DRAFT ICCVAM TEST METHOD RECOMMENDATIONS
Updated Assessment of the Validity of the LLNA
for Mixtures, Metals, and Aqueous Solutions
January 2008

14	1.0	Draft Recommendations:	Test Method	Uses and Limitation
1 1	1.0	Dian itecommendations.	I COL IVICUITOU	. Oses and Dimitation

- 15 Background: ICCVAM is currently updating the original validation report of the LLNA
- 16 (ICCVAM 1999) based on a comprehensive review of available data and information
- 17 regarding the current validity of the LLNA for assessing the skin sensitizing potential of
- mixtures, metal compounds, and substances in aqueous solutions. The information is
- based on a retrospective review of LLNA data derived from a database of over 500
- substances (including mixtures) tested in the LLNA and builds on the previous ICCVAM
- evaluation of the LLNA, which was based on 209 substances (ICCVAM 1999). In the
- original ICCVAM report, the performance of the LLNA was compared to 1) the results
- from guinea pig tests and 2) information about sensitizers in humans (e.g., human
- 24 maximization test [HMT] results, substances used in human repeat insult patch test
- 25 [HRIPT], clinical data), where available. This addendum updates the LLNA performance
- analyses for mixtures, metal compounds, and substances in aqueous solutions when
- 27 compared to human and guinea pig results.
- 28 Draft Recommendations Use of the LLNA to Test Mixtures:
- 29 The updated NICEATM LLNA database contains test results on 18 mixtures, 15 of which
- 30 have comparative guinea pig data while none have comparative human data. In the
- 31 guinea pig, six were classified as sensitizers and nine as non-sensitizers. Ten of the 15
- mixtures are pesticides (i.e., herbicides, fungicides, insecticides) and four are dyes.
- 33 Information on the product class for the remaining mixture was not identified.
- 34 Information on the ingredients in the various mixtures is known for only one of the 15
- mixtures. Information on physical form was available for five of the 15 mixtures; four are
- 36 solids and one is a liquid. In the LLNA, 11 were tested in an aqueous vehicle and four
- were tested in a non-aqueous vehicle. Compared to guinea pig, the LLNA has an
- 38 accuracy of 53% (8/15), a sensitivity of 50% (3/6), a specificity of 56% (5/9), a false
- 39 positive rate of 44% (4/9), and a false negative rate of 50% (3/6).
- 40 Due to the limitations associated with the available database for mixtures (i.e., unknown
- 41 formulae, lack of human data), more data are needed before a recommendation on the
- 42 usefulness and limitations of the LLNA for testing mixtures can be made at this time.

- 43 Draft Recommendations Use of the LLNA to Test Metal Compounds:
- The updated NICEATM LLNA database contains test results on 48 studies involving 17
- 45 metal compounds representing 13 different metals (mixtures containing metals are
- excluded from this analysis). All 17 metal compounds had comparative human data and
- eight had comparative guinea pig data. Among the 13 metals tested multiple times, nickel
- 48 was tested four times in the LLNA as nickel sulfate, three times as nickel chloride, and
- once as a nickel (II) salt. Because nickel was classified as a sensitizer in four of these
- studies and as a non-sensitizer in the other four, a decision was made to exclude nickel
- 51 compounds from the LLNA metals performance analysis.
- 52 For these remaining 14 metal compounds (13 metals), the LLNA had an accuracy of 86%
- 53 (12/14), a sensitivity of 100% (9/9), a specificity of 60% (3/5), a false positive rate of
- 40% (2/5) and a false negative rate of 0% (0/9), when compared to human results. The
- 55 two false positive compounds were copper chloride and zinc sulfate. All six of the metal
- compounds (six different metals with nickel compounds excluded) with comparative
- 57 guinea pig test results were predicted as sensitizers by the LLNA. For these metal
- compounds, the LLNA had an accuracy of 83% (5/6), a sensitivity of 100% (5/5), a
- specificity of 0% (0/1), a false positive rate of 100% (1/1) and a false negative rate of 0%
- 60 (0/5), when compared to guinea pig test results. When comparing the performance of the
- 61 LLNA and the guinea pig tests, for the six metal compounds tested in all three species, to
- human results, the LLNA had an accuracy of 88% (7/8), a sensitivity of 100% (7/7), a
- specificity of 0% (0/1), a false positive rate of 100% (1/1) and a false negative rate of 0%
- (0/7); the accuracy of the guinea pig against the human remained the same as previously
- 65 calculated.
- Based on these data, the LLNA appears useful for the testing of metal compounds, with
- 67 the exception of nickel. Currently, nickel compounds should not be tested in the LLNA.
- However, the false positive rate of 40% (2/5) should be considered when evaluating
- 69 positive results for metal compounds tested in the LLNA. In this situation, p LLNA
- 70 results should always be subjected to a weight-of-evidence evaluation of supplemental
- 71 information (e.g., peptide binding activity, other testing data). If false positive results are

- suggested, confirmatory testing in the traditional LLNA or another accepted skin
- 73 sensitization test method should be considered.
- 74 Draft Recommendations Use of the LLNA to Test Substances in Aqueous Solutions:
- 75 The updated NICEATM LLNA database contains test data on 47 studies that involved
- testing 21 substances in an aqueous solution (i.e., vehicle). Aqueous solutions are defined
- as single substances tested in a vehicle containing at least 20% water and were not
- 78 evaluated separately during the original ICCVAM validation of the LLNA (ICCVAM
- 79 1999). Among these 21 substances tested in aqueous solutions, six were pesticides (i.e.
- 80 herbicide, fungicides, insecticides); this is the only product class represented by more
- than one substance in an aqueous solution.
- Human data were available for only four (3 sensitizers/1 non-sensitizer in humans) of the
- 83 21 substances tested in aqueous solutions. None of the four were pesticides. In
- comparison to the human data, the LLNA has an accuracy of 50% (2/4), a sensitivity of
- 85 33% (1/3), a specificity of 100% (1/1), a false positive rate of 0% (0/1) and a false
- negative rate of 67% (2/3). Of the 21 substances tested in aqueous solutions, guinea pig
- data were available for six (2 sensitizers/4 non-sensitizers in the guinea pig). Four of
- these six substances were pesticides (one sensitizer/3 non-sensitizers). Based on the
- guinea pig test data, the LLNA has an accuracy of 50% (3/6), a sensitivity of 50% (1/2), a
- specificity of 50% (2/4), a false positive rate of 50% (2/4), and a false negative rate of
- 91 50% (1/2). There were only two substances tested in aqueous solutions in the LLNA and
- 92 for which there was comparative guinea pig and human data.
- 93 Due to the very limited number of substances tested in aqueous solutions, more data are
- 94 needed before a recommendation on the usefulness and limitations of the LLNA for
- 95 testing substances in aqueous solutions can be made at this time.

96 2.0 Draft Recommendations: Test Method Protocol for the LLNA

- 97 The ICCVAM recommended LLNA protocol, which is based on recommendations from
- an independent expert peer review panel evaluation of the LLNA (ICCVAM 1999), can
- 99 be found on the ICCVAM-NICEATM website at
- 100 http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/immunotox/llnadocs/LLNAProt.pdf. The LLNA

- procedure is also described in the EPA Health Effects Test Guidelines (EPA 2003) and
- 102 OECD TG 429 (OECD 2002).

103 3.0 Draft Recommendations: Future Studies

- To more comprehensively evaluate the ability of the LLNA to be used for testing metal
- compounds, additional data from LLNA studies on such compounds with comparative
- human and/or guinea pig data are needed. In addition, efforts should be made to identify
- additional human data and human experience for mixtures and substances tested in an
- aqueous solution, in order to adequately evaluate the use of the LLNA for these testing
- situations relative to humans, the species of interest. It is critical that any results from
- studies using mixtures be linked to the individual components of the mixture to allow for
- an adequate assessment of potential mixture effects in the LLNA.

112 4.0 Draft Performance Standards

- 113 ICCVAM is currently developing performance standards for the traditional LLNA
- 114 (http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/docs/immunotox_docs/llna/LLNAPerfStd12Sep07FD.pdf).
- These draft test method performance standards are proposed to evaluate the performance
- of LLNA test methods that incorporate specific modifications to measure lymphocyte
- proliferation compared to the traditional LLNA.

118 **5.0 References**

- EPA. 2003. Health Effects Test Guideline, OPPTS 870.2600. Skin Sensitization EPA
- 120 712–C–03–197. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Available:
- http://www.epa.gov/opptsfrs/publications/OPPTS Harmonized/870 Health Effects Test
- Guidelines/Revised/870r-2600.pdf [accessed 20 September 2007].
- 123 ICCVAM 1999. The murine local lymph node assay: A test method for assessing the
- allergic contact dermatitis potential of chemical/compounds. NIH Publication No. 99-
- 125 4494. Research Triangle Park, NC: National Toxicology Program.
- 126 ICCVAM 2001
- OECD. 2002. Test guideline 429. Skin Sensitisation: Local Lymph Node Assay, adopted
- 128 April 24, 2002. In: OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals. Paris:OECD.