

**SECTION 300—PLANNING, BUDGETING, ACQUISITION, AND MANAGEMENT OF
CAPITAL ASSETS**

Table of Contents

- 300.1 What is the purpose of this section?
- 300.2 Does this section apply to me?
- 300.3 What background information must I know?
- 300.4 What special terms must I know?
- 300.5 How will agencies manage capital assets?
- 300.6 How are capital asset acquisitions funded?
- 300.7 What is exhibit 300 and how is it organized?
- 300.8 What other requirements does exhibit 300 fulfill?
- 300.9 What must I report on exhibit 300 and when?
- 300.10 How will OMB evaluate the business cases in the exhibits 300?
- 300.11 What additional information should I know?

Ex-300 Capital Asset Plan and Business Case

Appendix 300A: Principles of Budgeting for Capital Asset Acquisitions

Appendix 300B: Selected OMB Guidance and Other References Regarding Capital Assets

Summary of Changes

Renames exhibit 300 "Capital Asset Plan and Business Case."

Provides details on risk identification and assessment, project and funding plan, and Section 508 compliance to part I of exhibit 300.

Adds requirement of earned value management system and ANSI/EIA Standard 748.

Adds questions about cyber-security and homeland security to the beginning section of exhibit 300.

Moves the questions that apply to all assets (IT, construction, etc.) to part I of exhibit 300, and reserves part II for additional business case criteria for IT.

Adds dates to many of the life-cycle documents mentioned in the business cases.

Removes the "significant" project classification for IT.

Adds a new section on how OMB will evaluate the business cases in the exhibits 300 (300.10).

300.1 What is the purpose of this section?

Part 7 (section 300) of this Circular establishes policy for planning, budgeting, acquisition and management of Federal capital assets, and instructs you on budget justification and reporting requirements for major acquisitions and major IT systems or projects. OMB provides procedural and analytic guidelines for implementing specific aspects of these policies as appendices and supplements to this Circular and in other OMB Circulars. For information technology, this is a companion section to section [53](#).

300.2 Does this section apply to me?

The policy and budget justification and reporting requirements in this section apply to all agencies of the Executive Branch of the government that are subject to Executive Branch review (see section [25.1](#)).

300.3 What background information must I know?

The Federal Government must effectively manage its portfolio of capital assets to ensure that scarce public resources are wisely invested. Capital programming integrates the planning, acquisition and management of capital assets into the budget-decision-making process, and is intended to assist agencies improve asset management and to comply with the results-oriented requirements of:

- The Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, which establishes the foundation for budget decision-making to achieve strategic goals in order to meet agency mission objectives. Instructions for preparing strategic plans, annual performance plans and annual program performance reports are provided in part 6 of this Circular (see section [220.4 \(d\)](#)).
- The Federal Managers Financial Integrity Act of 1982, Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 and Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996, which require accountability of financial and program managers for financial results of actions taken, control over the Federal government's financial resources, and protection of Federal assets. OMB policies and standards for developing, operating, evaluating, and reporting on financial management systems are contained in Circular [A-127](#), *Financial Management Systems* and section [52](#) of this Circular.
- The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, which requires that agencies perform their information resource management activities in an efficient, effective and economical manner.
- The Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996, which requires agencies to use a disciplined capital planning and investment control process to acquire, use, maintain and dispose of information technology. OMB policy for management of Federal information resources is contained in Circular [A-130](#), *Management of Federal Information Resources*, and section [53](#) of this Circular.
- The Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994, Title V (FASA V), which requires agencies to establish cost, schedule and measurable performance goals for all major acquisition programs, and achieve on average 90 percent of those goals. OMB policy for performance-based management is also provided in this section.
- The Government Information Security Reform Act of 2000 (GISRA), which requires agencies to integrate IT security into their capital planning and enterprise architecture processes at the agency.

300.4 What special terms must I know?

Capital assets are land, structures, equipment, intellectual property (e.g., software), and information technology (including IT service contracts) that are used by the Federal government and have an estimated useful life of two years or more. See Appendix One of the [Capital Programming Guide](#) for a more complete definition of capital assets. Capital assets do not include items acquired for resale in the ordinary course of operations or items that are acquired for physical consumption, such as operating materials and supplies. Capital assets may be acquired in different ways: through purchase, construction, or manufacture; through a lease-purchase or other capital lease (regardless of whether title has passed to the Federal Government); through an operating lease for an asset with an estimated useful life of two years or more; or through exchange. Policy on leases is contained in part I, section [33.4](#). Capital assets

may or may not be capitalized (i.e., recorded in an entity's balance sheet) under Federal accounting standards. Capital assets do not include grants to State and local governments or other entities for acquiring capital assets (such as National Science Foundation grants to universities or Department of Transportation grants to AMTRAK) or intangible assets, such as the knowledge resulting from research and development or the human capital resulting from education and training. For more discussion on capital assets, you should consult the *Capital Programming Guide* (June 1997), a Supplement to this Circular.

Capital planning and investment control (CPIC) is the same as capital programming and is a decision-making process for ensuring that information technology (IT) investments integrate strategic planning, budgeting, procurement, and the management of IT in support of agency missions and business needs. The term comes from the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996 and generally is used in relationship to IT management issues.

Capital programming means an integrated process within an agency for planning, budgeting, procurement and management of the agency's portfolio of capital assets to achieve agency strategic goals and objectives with the lowest life-cycle cost and least risk.

Capital project means the acquisition of a capital asset and the management of that asset through its life-cycle after the initial acquisition. Capital projects may consist of several useful segments.

Earned value management (EVM) is a project management tool that effectively integrates the project scope of work with schedule and cost elements for optimum project planning and control. The qualities and operating characteristics of earned value management systems are described in American National Standards Institute (ANSI)/Electronic Industries Alliance (EIA) Standard –748–1998, *Earned Value Management Systems*, approved May 19, 1998. A copy of Standard 748 is available from Global Engineering Documents (1–800–854–7179). Information on earned value management systems is available at <http://www.acq.osd.mil/pm>.

E-business (Electronic Business) means doing business online. E-business is often used as an umbrella term for having an interactive presence on the Web. A government e-business initiative or project includes web-services type technologies, component based architectures, and open systems architectures designed around the needs of the customer (citizens, business, governments, and internal Federal operations).

E-Government is the use by the government of web-based Internet applications and other information technologies, combined with processes that implement these technologies.

Federal enterprise architecture business reference model (IT related) is a function-driven framework for describing the Lines of Business and Internal Functions performed by the Federal Government independent of the Agencies that perform them. The Business Reference Model (BRM) serves as the business layer of the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA). It provides a foundation on which the applications, data, and technology layers of the FEA are developed. Agency Capital Asset Plans and Business Cases (exhibit 300s) will be mapped against this framework to identify opportunities for cross-agency collaboration and potential system redundancies.

The BRM employs a three-tiered hierarchy to describe the business of the Federal government. *Business Areas* provide a high-level view of the types of operations the Federal Government performs. The Four Business Areas decompose into 31 *Lines of Business* and *Internal Functions*. The Lines of Business describe more specifically the services and products the Government provides to its stakeholders, while the Internal Functions describe the back office and support activities that enable the Government to

operate. Finally, there are 132 *Sub-Functions* that form the final level of decomposition within the FEA BRM and communicate the specific activities that Federal Agencies perform within each Line of Business and Internal Function.

Full acquisition means the procurement and implementation of a capital project or useful segment/module of a capital project. Full acquisition occurs after all planning activities are complete and the agency's Executive Review Committee or Investment Review Board selects and approves the proposed technical approach and project plan, and establishes the baseline cost, schedule and performance goals for this phase of the investment.

Full funding means that appropriations—regular annual appropriations or advance appropriations—are enacted that are sufficient in total to complete a useful segment of a capital project before any obligations may be incurred for that segment. When capital projects or useful segments are incrementally funded, without certainty if or when future funding will be available, it can result in poor planning, acquisition of assets not fully justified, higher acquisition costs, project delays, cancellation of major projects, the loss of sunk costs, or inadequate funding to maintain and operate the assets. Budget requests for full acquisition of capital assets must propose full funding (see section [31.4](#)).

Information technology, as defined by the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996, sections 5002, 5141, and 5142, means any equipment or interconnected system or subsystem of equipment that is used in the automatic acquisition, storage, manipulation, management, movement, control, display, switching, interchange, transmission, or reception of data or information. For purposes of this definition, equipment is "used" by an agency whether the agency uses the equipment directly or it is used by a contractor under a contract with the agency that (1) requires the use of such equipment or (2) requires the use, to a significant extent, of such equipment in the performance of a service or the furnishing of a product. Information technology includes computers, ancillary equipment, software, firmware and similar procedures, services (including support services), and related resources. It does not include any equipment that is acquired by a Federal contractor incidental to a Federal contract.

Integrated project team (IPT) means a multi-disciplinary team led by a program manager responsible and accountable for planning, budgeting, procurement and life-cycle management of the project to achieve its cost, schedule and performance goals. Team skills include: budgetary, financial, capital planning, procurement, user, program, value management, earned value management, and other staff as appropriate.

Life-cycle costs means the overall estimated cost for a particular program alternative over the time period corresponding to the life of the program, including direct and indirect initial costs plus any periodic or continuing costs of operation and maintenance.

Major acquisition means a capital project that requires special management attention because of its: (1) importance to an agency's mission; (2) high development, operating, or maintenance costs; (3) high risk; (4) high return; or (5) significant role in the administration of an agency's programs, finances, property, or other resources. The agency's documented capital programming process should include the criteria for determining when a project is classified as major.

Major IT system or project means a system that requires special management attention because of its importance to an agency mission; its high development, operating, or maintenance costs; or its significant role in the administration of agency programs, finances, property, or other resources. Large infrastructure investments (e.g., major purchases of personal computers or local area network improvements) should also be evaluated against these criteria. Your agency Capital Planning and Investment Control Process may also define a "major system or project." All major systems or projects must be reported on exhibit 53. In addition, a "major" IT system is one reported on your "Capital Asset Plan and Business Case,"

exhibit 300. For the financial management mission area, "major" is any system that costs more than \$500,000. Additionally, if the project or initiative directly supports the President's Management Agenda Items, then the project meets the criteria of "high executive visibility". Projects that are E-Government in nature or use e-business technologies must be identified as major projects regardless of the costs. If you are unsure about what systems to consider as "major," consult your agency budget officer or OMB representative. Systems not considered "major" are "small/other."

Mixed life-cycle project means a project that has both development/modernization/enhancement (DME) and steady state aspects. For example, a mixed life-cycle project could include a prototype or module of a system that is operational with the remainder of the system in DME stages; or, a service contract for steady state on the current system with a DME requirement for system upgrade or replacement.

On-going project means a project that has been through a complete budget cycle with OMB and represents budget decisions consistent with the President's Budget for the prior year (BY-1).

Operational (steady state) asset means an asset or part of an asset that has been delivered and is performing the mission.

Performance-based acquisition management means a documented, systematic process for program management, which includes integration of program scope, schedule and cost objectives, establishment of a baseline plan for accomplishment of program objectives, and use of earned value techniques for performance measurement during execution of the program. For the acquisition parts of the project, the system is established and applied by the contractor(s) and must meet the requirements of ANSI/EIA Standard 748, Earned Value Management Systems. For those parts of the project accomplished by Government personnel or in an operational (steady state) mode, a performance-based management system must be established, using EVMS where possible, to measure achievement of the cost, schedule and performance goals.

Planning means preparing, developing or acquiring the information you will use to design the project; assess the benefits, risks, and risk-adjusted life-cycle costs of alternative solutions; and establish realistic cost, schedule, and performance goals, for the selected alternative, before either proceeding to full acquisition of the capital project or useful segment or terminating the project. Planning must progress to the point where you are ready to commit to achieving specific goals for the completion of the acquisition. Information gathering activities may include market research of available solutions, architectural drawings, geological studies, engineering and design studies, and prototypes. Planning is a useful segment of a capital project. Depending on the nature of the project, one or more planning segments may be necessary.

Section 508 refers to Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794d), which requires Federal agencies to develop, procure, maintain, or use electronic and information technology (EIT) that is accessible to Federal employees and members of the public with disabilities.

Small/other IT project means any initiative or project not meeting the definition of major defined above but that is part of the agency's IT investments.

Useful segment/module means an economically and programmatically separate component of a capital project that provides a measurable performance outcome for which the benefits exceed the costs, even if no further funding is appropriated.

Additional budget terms and definitions are included in the Glossary in [Appendix 300A](#), "Principles of Budgeting for Capital Asset Acquisitions."

300.5 How will agencies manage capital assets?

Agencies must establish and maintain a capital programming process that links mission needs and capital assets in an effective and efficient manner. Effective capital programming requires long-range planning and a disciplined budget decision-making process as the basis for managing a portfolio of assets to achieve performance goals and objectives with minimal risk, lowest life-cycle costs, and greatest benefits to the agency's business. The process will integrate the agency's capital investments; strategic and performance plans prepared pursuant to the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993; financial management plans prepared pursuant to the Chief Financial Officer Act of 1990 (31 U.S.C. 902a5); information resource management plans prepared pursuant to the Clinger-Cohen Act (Pub. L. 104-106, Division E); method for performance-based acquisition management under the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994, Title V; and budget formulation and execution processes.

The documented capital programming process defines how an agency will select capital projects included in the agency's capital asset portfolio for funding each year; how capital projects, once initiated, will be controlled to achieve intended cost, schedule, and performance outcomes; and how once the asset is operational the agency will continue to evaluate asset performance to maintain a positive return on investment. A cross-functional executive review committee acting for or with the Agency Head must be responsible for managing the agency's entire capital asset portfolio, making decisions on the best allocation of assets to achieve strategic goals and objectives within budget limits. This process must also leverage opportunities for collaboration across agencies on capital assets that support common lines of business to serve the citizens, businesses, governments, and internal Federal operations.

The *Capital Programming Guide*, which supplements this part, provides guidance on the principles and techniques for effective capital programming. Appendix 300A of this part explains the principles of financing capital asset acquisitions. Section 8b of OMB Circular A-130 establishes additional requirements for enterprise architectures, planning and control of information systems and technology investments and performance management. Agencies must develop, implement and use a capital programming process to develop their capital asset portfolio, and must:

- Evaluate and select capital asset investments that will support core mission functions that must be performed by the Federal government and demonstrate projected returns on investment that are clearly equal to or better than alternative uses of available public resources;
- Initiate improvements to existing assets or acquisitions of new assets only when no alternative private sector or governmental source can more efficiently meet the need;
- Simplify or otherwise redesign work processes to reduce costs, improve effectiveness, and make maximum use of commercial services and off-the-shelf technology;
- Reduce project risk by avoiding or isolating custom designed components, using components that can be fully tested or prototyped prior to full implementation or production, ensuring involvement and support of users in the design and testing of the asset;
- Structure major acquisitions into useful segments with a narrow scope and brief duration, make adequate use of competition and appropriately allocate risk between government and contractor. The agency head must approve or define the cost, schedule and performance goals for major acquisitions, and the agency's Chief Financial Officer must evaluate the proposed cost goals;
- Institute performance measures and management processes that monitor and compare actual performance to planned results. Agencies must use a performance-based acquisition management

system, based on the ANSI/EIA Standard 748, to obtain timely information regarding the progress of capital investments. The system must also measure progress towards milestones in an independently verifiable basis, in terms of cost, capability of the investment to meet specified requirements, timeliness, and quality. Agencies are expected to achieve, on average, 90 percent of the cost, schedule and performance goals for major acquisitions. Agency heads must review major acquisitions that are not achieving 90 percent of the goals to determine whether there is a continuing need and what corrective action, including termination, should be taken;

- Ensure that information technology systems conform to the requirements of OMB Circular No. A–130, "Management of Federal Information Resources;"
- Ensure that financial management systems conform to the requirements of OMB Circular No. A–127, "Financial Management Systems;"
- Conduct post-implementation reviews of capital programming and acquisition processes, and projects to validate estimated benefits and costs, and document effective management practices, i.e., lessons learned, for broader use; and
- Establish oversight mechanisms that require periodic review of operational capital assets to determine how mission requirements might have changed, and whether the asset continues to fulfill ongoing and anticipated mission requirements, deliver intended benefits to the agency and customers, and meet user requirements.

300.6 How are capital asset acquisitions funded?

(a) Background.

Good budgeting requires that appropriations for the full costs of asset acquisition be enacted in advance to help ensure that all costs and benefits are fully taken into account when decisions are made about providing resources. For most spending on acquisitions, this rule is followed throughout the Government. When capital assets are funded in increments, without certainty if or when future funding will be available, it can and occasionally does result in poor planning, acquisition of assets not fully justified, higher acquisition costs, project delays, cancellation of major projects, the loss of sunk costs, or inadequate funding to maintain and operate the assets.

(b) Full funding policy.

The full funding policy (see section [31.4](#)) requires that each useful segment (or module) of a capital project be fully funded with either regular annual appropriations or advance appropriations. For definitions of these terms, see section [300.4](#) or the Glossary to [Appendix 300A](#). Appendix 300A elaborates on the full funding concept (see the Principles of Financing section).

For the initial budget submissions, you are required to request full budget resources for all ongoing and new proposals for capital assets or at least for each useful segment of a capital project.

Identify in the initial budget submission any additional budget authority required to implement full funding for existing projects. Adjustments to your planning guidance levels will be considered based on your budget submissions.

300.7 What is exhibit 300 and how is it organized?

The information you report on exhibit 300 helps OMB:

- Understand your agency's capital programming and investment decision-making processes;
- Ensure that spending on capital assets directly supports your agency's mission and will provide a return on investment equal to or better than alternate uses of funding;
- Identify poorly performing projects, i.e. projects that are behind schedule, over budget, or lacking in capability; and
- Identify capital assets that no longer fulfill ongoing or anticipated mission requirements or do not deliver intended benefits to the agency or its customers.
- For IT, ensure that strong business cases are provided for IT investments. These business cases should include security, privacy, enterprise architecture, and provide the effectiveness and efficiency gains planned by the business lines and functional operations.

Exhibit 300 consists of two parts, each of which is designed to collect information that will assist OMB during budget review. Agencies must review their portfolio of capital assets each year to determine whether it continues to meet agency mission needs reconciled with existing capabilities, priorities and resources. Capital asset investments should be compared against one another, rated and ranked using decision criteria (such as investment size, complexity, technical risk, expected performance benefits or improvement) to create a prioritized portfolio. You should request funding only for priority capital asset investments that demonstrate compliance with the requirements for managing capital assets described in this section and the agency's capital programming process. As a general presumption, OMB will only consider recommending for funding in the President's budget, priority capital asset investments that comply with the policies for good capital programming described in section [300.5](#), and the *Capital Programming Guide*.

New projects must be justified based on the need to fill a gap in the agency's ability to meet strategic goals and objectives (including those identified in section [53](#)) with the least life-cycle costs of all the various possible solutions and provide risk-adjusted cost and schedule goals and measurable performance benefits. Projects that are still in the planning or full acquisition stages must demonstrate satisfactory progress toward achieving baseline cost, schedule and performance goals. Assets that are in operation (steady state) must demonstrate how close actual annual operating and maintenance costs are to the original life-cycle cost estimates, and whether the level or quality of performance/capability meets the original performance goals and continues to meet agency and user needs.

OMB will present investments for the President's E-Government initiatives, as well as new E-Government investments identified through the Federal business architecture, using an integrated budget process that compliments each agency's investment portfolio. OMB will work with agencies to build from the IT and E-Government strategy outlined in section [53](#) of OMB Circular A-11 in identifying these cross-agency investments. Accordingly, where one agency's activities should be aligned with those of another agency in order to serve citizens, businesses, governments, and internal Federal operations, OMB will give priority to agencies that have worked collectively to present and support activities in an integrated fashion. The FY 2004 Budget will appropriately reflect such interagency collaboration, and agencies will be expected to use the exhibit 300 to demonstrate these efforts.

300.8 What other requirements does exhibit 300 fulfill?

Exhibit 300 is designed to coordinate OMB's collection of agency information for its reports to Congress required by the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (FASA) (Title V) and the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996; to ensure that the business case for investments are made and tied to the mission statements, long-term goals and objectives, and annual performance plans that you developed pursuant to the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA); and for IT, to ensure that security, privacy, records management, and electronic transactions policies are fully implemented.

300.9 What must I report on exhibit 300 and when?

Capital asset plans and business cases ([exhibit 300](#)) are products of your capital programming and/or capital planning and investment control process and should be developed for all capital assets. Capital asset plans for major acquisitions, projects, or systems are reported to OMB. You must submit a capital asset plan for each major new and on-going major project, system, or acquisition, and operational (steady state) asset included in your agency's capital asset portfolio. For agency programs that cover more than one asset, separate exhibit 300s are required for each asset along with a program summary exhibit 300. A major project requires special management attention because of its: (1) importance to an agency's mission; (2) high development, operating, or maintenance costs; (3) high risk; (4) high return; or (5) significant role in the administration of an agency's programs, finances, property, or other resources.

Major IT projects are also defined as projects, systems, or initiatives that employ e-business or E-Government technologies thereby supporting the expanding E-Gov initiative of the President's Management Agenda. Major IT projects must have the concurrence of the Chief Information Officer (see [section 53](#) for more information about major information technology projects). Your documented capital planning and investment control process must also define a major IT project.

Exhibit 300 requires information that demonstrates compliance with the capital programming and capital planning and investment control policies of this section and, for IT, OMB Circular [A-130](#), and justifies new or continued funding for major acquisitions by demonstrating: a direct connection to your agency's strategic plan; a positive return on investment for the selected alternative; sound acquisition (program and procurement) planning; comprehensive risk mitigation and management planning; and realistic cost and schedule goals and measurable performance benefits. Detailed information to substantiate the portfolio of major projects included in your justification will be documented in accordance with your agency's capital programming process. An electronic version of exhibit 300 is available at www.cio.gov.

For information technology, the funding stages for "Planning" plus "Full acquisition" are the same as the "Development/modernization/enhancement" entry described in [exhibit 53](#), and "Maintenance" is the same as "Steady state" in [exhibit 53](#). For further details on IT and IT reporting please see [section 53](#). Detail on information technology reported in [exhibit 300](#) should be aggregated and used to prepare [exhibit 53](#).

The information you must report will depend on whether you are reporting a new project or an ongoing project (see the exhibit heading in part I).

New Projects

If you are reporting a new project, i.e., proposed for BY or later, or are reporting this project to OMB for the first time, you must complete part I, except for sections I.H.3 and I.H.4. For IT, you must also complete part II. Projects in initial concept or planning phase will have less detail and defined specificity than projects moving into the acquisition or operational phase. Where prototypes are acquired as part of

the planning process, they must be reported as full acquisitions. All of the areas on the exhibit 300 must be part of an agency's planning and the business case (exhibit 300) updated as soon as the information is known. While exhibit 300s are officially submitted to OMB twice yearly, they should be management tools used within an agency and updated as the information is available. If a project you are reporting has not reached the life-cycle phase that would provide a particular part of the business case, the exhibit 300 should note that information and when you believe the information will be available or when the decision can be made.

Ongoing Projects

If you are reporting an ongoing project that is other than IT, you must complete all sections of part I as appropriate for the phase of the project. IT projects must complete parts I and II. If any of the cost, schedule or performance variances are a negative 10 percent or more you must provide a complete analysis of the reasons for the variances, the corrective actions that will be taken and the most likely estimate at completion (EAC). Use the EVMS system to identify the specific work packages where problems are occurring. Discuss why the problems occurred and corrective actions necessary to return the program as close as feasible to the current baseline goals. Based on the above analysis, provide and discuss the rationale for the IPT's latest EAC as the most likely EAC. In addition, provide the contractor's EAC and EAC's derived from at least two common prediction formulas (see paragraph I.H.4 in exhibit 300) from the EVMS system and discuss the differences with the IPT EAC. EAC's are subjective in nature and contractor and government EAC's are often quite optimistic in an attempt to favor project continuation. Using the prediction formulas will give the IPT some proven parameters to structure the discussion. The objective is to provide a realistic EAC for management decisions, to continue, restructure or terminate the project.

Ongoing IT Project and the E-Government Strategy Review

If you are reporting an Ongoing IT Project that is in operational mode (Steady State), you must demonstrate that the project has undergone an E-Government Strategy Review. In order to perform an E-Government Strategy Review, you must answer all sections in parts I and II with responses that reflect that the project is undergoing an E-Gov review.

An E-Government review is a comprehensive review and analysis performed on legacy systems and projects with a strategy for identifying smarter and more cost effective methods for delivering the performance. All of the sections of the business case should be used for completing an E-Gov review including:

- The business case for these type projects are not designed to recreate answers and analysis for projects that should have been performed at the inception of the project but rather answer the questions and criteria with a focus toward using web services, XML, J2EE, .NET technologies and other e-business type tools.
- When addressing the justification questions, you must indicate whether the current way of doing business and performing the function is the most advantageous and cost-effective to the government.
- The section on performance goals must identify the performance goals for the project as it stands today; program management must address the four questions identified in exhibit 300.
- Alternatives analysis must be performed with a future-focus included in your E-Gov strategy rather than an alternatives analysis that was performed several years ago and no longer valid.

- The section on actual performance and variances from the OMB-approved baseline provide information from the operational analysis system to show whether the asset is meeting program objectives and the needs of the owners and users, as well as performing within baseline cost, schedule and performance goals.
- The sections in part II must be answered in their entirety with a focus on the E-Gov strategy review. All of your answers must demonstrate that you have reviewed alternative ways to perform the business with a specific focus on E-Government or e-business technologies and supporting the President's Management Agenda.

Infrastructure Projects

Infrastructure projects such as local area networks (LANs), wide area networks (WANs), telecommunications, and desktop upgrades, require a different level of information in the business case than projects in the development, modernization, or enhancement category. While the business case must still be made for the infrastructure projects, infrastructure projects in most cases are investments that are made in support of the business applications of the agency. In many cases the success of the business applications depend upon a strong and well-planned infrastructure. The business cases for infrastructure projects must still address the same issues as other projects and complete all sections on the business cases, parts I and II of the exhibit 300.

Exhibit 300 must be submitted with your initial budget submission, which is due by September 9, 2002 (see section [25.5](#)). In alignment with the President's Management Agenda Item, "Expanding E-Gov", OMB will begin migrating all IT reporting (exhibits 53 and 300s) to XML during preparation of the FY 2004 Budget. Later this summer, OMB will release the XML schema for exhibits [53](#) and [300](#). This schema must be used by all agencies for reporting the exhibits. For those agencies using the Information Technology Investment Portfolio System (I-TIPS), this XML transmission will happen electronically from the agency I-TIPS. If any agency is unable to move the reporting to XML format for the FY 2004 budget process, you must contact your OMB representative as soon as possible to work out an alternative solution. For capital projects other than IT, agencies are encouraged to submit the exhibit 300 electronically, following the same instructions provided above.

300.10 How will OMB evaluate the business cases in the exhibits 300?

All business cases are scored against a core set of criteria and the results are provided to the agency via the budget pass-back process. While one size scoring does not fit all categories, this scoring is meant to ensure that agency planning and management of assets is consistent with OMB policy and guidance. For projects other than IT, the IT specific categories are awarded full points as they are not applicable. The scoring of a business case is two-fold. The business case is scored based upon the criteria listed below and then a programmatic review is done for the project. A business case may be the strongest possible based upon the criteria listed here and if the program is deemed ineffective and changes are being made, then there is no need for the investment represented by the business case scoring. Business case scoring is as follows:

Business Case (BC) (composite of all categories) Total Score for Business Case

Projects scoring 5 and meeting program requirements are automatically recommended for funding. Projects scoring a 4 and meeting program requirements, and meeting most of the business case requirements are recommended for funding and the agency is instructed to continue improvements in the areas identified as needing work. Projects scoring 3 or below have the opportunity to improve to a 4 or degrade to a 2 rather easily. Projects scoring a 2 or below are not recommended for funding.

Score	Definition
5	41–50 Strong documented business case (including all sections as appropriate).
4	31–40 Very few weak points within the BC but still needs strengthening.
3	21–30 Much work remains to solidify and quantify BC. BC has the opportunity to either improve or degrade very quickly.
2	11–20 Significant gaps in the required categories of the BC.
1	1–10 Inadequate in every category of the required BC.

Supports the President's Management Agenda Items (AI) (Multiple Sections)

- 5 This is a collaborative project that includes multiple agencies, state, local, or tribal governments, uses e-business technologies and the project is governed by citizen needs. Project also supports the Federal Business Architecture published by OMB. If project is a steady state project, then an E-Gov strategy review is underway and includes all of the necessary elements. If appropriate, this project is fully aligned with one or more of the President's E-Gov initiatives.
- 4 This is a collaborative project that includes multiple agencies, state, local, or tribal governments, uses e-business technologies though work remains to solidify these relationships. Project also supports the Federal Business Architecture published by OMB though work remains to solidify the linkage. If project is a steady state project, then an E-Gov strategy review is underway but needs work in order to strengthen the analysis. If appropriate, project supports one or more of the President's E-Gov initiatives but is not yet fully aligned.
- 3 This is not a collaborative project though it could be and much work remains to strengthen the ties to the President's Management Agenda. If a steady state project and no E-Gov strategy is evident, this project will have a difficult time securing continued or new funding from OMB. If appropriate, this project supports one or more of the President's E-Gov initiatives but alignment is not demonstrated.
- 2 This is not a collaborative project and it is difficult to ascertain support for the AI. If steady state project, no E-Gov strategy was performed or is planned.
- 1 There does not seem to be any link to the AI and no E-Gov strategy.

Acquisition Strategy (AS) (Part I, Section I.G)

- 5 Strong Acquisition Strategy that mitigates risk to the Federal Government, accommodates Section 508 as needed, and contracts and statements of work (SOWs) are performance based. Implementation of the Acquisition Strategy is clearly defined.
- 4 Contracts and SOWs are performance based with very few weak points that agency is strengthening and implementation of the AS is clearly defined.
- 3 Much work remains to solidify and quantify the AS.
- 2 Some parts of the AS are present but no clear implementation strategy.
- 1 There is no evidence of an AS.

Score	Definition
Program Management (PM) (Part I, Sections I.D and I.H)	
5	Program is very strong and has resources in place to manage it.
4	Program has some weak points in the area of PM and agency is working to strengthen PM.
3	Much work remains in order for PM to manage the risks for this project.
2	There is some understanding of PM for this project but it is very rudimentary.
1	There is no evidence of PM.
Enterprise Architecture (EA) (Part II, Section II.A) for IT Only.	
5	This project is included in the Agency EA and CPIC process. BC demonstrates business, data, and application, and technology layers of the EA in relationship to this project.
4	This project is included in the Agency EA and CPIC process. BC demonstrates weaknesses in the business, data, application, and technology layers of the EA in relationship to this project.
3	This project is not included in the Agency EA and CPIC process. BC demonstrates a lack of understanding on the layers of the EA (business, data, application, and technology).
2	While the agency has an EA Framework, it is not implemented in the agency and does not include this project.
1	There is no evidence of a comprehensive EA in the agency.
Alternatives Analysis (AA) (Part I, Section I.E)	
5	AA includes three viable alternatives, alternatives were compared consistently, and alternative chosen provides benefits and reasons.
4	AA includes three viable alternatives, however work needs to continue in terms of the alternative chosen and the accompanying analysis.
3	AA includes fewer than three alternatives and overall analysis needs strengthening.
2	AA includes weak AA information overall, significant weaknesses exist.
1	There is no evidence that an AA was performed.
Risk Management (RM) (Part I, Section I.F)	
5	Risk Assessment was performed for all mandatory elements and risk is managed throughout the project.

Score	Definition
4	Risk assessment addresses some of the Risk, but not all that should be addressed for this project.
3	Risk Management is very weak and does not seem to address or manage most of the risk associated with the project.
2	Risk Assessment was performed at the outset of the project but does not seem to be part of the program management.
1	There is no evidence of a Risk Assessment Plan or Strategy.
Performance Goals (PG) (Part I, Section I.C)	
5	Performance Goals are provided for the agency, are linked to the annual performance plan, the project discusses the agency mission and strategic goals, and performance measures are provided.
4	Performance Goals are provided for the agency, are linked to the annual performance plan, the project discusses the agency mission and strategic goals, and performance measures are provided yet work remains to strengthen the PG.
3	Performance Goals exist but linkage to the agency mission and strategic goals is weak.
2	Performance Goals are in their initial stages and are not appropriate for the type of project. Much work remains to strengthen the PG.
1	There is no evidence of PG for this project.
Security and Privacy (SE) (Part II, Section II.B)	
5	Security and privacy issues for the project and all questions are answered, detail is provided about the individual project throughout the life-cycle to include budgeting for SE.
4	Security and privacy information for the project is provided but there are weaknesses in the information that need to be corrected.
3	Security and privacy information for the project is provided but fails to answer the minimum requirements.
2	Security and privacy information points to an overall Agency Security Process with little to detail at this project level.
1	There is no security or privacy information provided for the project.

Score

Definition

Performance Based Management System (PB) (Part I, Section I.H)

- 5 Agency will use, or uses an Earned Value Management System (EVMS) that meets ANSI/EIA Standard 748 and project is earning the value as planned for costs, schedule, and performance goals.
- 4 Agency uses the required EVMS, is within the variance levels for two of the three criteria, and needs work on the third issue.
- 3 Agency uses required EVMS but the process within the agency is very new and not fully implemented or there are weaknesses for this individual project's EVMS information.
- 2 Agency seems to re-baseline rather than report variances.
- 1 There is no evidence of PB.

Life-Cycle Costs Formulation (LC) (Multiple Sections)

- 5 Life-cycle costs seems to reflect formulation that includes all of the required resources and is risk adjusted to accommodate items addressed in the RM. It appears that the project is planned well enough to come in on budget.
- 4 Life-cycle costs seem to reflect formulation of some of the resources and some of the issues as included in the risk adjustment strategy but work remains in order to ensure that LC costs are accurately portrayed.
- 3 Life-cycle costs seem to reflect formulation of the resources but are not risk adjusted based upon the risk management plan.
- 2 Life-cycle costs seem to include some of the resource criteria and are not risk adjusted.
- 1 Life-cycle costs do not seem to reflect a planned formulation process.

Scoring Element	Score	Scoring Element	Score
Business Case (BC) Total			
Supports the President's Management Agenda Items (IA)		Risk Management (RM)	
Acquisition Strategy (AS)		Performance Goals (PG)	
Program Management (PM)		Security (SE)	
Enterprise Architecture (EA)		Performance Based Management System (PB)	
Alternatives Analysis (AA)		Life Cycle Costs Formulation (LC)	

300.11 What additional information should I know?

You are encouraged, but not required, to provide additional information on the following or other topics related to improving planning, budgeting, and acquisition of capital assets. These topics may be included in the OMB budget review process on capital assets, which may affect policy decisions on asset acquisition. You are encouraged to raise any issues you consider relevant.

(a) *Lumpiness or spikes.*

Lumpiness or spikes (i.e., large, one-time increases in year-to-year appropriations) may create bias against acquiring assets. Give special attention to these spikes for justified, cost-beneficial acquisitions, keeping in mind that the budget authority and outlay limits under the government-wide discretionary caps will continue to constrain resources. This issue is addressed in Appendix 300A, "C. Principles of Financing."

(b) *Account structure.*

Certain types of accounts may be preferred to help ensure there is no bias against the acquisition of capital assets. You are encouraged to review the account structure to ensure that the most appropriate accounts are being used for the acquisition of capital assets. This issue also is addressed in Appendix 300A, "C. Principles of Financing."

(1) *Mixed accounts.* Mixed accounts have spending for both operating and capital asset acquisition in the same account, allowing for competition between the two. Demands for one may "crowd out" the other.

(2) *Asset acquisition accounts.* These accounts are devoted exclusively to the acquisition of capital assets. This type of account may be one way of avoiding lumpiness, if there is a roughly similar level of fully-funded budget authority for asset acquisition each year.

(3) *Revolving funds.* These accounts can also avoid lumpiness, depending on how they are structured. They purchase assets that are "rented" to other accounts, so that the accounts and programs using the assets have a roughly steady year-to-year payment.

(c) *Multi-year availability of appropriations.*

You should ensure that the availability of the requested appropriation allows enough time to complete the acquisition process. If the acquisition process requires more than one year, the appropriations should be made available for the number of years necessary (see part I, section [31.7](#)).

(d) *Other observations.*

You are invited to suggest other methods to improve planning, budgeting, and acquisition of capital assets.

PART I: CAPITAL ASSET PLAN AND BUSINESS CASE (All Assets)

Agency

Bureau

Account Title

Account Identification Code

Program Activity

Name of Project

Unique Project Identifier:

(IT only)(See section 53)

Project Initiation Date

Project Planned Completion Date

This Project is: Initial Concept ____ Planning ____ Full Acquisition ____ Steady State ____
Mixed Life Cycle ____

Project/useful segment is funded: Incrementally __ Fully __

Was this project approved by OMB for previous Year Budget Cycle? Yes ____ No ____

Did the Executive/Investment Review Committee approve funding for this project this year? Yes ____ No ____

Did the CFO review the cost goal? Yes ____ No ____

Did the Procurement Executive review the acquisition strategy? Yes ____ No ____

Is this investment included in your agency's annual performance plan or multiple agency annual performance plans? Yes ____ No ____

Does the project support homeland security goals and objectives, i.e., 1) improve border and transportation security, 2) combat bio-terrorism, 3) enhance first responder programs; 4) improve information sharing to decrease response times for actions and improve the quality of decision making? Yes ____ No ____

Is this project information technology? (See section 300.4 for definition) Yes ____ No ____

For information technology projects only:

a. Is this Project a Financial Management System? (see section 53.3 for a definition) Yes ____ No ____

If so, does this project address a FFMIA compliance area? Yes ____ No ____

If yes, which compliance area?

b. Does this project implement electronic transactions or record keeping that is covered by the Government Paperwork Elimination Act (GPEA)? Yes ____ No ____

If so, is it included in your GPEA plan (and does not yet provide an electronic option)? Yes ____ No ____

Does the project already provide an electronic option? Yes ____ No ____

c. Was a privacy impact assessment performed for this project? Yes ____ No ____

d. Was this project reviewed as part of the FY 2002 Government Information Security Reform Act review process? Yes ____ No ____

- d.1 If yes, were any weaknesses found? Yes ___ No ___
- d.2. Have the weaknesses been incorporated into the agency’s corrective action plans? Yes ___ No ___
- e. Has this project been identified as a national critical operation or asset by a Project Matrix review or other agency determination? Yes ___ No ___
- e.1 If no, is this an agency mission critical or essential service, system, operation, or asset (such as those documented in the agency's COOP Plan), other than those identified above as national critical infrastructures? Yes ___ No ___

SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT STAGES
(In Millions)
(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 and Earlier	PY 2002	CY 2003	BY 2004	BY+1 2005	BY+2 2006	BY+3 2007	BY+4& Beyond	Total
Planning:									
Budgetary Resources									
Outlays									
Acquisition :									
Budgetary Resources									
Outlays									
Total, sum of stages:									
Budgetary Resources									
Outlays									
Maintenance:									
Budgetary Resources									
Outlays									
Total, All Stages:									
Budgetary Resources									
Outlays									

I. A. Project Description

1. Provide a brief description of this project and its status through your capital planning and investment control (CPIC) or capital programming "control" review for the current cycle.
2. What assumptions are made about this project and why?
3. Provide any other supporting information derived from research, interviews, and other documentation.

I.B. Justification (All Assets)

1. How does this investment support your agency's mission and strategic goals and objectives?
2. How does it support the strategic goals from the President's Management Agenda?
3. Are there any alternative sources in the public or private sectors that could perform this function?
4. If so, explain why your agency did not select one of these alternatives.
5. Who are the customers for this project?
6. Who are the stakeholders of this project?
7. If this is a multi-agency initiative, identify the agencies and organizations affected by this initiative.
8. How will this investment reduce costs or improve efficiencies?
9. List all other assets that interface with this asset _____. Have these assets been reengineered as part of this project? Yes ____, No ____.

I.C. Performance Goals and Measures (All Assets)

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Existing Baseline	Planned Performance Improvement Goal	Actual Performance Improvement Results	Planned Performance Metric	Actual Performance Metric Results
2002						
2003						
2004						
2005						
2006						
2007						

I.D. Program Management [All Assets]

1. Is there a program manager assigned to the project? If so, what is his/her name? Yes _____ No _____
2. Is there a contracting officer assigned to the project? If so, what is his/her name? Yes _____ No _____
3. Is there an Integrated Project Team? Yes _____ No _____
- 3.A. If so, list the skill set represented.
4. Is there a sponsor/owner? Yes _____ No _____

I.E. Alternatives Analysis [All Assets]

1. Describe the alternative solutions you considered for accomplishing the agency strategic goals that this project was expected to address. Describe the results of the feasibility/performance/benefits analysis. Provide comparisons of the returns (financial and other) for each alternative.

Alternative	Description
Alternative 1 –	
Alternative 2 –	
Alternative 3 –	

2. Summarize the results of your life-cycle cost analysis performed for each investment and the underlying assumptions.

Cost Elements	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
Element 1			
Element 2			
Element 3			
Element 4			
Element 5			
Total			

- 3. Which alternative was chosen and why? Define the Return on Investment (ROI).
- 3. A. Are there any quantitative benefits that will be achieved through this investment (e.g., systems savings, cost avoidance, stakeholder benefits, etc)?
- 3. B. For alternative selected, provide financial summary, including Net Present Value by Year and Payback Period Calculations:

YEAR =	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY

4. What is the date of your cost benefit analysis?

I. F. Risk Inventory and Assessment (All Assets)

In this section, describe the results of your risk assessment for this project and discuss your plans to eliminate, mitigate, or manage identified risks. Risk assessments should be performed at the initial concept stage and then monitored and controlled throughout the life-cycle of the project, and should include risk information from all stakeholders. Risk assessments for all projects must include schedule, costs (both initial and life cycle), technical

obsolescence, feasibility, reliability of systems, dependencies and interoperability between this project and others, surety (asset protection) considerations, risk of creating a monopoly for future procurements, capability of agency to manage the project, and overall risk of project failure.

In addition, for IT projects risk must be discussed in the following categories 1) Organizational and Change Management, 2) Business, 3) Data/Info, 4) Technology, 5) Strategic, 6) Security, 7) Privacy, and 8) Project Resources. (Agencies may include others for IT, and may define the core set for other assets). For security risks, identify under the description column the level of risk as high, medium, or basic. What aspect of security determines the level of risk, i.e., the need for confidentiality of information, availability of information or the system, reliability of the information or system?

Date Identified	Area of Risk	Description	Probability of Occurrence	Strategy for Mitigation	Current Status as of the date of this exhibit

1. What is the date of your risk management plan?

I.G. Acquisition Strategy

1. Will you use a single contract or several contracts to accomplish this project?

1.A. If multiple contracts are planned, explain how they are related to each other, and how each supports the project performance goals.

2. What type(s) of contract(s) will you use (e.g. cost reimbursement, fixed-price, etc.)?

2.A. For cost reimbursement contracts, define risk not sufficiently covered by the risk mitigation plan to require this type of contract.

3. Will you use financial incentives to motivate contractor performance (e.g. incentive fee, award fee, etc.)?

4. Will you use competition to select suppliers?

5. Will you use commercially available or COTS products, or custom-designed products?

6. What is the date of your acquisition plan?

7. How will you ensure Section 508 compliance?

I.H. Project and Funding Plan

The information required by this section will be provided by your earned value management system (EVMS) and the EVMS software program you use that meets the ANSI/EIA Standard 748 (see section 300.4 (earned value management)). Information on earned value management systems is available at <http://www.acq.osd.mil/pm>.

I.H.1. Description of performance-based management system (PBMS):

Name the software program that meets ANSI/EIA Standard 748 that you will use, or are using, to monitor and manage contract and project performance. If the project is operational (steady state), define the operational analysis system that will be used. If this is a mixed life-cycle project with both operational and development/modernization/enhancement (DME) system improvement aspects, EVMS must be used on the system improvement aspects of the contract and operational analysis on the operations aspects. Using information consistent with the work breakdown structure (WBS), provide the information requested in all parts of this section.

I.H.2. Original baseline (OMB-approved at project outset):

What are the cost and schedule goals for this phase or segment/module of the project (e.g., what are the major project milestones or events; when will each occur; and what is the estimated cost to accomplish each one)? Also identify the funding agency for each milestone or event if this is a multi-agency project. If this is a multi-agency project or one of the President's E-Gov initiatives, use the detailed project plan with milestones on the critical path, to identify agency funding for each module or milestone. (This baseline must be included in all subsequent reports, even when there are OMB-approved baseline changes shown in I.H.3).

Cost and Schedule Goals: Original Baseline for a Phase/Segment/Module of Project					
Description of Milestone	Schedule			Planned Cost	Funding Agency
	Start Date	End Date	Duration (in days)		
1.					
2.					
3.					
Completion date:				Total cost estimate at completion:	

I.H.3. Proposed baseline/current baseline (applicable *only* if OMB-approved the changes):

Identify in this section a proposed change to the original or current baseline or an OMB-approved baseline change. What are the new cost and schedule goals for the project (e.g., what are the major project milestones or events; when will each occur; and what is the estimated cost to accomplish each one)? Also identify the funding agency for each milestone or event if this is a multi-agency project. If this is a new project in the FY 2004 budget year, this section will be blank for your initial submission.

Cost and Schedule Goals: Proposed _____ or Current (OMB-Approved) _____ Baseline for a Phase/Segment/Module of Project					
Description of Milestone	Schedule			Planned Cost	Funding Agency
	Start Date	End Date	Duration (in days)		
1.					
2.					
3.					
Completion date:				Total cost estimate at completion:	

I.H.4 Actual performance and variance from OMB-approved baseline (original or current):

- A. Show for each major project the milestones or events you planned (scheduled) to accomplish and the cost and what work was actually done and the cost. If this is a new project in the FY 2004 budget year, this section will be blank for your initial submission. OMB may ask for the latest information during the budget review process.

Comparison of OMB-Approved Baseline and Actual Outcome for Phase/Segment/Module of a Project									
Description of Milestone	OMB-Approved Baseline					Actual Outcome			
	Schedule			Planned Cost	Funding Agency	Schedule		Percent Complete	Actual Cost
	Start Date	End Date	Duration (in days)			Start Date	End Date		
1.									
2.									
3.									
Completion date: OMB-approved baseline:						Estimated completion date:			
Total cost: OMB-approved baseline:						Estimate at completion:			

- B. Provide the following project summary information from your EVMS software: As of : (date)
- B.1. Show the budgeted (planned) cost of work scheduled (BCWS): \$ _____
- B.2. Show budgeted (planned) cost of work performed (BCWP): \$ _____
- B.3. Show the actual cost of work performed (ACWP): \$ _____

B.4. Provide a cost curve graph plotting BCWS, BCWP and ACWP on a monthly basis from inception of this phase or segment/module through the latest report. In addition, plot the ACWP curve to the estimated cost at completion (EAC) value, and provide the following EVMS variance analysis.

PROJECT SUMMARY (CUMULATIVE)	
	Value
Cost Variance = (BCWP-ACWP) =	
Cost Variance % = (CV/BCWP) x 100% =	
Cost Performance Index (CPI) = (BCWP/ACWP) =	
Schedule Variance = (BCWP-BCWS) =	
Schedule Variance % = (SV/BCWS) x 100% =	
Schedule Performance Index (SPI) = (BCWP/BCWS) =	
Two independent Estimates at Completion (EAC) = (ACWPcum + Performance Factor (PF) X(BAC - BCWPcum) where PF ₁ = 1/CPI, and PF ₂ = 1/CPI x SPI =	
Variance at Completion (VAC) = (BAC - EAC) for both EACs above =	
Variance at Completion % = (VAC/BAC) x 100% for both EACs above =	
Expected Funds to Completion (ETC) =	
Expected Completion Date =	

Definitions for Earned Value Management System:

- ACWP – Actual Cost for Work Performed – What you paid.
- BAC – Budget At Completion – The baseline (planned) budget for the project.
- BCWP – Budgeted Cost for Work Performed – The earned value.
- BCWS – Budgeted Cost for Work Scheduled – The planned costs.
- CPI – Cost Performance Index – The ratio of the budgeted to actual cost of work performed.
- CV – Cost Variance – The difference between planned and actual cost of work performed.
- EAC – Estimate At Completion – The latest estimated cost at completion.
- ETC – Estimate to Completion – Funds needed to complete the project.
- PF – Performance Factor – The cost to earn a dollar of value, or ACWP/BCWP, or 1/CPI.
- SPI – Schedule Performance Index – The percent of the project that has been completed.
- SV – Schedule Variance – The variance between the actual and planned schedules.
- VAC – Variance at Completion – The variance between the baseline and actual budget at completion.

- C. If cost and/or schedule variance are a negative 10 percent or more, explain the reason(s) for the variance(s):
- D. Provide performance variance. Explain whether, based on work accomplished to date, you still expect to achieve your performance goals. If not, explain the reasons for the variance.
- E. Discuss the contractor, government, and at least the two EAC index formulas in I.H.4.B, current estimates at completion. Explain the differences and the IPTs selected EAC for budgeting purposes.

-
- F. Discuss the corrective actions that will be taken to correct the variances, the risk associated with the actions, and how close the planned actions will bring the project to the original baseline. Define proposed baseline changes, if necessary.
- G. Has the Agency Head concurred in the need to continue the program at the new baseline?
Yes ____ No ____
-

Part II: Additional Business Case Criteria for Information Technology**II. A. Enterprise Architecture****II.A.1 Business**

- A. Is this project identified in your agency's enterprise architecture? If not, why?
- B. Explain how this project conforms to your departmental (entire agency) enterprise architecture.
- C. Identify the Lines of Business and Sub-Functions within the Federal Enterprise Architecture Business Reference Model that will be supported by this initiative.
- D. Briefly describe how this initiative supports the identified Lines of Business and Sub-Functions of the Federal Business Architecture.
- E. Was this project approved through the EA Review committee at your agency?
- F. What are the major process simplification/reengineering/design projects that are required as part of this initiative?
- G. What are the major organization restructuring, training, and change management projects that are required?
- H. What are the Agency lines of business involved in this project?
- I. What are the implications for the agency business architecture?

II.A.2 Data

- A. What types of data will be used in this project?
- B. Does the data needed for this project already exist at the Federal, State, or Local level? If so, what are your plans to gain access to that data?
- C. Are there legal reasons why this data cannot be transferred? If so, what are they and did you address them in the barriers and risk sections above?
- D. If this initiative processes spatial data, identify planned investments for spatial data and demonstrate how the agency ensures compliance with the Federal Geographic Data Committee standards required by OMB Circular A-16.

II.A.3 Application and Technology

- A. Discuss this initiative/project in relationship to the application and technology layers of the EA. Include a discussion of hardware, applications, infrastructure, etc.
- B. Are all of the hardware, applications, and infrastructure requirements for this project included in the EA Technical Reference Model? If not, please explain.

II. B. Security and Privacy

NOTE: Each category below must be addressed at the project (system/application) level, not at a program or agency level. Referring to security plans or other documents is not an acceptable response.

II.B.1. How is security provided and funded for this project (e.g., by program office or by the CIO through the general support system/network)?

A. What is the total dollar amount allocated to security for this project in FY 2004?

II.B.2 Does the project (system/application) meet the following security requirements of the Government Information Security Reform Act, OMB policy, and NIST guidance?

A. Does the project (system/application) have an up-to-date security plan that meets the requirements of OMB policy and NIST guidance? What is the date of the plan?

B. Has the project undergone an approved certification and accreditation process? Specify the C&A methodology used (e.g., NIST guidance) and the date of the last review.

C. Have the management, operational, and technical security controls been tested for effectiveness? When were most recent tests performed?

D. Have all system users been appropriately trained in the past year, including rules of behavior and consequences for violating the rules?

E. How has incident handling capability been incorporated into the system, including intrusion detection monitoring and audit log reviews? Are incidents reported to GSA's FedCIRC?

F. Is the system operated by contractors either on-site or at a contractor facility? If yes, does any such contract include specific security requirements required by law and policy? How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency?"

II.B.3 How does the agency ensure the effective use of security controls and authentication tools to protect privacy for those systems that promote or permit public access?

II.B.4 How does the agency ensure that the handling of personal information is consistent with relevant government-wide and agency policies.

II.B.5 If a Privacy Impact Assessment was conducted, please provide a copy to OMB.

II. C. Government Paperwork Elimination Act (GPEA)

II.C.1 If this project supports electronic transactions or record-keeping that is covered by GPEA, briefly describe the transaction or record-keeping functions and how this investment relates to your agency's GPEA plan.

II.C.2 What is the date of your GPEA plan?

II.C.3 Identify any OMB Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) control numbers from information collections that are tied to this investment.