

6 bot  
lab

~~84~~ 92

8 MAR 1974

Dr. Elliott E. Blinderman  
3920 Wilshire Boulevard  
Beverly Hills, California 90211

Dear Dr. Blinderman:

Thank you for your recent letter to Chairman Richard O. Simpson which described the unshielded muffler on your boat as a safety hazard and requested that consumers be protected from such designs.

One of the purposes of the Consumer Product Safety Act is to protect the public against unreasonable risks of injury associated with consumer products. However, one of the exemptions from this Act is for boats and associated equipment which can be regulated under the Federal Boat Safety Act of 1971. The agency responsible for boat safety regulations is the Coast Guard. Therefore, we have referred your letter to the appropriate office of the Coast Guard for examination of the problem you raised.

We believe you will be contacted by that office in the near future if further information is necessary.

Sincerely,

Original signed by  
Michael A. Brown  
Michael A. Brown  
General Counsel

BPitkin:clb:3/8/74

cc: Cdr. George W. Conrad, Chief  
Product Assurance Branch  
Boating Standards Division  
U.S. Coast Guard

Executive Director  
Secretary  
B. Pitkin  
CC Files  
✓GC Chron  
GC Reading

ADVISORY OPINION

tr

6/10 OK  
LH

TJ  
ASL  
AS

LAW OFFICES

HALFPENNY, HAHN & ROCHE

111 WEST WASHINGTON STREET

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60602

(312) 782-1829

WASHINGTON OFFICE

HALFPENNY, HAHN & ROCHE

1725 K STREET, N. W.

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

(202) 659-8735

HAROLD T. HALFPENNY  
RICHARD F. HAHN  
THOMAS F. ROCHE  
JAMES F. FLANAGAN  
JOSEPH J. NAGLE  
MARY M. SHAW (Dec'd)  
RICHARD F. FRIEDEMANN, JR.  
LOUIS R. MARCHESE

February 26, 1974

Mr. Michael A. Brown  
General Counsel  
Consumer Product Safety Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20207

Dear Mr. Brown:

Thank you for your letter of February 21, 1974 wherein you advised of the general application of the Consumer Product Safety Act with respect to batteries used in automobiles and in those cases where they are not used as such. The information you furnished is extremely helpful and has been passed on to the Independent Battery Manufacturers Association on whose behalf we submitted the request.

At this time we would request additional information from you as to your interpretation of the coverage of batteries under the Poison Prevention Packaging Act, a law administered by the Consumer Product Safety Commission.

According to regulations issued by the Commission, household substances containing 10 percent or more by weight of sulfuric acid, except those in wet-cell batteries, are required to be packaged in a certain manner. Household substances are defined to mean any substance which is customarily produced or distributed for sale or consumption or use, or customarily stored, by individuals in or about the household and which are a hazardous substance as defined by the Federal Hazardous Substances Act.

Batteries are generally produced for sale or use in automobiles and as such it does not appear that they would fall within the above classification. However, we have been informed that there is an interpretation that batteries are covered under the Poison Prevention Packaging Act.

Mr. Michael A. Brown,  
General Counsel  
Consumer Product Safety Commission


- - - - Page Two - - - -

February 26, 1974

Because of the possible confusion that may result from such interpretation, we are requesting from you a clarification as to coverage of batteries under the Poison Prevention Packaging Act and the Federal Hazardous Substances Act.

Thank you for your continuing cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

  
Harold T. Halpenny

HTH:am