

# Phoenix Area

Fiscal Year 2004

## Active Users and Census Projections Report

Phoenix Area Indian Health Service  
Office of Planning and Statistics - Statistical Branch  
602-364-5280

**PHOENIX AREA**  
**FY-2004 ACTIVE USERS**  
**and**  
**CENSUS PROJECTIONS**

Prepared by:

**OFFICE OF PLANNING AND STATISTICS**  
**PHOENIX AREA OFFICE**  
**INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE**  
Two Renaissance Square, 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 605  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4424  
(602) 364-5280

Please contact the Area Statistician or Area Program Planning Officer regarding any revisions, additions, or corrections to this document.

1/11/2005

**PHOENIX AREA**  
**FY-2004 ACTIVE USERS and CENSUS PROJECTIONS**

Service Unit	2004	2004	2014
	Active Users	Census Pop	Census Pop
	/2	/5	/5
Colorado River	7,815	9,488	10,400
Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute*	1,267	1,625	1,624
Elko	2,521	2,652	2,737
Fort Yuma	3,682	6,518	7,382
Gila River Health Care Corporation	20,374	22,366	25,875
Keams Canyon	6,071	7,849	8,583
Phoenix	58,567	70,495	88,284
San Carlos	11,475	12,785	13,753
Schurz	13,236	31,165	37,915
Uintah-Ouray	4,817	8,703	10,702
Whiteriver	14,869	14,041	15,328
<b>Area Totals</b>	<b>144,694</b>	<b>187,687</b>	<b>222,583</b>

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/01. User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 12/22/2004).

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (September 2004).

\* The Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute Service Area was adjusted to exclude the active user counts of Ada, Canyon, Elmore, and Twin Falls Counties in Idaho.

1/11/2005

**COLORADO RIVER SERVICE UNIT**

**TABLE 1**

Service Unit Code: 64	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2004 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2014 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		<b>La Paz</b>				3,517		100%	2,830	2,818
		Bouse	04	15	448		17			
		Ehrenberg	04	15	445		28			
		Parker	04	15	285		3,304			
		Poston	04	15	286		103			
		Quartzsite	04	15	449		32			
		Salome	04	15	450		11			
		Vicksburg	04	15	451		0			
		Wenden	04	15	030		22			
	<b>Parker</b>	<b>Mohave (part)</b>				980		34%	1,432	1,666
		Bullhead City	04	08	041		109			
		Dolan Springs	04	08	043		6			
		Lake Havasu City	04	08	302		245			
		Mohave Valley	04	08	039		589			
		Oatman	04	08	044		1			
		Riviera	04	08	446		7			
		Topock	04	08	045		14			
		Wickieup	04	08	046		0			
		Yucca	04	08	447		9			
		<b>Riverside (part)</b>				61		100%	198	204
		Blythe	06	33	040		61			
		<b>San Bernardino (part)</b>				532		70%	919	982
		Big River	06	36	328		36			
		Earp	06	36	459		18			
		Needles	06	36	456		464			
		Parker Dam	06	36	480		14			
		Vidal	06	36	049		0			
		<b>Service Area Sub-Total</b>				<b>5,090</b>	<b>5,090</b>		<b>5,379</b>	<b>5,671</b>
	<b>Peach Springs</b>	<b>Mohave (part)</b>				1,943		66%	2,838	3,304
		Chloride	04	08	042		2			
		Hackberry	04	08	047		0			
		Kingman	04	08	151		339			
		Peach Springs	04	08	153		1,527			
		Truxton	04	08	048		26			
		Valentine	04	08	154		49			
		<b>Yavapai</b>				41		100%	88	97
		Nelson	04	13	267		0			
		Seligman	04	13	270		41			
		<b>Coconino (part)</b>				12		2%	18	21
		Williams	04	03	034		9			
		Bellemont	04	03	035		3			
		<b>Service Area Sub-Total</b>				<b>1,996</b>	<b>1,996</b>		<b>2,945</b>	<b>3,422</b>
	<b>Supai</b>	<b>Coconino (part)</b>				504		98%	776	892
		Supai	04	03	033		504			
		<b>Service Area Sub-Total</b>				<b>504</b>	<b>504</b>		<b>776</b>	<b>892</b>
	<b>Chemehuevi</b>	<b>San Bernardino (part)</b>				225		30%	389	416
		Chem Valley	06	36	038		225			
		<b>Service Area Sub-Total</b>				<b>225</b>	<b>225</b>		<b>389</b>	<b>416</b>
<b>COLORADO RIVER SERVICE UNIT TOTAL</b>						<b>7,815</b>	<b>7,815</b>		<b>9,488</b>	<b>10,400</b>

**TABLE II**  
**COLORADO SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY**

Counties	2004 Active Users	2004 Census /5	2014 Census /5
Coconino, AZ	516	794	913
La Paz, AZ	3,517	2,830	2,818
Mohave, AZ	2,923	4,270	4,970
San Bernardino, CA	757	1,308	1,398
Yavapai, AZ	41	88	97
Riverside, CA	61	198	204
<b>Service Unit Total:</b>	<b>7,815</b>	<b>9,488</b>	<b>10,400</b>

**TABLE III**  
**COLORADO RIVER SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	% of County in SA /6	2004 Active Users	2004 Estimated Census (user based)	2014 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2014 Estimated User Pop /7
<b>STATE OF ARIZONA</b>					
<b>COCONINO CO.</b>					
PEACH SPRING SA	1%	12	18	21	14
SUPAI SA	99%	504	776	892	580
<b>LA PAZ CO.</b>					
PARKER SA	100%	3,517	2,830	2,818	3,502
<b>MOHAVE CO.</b>					
PEACH SPRING SA	66%	1,943	2,838	3,304	2,262
PARKER SA	34%	980	1,432	1,666	1,141
<b>YAVAPAI CO.</b>					
PEACH SPRING SA	100%	41	88	97	45
<b>STATE OF CALIFORNIA</b>					
<b>SAN BERNARDINO CO.</b>					
CHEMEHUEVI SA	30%	225	389	416	240
PARKER SA	70%	532	919	982	569
<b>RIVERSIDE CO.</b>					
PARKER SA	100%	61	198	204	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>7,815</b>	<b>9,488</b>	<b>10,400</b>	<b>8,352</b>
<b>PARKER SA</b>		<b>5,090</b>	<b>5,379</b>	<b>5,671</b>	<b>5,211</b>
<b>PEACH SPRINGS SA</b>		<b>1,996</b>	<b>2,945</b>	<b>3,422</b>	<b>2,321</b>
<b>SUPAI SA</b>		<b>504</b>	<b>776</b>	<b>892</b>	<b>580</b>
<b>CHEMEHUEVI SA</b>		<b>225</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>240</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>7,815</b>	<b>9,488</b>	<b>10,400</b>	<b>8,352</b>

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/01, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 12/22/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (September 2004).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the

Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County. For example, in Mohave

County, 1,943 Users were from the Peach Springs SA and 980 were from the Parker SA, for a total of 2,923 Users. Parker's

share is 34% (980/2,923) and Peach Spring's share is 66% (1,943/2,923).

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2014 Census Pop/2004 Census Pop) x (2004 Active Users).

1/11/2005

**DUCK VALLEY SHOSHONE-PAIUTE SERVICE UNIT**

**TABLE I**

Service Unit Code: 73	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2004 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2014 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		<b>Elko, NV(part)</b>			/1	1,249		42%	1,228	1,255
		Mountain City	32	04	452					
		Owyhee	32	04	560					
		Tuscarora	32	04	453					
		Wild Horse M.	32	04	454					
	<b>Duck Valley</b>	<b>Owyhee, ID</b>				18		100%	397	369
		Bruneau	16	37	144					
		Grand View	16	37	145					
		Marsing	16	37	143					
		Riddle	16	37	450					
		<b>Ada, ID*</b>				0				
		Boise	16	01	129					
		<b>Canyon, ID*</b>				0				
		Caldwell	16	14	130					
		Nampa	16	14	131					
		<b>Elmore, ID*</b>				0				
		Glenns Ferry	16	20	001					
		Mountain Home	16	20	981					
		<b>Twin Falls, ID*</b>				0				
		Twin Falls	16	42	197					
<b>OWYHEE SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:</b>						<b>1,267</b>	<b>1,267</b>		<b>1,625</b>	<b>1,624</b>

**TABLE II**

**DUCK VALLEY SHOSHONE-PAIUTE SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY**

Counties	2004 Active Users	2004 Census /5	2014 Census /5
Ada, ID*	0		
Canyon, ID*	0		
Elmore, ID*	0		
Twin Falls, ID*	0		
Elko, NV(part)	1,249	1,228	1,255
Owyhee, ID	18	397	369
<b>Service Unit Total:</b>	<b>1,267</b>	<b>1,625</b>	<b>1,624</b>

**TABLE III**

**DUCK VALLEY SHOSHONE-PAIUTE SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	% of County in SA /6	2004 Active Users	2004 Estimated Census (user based)	2014 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2014 Estimated User Pop /7
<b>STATE OF NEVADA</b>					
<b>ELKO CO.(part)</b>					
Duck Valley SA	42%	1,249	1,228	1,255	1,276
<b>STATE OF IDAHO</b>					
OWYHEE CO.	100%	18	397	369	17
ADA CO.*		0			
CANYON CO.*		0			
ELMORE CO.*		0			
TWIN FALLS CO.*		0			
(all Duck Valley SA)					
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>1,267</b>	<b>1,625</b>	<b>1,624</b>	<b>1,293</b>

### **DUCK VALLEY SHOSHONE-PAIUTE SERVICE UNIT (CONT.)**

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/01, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 12/22/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

\* These counties are outside the Service Unit Area, thus do not have census population estimates. However, these counties are pending headquarter approval for inclusion in the final user population count.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (September 2004).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area is estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the

Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2014 Census Pop/2004 Census Pop) x (2004 Active Users).

N.B. Owyhee Service Unit has been separated into Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute Service Unit and Elko Service Unit.

1/11/2005

**ELKO SERVICE UNIT  
TABLE I**

Service Unit Code: 63	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2004 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2014 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
	<b>Duckwater</b>	<b>Nye, NV (partial)</b>				140		100%	218	255
		Carrant	32	12	636		4			
		Duckwater	32	12	627		136			
	Service Area Sub-Total					140	140		218	255
		<b>Elko, NV(part)</b>				1,657		56%	1,501	1,547
		Carlin	32	04	556		36			
		Elko	32	04	557		1,125			
		Elburz	32	04	202		1			
		Halleck	32	04	203		0			
		Jackpot	32	04	204		1			
		Jarbridge	32	04	558		0			
		Lamoille	32	04	206		14			
		Montello	32	04	559		1			
		Oasis	32	04	208		1			
		Osino	32	04	209		26			
		Ruby Valley	32	04	561		13			
		Ryndon	32	04	210		10			
		South Fork	32	04	564		117			
		Spring Creek	32	04	001		153			
		Wells	32	04	562		159			
		<b>Eureka, NV</b>				5		31%	11	7
		Beowawe	32	06	576		3			
		Crescent Valley	32	06	213		2			
		<b>Lander, NV</b>				240		100%	231	212
		Battle Mountain	32	08	597		240			
	Service Area Sub-Total					1,902	1,902		1,743	1,766
		<b>Eureka, NV</b>				11		69%	23	16
		Eureka East	32	06	578		6			
		Eureka South	32	06	579		5			
		<b>White Pine</b>				285		100%	358	372
		Baker	32	17	661		0			
		Ely	32	17	662		259			
		Lund	32	17	663		5			
		McGill	32	17	664		16			
		Ruth	32	17	665		5			
	Service Area Sub-Total					296	296		381	388
		<b>Elko, NV(part)</b>				33		1%	17	17
		Wendover, NV	32	04	212		33			
		<b>Juab, UT</b>				93		100%	102	104
		Goshute (Ibapah)	49	12	628		93			
		<b>Tooele, UT</b>				57		100%	196	224
		Wendover, UT	49	23	697		57			
	Service Area Sub-Total					183	183		315	328
<b>ELKO SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:</b>						<b>2,521</b>	<b>2,521</b>		<b>2,657</b>	<b>2,737</b>



**TABLE II****ELKO SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY**

Counties	2004	2004	2014
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Elko, NV(part)	1,690	1,513	1,547
Eureka, NV	16	34	23
Juab, UT	93	102	104
Lander, NV	240	231	212
Nye, NV	140	218	255
Tooele, UT	57	196	224
White Pine, NV	285	358	372
<b>Service Unit Total:</b>	<b>2,521</b>	<b>2,652</b>	<b>2,737</b>

**TABLE III****ELKO SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	% of County in SA /6	2004	2004	2014	2014
		Active Users	Estimated Census (user based)	Estimated Census Pop (user based)	Estimated User Pop /7
<b>STATE OF NEVADA</b>					
<b>ELKO CO(pt)</b> ELKO SA	56%	1,657	1,501	1,547	1,708
<b>EUREKA CO.</b>					
ELKO SA	31%	5	11	7	3
ELY SA	69%	11	23	16	7
<b>LANDER, CO.</b>					
ELKO SA	100%	240	231	212	220
<b>NYE CO.</b>					
DUCKWATER SA	100%	140	218	255	164
<b>WHITE PINE CO.</b>					
ELY SA	100%	285	358	372	296
<b>ELKO CO(pt)</b> GOSHUTE SA	1%	33	17	17	34
<b>STATE OF UTAH</b>					
<b>JUAB CO.</b>					
GOSHUTE SA	100%	93	102	104	95
<b>TOOELE CO.</b>					
ELKO SA	100%	57	196	224	65
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>2,521</b>	<b>2,657</b>	<b>2,754</b>	<b>2,592</b>
<b>DUCKWATER SA</b>		140	218	255	164
<b>ELKO SA</b>		1,902	1,743	1,766	1,997
<b>ELY SA</b>		296	381	388	304
<b>GOSHUTE SA</b>		183	315	328	129
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>2,521</b>	<b>2,657</b>	<b>2,737</b>	<b>2,592</b>

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/01, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 12/22/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (September 2004).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area is estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the

Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2014 Census Pop/2004 Census Pop) x (2004 Active Users).

N.B. Owyhee Service Unit has been separated into Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute Service Unit and Elko Service Unit.

1/11/2005

**FT YUMA SERVICE UNIT**

**TABLE I**

Service Unit Code: 72	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2004 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2014 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		<b>Imperial Co., CA (part)</b>				1,811		100%	3,172	3,482
		Bard	06	13	361		<b>10</b>			
		Brawley	06	13	634		<b>11</b>			
		El Centro	06	13	635		<b>18</b>			
		Imperial	06	13	362		<b>7</b>			
		Winterhaven	06	13	363		<b>1,765</b>			
		<b>Riverside Co., CA (part)</b>				19		100%		
		Riverside School	06	33	443		<b>19</b>			
		<b>Yuma Co., AZ (part)</b>				1,852		100%	3,346	3,900
		Dateland	04	14	281		<b>6</b>			
		Dome	04	14	282		<b>0</b>			
		Gadsden	04	14	293		<b>1</b>			
		Ligurta	04	14	283		<b>0</b>			
		Mohawk	04	14	284		<b>0</b>			
		Roll	04	14	287		<b>6</b>			
		San Luis	04	14	288		<b>9</b>			
		Somerton	04	14	289		<b>570</b>			
		Tacna	04	14	290		<b>5</b>			
		Wellton	04	14	291		<b>21</b>			
		Yuma	04	14	292		<b>1,234</b>			
		Service Area Sub-Total				3,682	3,682		6,518	7,382
<b>FT. YUMA</b>		<b>SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:</b>				<b>3,682</b>	<b>3,682</b>		<b>6,518</b>	<b>7,382</b>

**TABLE II**

**FT. YUMA SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY**

Counties	2004	2004	2014
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Imperial Co., CA	1,811	<b>3,172</b>	<b>3,482</b>
Riverside Co., CA	19		
Yuma Co., AZ	1,852	<b>3,346</b>	<b>3,900</b>
<b>Service Unit Total:</b>	<b>3,682</b>	<b>6,518</b>	<b>7,382</b>

**TABLE III**

**FT. YUMA SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	% of County in SA /6	2004 Active Users	2004 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2014 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2014 Estimated User Pop /7
	<b>STATE OF ARIZONA</b>				
<b>YUMA CO.</b>					
FT. YUMA SA	100%	1,852	3,346	3,900	2,159
<b>STATE OF CALIFORNIA</b>					
<b>IMPERIAL CO.</b>					
FT. YUMA SA	100%	1,811	3,172	3,482	1,988
<b>RIVERSIDE CO.</b>					
FT. YUMA SA	100%	19			
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>3,682</b>	<b>6,518</b>	<b>7,382</b>	<b>4,147</b>

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.  
 /2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/01, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 12/22/2004).  
 /3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.  
 /4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.  
 /5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (September 2004).  
 /6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.  
 /7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2014 Census Pop/2004 Census Pop) x (2004 Active Users).

1/11/2005

**GILA RIVER HEALTH CARE CORPORATION  
TABLE I**

Service Unit Code: 67	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2004 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2014 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		<b>Maricopa Co.</b>				3,323		52%	4,032	5,082
	<b>West End*</b>	Co-Op Colony	04	07	116		202			
		Gila Crossing	04	07	119		348			
		Komatke	04	07	124		1,017			
		Komatke Hts.	04	07	799		540			
		Laveen	04	07	125		491			
		Lone Butte	04	07	127		123			
		Maricopa Colony	04	07	128		602			
		<b>Service Area Sub-Total</b>				3,323	3,323		4,032	5,082
		<b>Maricopa Co.</b>				3,033		48%	3,681	4,639
		Broad Acres	04	07	112		0			
		Chandler	04	07	114		2,094			
		Chandler Heights	04	07	204		58			
		Gilbert	04	07	120		560			
		Higley	04	07	123		56			
		Ocotillo	04	07	243		4			
		Queen Creek	04	07	205		254			
		Sun Lakes	04	07	100		7			
		<b>Pinal Co.</b>				13,272		95%	13,873	15,294
		11-Mile Corn	04	11	207		12			
		Arizona City	04	11	206		161			
		Bapchule	04	11	212		421			
		Blackwater	04	11	213		917			
		Cactus Forest	04	11	001		0			
		Casa Blanca	04	11	214		1,334			
		Casa Grande	04	11	217		1,787			
		Casablanca S.	04	11	215		59			
		Casablanca W.	04	11	216		224			
		Chandler HSD	04	11	004		14			
		Coolidge	04	11	218		755			
		Cottonwood	04	11	219		16			
		Eloy	04	11	220		393			
		Florence	04	11	221		217			
		Florence Village	04	11	241		57			
		Gold Canyon	04	11	247		13			
		Hayden	04	11	222		0			
		Hidden Valley	04	11	208		5			
		Kearney	04	11	002		12			
		Kelvin	04	11	244		0			
		La Palma	04	11	245		2			
		Mammoth	04	11	223		2			
		Maricopa	04	11	209		354			
		Mobile	04	11	101		1			
		Olberg	04	11	224		11			
		Oracle	04	11	225		13			
		Picacho	04	11	226		12			
		Progressive	04	11	227		2			
		Randolph	04	11	210		6			
		Sacate	04	11	228		179			
		Sacaton	04	11	229		3,335			
		Sacaton Flat	04	11	230		439			
		San Manuel	04	11	003		7			
		San Tan, Lower	04	11	232		495			
		San Tan, Upper	04	11	233		435			
		Santa Cruz	04	11	231		79			
		Snake Town	04	11	234		3			
		So. Goodyear	04	11	235		287			
		Stanfield	04	11	236		109			
		Stotonic	04	11	237		839			
		Superior	04	11	238		34			
		Sweetwater	04	11	240		125			
		Toltec	04	11	248		39			
		Valley Farms	04	11	242		7			
		Wet Camp	04	11	239		44			
		Winkelman	04	11	246		16			

**GILA RIVER HEALTH CARE CORPORATION**

**TABLE I (continued)**

Service Unit Code: 67	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2004 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2014 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
	Service Area Sub-Total					16,305	16,305		17,554	19,933
	<b>Pinal Co.</b>					746		5%	780	860
	<b>Ak-Chin</b>	Ak Chin	04	11	211		<b>746</b>			
	Service Area Sub-Total					746	746		780	860
<b>GILA RIVER HEALTH CARE CORPORATION TOTAL:</b>						<b>20,374</b>	<b>20,374</b>		<b>22,366</b>	<b>25,875</b>

**TABLE II**

**GILA RIVER HEALTH CARE CORPORATION COUNTY SUMMARY**

Counties	2004	2004	2014
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Maricopa Co. (part)	6,356	<b>7,713</b>	<b>9,721</b>
Pinal Co. (part)	14,018	<b>14,653</b>	<b>16,154</b>
<b>Service Unit Total:</b>	<b>20,374</b>	<b>22,366</b>	<b>25,875</b>

**TABLE III**

**GILA RIVER HEALTH CARE CORPORATION COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	% of County in SA /6	2004 Active Users	2004 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2014 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2014 Estimated User Pop /7
<b>STATE OF ARIZONA</b>					
<b>MARICOPA CO. (part)</b>					
HU-HU-KAM SA	48%	3,033	3,681	4,639	3,823
WEST END SA*	52%	3,323	4,032	5,082	4,188
<b>PINAL CO. (part)</b>					
HU-HU-KAM SA	95%	13,272	13,873	15,294	14,632
AK-CHIN SA	5%	746	780	860	822
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>20,374</b>	<b>22,366</b>	<b>25,875</b>	<b>23,465</b>
<b>HU-HU-KAM SA</b>		16,305	17,554	19,933	18,454
<b>WEST END SA</b>		3,323	4,032	5,082	4,188
<b>AK CHIN SA</b>		746	780	860	822
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>20,374</b>	<b>22,366</b>	<b>25,875</b>	<b>23,465</b>

\*West End Communities moved to Gila River Health Care Corporation, effective October 1, 1996.

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/01, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 12/22/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (September 2004).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County. For example, in Pinal County, 13,273 Users were from the Hu-Hu-Kam SA and 746 were from the Ak-Chin SA, for a total of 14,018 Users. Ak-Chin's share is 5% (746/14,018) and Hu-Hu-Kam's share is 95% (13,273/14,018).

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2014 Census Pop/2004 Census Pop) x (2004 Active Users).

1/11/2005

**KEAMS CANYON SERVICE UNIT**

**TABLE I**

Service Unit Code: 62	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2004 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2014 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
	<b>Kaibab</b>	<b>Mohave Co. (part)</b>				72		100%	213	248
		Kaibab	04	08	155		<b>72</b>			
		Moccasin	04	08	152		<b>0</b>			
		<b>Kane Co., UT (part)*</b>				2		100%	72	90
		Kanab	49	13	686		<b>2</b>			
		<b>Coconino Co.</b>				50		100%	158	182
		Fredonia	04	03	142		<b>50</b>			
	Service Area Sub-Total					124	124		443	520
	<b>Keams Canyon Hospital</b>	<b>Navajo Co. (part)</b>				5,947		100%	7,406	8,063
		Bacabi	04	09	961		<b>259</b>			
		Blue Bird Cn	04	09	962		<b>0</b>			
		Hotevilla	04	09	970		<b>620</b>			
		Keams Canyon	04	09	971		<b>574</b>			
		Kykotsmovi	04	09	976		<b>699</b>			
		Leupp	04	09	973		<b>0</b>			
		Mishongnovi	04	09	974		<b>482</b>			
		Oraibi, Old	04	09	977		<b>130</b>			
		Polacca	04	09	980		<b>1,640</b>			
		Second Mesa	04	09	960		<b>164</b>			
		Shipaulovi	04	09	981		<b>274</b>			
		Shungopovi	04	09	983		<b>872</b>			
		Sichomovi	04	09	984		<b>69</b>			
		Skunk Springs	04	09	985		<b>21</b>			
		Snowbird	04	09	986		<b>20</b>			
		Spider Mound	04	09	034		<b>74</b>			
	Telehogan	04	09	035		<b>0</b>				
	Tewa	04	09	968		<b>40</b>				
	Toreva	04	09	988		<b>0</b>				
	Walpi	04	09	989		<b>9</b>				
	Service Area Sub-Total					5,947	5,947		7,406	8,063
<b>KEAMS SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:</b>						<b>6,071</b>	<b>6,071</b>		<b>7,849</b>	<b>8,583</b>

**TABLE II**

**KEAMS CANYON SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY**

Counties	2004	2004	2014
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Mohave Co. (part)	72	<b>213</b>	<b>248</b>
Navajo Co. (part)	5,947	<b>7,406</b>	<b>8,063</b>
Coconino Co. (part)	50	<b>158</b>	<b>182</b>
Kane Co., UT (part)	2	<b>72</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>Service Unit Total:</b>	<b>6,071</b>	<b>7,849</b>	<b>8,583</b>

**TABLE III**  
**KEAMS CANYON SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	% of County in SA /6	2004 Active Users	2004 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2014 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2014 Estimated User Pop /7
<b>STATE OF ARIZONA</b>					
<b>MOHAVE CO. (part)</b>					
KAIBAB SA	100%	72	213	248	84
<b>COCONINO CO. (part)</b>					
KAIBAB SA	100%	50	158	182	58
NAVAJO CO. (KEAMS CANYON SA)	100%	5,947	7,372	7,804	6,000
<b>STATE OF UTAH</b>					
<b>KANE CO. (part)</b>					
KAIBAB SA	100%	2	72	90	3
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>6,071</b>	<b>7,815</b>	<b>8,324</b>	<b>6,144</b>

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/01, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 12/22/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (September 2004).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2014 Census Pop/2004 Census Pop) x (2004 Active Users).

1/11/2005

**PHOENIX SERVICE UNIT  
TABLE I**

Service Unit Code: 66	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2004 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2014 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		<b>Maricopa Co.</b>				943		2%	1,110	1,399
	<b>Ft. McDowell</b>	Fountain Hills	04	07	139		76			
		Ft. McDowell	04	07	117		867			
		<b>Maricopa Co.</b>				4,216		7%	4,962	6,253
	<b>Salt River</b>	Lehi	04	07	126		390			
		Salt River	04	07	132		3,826			
		<b>Maricopa Co.</b>				573		1%	674	850
	<b>San Lucy</b>	Gila Bend	04	07	118		301			
		San Lucy Village	04	07	137		272			
		<b>Yavapai Co.</b>				390		27%	878	976
	<b>Prescott</b>	Bagdad	04	13	261		1			
		Chino Valley	04	13	115		31			
		Cordes Junction	04	13	303		7			
		Prescott	04	13	268		345			
		Yarnell	04	13	028		6			
		<b>Yavapai Co.</b>				1,063		73%	2,393	2,661
	<b>Middle Verde</b>	Camp Verde	04	13	262		413			
		Clarkdale	04	13	263		200			
		Cornville	04	13	302		3			
		Cottonwood	04	13	264		109			
		Jerome	04	13	265		2			
		Middle Verde	04	13	266		291			
		Rim Rock	04	13	269		45			
		<b>Gila Co.</b>				124		100%	258	291
	<b>Payson</b>	Gisela	04	04	070		1			
		Payson	04	04	054		122			
		Star Valley	04	04	071		1			
		<b>Maricopa Co.</b>				50,962		90%	59,973	75,583
	<b>PIMC</b>	Aguila	04	07	300		2			
		Ahwatukee	04	07	010		19			
		Arlington	04	07	138		26			
		Avondale	04	07	111		626			
		Buckeye	04	07	113		324			
		Carefree	04	07	012		4			
		Cashion	04	07	013		27			
		Cave Creek	04	07	014		38			
		Deer Valley	04	07	015		1			
		El Mirage	04	07	016		277			
		Glendale	04	07	121		3,505			
		Goodyear	04	07	122		314			
		Guadalupe	04	07	040		1,953			
		Litchfield P	04	07	017		78			
		Mesa	04	07	129		7,472			
		Moon Valley	04	07	018		0			
		New River	04	13	019		17			
		Paradise Valley	04	07	020		17			
		Peoria	04	07	130		727			
		Phoenix	04	07	131		29,499			
		Scottsdale	04	07	133		2,131			
		Sun City	04	07	022		41			
		Sun City WT	04	07	023		8			
		Sunny Slope	04	07	024		3			
		Surprise	04	07	025		210			
		Tempe	04	07	134		3,358			
		Tolleson	04	07	135		150			
		Tonopah	04	07	301		65			
		Wickenburg	04	07	136		47			
	Youngtown	04	07	026		23				
		<b>Yavapai Co.</b>				11		1%	25	28
		Black Canyon City	04	13	027		9			
		Dewey	04	13	304		2			
		<b>Pinal Co. (part)</b>				285		100%	222	244
		Apache Junction	04	11	011		285			
	Service Area Total					51,258	51,258		60,220	75,854
	<b>PHOENIX SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:</b>					58,567	58,567		70,495	88,284

**TABLE II**  
**PHOENIX SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY**

Counties	2004	2004	2014
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Maricopa Co. (part)	56,694	66,719	84,084
Pinal Co. (part)	285	222	244
Gila Co. (part)	124	258	291
Yavapai Co. (part)	1,464	3,296	3,665
<b>Service Unit Total:</b>	<b>58,567</b>	<b>70,495</b>	<b>88,284</b>

**TABLE III**  
**PHOENIX SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	% of	2004	2004	2014	2014
	County	Active	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
	in SA	Users	Census	Census Pop	User Pop
	/6		(user based)	(user based)	/7
<b>STATE OF ARIZONA</b>					
<b>GILA CO. (part)</b>					
PAYSON SA	100%	124	258	291	140
<b>MARICOPA CO. (part)</b>					
FT. McDOWELL SA	2%	943	1,110	1,399	1,188
SALT RIVER SA	7%	4,216	4,962	6,253	5,313
SAN LUCY SA	1%	573	674	850	722
PIMC SA	90%	50,962	59,973	75,583	64,226
<b>PINAL CO. (part)</b>					
PIMC SA	100%	285	222	244	313
<b>YAVAPAI CO. (part)</b>					
PIMC SA	1%	11	25	28	12
PRESCOTT SA	27%	390	878	976	434
MIDDLE VERDE SA	73%	1,063	2,393	2,661	1,182
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>58,567</b>	<b>70,495</b>	<b>88,284</b>	<b>73,531</b>

**TABLE IV**  
**PHOENIX SERVICE UNIT SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	2004	2004	2014	2014
	Active	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
	Users	Census	Census Pop	User Pop
		(user based)	(user based)	
FT. McDOWELL SA	943	1,110	1,399	1,188
MIDDLE VERDE SA	1,063	2,393	2,661	1,182
PAYSON SA	124	258	291	140
PIMC SA	51,258	60,220	75,854	64,551
PRESCOTT SA	390	878	976	434
SALT RIVER SA	4,216	4,962	6,253	5,313
SAN LUCY SA	573	674	850	722
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>58,567</b>	<b>70,495</b>	<b>88,284</b>	<b>73,531</b>

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/01, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 12/22/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (September 2004).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the

Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County. For example, in Yavapai County, 11 Users were from the PIMC SA, 390 from the Prescott SA, and 1,063 from the Middle Verde SA for a total of 1,464 Users. Middle Verde's share is 73% (1,063/1,464), Prescott's 27% (390/1,464), and PIMC's 1% (11/1,464).

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2014 Census Pop/2004 Census Pop) x (2004 Active Users).



1/11/2005

**SAN CARLOS SERVICE UNIT**

**TABLE 1**

Service Unit Code: 68	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2004 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2014 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		<b>Cochise</b>				9		100%	1,879	1,980
	<b>Bylas</b>	Tombstone	04	02	068		2			
		Wilcox	04	02	021		7			
		<b>Graham</b>				2,217		48%	2,544	2,621
		Bylas	04	05	073		2,217			
		Bylas Gap	04	05	072		0			
	<b>Service Area Sub-Total</b>					2,226	2,226		4,423	4,601
		<b>Gila</b>				6,074		100%	5,416	6,101
	<b>San Carlos</b>	Black River	04	04	042		0			
		Chrysotile	04	04	045		0			
		Claypool	04	04	046		16			
		Cutter	04	04	047		432			
		Gilson Wash	04	04	048		230			
		Gilson Well	04	04	049		0			
		Globe	04	04	050		349			
		Hill Top	04	04	051		0			
		Hollywood	04	04	052		1			
		Lower Peridot	04	04	062		384			
		Miami	04	04	053		38			
		North Gilson	04	04	063		722			
		Peridot	04	04	055		366			
		Phillips Mine	04	04	056		0			
		Regal Mine	04	04	057		0			
		Salt Creek	04	04	058		0			
		San Carlos	04	04	059		264			
		Seneca	04	04	060		0			
		South Gilson	04	04	066		727			
		Upper Peridot	04	04	067		273			
	Young	04	04	061		3				
	7-Mile Wash	04	04	065		2,269				
		<b>Graham</b>				3,140		52%	2,757	2,839
		Arsenic Tub	04	05	071		0			
		Ash Creek	04	05	041		0			
		Calva	04	05	074		3			
		Central	04	05	075		0			
		Coolidge Dam	04	05	076		4			
		Cork	04	05	077		0			
		Eden	04	05	078		1			
		Fort Thomas	04	05	079		10			
		Geronimo	04	05	080		1			
		Glenbar	04	05	081		0			
		Peridot Heights	04	05	064		2,963			
		Pima	04	05	082		49			
		Pt. of Pines	04	05	083		0			
		Safford	04	05	084		61			
		Solomon	04	05	085		2			
		Thatcher	04	05	086		46			
		<b>Greenlee</b>				035		100%	189	212
		Clifton	04	06	101		3			
		Duncan	04	06	104		13			
		Eagle Creek	04	06	102		0			
		Morenci	04	06	103		19			
	<b>Service Area Sub-Total</b>					9,249	9,249		8,362	9,152
<b>SAN CARLOS SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:</b>						<b>11,475</b>	<b>11,475</b>		<b>12,785</b>	<b>13,753</b>

**TABLE II**  
**SAN CARLOS SERVICE UNIT**

Counties	2004	2004	2014
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Cochise, AZ	9	1,879	1,980
Gila, AZ	6,074	5,416	6,101
Graham, AZ	5,357	5,301	5,460
Greenlee, AZ	35	189	212
<b>Service Unit Total:</b>	<b>11,475</b>	<b>12,785</b>	<b>13,753</b>

**TABLE III**  
**SAN CARLOS SERVICE UNIT**  
**COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	% of	2004	2004	2014	2014
	County	Active	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
	in SA	Users	Census Pop	Census Pop	User Pop
	/6		(user based)	(user based)	/7
<b>STATE OF ARIZONA</b>					
<b>COCHISE CO.</b>					
BYLAS SA	100%	9	1,879	1,980	9
<b>GRAHAM CO.</b>					
BYLAS SA	48%	2,217	2,544	2,621	2,283
SAN CARLOS SA	52%	3,140	2,757	2,839	3,234
<b>GILA CO.</b>					
SAN CARLOS SA	100%	6,074	5,416	6,101	6,842
<b>GREENLEE CO.</b>					
SAN CARLOS SA	100%	35	189	212	39
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>11,475</b>	<b>12,785</b>	<b>13,753</b>	<b>12,409</b>
<b>BYLAS SA</b>		2,226	4,423	4,601	2,293
<b>SAN CARLOS SA</b>		9,249	8,362	9,152	10,116
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>11,475</b>	<b>12,785</b>	<b>13,753</b>	<b>12,409</b>

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/01, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 12/22/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (September 2004).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the

Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2014 Census Pop/2004 Census Pop) x (2004 Active Users).

1/11/2005

**SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT  
TABLE I**

Service Unit Code: 69	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2004 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2014 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
	<b>McDermitt</b>	<b>Humboldt</b>				448		67%	516	530
		Denio	32	07	586		2			
		McDermitt	32	07	587		442			
		Orovada	32	07	588		4			
		Paradise Isl.	32	07	589		0			
		<b>Malheur, Oregon</b>				6		100%	440	445
		Ontario	41	23	358		6			
	Jordan Valle	41	23	410		0				
	Nyssa	41	23	411		0				
	Service Area Sub-Total					454	454		956	975
	<b>Fallon</b>	<b>Lander</b>				35		100%	20	19
		Austin	32	08	596		35			
		<b>Lyon</b>				40		4%	44	51
		Silver Springs	32	10	613		40			
		<b>Nye</b>				78		64%	376	442
		Ione	32	12	634		0			
		Yomba	32	12	632		57			
		Gabbs	32	12	633		21			
		<b>Pershing</b>				221		100%	297	367
		Lovelock	32	14	642		213			
		Imlay	32	14	406		8			
	<b>Churchill</b>				1,290		100%	1,378	1656	
	Fallon	32	01	526		1,288				
	Stillwater	32	01	528		2				
	Service Area Sub-Total					1,664	1,664		2,115	2,535
	<b>Winnemucca</b>	<b>Humboldt</b>				217		33%	250	257
		Golconda	32	07	360		3			
		Winnemucca	32	07	590		214			
	Service Area Sub-Total					217	217		250	257
	<b>Las Vegas Moapa</b>	<b>Lincoln</b>				3		100%	100	144
		Caliente	32	09	577		2			
		Hiko	32	09	601		0			
		Panaca	32	09	602		0			
		Pioche	32	09	603		1			
		<b>Nye</b>				19		16%	92	108
		Beatty	32	12	626		0			
		Pahrump	32	12	630		19			
		<b>Clark</b>				1,620		100%	15,205	19,946
		Boulder	32	02	531		2			
		Boulder City	32	02	532		16			
		Henderson	32	02	533		63			
		Indian Springs	32	02	534		5			
		Las Vegas	32	02	535		1,259			
		Laughlin	32	02	530		10			
		Logandale	32	02	536		3			
		Mesquite	32	02	537		15			
		Moapa	32	02	538		230			
		Nellis AFB	32	02	539		0			
	N. Las Vegas	32	02	540		11				
	Overton	32	02	541		4				
	Searchlight	32	02	542		2				
	Service Area Sub-Total					1,642	1,642		15,397	20,198

**SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT  
TABLE I (cont)**

Service Unit	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2004 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2014 Census Pop (user based)	
						By County	By Community				
Code: 69					/1						
	<b>Washoe</b>	<b>Lyon</b>				138		14%	152	177	
			Dayton	32	10	308	54				
			Stewart	32	10	609	84				
			<b>Carson City</b>				1,089		100%	1,539	1,657
			Carson City	32	13	606	1,089				
			<b>Storey</b>				6		100%	64	64
			Virginia City	32	15	307	6				
			<b>Alpine, California</b>				326		100%	280	240
			Markleeville	06	02	303	92				
			Topaz	06	02	400	3				
			Woodfords	06	02	304	231				
			<b>Douglas</b>				775		100%	829	839
			Dresslerville	32	03	551	13				
			Gardnerville	32	03	552	682				
		Genoa	32	03	401	3					
		Minden	32	03	553	64					
		State Line	32	03	402	11					
		Zephyr Cove	32	03	403	2					
	Service Area Sub-Total					2,334	2,334		2,864	2,977	
		<b>Washoe</b>				3,759	3,759	72%	5,516	6,386	
	<b>Reno</b>	Crystal Bay	32	16	647	0					
		Empire	32	16	655	8					
	<b>Sparks</b>	Lemmon Valley	32	16	306	2					
		Incline Village	32	16	408	9					
		Reno	32	16	650	2,295					
		Sparks	32	16	651	1,187					
		Stead AFB	32	16	652	4					
		Sun Valley	32	16	305	254					
	Service Area Sub-Total					3,759	3,759		5,516	6,386	
	<b>Yerington</b>	<b>Lyon</b>				639		64%	705	817	
			Mark Twain	32	10	615	0				
			Smith	32	10	608	15				
			Smith Valley	32	10	617	1				
			Stagecoach	32	10	618	14				
			Wellington	32	10	611	24				
			Yerington	32	10	612	585				
	Service Area Sub-Total					639	639		705	817	
	<b>Walker River</b>	<b>Nye</b>				24		20%	116	136	
			Round Mountain	32	12	635	11				
			Tonopah	32	12	631	13				
		<b>Esmeralda</b>	Dyer	32	05	571	2		100%	54	47
			Goldfield	32	05	572	0				
			Silver Peak	32	05	573	2				
			<b>Mineral</b>				857		100%	847	872
			Babbit	32	11	621	0				
			Hawthorne	32	11	622	78				
			Mina	32	11	624	0				
		Schurz	32	11	623	779					
	Service Area Sub-Total					885	885		1,017	1,055	
	<b>Pyramid Lake</b>	<b>Washoe</b>				1,467		28%	2,153	2,492	
			Gerlach	32	16	656	0				
			Nixon	32	16	649	503				
			Sutcliffe	32	16	654	161				
			Wadsworth	32	16	653	803				
		<b>Lyon</b>				175		18%	193	224	
		Fernley	32	10	607	175					
	Service Area Sub-Total					1,642	1,642		2,346	2,716	
		<b>Unknown</b>				0					
		Unknown	32	10	606	0					
		Unknown				0					
<b>SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:</b>						<b>13,236</b>	<b>13,236</b>		<b>31,165</b>	<b>37,915</b>	

**TABLE II**  
**SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT - COUNTY SUMMARY**

Counties	2004	2004	2014
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Alpine, CA	326	280	240
Carson City, NV	1,089	1,539	1,657
Churchill, NV	1,290	1,378	1,656
Clark, NV	1,620	15,205	19,946
Douglas, NV	775	829	839
Esmeralda, NV	4	54	47
Humboldt, NV	665	766	787
Lander, NV (partial)	35	20	19
Lincoln, NV	3	100	144
Lyon, NV	992	1,094	1,269
Malheur, OR	6	440	445
Mineral, NV	857	847	872
Nye, NV	121	583	685
Pershing, NV	221	297	367
Storey, NV	6	64	64
Washoe, NV	5,226	7,669	8,878
<b>Service Unit Total:</b>	<b>13,236</b>	<b>31,165</b>	<b>37,915</b>

**TABLE III**  
**SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT COUNTY & SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	% of	2004	2004	2014	2014
	County	Active	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
	in SA	Users	Census Pop	Census Pop	User Pop
	/6		(user based)	(user based)	/7
<b>STATE OF NEVADA</b>					
<b>CARSON CITY</b>					
WASHOE SA	100%	1,089	1,539	1,657	1,172
<b>CHURCHILL CO.</b>					
FALLON SA	100%	1,290	1,378	1,656	1,550
<b>CLARK CO.</b>					
LAS VEGAS SA	100%	1,620	15,205	19,946	2,125
<b>DOUGLAS SA</b>					
WASHOE SA	100%	775	829	839	784
<b>ESMERALDA CO.</b>					
WALKER RIVER SA	100%	4	54	47	3
<b>HUMBOLDT CO.</b>					
WINNEMUCCA SA	33%	217	250	257	223
McDERMITT SA	67%	448	516	530	460
<b>LANDER CO.</b>					
FALLON SA	100%	35	20	19	33
<b>LINCOLN CO.</b>					
LAS VEGAS SA	100%	3	100	144	4
<b>LYON CO.</b>					
FALLON SA	4%	40	44	51	46
PYRAMID L. SA	18%	175	193	224	203
WASHOE SA	14%	138	152	177	160
YERINGTON SA	64%	639	705	817	741
	100%				
<b>MINERAL CO.</b>					
WALKER R. SA	100%	857	847	872	882
<b>NYE CO.</b>					
FALLON SA	64%	78	376	442	92
LAS VEGAS SA	16%	19	92	108	22
WALKER R. SA	20%	24	116	136	28
<b>PERSHING CO.</b>					
FALLON SA	100%	221	297	367	273
<b>STOREY CO.</b>					
WASHOE SA	100%	6	64	64	6
<b>WASHOE CO.</b>					
RENO/SPARKS SA	72%	3,759	5,516	6,386	4,352
PYRAMID L. SA	28%	1,467	2,153	2,492	1,698
<b>STATE OF OREGON</b>					
<b>MALHEUR CO.</b>					
McDERMITT SA	100%	6	440	445	6
<b>STATE OF CALIFORNIA</b>					
<b>ALPINE CO. CALIFORNIA</b>					
WASHOE SA	100%	326	280	240	279
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>13,236</b>	<b>31,165</b>	<b>37,915</b>	<b>15,146</b>

**TABLE IV**  
**SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	<b>2004 Active Users</b>	<b>2004 Estimated Census Pop (user based)</b>	<b>2014 Estimated Census Pop (user based)</b>	<b>2014 Estimated User Pop /7</b>
<b>FALLON SA</b>	1,664	2,115	2,535	1,995
<b>LAS VEGAS SA</b>	1,642	15,397	20,198	2,152
<b>McDERMITT SA</b>	454	956	975	466
<b>PYRAMID LAKE SA</b>	1,642	2,346	2,716	1,901
<b>RENO/SPARKS SA</b>	3,759	5,516	6,386	4,352
<b>WALKER R. SA</b>	885	1,017	1,055	914
<b>WASHOE SA</b>	2,334	2,864	2,977	2,402
<b>WINNEMUCCA SA</b>	217	250	257	223
<b>YERINGTON SA</b>	639	705	817	741
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>13,236</b>	<b>31,165</b>	<b>37,915</b>	<b>15,146</b>

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/01, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 12/22/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (September 2004).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the

Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2014 Census Pop/2004 Census Pop) x (2004 Active Users).

1/11/2005

**U&O SERVICE UNIT  
TABLE I**

Service Unit	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2004 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2014 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
Code: 61					/1					
		<b>Carbon Co.</b>				22		100%	270	260
		Helper	49	04	033		7			
		Price	49	04	025		13			
		Wellington	49	04	032		2			
		<b>Daggett Co.</b>				4		100%	7	7
		Dutch John	49	05	023		0			
		Manila	49	05	024		4			
		<b>Davis Co.*</b>				0		0%		
		Bountiful	49	06	004		0			
		Clearfield	49	06	005		0			
		Layton	49	06	007		0			
		<b>Duchesne Co.</b>				1,030		100%	976	1197
		Altamont	49	07	671		14			
		Altona	49	07	026		4			
		Arcadia	49	07	672		21			
		Blue Bell	49	07	027		8			
		Boneta	49	07	681		0			
		Bridgeland	49	07	678		13			
		Cedar View	49	07	682		1			
		Duchesne	49	07	673		9			
		Emmons	49	07	683		0			
		Fruitland	49	07	684		0			
		Hanna	49	07	685		3			
		Ioka	49	07	686		0			
		Monarch	49	07	687		0			
		Mountain Home	49	07	680		6			
		Myton	49	07	675		167			
		Neola	49	07	676		168			
		Roosevelt	49	07	677		616			
		Stockmore	49	07	688		0			
		Tabiona	49	07	689		0			
		Talmage	49	07	690		0			
		Upalco	49	07	028		0			
	<b>Ft. Duchesne</b>	<b>Emery Co.</b>				2		100%	93	113
		Castle Dale	49	08	650		2			
		Clawson	49	08	651		0			
		Cleveland	49	08	652		0			
		Elmo	49	08	653		0			
		Emery	49	08	654		0			
		Ferron	49	08	655		0			
		Green River	49	08	656		0			
		Huntington	49	08	657		0			
		Lawrence	49	08	658		0			
		Mohrland	49	08	659		0			
		Molen	49	08	660		0			
		Moore	49	08	661		0			
		Orangeville	49	08	662		0			
		<b>Grand Co.</b>				4		100%	392	569
		Castle Valley	49	10	714		0			
		Cisco	49	10	715		0			
		Crescent Junction	49	10	716		0			
		Moab	49	10	717		4			
		Thompson Spring	49	10	718		0			
		<b>Salt Lake Co.*</b>				249		100%		
		Salt Lake City	49	18	691		166			
		West Jordan	49	18	570		27			
		West Valley	49	18	008		56			

**U&O SERVICE UNIT  
TABLE I (continued)**

Service Unit Code: 61	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2004 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2014 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		<b>Summit Co.</b>				4		100%	122	132
		Castle Rock	49	22	719		0			
		Coalville	49	22	720		0			
		Echo	49	22	721		0			
		Emory	49	22	722		0			
		Francis	49	22	723		0			
		Henefer	49	22	724		0			
		Hoytsville	49	22	725		0			
		Kamas	49	22	726		1			
		Marion	49	22	727		0			
		Oakley	49	22	728		0			
		Park City	49	22	729		3			
		Peoa	49	22	730		0			
		Pineview	49	22	731		0			
		Synderville	49	22	732		0			
		Upton	49	22	733		0			
		Wanship	49	22	734		0			
		Woodland	49	22	735		0			
		<b>Uintah Co.</b>				2,875		100%	2,624	2,789
		Ballard	49	24	740		23			
		Ft. Duchesne	49	24	674		1,369			
		Gusher	49	24	701		27			
		Indian Bench	49	24	708		143			
		Jensen	49	24	741		3			
		Lapoint	49	24	702		115			
		Naples	49	24	742		0			
		Ouray	49	24	703		24			
		Randlett	49	24	704		341			
		Tridell	49	24	705		15			
		Vernal	49	24	706		297			
		White Rocks	49	24	707		518			
		<b>Utah Co.*</b>				111		100%		
		Orem	49	25	009		41			
		Provo	49	25	006		58			
		Springville	49	25	010		12			
		<b>Wasatch Co.</b>				3		100%	108	111
		Heber City	49	26	530		3			
		Midway	49	26	743		0			
		Wallsburg	49	26	744		0			
		<b>Rio Blanco, Co. Colorado</b>				5		100%	55	76
		Rangely	08	52	748		5			
		<b>Service Area Sub-Total</b>				3,949	3,949		4,647	5,254
		<b>Skull Valley</b>								
		<b>Tooele Co.</b>				42		100%	666	758
		Grantsville	49	23	030		18			
		Skull Valley	49	23	031		15			
		Stockton	49	23	029		0			
		Tooele	49	23	696		9			
		<b>Service Area Sub-Total</b>				42	42		666	758
		<b>PITU</b>								
		<b>Iron Co.</b>				406		100%	964	1,315
		Cedar City	49	11	710		375			
		Enoch	49	11	001		22			
		New Castle	49	11	037		7			
		Paragonah	49	11	745		0			
		Parowan	49	11	746		2			
		<b>Millard Co.</b>				55		100%	203	236
		Fillmore	49	14	711		6			
		Kanosh	49	14	002		49			



**U&O SERVICE UNIT  
TABLE I (continued)**

Service Unit Code: 61	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2004 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2014 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		<b>Sevier Co.</b>			/1	80	27	100%	451	492
		Joseph	49	21			27			
		Richfield	49	21			51			
		Salina	49	21			1			
		Annabella	49	21			1			
		<b>Washington Co.</b>				285	4	100%	1,772	2,647
		Ivins	49	27			4			
		Washington	49	27			2			
		Santa Clara	49	27			34			
		Shivwits	49	27			52			
		St. George	49	27			193			
		<b>PITU</b>								
		Service Area Sub-Total				826	826		3,390	4,690
		<b>Unknown**</b>				125				
		Utah Unknown	49	99			125			
		Service Area Sub-Total				125	125		0	0
		<b>U&amp;O SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:</b>				<b>4,817</b>	<b>4,817</b>		<b>8,703</b>	<b>10,702</b>

**TABLE II  
U&O SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY**

Counties	2004	2004	2014
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Carbon Co.	22	270	260
Daggett Co.	4	7	7
Davis Co.*	0		
Duchesne Co.	1,030	976	1,197
Emery Co.	2	93	113
Grand Co.	4	392	569
Iron Co.	406	964	1,315
Millard Co.	55	203	236
Rio Blanco Co.	5	55	76
Salt Lake Co.*	249		
Sevier Co.	80	451	492
Summit Co.	4	122	132
Tooele Co.	42	666	758
Uintah Co.	2,875	2,624	2,789
Utah Co.*	111		
Wasatch Co.	3	108	111
Washington Co.	285	1,772	2,647
<b>Service Unit Total</b>	<b>4,817</b>	<b>8,703</b>	<b>10,702</b>

**TABLE III**  
**U&O SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARIES**

	% of County in SA /6	2004 Active Users	2004 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2014 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2014 Estimated User Pop /7
<b>STATE OF UTAH</b>					
<b>CARBON CO.</b>					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	22	270	260	21
<b>DAGGETT CO.</b>					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	4	7	7	4
<b>DAVIS CO.*</b>					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	0%	0			
<b>DUCHESNE CO.</b>					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	1,030	976	1,197	1,263
<b>EMERY CO.</b>					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	2	93	113	2
<b>GRAND CO.</b>					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	4	392	569	6
<b>IRON CO.</b>					
PITU SA	100%	406	964	1,315	554
<b>MILLARD CO.</b>					
PITU SA	100%	55	203	236	64
<b>RIO BLANCO CO.</b>					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	5	55	76	7
<b>SALT LAKE CO.*</b>					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	249			
<b>SEVIER CO.</b>					
PITU SA	100%	80	451	492	87
<b>SUMMIT CO.</b>					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	4	122	132	4
<b>TOOELE CO.</b>					
SKULL VALLEY SA	100%	42	666	758	48
<b>UINTAH CO.</b>					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	2,875	2,624	2,789	3,056
<b>UTAH CO.*</b>					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	111			
<b>WASATCH CO.</b>					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	3	108	111	3
<b>WASHINGTON CO.</b>					
PITU SA	100%	285	1,772	2,647	426
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>4,817</b>	<b>8,703</b>	<b>10,702</b>	<b>5,545</b>

**TABLE IV**

**U&O SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	2004 Active Users	2004 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2014 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2014 Estimated User Pop /7
PITU SA	826	3,390	4,690	1,131
FT. DUCHESNE SA	3,949	4,647	5,254	4,367
SKULL VALLEY SA	42	666	758	48
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>4,817</b>	<b>8,703</b>	<b>10,702</b>	<b>5,545</b>

\* These counties are outside the Service Unit, thus do not have census population estimates. These counties do have "active users", however, these active users are not included in totals.

\*\*Utah unknown does not identify community of residence and therefore are not counted in totals.

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/01, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 12/22/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (September 2004).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2014 Census Pop/2004 Census Pop) x (2004 Active Users).

1/11/2005

**WHITERIVER SERVICE UNIT**

**TABLE I**

Service Unit	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2004 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2014 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
Code: 71					/1					
		<b>Navajo</b>				1,751		14%	1,606	1,748
	<b>Cibecue</b>	Cibecue	04	09	964		<b>1,751</b>			
	<b>Service Area Sub-Total</b>					1,751	1,751		1,606	1,748
		<b>Apache</b>				717		100%	707	746
		Concho	04	01	998		<b>23</b>			
		Eager	04	01	901		<b>70</b>			
		Hawley Lake	04	01	903		<b>2</b>			
		Nutrioso	04	01	906		<b>2</b>			
		Maverick	04	01	904		<b>1</b>			
		McNary	04	01	905		<b>498</b>			
		Springerville	04	01	908		<b>76</b>			
		St. Johns	04	01	907		<b>43</b>			
		Sunrise	04	01	093		<b>2</b>			
		<b>Gila</b>				1,526		100%	1,754	1,976
		Canyon Day	04	04	043		<b>1,105</b>			
		Carrizo	04	04	963		<b>135</b>			
		Cedar Creek	04	04	044		<b>286</b>			
		Salt River Canyon	04	04	094		<b>0</b>			
	<b>Whiteriver</b>	<b>Navajo</b>				10,875		86%	9,974	10,858
		Diamond Creek	04	09	996		<b>479</b>			
		East Fork	04	09	965		<b>842</b>			
		Forestdale	04	09	966		<b>7</b>			
		Fort Apache	04	09	967		<b>291</b>			
		Heber	04	09	969		<b>28</b>			
		Hon-Dah/Indian P	04	09	095		<b>430</b>			
		Lakeside	04	09	972		<b>213</b>			
		North Fork	04	09	975		<b>805</b>			
		Overgaard	04	09	978		<b>13</b>			
		Pinetop	04	09	979		<b>116</b>			
		Rainbow City	04	09	995		<b>1,105</b>			
		Seven Mile	04	09	096		<b>793</b>			
		Show Low	04	09	982		<b>405</b>			
		Snowflake	04	09	182		<b>347</b>			
		Taylor	04	09	987		<b>152</b>			
		Turkey Creek	04	09	097		<b>242</b>			
		Vernon	04	09	925		<b>8</b>			
		White Mountain Li	04	09	926		<b>2</b>			
		Whiteriver	04	09	990		<b>234</b>			
		Whiteriver, NE	04	09	993		<b>412</b>			
		Whiteriver, NW	04	09	994		<b>910</b>			
		Whiteriver, SE	04	09	991		<b>452</b>			
		Whiteriver, SW	04	09	992		<b>2,589</b>			
	<b>Service Area Sub-Total</b>					13,118	13,118		12,435	13,580
<b>WHITERIVER SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:</b>						<b>14,869</b>	<b>14,869</b>		<b>14,041</b>	<b>15,328</b>

**TABLE II**  
**WHITERIVER SERVICE UNIT**  
**COUNTY SUMMARY**

Counties	2004	2004	2014
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Apache, AZ.	717	707	746
Gila, AZ. (part)	1,526	1,754	1,976
Navajo, AZ. (part)	12,626	11,580	12,606
<b>Service Unit Total:</b>	<b>14,869</b>	<b>14,041</b>	<b>15,328</b>

**TABLE III**  
**WHITERIVER SERVICE UNIT**  
**COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	% of County in SA /6	2004	2004	2014	2014
		Active Users	Estimated Census Pop (user based)	Estimated Census Pop (user based)	Estimated User Pop /7
<b>STATE OF ARIZONA</b>					
<b>APACHE CO</b>					
WHITERIVER SA	100%	717	707	746	757
<b>GILA CO.</b>					
WHITERIVER SA	100%	1,526	1,754	1,976	1,719
<b>NAVAJO CO.</b>					
WHITERIVER SA	86%	10,875	9,974	10,858	11,839
CIBECUE	14%	1,751	1,606	1,748	1,906
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>14,869</b>	<b>14,041</b>	<b>15,328</b>	<b>16,220</b>
<b>CIBECUE SA</b>					
		1,751	1,606	1,748	1,906
<b>WHITERIVER SA</b>					
		13,118	12,435	13,580	14,314
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>14,869</b>	<b>14,041</b>	<b>15,328</b>	<b>16,220</b>

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/01, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 12/22/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (September 2004).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the

Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2014 Census Pop/2004 Census Pop) x (2004 Active Users).