population structure, abundance, distribution, and where available, known prey. We discuss differences in the methods that have been used to identify and assess killer whale populations, including sighting and whaling records, photo-identification methods, mark-recapture estimates,

and distance sampling methods from shipboard and aerial platforms. Each method has limitations and strengths, and provides somewhat different information on population size, structure and distribution. When combined within a single region, these sources can complement one another to provide a clearer picture of killer whale biology; however, taken individually they make comparisons between regions difficult. Nonetheless, below we have attempted to synthesize some of the diverse literature on killer whale populations worldwide into a general overview.

POPULATIONS

Definition of populations

Killer whales are presently considered to form a single cosmopolitan species, *Orcinus orca*, although separate species status has been suggested for different forms found in the southern Ocean (Mikhalev et al. 1981; Berzin and Vladimirov 1983; Pitman & Ensor 2003) and for different forms found in the north Pacific (Baird et al. 1992, Baird 1994), based on color pattern, diet, and morphological traits. Substantial differences in food habits, behavior, genetics, morphology, and movement patterns have led to the description of distinct populations throughout the world (see Baird 2000; Dahlheim and Heyning 1999), including several sympatric populations that do not apparently interact or interbreed. The well-studied killer whales in the eastern North Pacific are known to consist of at least two and maybe three distinct types, commonly termed as 'resident', 'transient' and 'offshore' killer whales (Ford et al. 1994). They differ in their food habits and in a variety of morphological, ecological, and behavioral characteristics (Baird 2000). Recent genetic investigations have revealed marked differences between the resident and transient form of killer whale (Stevens et al. 1989, Hoelzel and Dover 1991, Hoelzel et al. 1998, Barrett-Lennard 2000, Barrett-Lennard and Ellis 2001). In addition, smaller genetic differences were shown between different communities of resident killer whales (Hoelzel et al. 1998, Barrett-Lennard 2000, Barrett-Lennard and Ellis 2001).

Although the above terminology refers only to the coastal eastern North Pacific killer whale populations, similar differences in food habits and ecology have been observed in other regions of the world. Antarctic killer whales comprise three types, provisionally termed Type A, B, and C, with clear differences in coloration, food habits, and some morphological traits (Pitman and Ensor 2003). Killer whale populations that forage primarily on marine mammals have been observed in all ocean basins of the world. Populations that forage primarily on fish and other invertebrates can be divided further into killer whales that exploit one or more seasonally abundant, high-latitude coastal fish species, and those that forage widely on pelagic fish and invertebrates. To illustrate some of the differences in more detail, we provide a brief summary of information for these three general types of killer whales, with emphasis on the well-studied eastern North Pacific killer whale types.

Mammal-eaters

Mammal-eating killer whales have been documented worldwide in both coastal and offshore waters (Jefferson et al. 1991), but mammal-eating specialists have only been confirmed in the North Pacific and Southern Ocean. Eastern North Pacific transient type killer whales are among the best-studied mammal-eating killer whales, and are known to prey on a wide variety of marine mammals, including whales, dolphins, porpoises and pinnipeds (Baird and Dill 1996). In inshore waters of British Columbia (Ford et al. 1998) and Prince William Sound, Alaska (Saulitis

et al. 2000), two regions where transient predation has been studied systematically, the most frequently observed prey have been pinnipeds and porpoise. They have also occasionally been reported feeding on sea turtles and sea birds (Caldwell and Caldwell 1969, Ford et al. 1998, Baird and Dill 1996). Groups are generally small (<10) with a dynamic composition (Baird and Whitehead 2000). Individual transient killer whales have been documented to move great distances, reflecting large home ranges as the killer whales follow mobile prey resources (Goley and Straley 1994, Black et al. 1997). Some eastern North Pacific transients have been photographed in locations ranging from southeastern Alaska to California, a distance of at least 2,600 km. In the eastern North Pacific and in the Southern Ocean, mammal-eating killer whales are known to be sympatric with other forms of killer whales, but they have not been seen intermixing in social groups with other forms. In Antarctic waters, there are two known types of mammal-eating killer whales (Pitman and Ensor 2003). Type A killer whales are thought to follow the seasonal migration of their main prey, minke whales, and are generally found in open-water habitat. Type B killer whales may be found sympatrically with Type A whales in the open water around Antarctica, but are more commonly observed in loose pack ice, where they prey upon seals.

Coastal fish-eaters

'Resident' killer whales in the North Pacific feed primarily on salmon and other coastal fish species, and are seen regularly during summer in the inland waterways of Alaska, British Columbia and Washington. Groups of resident whales can be distinguished from groups of sympatric transient or offshore killer whales based on differences in dorsal fin shape and saddle patch coloration. They include several distinct and well-known populations, including the 'Southern', 'Northern', and 'Gulf of Alaska' residents. Resident-type killer whales are also found in the Aleutian Islands and the Bering Sea, and are also thought to be found along the Kamchatka Peninsula of Russia, but population structure in these areas has not been fully determined. Resident whales are usually found in large groups ranging from approximately 5 to 50 whales, but are occasionally found in even larger aggregations of 100 whales or more. Where well studied, it has been found that resident-type whales occur in stable social groups that are matrilineal because offspring do not disperse from their mother's social group (Bigg et al. 1990). Seasonal movement patterns are not fully understood (Bigg et al. 1990, Ford et al. 1998), but during winter the Southern Residents have been reported along the outer coasts of British Columbia, Washington and California.

Coastal fish-eating killer whales have also been documented in waters off Iceland, the Faroe Islands, the British Islands, and Norway, where they feed primarily on seasonally abundant herring, *Clupea harengus*, and other coastal fish species (Sigurjónsson et al 1988, Jonsgård and Lyshoel 1970, Similä et al. 1996). Based on photo-identification studies, it has been suggested that the social system of coastal Norwegian whales is similar to that of eastern North Pacific resident whales, with stable pods comprised of males and females with their offspring, and with no dispersal of either sex from their natal group (Bisther 2002). Pod sizes for Norwegian killer whales are reported to range from 6 to 30 with a median of 15 whales. During the course of a 4-year study in northern Norway, pods with known individuals were seen repeatedly and regularly in the study area when herring were present (Similä et al. 1996).

The Antarctic Type C killer whale, known primarily from deep within the Antarctic pack

ice, may also broadly be considered a coastal fish-eater. It is found in large groups of 10 to at least 150 (mean 46) and is known to feed on Antarctic toothfish (*Dissostichus mawsoni*). Some reports suggest they may also take penguins and seals off the ice (Pitman and Ensor 2003), but no takes of these species have actually been observed. Stomach contents of 629 'yellow' killer whales taken in Soviet whaling operations during 1979/80, which would have represented either Type B or C killer whales, contained 98.5% fish, 1.1% squid, and 0.5% marine mammal prey (frequency of occurrence; Berzin and Vladimirov 1983). Seasonal movement patterns are not well understood for the Type C killer whale (Pitman and Ensor 2003). Although some individuals have been reported to overwinter in Antarctic waters, there are also winter records of Type C whales at lower latitudes, including waters off New Zealand (Pitman and Ensor 2003).

Oceanic and neritic killer whales

Killer whales have been reported from oceanic and neritic waters worldwide, but little is known about these whales. Killer whales are found at low densities throughout the eastern tropical Pacific (Wade and Gerrodette 1993), but their diet and population structure are little understood. One form thought to be mostly neritic in distribution occurs in the eastern North Pacific, and is referred to as the offshore-type killer whale. Morphologically they are similar to resident whales (i.e., their dorsal fins appear to be more rounded at the tip and share saddle patch coloration patterns), and they are commonly seen in groups ranging from 10 to 70 whales. Offshore killer whales have been found from central coastal Mexico to Alaska, and are thought to occur mostly on the continental shelf, but occasionally come into coastal waterways and have also been seen in offshore waters extending at least 200 miles from the U.S. west coast (Black et al. 1997). Their main target while foraging is assumed to be fish, but observational data on feeding events are extremely limited. Offshore whales are not known to intermingle in social groups with resident or transient whales. Genetic analyses suggest that offshores may be reproductively isolated, but they appear to be more closely related to resident-type whales (Hoelzel et al. 1998, Barrett-Lennard 2000). In other regions of the world, data on oceanic or neritic killer whales are scarce. Off Japan, killer whales are reported to feed on cod, flatfish, and cephalopods (Nishiwaki and Handa 1958), and these animals may represent a similar neritic form.

METHODS OF POPULATION ESTIMATION

Information on the abundance and distribution of killer whales ranges widely in age, reliability, detail, and quantitative nature. Population estimates are available for some areas of the eastern North Pacific, North Atlantic, and the Southern Ocean, and scattered locations elsewhere in the world. However, methods used to assess populations of killer whales are not strictly comparable, because they estimate somewhat different population parameters and are subject to different biases. Below we summarize the most common methods of assessing populations of killer whales and other cetaceans, along with an overview of strengths and weaknesses of each method (Table 1). After consideration of these differences, we have attempted to synthesize the available information to provide a worldwide summary of patterns of abundance and distribution of killer whales.

Photo-identification catalogs (CAT)

Killer whales are amenable to longterm studies of local populations because individual

whales can readily be identified on the basis of unique characteristics of their dorsal fin and saddle patch (Bigg et al. 1987), and whales often return to the same areas each year. Such studies provide a wealth of information on behavior, ecology, demography, genealogy, population structure, and movements patterns, and can be used to establish a catalog of known individuals (see Bigg et al. 1990, Olesiuk et al. 1990, Ford et al. 1994). In cases where populations are small and individual whales are regularly seen in areas of photographic sampling, photo-identification catalogs can provide a minimum or actual population count, particularly if deaths can be documented through time. Such methods do not, however, work well in large, widely dispersed populations, because not all animals are likely to be photographed. For example, the catalog of eastern North Pacific transient killer whales contained 251 known individuals in 1999 (Ford and Ellis 1999, Matkin et al. 1999, summarized in Carretta et al. 2001), based on two decades of sampling off Washington, British Columbia, and Alaska. Subsequently, photographs of killer whales seen off California between 1987 and 1996 were examined (Black et al. 1997), revealing ten matches to known transient whales and 95 previously unknown transient whales. The catalog count thus increased by 95 animals (38%) to 346 transient killer whales when photographs from a different part of this population's range were included. This suggests that not all individuals use the entire range, and catalogs developed within a subset of the entire population's range can underestimate total population size. Thus photo-identification catalogs will only provide accurate estimates of population size if the animals are sampled throughout their range or if all members of the population are known to enter areas of photographic sampling during the study period.

Mark-recapture methods (MR)

Mark recapture methods (Seber 1982, Hammond 1986) can yield improved estimates of abundance based on photo-identification data, and have been used successfully for a variety of individually recognizable cetacean species, including bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*), humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus*), and sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) (Hansen 1990, Wilson et al. 1999, Matthews et al. 2001, Read et al. 2003, Clapham et al. 2003, Calambokidis and Barlow 2004). The method involves collecting a 'mark' data set, in which a number of individuals are photographed and identified, and a 'recapture' data set, which from which a proportion of recaptured (previously identified) individuals is calculated. In its simplest form (for a closed population) the population size is estimated as the number of individuals in the initial sample divided by the proportion of recaptured individuals. The result is an estimate of the total number of animals in the population during the study period. More sophisticated models are available for open populations (i.e. those with births, deaths, immigration and/or emigration during the study period).

Mark-recapture methods are, however, sensitive to several assumptions, including that all individuals in a population have equal capture probability within each sample. This assumption may be violated in number of ways, e.g., if some individuals have indistinct identification characteristics, if their behavior makes them less likely to be photographed, or if the population is not distributed homogeneously. These effects can be mitigated to a large extent by limiting the mark and recapture samples to the best photographs (to allow indistinct marks to be reliably identified, Friday et al. 2000), by making efforts to photograph all individuals in a sighted group, and by selecting broad geographic sampling locations throughout the populations range (Calambokidis and Barlow 2004). Other important assumptions are that marks are not lost

through time, and that marked animals can correctly be recognized upon recapture. If any of these assumptions are not met during sampling or addressed analytically, this can lead to substantial (usually downward) bias in the abundance estimates (see Hammond et al. 1990). Calambokidis and Barlow (2004) present a mark-recapture estimate for blue whales that was initially biased downward because of limited and uneven photographic sampling. This bias was largely eliminated once broader geographic sampling was achieved.

Despite the wealth of photographic data on killer whales in many regions of the world, there are no published mark-recapture estimates of abundance for this species¹. Ongoing investigations in the eastern North Pacific are expected to include mark-recapture estimates in the future (J. Durban, pers. comm.).

Line-transect surveys (LTR)

Dedicated line-transect surveys using established distance sampling protocols (Buckland et al. 1993) have been used extensively to provide quantitative and reliable estimates of abundance for cetaceans at-sea. Such surveys are generally conducted from medium-sized or large vessels, or from aircraft, and take into account the sighting efficiency of each platform when estimating densities. The resulting abundance estimates represent a snapshot of the average number of animals within the study region during the study period. Many potential sources of bias can be accounted for during survey planning (e.g. spatial coverage and transect design) or during data analysis (e.g. correction factors for animals missed due to poor weather conditions or diving behavior). Line-transect methodology has been widely used to estimate the abundance of a diverse cetacean species worldwide (Hammond 1984, Wade and Gerrodette 1993, Barlow 1995, Forney et al. 1995, Branch and Butterworth 2001), and is generally considered a reliable and effective technique when surveys are designed properly (Buckland et al. 1993). In a number of cases where both line-transect and mark-recapture estimates of abundance are available for a single population, e.g. for blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus*) and humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) off the U.S. west coast (Calambokidis and Barlow 2004), abundance estimates have been remarkably similar. In general, line-transect surveys yield the most directly comparable abundance estimates across studies. They do not, however, provide information on population identity or movement of individuals unless photo-identification or biopsy efforts are included in the survey.

Non-standardized surveys (SURV)

In many cases, marine mammal surveys are conducted incidentally during other marine investigations, e.g., by fishery observers, during seismic or oceanographic cruises, on ferry routes, or on other platforms of opportunity. As with dedicated line-transect surveys, opportunistic surveys provide a snapshot of the distribution and abundance of animals within the study area. Methodology has varied widely, however, and it is difficult or impossible to compare results directly from one study to another. Nonetheless, such surveys often provide new information on the distribution, relative abundance, and species composition of cetaceans, particularly in poorly studied regions (Ballance et al. 2001, Gannier 2000, Eyre 1995). Broad patterns of cetacean occurrence can be inferred if one takes into account characteristics of each platform, such as sighting efficiency and potential sources of bias. For example, the effective

¹ An unpublished mark-recapture estimate for killer whales in the Crozet Archipelago, Southern Indian Ocean was presented by Poncelet et al at the 4th Orca Symposium, France, 2002.

area surveyed will be greater for surveys conducted from large vessels, at greater height above the water, using multiple observers, and with high-powered binoculars than during surveys conducted from small boats, from platforms closer to the water surface, with a single observer, and without high-powered binoculars. Bias is introduced if animals avoid the platform from which observations are made (e.g. during seismic surveys; Stone 1997), or if they are attracted to the vessel while observations are underway (e.g. killer whales are known to depredate fish from longline vessels and may be attracted to longline survey vessels, particularly during set operations; Secchi and Vaske 1998, Pinedo et al 2002).

Observations and anecdotal information (OBS)

The final category of information is a wide variety of descriptive, anecdotal, or incidental observations collected by whalers, fishermen, naturalists, oceanographers, biologists, and others. It may include, for example, presence/absence data, whaling or fishery catch records, or details of observations made (with or without measures of effort). Such observational information generally represents the least quantifiable source of data and is difficult to interpret in a broader context; however, in many regions of the world the only information available on killer whales is of this qualitative nature. In this study, we have obtained descriptive information from published reviews (e.g. Sigurjónsson and Leatherwood 1988, Dahlheim et al. 1982), published notes and articles, and gray literature that was accessible in academic libraries or on the internet. The quality and relevance of information from each source was evaluated individually before inclusion in the overall synthesis below.

PATTERNS OF KILLER WHALE DISTRIBUTION AND ABUNDANCE

Using information from all types of studies above, we have compiled a worldwide summary of patterns of abundance and distribution of killer whales (Table 2). Information fell into five general categories: 1) observational information (OBS), 2) photo identification catalogues (CAT), 3) mark-recapture estimates (MR), 4) non-standardized surveys (SURV), and 5) line-transect surveys (LTR). Because of the differences in accuracy and reliability of these methods, data were standardized into four broad categories of abundance (Table 2), defined as follows, based on the range of available line-transect estimates of killer whale densities: rare ($0 - 0.10$ whales per 100 km^2), uncommon ($0.10 - 0.20$ whales per 100 km^2), common ($0.20-0.40$ whales per 100 km^2), and abundant (>0.40 whales per 100 km^2). Encounter rates (sightings per linear distance) from non-standardized surveys were compared to encounter rates during line-transect surveys, bearing differences in platform and methodologies in mind, to allow classification of each survey result into one of the four density categories. For mark-recapture abundance estimates and photo-identification catalogs, the number of known or estimated killer whales was compared to an estimate of the area thought to be inhabited by the whales. This provided a crude density estimate which was then used as a guideline for assigning an abundance category to each study region, taking into consideration uncertainties in whale movements or population size. Finally, anecdotal information was subjectively categorized on the basis of descriptions of killer whale occurrence (e.g. 'seasonally common', 'rare visitors'), reported frequency and regularity of sightings, and estimated numbers of killer whales seen within each study region. The assigned categories should be considered provisional for all regions that do not have quantitative estimates of killer whale density. Nonetheless, they provide a basis for examining patterns of distribution and abundance worldwide.

Killer whales have previously been described to be very widely distributed throughout the world's oceans (Leatherwood and Dahlheim 1978, Heyning and Dahlheim 1988). They are found in tropical waters, temperate, and high latitudes, and in pelagic and coastal habitats (Dahlheim et al. 1982, Dahlheim and Heyning 1999). Whales inhabiting coastal areas often enter shallow bays, estuaries, and river mouths (Leatherwood et al. 1976). The global picture of killer whale distribution obtained in this study is largely consistent with these past observations, but provides further detail and quantitative information. Killer whale densities increase by 1-2 orders of magnitude between the tropics and the highest sampled latitudes in the Arctic and Antarctic (Figure 1). The available data also support previous observations that killer whales are more common along continental margins (Dahlheim et al. 1982); however, there is some variation in this general pattern that appears linked to ocean productivity (Figure 2). Killer whales appear to be less common in western boundary currents, such as the Gulf Stream or the Kuroshio than in more productive eastern boundary currents, such as the California Current (Figure 2). Known areas of locally higher density often coincide with greater productivity (e.g. off Argentina). Specific patterns are discussed below for each oceanic region, based on published accounts. It is important to bear in mind, however, that whaling catches (e.g., Nishiwaki and Handa 1958; Rice 1974; Ohsumi 1975; Dahlheim 1981; Mikhalev et al. 1981, Reeves and Mitchell 1988b) may have reduced the size of some local killer whale populations prior to abundance assessments.

North Pacific Ocean and Adjacent Arctic Waters

In the North Pacific Ocean, killer whales have been documented as far north as the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas in the Arctic Ocean (Lowry et al. 1987). Killer whales occur commonly in the eastern Bering Sea (Braham and Dahlheim 1982, Waite et al. 2002) and are frequently observed near the Aleutian Islands (Scammon 1874, Murie 1959, Waite et al. 2002). They occur year-round in the waters of southeastern Alaska (Scheffer 1967) and the intracoastal waterways of British Columbia and Washington State (Balcomb and Goebel 1976, Bigg et al. 1987, Osborne et al. 1988). Killer whales are commonly found along the coast of Washington and Oregon, and are regular but less abundant off California (Norris and Prescott 1961, Fiscus and Niggol 1965, Rice 1968, Black et al. 1997, Barlow 1995, Forney et al. 1995). Killer whales are distributed sparsely but continuously throughout the eastern tropical Pacific (Dahlheim et al. 1982, Wade and Gerrodette 1993), including both coasts of Baja California (Guerrero-Ruiz et al. 1998, Gerrodette and Palacios 1996). Data provided in Gerrodette and Palacios (1996), combined with detection function estimates from Wade and Gerrodette (1993), allow estimation of killer whale densities by Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) of eastern tropical Pacific countries, ranging from Mexico to Ecuador. The overall pattern appears to be one of decreasing density as one approaches the equator from either hemisphere, with a rare occurrence overall.

In the western North Pacific, killer whales are common along the Russian coast in the Bering Sea, the Sea of Okhotsk, the Sea of Japan, and along the eastern side of Sakhalin and the Kuril Islands (Tomlin 1957, Berzin and Vladimirov 1989). There are accounts of their occurrence off China (Wang 1985) and Japan (Nishiwaki and Handa 1958, Kasuya 1971, Ohsumi 1975), but killer whale encounters are rare or uncommon. Whaling catches of killer whales in Japanese waters may have lowered their current abundance relative to pristine levels. Central Pacific records are quite rare, but sightings have been documented around the Hawaiian

Islands (Mobley et al. 2001, Barlow 2003) and between Hawaii and California².

South Pacific

In contrast to the North Pacific, records of killer whales are considerably scarcer in the South Pacific, mostly a reflection of more limited sampling effort. The most systematically sampled areas south of the equator are those covered during eastern tropical Pacific dolphin cruises between 1986-1993 (Wade and Gerrodette 1993, Gerrodette and Palacios 1996), extending southward to about 15 °S. Patterns of occurrence are similar to those north of the equator, indicating killer whales are widespread but rare. Waters off Ecuador (excluding the Galapagos Islands), were estimated to contain about 75 killer whales [derived from Gerrodette and Palacios (1996) using the detection function estimates from Wade and Gerrodette (1993)]. Sighting records off Galapagos indicate killer whales are regular, but rare in waters surrounding the archipelago (Merlen 1999). The only other quantitative estimate of killer whale abundance within the South Pacific Ocean was derived from photo-identification studies around New Zealand (Visser 2000), where an estimated 119 whales are found.

In other South Pacific regions, records indicate that killer whales are rare or uncommon throughout most of their range. Killer whales were sighted on three occasions during 1993-95 non-standard sighting surveys between waters of the Peru Current off Chile and oceanic waters surrounding Easter Island (Aguayo et al. 1998). Although the total distance searched is not provided, the number of days of search effort suggests killer whales are rare in oceanic waters of the southeastern Pacific (Table 2), although they appear to be more common in more coastal waters of the Peru Current (Aguayo et al. 1998, Miyashita et al. 1995). In the Solomon Islands a 1993 sighting survey aboard two large research vessels resulted in one killer whale sighting during 3,700 km of search effort (Shimada and Pastene 1995). Taking platform and observer efficiency into account, this indicates killer whales are rare in waters around the Solomon Islands. Surveys in French Polynesia during 1996-2000 (Gannier 2000, Laran and Gannier 2001) resulted in only one sighting of killer whales during more than 11,000 km,. Ling (1991) compiled 1982-1990 records of killer whales in South Australia, and reports that they appear present in most South Australian waters. Seasonal concentrations have been reported off Tasmania and Macquarie Island, and in association with pinniped breeding activities. The available descriptions suggest that killer whales are uncommon in most of South Australian waters, with localized areas in which they may be considered common.

Indian Ocean

Records of killer whales in the Indian Ocean and adjacent seas are generally rare. Most information on their distribution and relative abundance has been obtained during non-standard sighting surveys and opportunistic studies. They have been reported taking catches from longlines targeting tunas in the Indian Ocean (Sivasubramanian 1965). A 1993 east-west survey transect through the Indian Ocean, at about 12°S, yielded one sighting of killer whales roughly midway between the Seychelles and the east coast of Africa (Eyre 1995), indicating that killer whales are rare in these waters. No killer whales were seen during a 1998 survey covering about 1,700 km in waters of the Maldives (Ballance et al. 2001). Visual and acoustic surveys within Komodo National Park, Indonesia, indicate killer whales are present, but rare, with two sightings

² NMFS, unpublished data; 1997 Sperm Whale Abundance and Population Structure Cruise, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Fisheries Science Center, 8604 La Jolla Shores Drive, La Jolla, CA 92037

in over 8,700 km surveyed. Photo-identification studies conducted during 1964-2000 in waters of the Crozet Archipelago, Southern Indian Ocean indicate a small, regularly occurring population¹. Killer whales are also regular visitors at Marion Island in the Southern Indian Ocean during the southern elephant seal (*Mirounga leonina*) breeding season, with about 30 individually identified whales (Keith et al. 2001, Pistorius et al. 2002). In a synthesis of 1965-1988 data from Japanese whale scouting and whale sighting surveys, Miyashita et al. (1995) suggest that killer whales are more abundant, perhaps uncommon to common, in the Southern Indian Ocean.

North Atlantic and Adjacent Seas

The first comprehensive summary of killer whale occurrence in the North Atlantic (Sigurjónsson and Leatherwood 1988) was based largely on whaling records, incidental sightings, anecdotal information, strandings and some photo-identification efforts in coastal waters. Overall, killer whales are rare to uncommon in lower latitudes of the North Atlantic, becoming increasingly common at higher latitudes. Quantitative survey-based estimates of killer whale abundance have been made in the eastern North Atlantic and adjacent seas, including waters off Norway, Iceland, and the Faroe Islands (Sigurjónsson et al. 1989, Gunnlaugsson and Sigurjónsson 1990, Øien 1990). Killer whales appear common to very abundant in most of these waters, and are seasonally well-known as they follow herring in coastal areas off Norway (Sigurjónsson et al. 1988, Similä et al. 1996). Whaling catches of killer whales in Norwegian waters may have lowered their current abundance compared to pristine abundance levels. They have been documented infrequently in the North Sea north of Great Britain during seismic surveys (Stone 1997).

Information for the western North Atlantic is primarily qualitative, but killer whales appear to be common in areas of the Canadian Arctic (Reeves and Mitchell 1988a, Mitchell and Reeves 1988), uncommon but seasonally regular in Labrador and Newfoundland (Lien et al. 1988), and rare in the Bay of Fundy and in U.S. Atlantic waters (Katona et al. 1988, Reeves and Mitchell 1988b, CeTAP 1982). During recent surveys conducted along mid-latitudes of the U.S. east coast, there were no killer whale sightings (Mullin and Fulling 2003). Killer whales are considered rare in the Gulf of Mexico (O'Sullivan and Mullin 1997, Mullin and Hoggard 2000, Fulling et al. 2003), the Caribbean (Katona et al. 1988, Mitchell and Reeves 1988), and the Mediterranean Sea (Notarbartolo-di-Sciara 1987). López et al. (2004) report that killer whales are absent or rare in waters of the eastern North Atlantic, based on 1998-99 sighting records from fishery observers onboard vessels off northwestern Spain. In the broader eastern North Atlantic, killer whales are considered widespread in coastal and offshore areas, but nowhere common (Hammond and Lockyer 1988).

South Atlantic

Although the presence of killer whales has been documented in the South Atlantic for decades (Budylenko 1981), quantitative estimates of abundance for this region are not available. The best-studied population in the South Atlantic is regularly found off Patagonia, coincident with pinnipeds breeding activities, and is estimated to contain about 30 animals based on photo-identification efforts (López and López 1985, Iñiguez 2001). Killer whales are also known from pelagic waters off southern Brazil, where they have a history of depredation on tuna and swordfish longline catches (Secchi and Vaske 1998). During vessel of opportunity surveys

conducted from fishing vessels off Southern Brazil in 1996-1999, killer whales were the second most common cetacean seen (Pinedo et al. 2002); however, it is possible that sighting rates were inflated because killer whales may have been attracted to the fishing vessels from which observations took place. Taking into account only sightings that were made during vessel transits, not in association with longline operations, the overall encounter rate nonetheless suggests killer whales are common off southern Brazil (Table 2). Sighting records and whaling catches indicate killer whales are also found seasonally in the southeastern Atlantic (Budylenko 1981, Mikhalev et al. 1981), but insufficient effort data are available to categorize their abundance in this region. They are common off the tip of Southern Africa (Miyashita et al. 1995), based on a map of data from Japanese whale scouting surveys (1964-88) and whale sighting surveys (1972-1990).

Southern Ocean

Killer whales have long been known to be abundant in the Southern Ocean. Between 1961 and 1980, Soviet whaling operations are reported to have taken over 1,600 killer whales, including 906 killer whales during the 1979/80 season (Mikhalev et al. 1981, Berzin and Vladimirov 1983). These operations may have reduced recent abundance in these areas. Several analyses of line-transect surveys have yielded abundance estimates for killer whales around Antarctica (Ohsumi 1981, Hammond 1984, Kasamatsu and Joyce 1995); however, some of the estimates were considered biased by methodology and survey coverage (Branch and Butterworth 2001). More recent estimates that account for some of these biases indicate about 25,000 killer whales inhabit waters south of 60°S (Branch and Butterworth 2001); however, there are still uncertainties related to coverage of areas in the pack ice. Densities are known vary locally within Antarctic waters, ranging from very abundant to uncommon (Secchi et al. 2001, Pitman and Ensor 2003), and it has been recognized that killer whale densities are higher closer to the ice edge, where Type B and C killer whales can occur in large aggregations of tens to hundreds of animals (Berzin and Vladimirov 1983, Pitman and Ensor 2003). Kasamatsu and Joyce (1995) calculated killer whale abundance separately for six east-west Antarctic areas and by distance from the ice edge. Although their absolute density values may be biased by the analytical methods used, their study provides a unique overview of relative patterns of Antarctic killer whale density by latitude; it is therefore included separately in the overall assessment of worldwide latitudinal patterns (Fig. 1).

CONCLUSIONS

The abundance categories in this study should be considered provisional, particularly for areas with limited sampling. Overall, the observed patterns of worldwide killer whale distribution are in general agreement with previous descriptions that indicate killer whales are more common at higher latitudes and in coastal areas. Killer whale occurrence also appears broadly tied to regions of higher ocean productivity, as indicated by remotely sensed chlorophyll levels (Figure 2), and the latitudinal and inshore/offshore patterns of abundance may simply be a reflection of the higher productivity in coastal and high-latitude areas. Regions of similar latitude exhibit differences in abundance that may be tied to patterns of productivity and prey availability; for example, killer whales are rare along the U. S. northeast coast, while they are common along the coast of Oregon and Washington, at a similar latitude.

Many portions of the world's ocean have not been adequately studied, but it is noteworthy that fish-eating specialists appear to occur only at high latitudes in the Pacific, Atlantic, and Southern Oceans. In both the Pacific and Southern Oceans, fish-eating specialists occur in sympatry or parapatry with other types of killer whales that appear to specialize on marine mammal prey, suggesting that in high productivity areas killer whales have undergone a form of niche separation to take advantage of relatively abundant prey, and this may have occurred independently several times. Although relatively fewer studies have occurred at lower latitudes and in less productive areas, there is some suggestion that killer whales in these areas may be more of a generalist predator (Baird 2002). For example, killer whales that are thought to represent a single population in the Gulf of California have been observed to take both marine mammal and fish prey (Silber et al. 1990, Guerrero-Ruiz et al. 2002).

Although the available data are far from complete, abundance estimates for the areas that have been sampled provide a *minimum* worldwide abundance estimate of about 50,000 killer whales. It is likely that the total abundance is considerably higher, because estimates are not available for many high-latitude areas of the northern hemisphere and for large areas of the South Pacific, South Atlantic, and Indian Ocean. The impact of killer whales on the oceanic ecosystem is difficult to evaluate without further targeted studies, particularly given their broad range of prey species. Ultimately, their impact depends fundamentally on four things: how many there are, where they are, what they eat, and how much they eat. This study has provided a worldwide overview of the first two of these issues, and provided limited information on the third. Combined with more detailed studies on diet, energetics, and foraging ecology of individual populations, this represents a step towards gaining a better understanding of the role of killer whales in the ecosystem.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper was enhanced through thoughtful discussions with many people. We are grateful to Janice Waite, who reviewed recent information on eastern North Pacific killer whales and estimated the areas inhabited by these populations. Joan Parker at Moss Landing Marine Laboratories provided valuable assistance in tracking down references. We thank Robin Baird, Jay Barlow, Dan Doak, Jim Estes, and Richard Neal for their helpful comments on previous drafts of this manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- Aguayo, A., R. Bernal, C. Olavarría, V. Vallejos & R. Huccke-Gaete. 1998. Cetofauna off central Chile, including Easter Island. *Revista de Biología de Marina y Oceanografía*, 33(1): 101-123.
- Angliss, R. P. and K. L. Lodge. 2002. Alaska marine mammal stock assessments, 2002. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-133 224p.
- Baird, R. W. 1994. Foraging behavior and ecology of transient killer whales. Ph.D. thesis, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia.

- Baird, R. W. 2000. The killer whale - foraging specializations and group hunting. *In* J. Mann, R. C. Connor, P. L. Tyack, and H. Whitehead (eds.), *Cetacean societies: field studies of dolphins and whales*, p. 127-153. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
- Baird, R. W. 2002. *Killer whales of the world: natural history and conservation*. Voyageur Press, Stillwater, MN 132 pp.
- Baird, R. W., P.A. Abrams, and L. M. Dill. 1992. Possible indirect interactions between transient and resident killer whales: implications for the evolution of foraging specializations in the genus *Orcinus*. *Oecologia* 89:125-132.
- Baird, R. W. and L. M. Dill. 1995. Occurrence and behaviour of transient killer whales: seasonal and pod-specific variability, foraging behavior, and prey handling. *Can. J. Zool.* 73: 1300-1311.
- Baird, R. W. and L. M. Dill. 1996. Ecological and social determinants of group size in transient killer whales. *Behavioral Ecology* 7(4):408-416.
- Baird, R. W., and H. Whitehead. 2000. Social organization of mammal-eating killer whales: group stability and dispersal patterns. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 78:2096-2105.
- Balcomb, K. C., and C. A. Goebel. 1976. A killer whale study in Puget Sound. Final report, Contract No. NASO-6-35330. Marine Mammal Div., National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle, WA, 11 p.
- Ballance L. T, C. R. Anderson, R. L. Pitman, K. Stafford, A. Shaan, Z. Waheed, and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 2001. Cetacean sightings around the Republic of the Maldives, April 1998. *Journal of Cetacean Research & Management* 3: 213-218.
- Barlow, J. 1995. The abundance of cetaceans in California waters. Part II: Ship surveys in summer and fall of 1991. *Fishery Bulletin* 93:1-14.
- Barlow, J. 2003a. Preliminary estimates of the abundance of cetaceans along the U.S. west coast: 1991-2001. Admin. Rep. LJ-03-03. Southwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, 8604 La Jolla Shores Dr., La Jolla CA 92037. 31p.
- Barlow, J. 2003b. Cetacean abundance in Hawaiian waters during summer/fall 2002. Admin. Rep. LJ-03-13. Southwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, 8604 La Jolla Shores Drive, La Jolla, CA 92037. 20 p.
- Barrat, A. and J. L. Mougín. 1978. Southern elephant seal *Mirounga-leonina* of Possession Island Crozet Archipelago 46 degrees 25 minutes south 51 degrees 45 minutes east. *Mammalia* 42, 143-174.
- Barrett-Lennard, L. G. 2000. Population structure and mating patterns of killer whales as revealed by DNA analysis. Ph.D. thesis, Univ. British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C.
- Barrett-Lennard, L. G., and G. M. Ellis. 2001. Population structure and genetic variability in northeastern Pacific killer whales: Towards an assessment of population viability. Research document 2001/065, Dept. Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Nanaimo, BC, 35 p.
- Berzin, A. A., and V. L. Vladimirov. 1983. A new species of killer whale (Cetacea, Delphinidae) from Antarctic waters. *Zool. Zh.* 62:287-295 (translated from Russian).
- Berzin, A. A., and V. L. Vladimirov. 1989. Recent distribution and abundance of cetaceans in the Sea of Okhotsk. *Biol. mor. Vladivostok* 2:15-23. (In Russian).
- Bigg, M. A., G. M. Ellis, J. K. B. Ford, and K. C. Balcomb. 1987. *Killer whales: A study of their identification, genealogy, and natural history in British Columbia and Washington State*. Phantom Press and Publishers, Inc., Nanaimo, BC, 79 p.
- Bigg, M. A., P. F. Olesiuk, G. M. Ellis, J. K. B. Ford, and K. C. Balcomb, III. 1990. Social organization and genealogy of resident killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in the coastal waters

- of British Columbia and Washington State. Rep. Int. Whal. Commn (Spec. Issue) 12:386-406.
- Bisther, A. 2002. Intergroup interactions among killer whales in Norwegian coastal waters; tolerance vs. aggression at feeding areas. Aquatic Mammals 28:14-23.
- Black, N. A., A. Schulman-Janiger, R. L. Ternullo, and M. Guerrero-Ruiz. 1997. Killer whales of California and Western Mexico: A catalog of photo-identified individuals. U.S. Dept. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-SWFSC-247, San Diego, CA, 174 p.
- Braham, H. W., and M. E. Dahlheim. 1982. Killer whales in Alaska documented in the Platforms of Opportunity Program. Rep. Int. Whal. Commn. 32:643-646.
- Branch T. A., and D. S. Butterworth. 2001. Estimates of abundance south of 60 degree S for cetacean species sighted frequently on the 1978/79 to 1997/98 IWC/IDCR-SOWER sighting surveys. Journal of Cetacean Research & Management 3: 251-270.
- Buckland, S. T., D. R. Anderson, K. P. Burnham and J. L. Laake. 1993. Distance sampling: Estimating abundance of biological populations. Chapman & Hall, London. 446 pp.
- Budylenko, G. A. 1981. Distribution and some aspects of the biology of killer whales in the South Atlantic. Rep. Int. Whal. Commn. 31:523-525.
- Calambokidis, J. and J. Barlow. 2004. Abundance of blue and humpback whales in the eastern North Pacific estimated by capture-recapture and line-transect methods. Marine Mammal Science 20: 63-85.
- Caldwell, D.K., and M.C. Caldwell. 1969. Addition of the leatherback sea turtle to the known prey of the killer whale, *Orcinus orca*. J. Mammal. 50(3) : 636.
- Carretta, J. V., J. Barlow, K. A. Forney, M. M. Muto, and J. Baker. 2001. U.S. Pacific Marine Mammal Stock Assessments: 2001. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SWFSC-317. 280p.
- Carretta, J.V., M.M. Muto, J. Barlow, J. Baker, K.A. Forney, and M. Lowry. 2002. U. S. Pacific Marine Mammal Stock Assessments: 2002. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SWFSC-346. 286p.
- CETAP. 1982. A characterization of marine mammals and turtles in the mid- and north Atlantic areas of the U.S. outer continental shelf. Cetacean and Turtle Assessment Program, University of Rhode Island. Final Report # AA551-CT8-48 to the Bureau of Land Management, Washington, DC. 538 pp.
- Clapham, P., J. Barlow, M. Bessinger, T. Cole, D. Mattila, R. Pace, D. Palka, J. Robbins, and R. Seton. 2003. Abundance and demographic parameters of humpback whales from the Gulf of Maine, and stock definition relative to the Scotian Shelf. Journal of Cetacean Research & Management. 5: 13-22.
- Dahlheim, M. E. 1981. A review of the biology and exploitation of the killer whale, *Orcinus orca*, with comments on recent sightings from Antarctica. Rep. Int. Whal. Commn. 31: 541-546.
- Dahlheim, M. E. 1997. A photographic catalog of killer whales, *Orcinus orca*, from the Central Gulf of Alaska to the Southeastern Bering Sea. National Marine Mammal Laboratory, AFSC, U.S. Dept. Commer., NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS 131, Seattle, WA, 54 p.
- Dahlheim, M., D. K. Ellifrit, and J. D. Swenson. 1997. Killer whales of Southeast Alaska: a catalogue of photo-identified individuals. U.S. Dept. Commer., NOAA, NMFS, AFSC, National Marine Mammal Laboratory, Seattle, WA, 79 p.
- Dahlheim, M. E., and J. E. Heyning. 1999. Killer whale. Pages 281-322 In: S. Ridgway and R. Harrison (eds.) Handbook of Marine Mammals, Vol. 6. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.

- Dahlheim, M. E., J. S. Leatherwood, and W. E. Perrin. 1982. Distribution of killer whales in the warm temperate and tropical eastern Pacific. *Rep. Int. Whal. Commn.* 32:647-653.
- Estes, J.A., Tinker, M.T., Williams, T.M., and Doak, D.F. 1998. Killer whale predation on sea otters linking oceanic and nearshore ecosystems. *Science*. 282: 473-476.13.
- Eyre, E. J. 1995. Observations of cetaceans in the Indian Ocean Whale Sanctuary, May-July 1993. *Rep. Int. Whal. Commn.* 45:419-426.
- Eyre, E.J. 1995. Observation of cetaceans in the Indian Ocean whale Sanctuary, May-July 1993. *Rep. Int. Whal. Commn.* 45:419-26
- Fiscus, C. H., and K. Niggol. 1965. Observations of cetaceans off California, Oregon and Washington. *Special Scientific Rep., Fisheries No. 498*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC, 27 p.
- Ford, J. K. B., and G. M. Ellis. 1999. *Transients: Mammal-Hunting Killer Whales of British Columbia, Washington, and Southeastern Alaska*. University of British Columbia Press, Vancouver, BC. 96 pp.
- Ford, J. K. B., G. M. Ellis, and K. C. Balcomb. 1994. *Killer Whales: The natural history and genealogy of *Orcinus orca* in British Columbia and Washington State*. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC, Canada.
- Ford, J. K. B., G. M. Ellis, L. G. Barrett-Lennard, A. B. Morton, R. S. Palm, and K. C. Balcomb. 1998. Dietary specialization in two sympatric populations of killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in coastal British Columbia and adjacent waters. *Can. J. Zool.* 76(8):1456-1471.
- Ford, J. K. B., G. M. Ellis, and K. C. Balcomb. 2000. *Killer Whales: The Natural History and Genealogy of *Orcinus orca* in British Columbia and Washington*. 2nd edition. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC, Canada and University of Washington Press, Seattle. 104 pp.
- Forney, K. A., J. Barlow and J. V. Carretta. 1995. The abundance of cetaceans in California waters. Part II: Aerial surveys in winter and spring of 1991 and 1992. *Fishery Bulletin*, U.S. 93:15-26.
- Friday, N., T. D. Smith, P. T. Stevick, J. Allen. 2000. Measurement of photographic quality and individual distinctiveness for the photographic identification of humpback whales, *Megaptera novaeangliae*. *Marine Mammal Science* 16:355-374.
- Fulling, G. L., K. D. Mullin, and C. W. Hubard. 2003. Abundance and distribution of cetaceans in outer continental shelf waters of the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. *Fishery Bulletin* 101:923-932.
- Gannier, A. 2000. Distribution of cetaceans off the Society Islands (French Polynesia) as obtained from dedicated surveys. *Aquatic Mammals* 26.2: 111-126.
- Garrigue C. and J. Greaves. 2001. Cetacean records for the New Caledonian area (southwest Pacific Ocean). *Micronesica*. 34:27-33.
- Garrison, L. P., S. L. Swartz, A. Martinez, C. Burks, and K. Stamates. 2003. A marine mammal assessment survey of the southeast U.S. continental shelf: February – April 2002. NOAA Tech. Memo NMFS-SEFSC-492. 51 pp.
- Gerrodette, T. and D. M. Palacios. 1996. Estimates of cetacean abundance in EEZ waters of the eastern tropical Pacific. Dept. Commer., Southwest Fisheries Science Center Administrative Report LJ-96-10. Available from SWFSC, 8604 La Jolla Shores Drive, La Jolla, CA 92937. 28pp.
- Goley, P. D., and J. M. Straley. 1994. Attack on gray whales in Monterey Bay, California, by killer whales previously identified in Glacier Bay, Alaska. *Can. J. Zool.* 72:1528-1530.
- Guerrero-Ruiz, M., D. Gendron, and J. Urbán R. 1998. Distribution, movements and communities of killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in the Gulf of California, Mexico. *Rep. Int.*

- Whal. Commn. 48: 537-543.
- Guerrero-Ruiz, M., R.J. Urban, D. Gendron, V. Flores de Sahagun. 2002. Current knowledge of killer whales in the Mexican Pacific. Page 76 in Fourth International Orca Symposium and Workshop, CEBC-CNRS, France.
- Gunnlaugsson, T. and J. Sigurjónsson. 1990. NASS-87: Estimation of whale abundance based on observations made onboard Icelandic and Faroese survey vessels. Rep. Int. Whal. Commn. 40: 571-580.
- Hammond, P. S. 1984. Abundance of killer whales in Antarctic Areas II, III, IV, and V. Rep Int. Whal. Commn. 34:543-548.
- Hammond, P. S. 1986. Estimating the size of naturally marked whale populations using capture-recapture techniques. Rep. Int. Whal. Commn. (Special Issue 8) 253-282.
- Hammond, P. S. , C. Lockyer. 1988. Distribution of killer whales in the eastern North Atlantic. Rit Fiskideildar 11:24-41.
- Hammond, P. S., S. A. Mizroch And G. P. Donovan (Ed.). 1990. Individual Recognition Of Cetaceans: Use Of Photo-Identification And Other Techniques To Estimate Population Parameters. Report of the International Whaling Commission Special Issue, No. 12.
- Hansen, L. J. 1990. California coastal bottlenose dolphins. Pages 403-420 In: S. Leatherwood and R.R. Reeves (eds.), The Bottlenose Dolphin. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego.
- Heise, K., G. Ellis, and C. Matkin. 1991. A Catalogue of Prince William Sound Killer Whales. Homer, AK: North Gulf Oceanic Society.
- Heise, K., L. G. Barrett-Lennard, E. Saulitis, C. Matkin, and D. Bain. 2003. Examining the evidence for killer whale predation on Steller sea lions in British Columbia and Alaska. Aquatic Mammals 29:325-334
- Heyning, J. E., and M. E. Dahlheim. 1988. *Orcinus orca*. Mamm. Species 304:1-9.
- Hoelzel, A. R., M. Dahlheim, and S. J. Stern. 1998. Low genetic variation among killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in the eastern north Pacific and genetic differentiation between foraging specialists. J. Hered. 89(2):121-128.
- Hoelzel, A. R., and G. A. Dover. 1991. Genetic differentiation between sympatric killer whale populations. Heredity 66:191-195.
- Iñíguez, M. A. 2001. Seasonal distribution of killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in Northern Patagonia, Argentina. Aquatic Mammals: 27.2: 154-161.
- Jonsgård, A. and Lyshoel, P. B. (1970) A contribution to the biology of the killer whale, *Orcinus orca*. Nytt Magasin for Zoologi 18, 41-48.
- Jefferson, T. A., P. J. Stacey, and R. W. Baird. 1991. A review of killer whale interactions with other marine mammals: Predation to co-existence. Mamm. Rev. 21:151-180.
- Kahn, B. and J. Pet. 2003. Long-term visual and acoustic cetacean surveys in Komodo National Park, Indonesia 1999-2001. Management Implications for large migratory marine life: In: Proceedings and Publications of the World Congress on Aquatic Protected Areas 2002. Australian Society for Fish Biology. 28 pp.
- Kasamatsu, F. and G. G. Joyce. 1995. Current status of odontocetes in the Antarctic. Antarctic Science 7:365-379.
- Kasuya, T. 1971. Consideration of distribution and migration of toothed whales off the Pacific coast of Japan based upon aerial sighting record. Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst. 23:37-60.
- Katona, S. K., J. A. Beard, P. E. Girton, and F. Wenzel. 1988. Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) from the Bay of Fundy to the Equator, including the Gulf of Mexico. Rit Fiskideildar 11: 205-224.

- Keith, M., M. N. Bester, P. A. Bartlett, and D. Baker. 2001. Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) at Marion Island, Southern Ocean. *African Zoology* 36(2):163-175.
- Laran S. and A. Gannier. 2001. Distribution of cetaceans in the Marquesas Islands (French Polynesia) and comparison with a precedent survey. Abstract presented at the 15th Conference of the European Cetacean Society, Rome, Italy 2001.
- Leatherwood, S., C. O. Matkin, J. D. Hall, and G. M. Ellis. 1990. Killer whales, *Orcinus orca*, photo-identified in Prince William Sound, Alaska 1976 to 1987. *Can. Field Nat.* 104:362-371.
- Leatherwood, S. A. E. Bowles, E. Krygier, J. D. Hall, S. Ignell. 1984. Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in Southeast Alaska, Prince William Sound, and Shelikof Strait; A review of available information. *Rep Int. Whal. Commn.* 521-530.
- Leatherwood, S., D. K. Caldwell, and H. E. Winn. 1976. Whales, dolphins and porpoises of the western North Atlantic: a guide to their identification. Dept. Commer., NOAA Tech. Rep. No. 396, 176 p.
- Leatherwood, J. S., and M. E. Dahlheim. 1978. Worldwide distribution of pilot whales and killer whales. Rep. No. 443, Naval Ocean Systems Center, San Diego, CA, 39 p.
- Lien J., G. B. Stenson, P. W. Jones. 1988. Killer whales *Orcinus-orca* in waters off Newfoundland and Labrador Canada 1978-1986. *Rit Fiskideildar* 11:194-201.
- Ling, J. K. 1991. Recent sightings of killer whales, *Orcinus orca* (Cetacea: Delphinidae), in South Australia. *Trans. R. Soc. S. Aust.* 115:95-98.
- López, C. L. and D. López. 1985. Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) of Patagonia and their behavior of intentional stranding while hunting nearshore. *J. Mamm.* 66:181-183.
- López, A. G. J. Pierce, X. Valeiras, M. B. Santos and A. Guerra. 2004. Distribution patterns of small cetaceans in Galician waters. *J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K.* 84:283-294.
- Lowry, L. F., R. R. Nelson, and K. J. Frost. 1987. Observations of killer whales, *Orcinus orca*, in Western Alaska: sightings, strandings, and predation on other marine mammals. *Can. Field-Nat.* 101:6-12.
- MacLeod, K, M. P. Simmonds, and E. Murray. 2003. Summer distribution and relative abundance of cetacean populations off north-west Scotland. *J. Mar. Bio. Ass. U. K.* 83:1187-1192.
- Matkin, C., G. Ellis, E. Saulitis, L. Barrett-Lennard, and D. Matkin. 1999. Killer Whales of Southern Alaska. *North Gulf Oceanic Society.* 96 pp.
- Matthews, J. N., L. Steiner, and J. Gordon. 2001. Mark-recapture analysis of sperm whale (*Physeter macrocephalus*) photo-ID data from the Azores (1987-1995). *Journal of Cetacean Research & Management.* 3: 219-226.
- Merlen, G. 1999. The orca in Galápagos: 135 sightings. *Noticias de Galápagos* 60: 2-8.
- Mikhalev, Y. A., M. V. Ivashin, V. P. Savusin, and F. E. Zelenaya. 1981. The distribution and biology of killer whales in the Southern Hemisphere. *Rep. Int. Whal. Commn.* 1:551-566.
- Mitchell, E. and R. R. Reeves. 1988. Records of killer whales in the western North Atlantic, with emphasis on eastern Canadian waters. *Rit Fiskideildar* 11: 161-193.
- Mironova A.M., A. M. Burdin, E. Hoyt, E. L. Jikiya, V. S. Nikulin, N. N. Pavlov, H. Sato, K. K. Tarasyan, O. A. Filatova. 2002. *O. orca* abundance, distribution, seasonal presence, predation and strandings in the waters around Kamchatka and the Kommander Islands: An assessment based on reported sightings 1992-2000. *Proceedings of the 4th International Orca Symposium and Workshop, Chize, France.* P. 106-108.

- Miyashita, T., H. Kato, and T. Kasuya (eds). 1995. Worldwide Map of Cetacean Distribution based on Japanese Sighting Data (Volume 1). National Research Institute of Far Seas Fisheries, 5-7-1 Orido, Shimizu, Shizuoka 424, Japan.
- Miyashita, T., T. Kishiro, N. Higashi, F. Sata, K. Mori, and H. Kato. 1996. Winter distribution of cetaceans in the western North Pacific inferred from sighting cruises 1993-1995. Rep. Int. Whaling Commn 46: 437-441.
- Mobley, J. R., L. Mazzuca, A. S. Craig, M. W. Newcomer, and S. S. Spitz. 2001. Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) sighted West of Ni'ihau, Hawai'i. Pacific Science 55:301-303.
- Mullin, K. D. and W. Hoggard. 2000. Visual surveys of cetaceans and sea turtles from aircraft and ships. Pages 111-171 In: R. W. Davis, W. E. Evans, and B. Würsig (eds) Cetaceans, Sea Turtles and Seabirds in the Northern Gulf of Mexico: Distribution, Abundance and Habitat Associations. Volume II: Technical Report. Prepared by Texas A & M University at Galveston and the National Marine Fisheries Service. U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, USGS/BRD/CR-1999-0006 and Minerals Management Service, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, New Orleans, LA. OCS Study MMS 2000-003. 346 pp.
- Mullin, K. D. and G. L. Fulling. 2003. Abundance of cetaceans in the southern U.S. North Atlantic Ocean during summer 1998. Fishery Bulletin 101:603-613.
- Mullin, K. D. and G. L. Fulling. 2004. Abundance of cetaceans in the oceanic northern Gulf of Mexico, 1996-2001. Marine Mammal Science 20:787-807.
- Murie, O. J. 1959. Fauna of the Aleutian Islands and Alaska Peninsula. North American Fauna. Rep. No. 61. U.S. Dept. Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC, 406 p. NMFS, Southwest Fisheries Science Center, 8604 La Jolla Shores Drive, La Jolla, CA 92037.
- Nishiwaki, M., and C. Handa. 1958. Killer whales caught in coastal waters off Japan. Sci. Rept. Whales Res. Inst. 13:85-96.
- Notarbartolo-di-Sciara G. 1987. Killer whale *Orcinus-orca* in the Mediterranean Sea. Marine Mammal Science 3: 356-360.
- Norris, K. S., and J. H. Prescott. 1961. Observations of Pacific cetaceans of Californian and Mexican waters. Univ. California Publications in Zoology 63:291-402.
- Ohsumi, S. 1975. Review of Japanese small-type whaling. J. Fish. Res. Board of Can. 32(7):1111-1121.
- Ohsumi, S. 1981. Distribution and abundance of killer whales in the Southern Hemisphere. paper SC/Jn81/KW10 submitted to the Scientific Committee of the International Whaling Commission, June 1981 (unpublished). 9 pp.
- Øien, N. 1990. Sightings surveys in the Northeast Atlantic in July 1988: Distribution and abundance of cetaceans. Rep. Int. Whal. Commn. 40: 499-511.
- Olesiuk, P. F., M. A. Bigg, and G. M. Ellis. 1990. Life history and population dynamics of resident killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in the coastal waters of British Columbia and Washington State. Rep. Int. Whal. Commn. (special issue) 12:209-244.
- Osborne, R., J. Calambokidis, and E. M. Dorsey. 1988. A guide to marine mammals of greater Puget Sound. Island Publishers, Friday Harbor, WA, 191 p.
- O'Sullivan, and K. D. Mullin. 1997. Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Marine Mammal Science 13:141-147.
- Pinedo, M. C., T. Polacheck, A. S. Barreto, and M. P. Lammardo. 2002. A note on vessel of opportunity sighting surveys for cetaceans in the shelf edge region off the southern coast of Brazil. J. Cetacean Res. Manag. Vol. 4: 323-329.

- Pistorius, P. A., F. E. Taylor, C. Louw, B. Hanise, M. N. Bester, C. De Wet, A. du Plooy, N. Green, S. Klasen, P. Podile, and J. Schoeman. 2002. Distribution, movement and estimated populations of killer whales at Marion Island, December 2000. *South African Journal of Wildlife Research* 32:86-92.
- Pitman, R. L. and P. Ensor. 2003. Three forms of killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in Antarctic waters. *J. Cetacean Res. Manage* 5(2):131-139.
- Read, A.J., K.W. Urian, B. Wilson, D. M. Waples. 2003. Abundance of bottlenose dolphins in the bays, sounds, and estuaries of North Carolina. *Marine Mammal Science* 19: 59-73.
- Reeves R. R., and E. Mitchell. 1988a. Distribution and seasonality of killer whales in the eastern Canadian Arctic. *Rit Fiskideildar* 11: 136-160.
- Reeves R. R., and E. Mitchell. 1988b. Killer whale sightings and takes by American pelagic whalers in the North Atlantic. *Rit Fiskideildar*. 11: 7-23.
- Rice, D. W. 1968. Stomach contents and feeding behaviour of killer whales in the eastern North Pacific. *Nor. Hvalfangst-Tid* 57:35-38.
- Rice, D. W. 1974. Whales and whale research in eastern North Pacific. p. 170-195 In: W. E. Schevill (ed.), *The Whale Problem - A Status Report*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Saulitis, E., C. Matkin, L. Barrett-Lennard, K. Heise, and G. Ellis. 2000. Foraging strategies of sympatric killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) populations in Prince William Sound, Alaska." *Mar. Mammal Sci.* 16: 94-109.
- Scammon, C. M. 1874. *The marine mammals of the northwestern coast of North America*. J. H. Carmany and Company, San Francisco, CA, 319 p.
- Scheffer, V. B. 1967. The killer whale. *Pacific Search* 1(7):2.
- Seber, G. A. F. 1982. *The estimation of animal abundance and related parameters*. Second edition. Oxford University Press, New York, USA. 653 pp.
- Secchi, E. R., L. Dalla Rosa, P. G. Kinas, M. C. O. Santos, A. N. Zerbini, M. Bassoi, and I. B. Moreno. 2002. Encounter rates of whales around the Antarctic Peninsula with special reference to humpback whales, *Megaptera novaeangliae*, in the Gerlache Strait: 1997/98 to 1999/2000 *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum*. 47(2). 31 December, 2002. 571-578.
- Secchi, E. R. and T. Vaske, Jr. 1998. Killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) sightings and depredation on tuna and swordfish longline catches in southern Brazil. *Aquatic Mammals* 24.2:117-122.
- Shimada, H., and L. A. Pastene. 1995. Report of a sighting survey off the Solomon Islands with comments on Bryde's whale distribution. *Rep. Int. Whal. Commn* 45:413-418.
- Sigurjónsson, J., T. Gunnlaugsson and M. Payne. 1989. NASS-87: Shipboard sighting surveys in Icelandic and adjacent waters June-July 1987. *Rep. Int. Whal. Commn* 39: 395-409.
- Sigurjónsson, J. and Leatherwood, S. (eds). 1988. *North Atlantic Killer Whales*. *Rit Fiskideildar* 11:1-317.
- Sigurjónsson J., T. Lyrholm, S. Leatherwood, E. Jonsson, and G. Vikingsson. 1988. Photo-identification of killer whales, *Orcinus orca*, off Iceland 1981 through 1986. *Rit Fiskideildar* 11:99-114.
- Silber, G. K., M.W. Newcomer, and M.H. Perez-Cortes. 1990. Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) attack and eat a Bryde's whale (*Balaenoptera edeni*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 68:1603-1606.
- Similä, T., J. C. Holst, and I. Christensen. 1996. Occurrence and diet of killer whales in northern Norway: seasonal patterns relative to distribution and abundance of Norwegian spring-spawning herring. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 53: 769-779.

- Sivasubramanian, K. 1965. Predation of tuna longline catches in the Indian Ocean, by killer whales and sharks. *Bull. Fish. Res. Stn., Ceylon* 17:221-236.
- Springer, A. M., J. A. Estes, G. B. van Vliet, T. M. Williams, D. F. Doak, E. M. Danner, K. A. Forney and B. Pfister. 2003. Sequential megafaunal collapse in the North Pacific Ocean: An ongoing legacy of industrial whaling? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 100: 12223-12228.
- Stevens, T. A., D. A. Duffield, E. D. Asper, K. G. Hewlett, A. Bolz, L. J. Gage, and G. D. Bossart. 1989. Preliminary findings of restriction fragment differences in mitochondrial DNA among killer whales, *Orcinus orca*. *Can. J. Zool.* 67. 2592-2595.
- Stone, C. J. 1997. Cetacean observations during seismic surveys in 1996. JNCC Report No. 228. 41 pp. + Appendices.
- Tomlin, A. G. 1957. Cetacea. In V. G. Heptnev (ed.), *Mammals of the USSR and adjacent countries*, Vol. IX. Moscow, U.S.S.R. [English translation, 1967, Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem.]
- Visser, I. N. 2000. Orca (*Orcinus orca*) in New Zealand waters. Doctoral dissertation, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand. 193 p.
- Wade, P. R., and T. Gerrodette. 1993. Estimates of cetacean abundance and distribution in the eastern tropical Pacific. Report of the International Whaling Commission 43:477-493.
- Waite, J. M., N. A. Friday, and S. E. Moore. 2002. Killer wale (*Orcinus orca*) distribution and abundance in the central and southeastern Bering Sea, July 1999 and June 2000. *Marine Mammal Science* 18:779-786.
- Wang, P. 1985. Distribution of cetaceans in Chinese waters. Administrative Rep. No. LJ-85-24. Southwest Fisheries Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, 11 p.
- Wilson, B., P. S. Hammond, P. M. Thompson. 1999. Estimating size and assessing trends in a coastal bottlenose dolphin population. *Ecological Applications* 9:288-300.
- Zerbini, A. N., J. M. Waite, J. W. Durban, R. LeDuc, M. E. Dahlheim, and P. R. Wade. (Submitted) Estimating abundance of killer whales in the nearshore waters of the Gulf of Alaska and Aleutian Islands using line transect sampling. Submitted to *Marine Biology*.

Table 1. Summary of methods of population estimation for killer whales. Abbreviations correspond to those used in Table 2.

Method	Information Type	Advantages	Disadvantages	Reference Examples
OBS: Anecdotal or descriptive information, such as whaling records, stranding data, incidental observations.	Presence/absence; qualitative descriptions of occurrence, broad distribution patterns.	Widely available in most inhabited/exploited regions of the world, provides historical information.	Non-quantitative and difficult to compare between records; limited information for many unexploited regions of the world.	Diverse historical literature, whaling records, natural history notes; see for example summaries in Leatherwood et al. 1984, Sigurjónsson and Leatherwood 1988, Dahlheim et al. 1982.
CAT: Photo-identification studies and catalogs.	Individual-based information on behavior, birth/death rates, ecology and movement patterns; catalog of known individuals; longterm genealogical records.	Provides detailed demographic data on population of interest.	Photographic sampling may not capture entire population; takes many years and large effort to build comprehensive photo-identification catalog, if deaths are not documented, catalog can overestimate population size.	For example: Sigurjónsson et al. 1988, Leatherwood et al. 1990, Ford et al. 1994, Dahlheim et al. 1997, Dahlheim 1997, Heise et al. 1991, Black et al. 1997, Matkin et al. 1999, Iñíguez 2001, Guerrero-Ruiz et al. 1998. See also Hammond et al. 1990
MR: Mark-recapture methods	Estimate of the total number of whales that use a given study area during the study period; with sufficient data, demographic parameters can be estimated.	Estimates proportion of unobserved whales to provide more complete estimate of population size; cost-effective method that can be carried out mostly from small boats; model selection and careful design of sampling sites can minimize biases.	Requires at least two sampling periods to conduct analysis, if sampling not well-designed, can be negatively biased by individual heterogeneity in sighting probabilities.	None specific to killer whales. Other cetacean mark-recapture studies: Hansen 1990, Wilson et al. 1999, Matthews et al. 2001. Read et al. 2003, Clapham et al. 2003, Calambokidis and Barlow 2004.
SURV: Non-standardized surveys and observations with a measure of effort.	Encounter rates, distribution information, instantaneous measure of relative abundance within surveyed region	Can be obtained incidentally to other surveys, semi-quantitative data.	Non-standardized effort; limited comparability between platforms, methods, regions, generally small sample sizes.	Kasuya 1971, Eyre 1995, Miyashita et al. 1996, O'Sullivan and Mullin 1997, Aguayo et al. 1998, Gannier 2000, Garrigue and Greaves 2001, Kahn and Pet 2003, Ballance et al. 2001, Secchi et al. 2002.
LTR: Line-transect surveys (Buckland et al. 1993)	Standardized estimate of instantaneous abundance and density.	Comparable estimate across studies, as long as potential biases addressed.	Costly, requires moderate number of sightings (>30) for analysis; does not provide movement data or information on animals outside of study area.	Examples: Hammond 1984, Øien 1990, Wade and Gerrodette 1993, Barlow 1995, Forney et al. 1995, Branch and Butterworth 2001, Waite et al. 2002

Table 2. Worldwide estimates of abundance and density of killer whales. (Si= sightings, Ani= Animals, CV= coefficient of variation).

Region	Source of abundance information	Data Type	Years	Area size (km ²)	Effort (km)	No. Si	No. Ani	Si / 1000 km	Abund. Estimate	CV	Density (Animals per 100 km ²)	Catalog or Minimum count	Abundance Category
ATLANTIC OCEAN AND ADJACENT SEAS													
Norwegian Sea	Øien 1990	LTR	1988	477,727	5,742	7	67	1.22	3,100	0.63	0.65		Abundant
Northern Norway	Similä et al. 1996	CAT	1990-1993	67,000							0.61	408	Abundant
Iceland & Faroe Islands	Gunnlaugsson and Sigurjónsson 1990; Sigurjónsson et al. 1989	LTR	1987	2,281,630	21,827	25	199	1.15	6,618	0.32	0.29		Common
NW Scotland	MacLeod et al. 2003	LTR	1998	104,000	2,157	0	0	0.00	0		0.00		Rare
North Sea / West of Great Britain	Stone 1997	SURV	1996		7100 hrs	17	120						Uncommon
Newfoundland & Labrador	Lien et al 1988	SURV	1979-1986		85,273	58		0.68					
SE U.S. shelf/slope	Garrison et al. 2003	LTR	2002	263,564	3,744	0	0	0.00	0		0.00		Rare
Western North Atlantic (SE U.S.)	Mullin & Fulling 2003	LTR	1998	573,000	4,163	0	0	0.00	0		0.00		Rare
Gulf of Mexico - Oceanic No. Gulf	Derived from Mullin & Hoggard 2000	LTR	1991-1997	398,960					246	0.39	0.06		Rare
Gulf of Mexico - Oceanic No. Gulf	Mullin & Fulling 2004	LTR	1996-2001	380,432	12,162	5	12	0.41	133	0.49	0.03		Rare
Gulf of Mexico - GulfCet I area	Derived from Mullin & Hoggard 2000	LTR	1991-1997	154,621					92	0.48	0.06		Rare
Gulf of Mexico - (Aerial surveys)	Mullin and Hoggard 2000	LTR	1996-1998	82,796	4,101	0	-	0.00	0		0.00		Rare
Gulf of Mexico - Shelf waters	Fulling et al. 2003	LTR	1998-2001	245,800	2,196	0	-	0.00	0		0.00		Rare
Northern Spain	López et al. 2004	SURV	1998-1999	9,842	8,128	0	-	0.00	0		0.00		Rare
Mediterranean	Notarbartolo-di-Sciara 1987	OBS	≤1985										Rare
Southern Brazil	Pinedo et al. 2002	SURV			3,324	5	15	1.50					Common
Patagonia, Argentina	Lopez & Lopez 1985, Iñiguez 2001	CAT	1985-1997	20,002		408						30	Uncommon
SOUTHERN OCEAN													
Area II	Hammond 1984	LTR	1981-1982	1,830,660	11,810	13	301	1.10	12,367	0.69	0.68		Abundant
Area III	Hammond 1984	LTR	1979-1980	1,795,778	12,812	22	1,608	1.72	38,278	0.63	2.13		Abundant
Area IV	Hammond 1984	LTR	1978-1979	1,431,045	12,792	24	946	1.88	16,399	0.55	1.15		Abundant
Area V	Hammond 1984	LTR	1980-1981	1,868,464	10,014	24	6,014	2.40	136,500	0.69	7.31		Abundant
S of 60S	Branch and Butterworth 2001	LTR	1978-1983	9,935,989	65,979	117	2,002	1.77	91,310	0.34	0.92		Abundant
S of 60S	Branch and Butterworth 2001	LTR	1985-1990	11,655,723	67,550	114	817	1.69	27,168	0.26	0.23		Common
S of 60S	Branch and Butterworth 2001	LTR	1991-1997	10,922,924	52,334	68	836	1.30	24,790	0.23	0.23		Common
Southern Ocean	Kasamatsu & Joyce 1995	LTR	1976-1988	28,765,576	130,036	129	1,135	0.99	80,400	0.15	0.28		Common
Marion Island, Southern Ocean	Keith et al. 2001, Pistorius et al. 2002	OBS	1973-2000	n/a								25-30	
PACIFIC OCEAN AND ADJACENT ARCTIC WATERS													
Central Bering Sea	derived from Waite et al. 2002	LTR	1999	196,885	1,761	2	10	1.14	121	n/a	0.06		Rare
SE Bering Sea	Waite et al. 2002	LTR	2000	158,561	2,194	11	50	5.01	391	0.43	0.25		Common
Aleutian Islands	Forney (unpubl. data)	LTR	1994	634,042	2,780	14	75	5.04	2,594	0.44	0.41		Abundant
Aleutian Islands, west of Unimak	Zerbini et al. (submitted)	LTR									0.40		Abundant
Gulf of Alaska, east of Unimak	Zerbini et al. (submitted)	LTR									0.68		Abundant
G of AK transients		CAT		214,307					32		0.01	32	Rare

Table 2. Worldwide estimates of abundance and density of killer whales. (Si= sightings, Ani= Animals, CV= coefficient of variation).

Region	Source of abundance information	Data Type	Years	Area size (km ²)	Effort (km)	No. Si	No. Ani	Si / 1000 km	Abund. Estimate	CV	Density (Animals per 100 km ²)	Catalog or Minimum count	Abundance Category
Western AK (Excl Kodiak)		CAT		94,998					180		0.19	180	Uncommon
BC/WA Residents, summer	Ford et al. 2000; Carretta et al. 2002	CAT	1970-2003	129,889					295		0.23	295	Common
AK - SE to Kodiak residents	Matkin et al 1999; Dahlheim p.c., Angliss & Lodge 2002	CAT		150,515					440		0.29	440	Common
U.S. West coast transients	Matkin et al 1999; Matkin p.c., Angliss & Lodge 2002	CAT		400,904					344		0.09	344	Rare
Oregon/Washington	Barlow 2003a	LTR	1996-2001	325,018	7,482	7	52	0.94	898	0.35	0.28		Common
California	Barlow 2003a	LTR	1991-2001	817,549	33,327	11	64	0.33	511	0.35	0.06		Rare
California (Aerial survey)	Forney et al. 1995	LTR	1991-1992	264,270	13,042	2	2	0.15	65	0.69	0.02		Rare
Mexico -Gulf of California	Guerrero-Ruiz et al. 1998	CAT	1972-1997	210,000		156	843				0.04	86	Rare
Eastern Tropical Pacific	Wade and Gerrodette 1993	LTR	1986-1990	19,148,000	135,300	57	308	0.42	8,500	0.37	0.04		Rare
Mexico - Gulf of California	Gerrodette & Palacios 1996	LTR	1986-1993	262,125	4,377	3	13	0.12	146		0.06		Rare
Mexico - Pacific Coast EEZ	Gerrodette & Palacios 1996	LTR	1986-1993	2,054,192	25,356	15	56	0.59	852		0.04		Rare
Central America EEZ	Gerrodette & Palacios 1996	LTR	1986-1993	323,013	5,251	3	12	0.57	143		0.04		Rare
Costa Rica EEZ	Gerrodette & Palacios 1996	LTR	1986-1993	475,737	8,465	4	14	0.47	153		0.03		Rare
Panama EEZ	Gerrodette & Palacios 1996	LTR	1986-1993	188,045	3,692	1	1	0.27	10		0.01		Rare
Columbia EEZ	Gerrodette & Palacios 1996	LTR	1986-1993	329,492	5,856	1	6	0.17	64		0.02		Rare
Ecuador EEZ	Gerrodette & Palacios 1996	LTR	1986-1993	229,863	1,164	1	2	0.86	75		0.03		Rare
Galapagos	Merlen 1999	OBS	1948-1997										Uncommon
Eastern Temperate Pacific	NMFS unpublished data (text footnote 1)	LTR	1997	7,786,000	8,100	4	31	0.49					Rare
Hawaii	Barlow 2003b	LTR	2002	2,452,916	17,050	2	13	0.12	430	0.72	0.02		Rare
Sea of Okhotsk	Berzin & Vladimirov 1989	OBS	1979-1984										Common
Kamchatka & Commander Islands	Miranova et al. 2002	OBS	1992-2000			274	1,619					700-800	Common
Japan (Aerial Surveys)	Kasuya 1971	SURV	1959-1970		318,190	26	151	0.08					Rare
Western North Pacific	Miyashita et al. 1996	SURV	1993-1995		20,179	7	31	0.35					Rare
Marquesas Islands/French Polynesia	Laran & Gannier 2001	SURV	1998-2000		4,896	1	-	0.20	0		0.00		Rare
Society Islands / French Polynesia	Gannier 2000	SURV	1996-1999		6,452	0	-	0.00	0		0.00		Rare
New Zealand	Visser 2000	CAT	1992-1997						119	0.20		115	Uncommon
Solomon Islands	Shimada & Pastene 1995	SURV	1993		3,704	1	5	0.27					Rare
E So Pacific (Chile to Easter Island)	Aguayo et al. 1998	OBS	1993-1995		581 hrs	3	8						Rare
INDIAN OCEAN AND ADJACENT SEAS													
Indian Ocean	Eyre 1995	SURV	1993		23,030	1	2	0.04					Rare
Maldives	Ballance et al. 2001	SURV	1998		1,700	0	-	0.00	0		0.00		Rare
South Australia	Ling 1991	OBS	1982-1990			26							Common
Komodos Island, Indonesia	Kahn and Pet 2003	SURV	1999-2001		8,716	2	-	0.23					Rare
Crozet Archipelago	Poncelet et al. 2002 (text footnote 1)	M-R	1977-2000									43-93	




Figure 1. Worldwide killer whale density by latitude. Estimates are plotted separately for line-transect studies (diamonds), photo-identification catalog estimates of killer whale densities (squares), and Japanese whale scouting survey data (triangles; Kasamatsu and Joyce 1995), because the different types of estimates are not directly comparable.




Figure 2. World-wide killer whale densities, relative to ocean productivity as measured by the average chlorophyll-a concentration (mg/m³) from 1997-2002 SeaWiFS images. Killer whale density categories are defined as: (R) rare, 0 - 0.10 per 100 km²; (U) uncommon, 0.10-0.20 per 100 km²; (C) common, 0.20-0.40 per 100 km²; and (A) abundant >0.40 per 100 km². Labels in italics represent greater uncertainty. Antarctic areas correspond to those used for IWC/ IDCR surveys (Branch and Butterworth 2001). [Background image courtesy of NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center and ORBIMAGE, Inc.]